IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, Case No.: 3:17-CR-82 vs. RANDALL KEITH BEANE AND HEATHER ANN TUCCI-JARRAF, Defendants.

VOLUME VI of VIII

JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS A. VARLAN

January 30, 2018 9:19 a.m. to 4:18 p.m.

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: CYNTHIA F. DAVIDSON, ESQUIRE

ANNE-MARIE SVOLTO, ESQUIRE

Assistant United States Attorney United States Department of Justice Office of the United States Attorney

800 Market Street

Suite 211

Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

FOR THE DEFENDANT: RANDALL KEITH BEANE, PRO SE

Blount County Detention Center RANDALL BEANE

920 East Lamar Alexander Parkway

Maryville, Tennessee 37904

FOR THE DEFENDANT:
(As Elbow Counsel) STEPHEN G. McGRATH, ESQUIRE

9111 Cross Park Drive

Suite D-200

Knoxville, Tennessee 37923

REPORTED BY:

Rebekah M. Lockwood, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter (865) 210-6698 P.O. Box 1823 Knoxville, Tennessee 37901-1823

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):

FOR THE DEFENDANT: HEATHER ANN TUCCI-JARRAF, PRO SE

HEATHER ANN 105 Orchard Lane

TUCCI-JARRAF Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

FOR THE DEFENDANT: FRANCIS LLOYD, ESQUIRE (As Elbow Counsel) 9111 Cross Park Drive

Suite D-200

Knoxville, Tennessee 37923

REPORTED BY:

Rebekah M. Lockwood, RPR, CRR
Official Court Reporter
(865) 210-6698
P.O. Box 1823
Knoxville, Tennessee 37901-1823

1	INDEX		
2	DEFENDANT TUCCI-JARRAF'S WITNESSES		PAGE
3	HEATHER ANN TUCCI-JARRAF Direct Examination by Ms. Tucci-Jarraf Cross-Examination by Ms. Davidson Cross-Examination by Mr. Beane Redirect Examination by Ms. Tucci-Jarraf Recross-Examination by Ms. Davidson		5
4			60 130
5			145 164
6	Defendant Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf Rests		167
7	GOVERNMENT'S REBUTTAL WITNESSES		PAGE
8	MONICA ALCALA		
9	Direct Examination by Ms. Davidson Cross-Examination by Ms. Tucci-Jarraf Cross-Examination by Mr. Beane		168 178
10			183
11		EN DYER	185
12	Direct Examination by Ms. Davidson Cross-Examination by Ms. Tucci-Jarraf Cross-Examination by Mr. Beane		188 192
13			132
14	TERRY WILSHIRE Direct Examination by Ms. Svolto 194		194
15	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBITS (ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)		
16	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
17	167	USAA call history spreadsheet	169
18	166	USAA "No Authentication" account page	172
19	168	USAA "Verify A Check" page	174
20	169	USAA "Account/Recipient Detail" for Randall Keith Beane	177
21	170		196
22	171	Randall Keith Beane booking photo	
23	1 / 1	County of Jasper, State of South Carolina Bench Warrant	197
24	173	General Sessions Court, Knox County, Tennessee,	198
25		Affidavit of Complaint and Judgment	
		Rebekah M. Lockwood, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter (865) 210-6698 P.O. Box 1823 Knoxville, Tennessee 37901-1823	

1 GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBITS (ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE) (CONTINUED) 2 NO. DESCRIPTION **PAGE** 3 174 Corrected General Sessions Court, Knox County, 199 4 Tennessee, Affidavit of Complaint 5 175 Knox County Sheriff's Office Inmate Processing Form, 200 Arrest Report, Medical Consent Form, Waiver of 6 Extradition 7 176 Randall Keith Beane booking photo left side 215 8 216 177 Randall Keith Beane booking photo right side 9 DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS (MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION) 10 NO. DESCRIPTION **PAGE** 9 11 Bank of America Account Setup 12 DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS (ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE) 13 NO. DESCRIPTION **PAGE** 14 12 E-mail to Randall Beane 22 15 E-mail for bill of sale for coach 17 27 29 16 18 E-mail, updated factualized trust 17 E-mails from Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf to Lauren 20 4.3 Palmisano and Brad Cohen 18 19 20 21 22 2.3 24 25 Rebekah M. Lockwood, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter (865) 210-6698 P.O. Box 1823

Knoxville, Tennessee 37901-1823

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination (Call to Order of the Court) 1 2 THE COURT: Morning, everyone. Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, if you'll take the witness stand, 3 4 and we'll bring the jury in, and continue with your direct 5 examination. 6 (Jury in at 9:20 a.m.) 7 THE COURT: Thank you. Everyone may be seated. 8 Good morning to our members of the jury. 9 Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, you may continue with the factual 10 narrative of your direct examination testimony. 11 WHEREUPON, 12 HEATHER ANN TUCCI-JARRAF, was called as a witness and, after having been first duly 13 14 sworn, testified as follows: CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 16 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. Good morning. 17 Okay. So yesterday we discussed the factualized 18 trust document that Randy had provided to Buddy Gregg, and 19 inside is all the UCCs that were used back in 2012. 20 THE COURT: Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, I think when we 21 readjusted you, the microphone picked you up better yesterday, 22 to make sure --MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Can you hear me now? 23 THE COURT: Go ahead. 24 25 MR. McGRATH: Just pull it up more. I want to make UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination sure Randy can hear. He has trouble hearing you.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Is that better?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: So the factualized trust, which Randy had submitted, which was 155A, lists all the UCCs that were done over -- the perpetuity was done in 2000, and then it took 11 years -- approximately 11 and a half years to do all the amendments to be able to secure the property of the United States, secure the people of America, and all their individual property. That is what those UCC documents that are cited in the factualized trust are all about.

The same thing was done for every country around the planet in order to protect from the use and abuse that was being done by Federal Reserve Bank System as well as Bank of International -- or Bank for International Settlements, which is in Switzerland. It's the central bank of all the central banks. So each country was in the same position, but I'm only going to -- this case only refers to America and to an individual in America.

So at the time on July 4th, I had received a message from Randall Beane saying that he paid off all his debt and that he was doing these ACH payments from that process from the video he had also sent on July 4th for me to review.

At that point, I reviewed the video. And Randy, I could see what the situation would be back in June of that same

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination year, so probably about a month before, we had just started doing the factualized trust documents which were a tool to help the banking and the government and all the agencies, branches, and departments globally change their systems in order to not have any fraud whatsoever while still keeping the systems up and not disrupting commerce and economy. Okay.

So when he had mentioned that he had done numerous transactions and CDs, I went in to go and test it myself just to see what had happened. And through the testing that I did, I was able to discover a number of things and learn the ACH process, at least from a user standpoint, and then communicate with all of our intelligence that we are in contact with during the cleanup to see what they were seeing on their end, which on their end, they can see inside the Federal Reserve Bank, they can see inside the Bank for International Settlements, they can see inside any bank, really, so that they can see the entire transfer process.

Now, at the time I did this testing, which began on July 5th, I didn't have any personal access to be able to see what was behind the screens on our intelligence side. I only reviewed what was being seen from a user side.

Mr. Lloyd, if you would help me with exhibits, please. And Cynthia's copies are behind each one.

These are the tests that I personally ran using debt accounts.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination 1 Actually, it's just exhibit -- those are our exhibits 2 and those are hers as well, so the whole package. 3 Your Honor, may I have a moment just to make sure he 4 keeps the -- our exhibits and hands Cynthia only her exhibits? 5 MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, I would point out to the 6 Court that we requested discovery in this case from the 7 defendants, and none of this was provided to us. I'm just now seeing this for the first time. Just like the United States 8 9 provides discovery, the defendants are supposed to provide 10 discovery, and we've not seen these. I'm not really sure how 11 they're relevant. 12 THE COURT: Well, let's -- I guess we're going to have to take them one at a time. I don't see how -- and give 13 14 you the opportunity to object. Are you concerned about the 15 order that Mr. Lloyd has them in? MS. DAVIDSON: Yes. And hers are behind our exhibit 16 17 for each exhibit. THE COURT: Why don't we start with -- let's start 18 19 and if you need to --20 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Excuse me. Mr. Lloyd, could you 21 please take all the papers back from Cynthia, and as you go 22 through each exhibit --23 THE COURT: Why does -- why do you need to take them back from Ms. Davidson? 24 25 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Because our exhibit is sitting on

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination top, her copy is behind it, and then our next exhibit is there, and her copy is behind it.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead --

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Sorry, Mr. Lloyd.

THE COURT: -- and take them one at a time. Mark this as Defendants' Exhibit 5 for identification. We'll see if it's relevant.

(Defendants' Exhibit 5 marked for identification.)

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, I object to the relevance of this document. First of all, it's hearsay. There's no foundation. And anything doing with Bank of America and Ms. Tucci-Jarraf has nothing to do with the issues before the Court and the bank fraud that was committed by Mr. Randall Beane.

THE COURT: What's your response to that relevancy objection?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: It goes to my personal knowledge of the actual activities that Mr. Beane did as well as to my intent for my actions of communicating with USAA as well as with Whitney Bank. So it's regarding my personal knowledge of the ACH and the transactions that he did.

THE COURT: You can testify about your personal knowledge, but I don't see how this document is relevant to that testimony. This is just a sheet of paper that's got Bank of America on it. We've had no testimony today about Bank of

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination 1 America. I don't see how their involvement is -- so I'm going 2 to sustain the objection at this time. 3 Go ahead with your testimony or another document. 4 MR. LLOYD: Shall I mark it for ID? 5 THE COURT: Yes. Defendants' Exhibit 5 for 6 identification. 7 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. If we might, Your Honor, there were other exhibits of the test cases in the same 8 9 thing, and it shows that there's no routing number except for 10 the last four as opposed to the one Ms. Davidson put in for 11 USAA to show the difference of the data, so I would just ask that they be marked. 12 THE COURT: Go ahead. If they're all like this, 13 14 we'll mark them for identification. 15 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. 16 THE COURT: How many are there? 17 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: There is -- we had Exhibit 5, so that was one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight --18 19 THE COURT: Are these all within the same context? 20 Can we mark them as collective Defendants' Exhibit 6 and they 21 would all be subject to the same effort to introduce an 22 objection? 23 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Yes. 24 THE COURT: All right. We'll mark these as 25 collective. Mark all of these documents that appear to be

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination 1 other bank transactions of some sort, and the Court will 2 sustain a similar objection to all of them, and we'll mark them for identification as Defendants' Exhibit 6. 3 4 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Since they're already premarked, 5 may we do that at break --THE COURT: Yes. 6 7 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: -- so we don't take more time? 8 Thank you. 9 THE COURT: Let me ask, have they been marked with 10 separate numbers? 11 MR. LLOYD: They have, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Let's leave them as marked. Could you 13 call out the numbers? 14 MR. LLOYD: Exhibit 6. 15 MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, I add to my objection that 16 these are also business records, which there's been no 17 foundation, so they're hearsay and inadmissible. MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I wasn't allowed to make a 18 19 foundation yet. She objected to them before I could. 20 THE COURT: Well --21 MS. DAVIDSON: Business records are entered by a custodian of those records. 22 23 THE COURT: I understand. I think that's a valid 24 objection. They don't appear to be relevant. Furthermore, 25 they appear to be subject to a hearsay objection and not a

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination 1 business record exceptions. So on all those grounds, the Court 2 will sustain the objection. 3 Go ahead and call out the numbers, Mr. Lloyd. 4 MR. LLOYD: No. 6 consists of one page. 5 THE COURT: Why don't we do this in the interest of 6 time, that's a good suggestion by Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, let's, for 7 now, leave them separately identified, but we might go back and combine them into one exhibit at the break as a collective 8 Exhibit 6. 9 10 MR. LLOYD: Okay. I need to know from the witness, 11 Your Honor, how far to go. 12 THE COURT: How far down to go? All right. Go 13 ahead. 14 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: So exhibit marked Exhibit No. 7, 15 marked Exhibit No. 8, marked Exhibit No. 9, marked Exhibit 16 No. 10. 17 MR. LLOYD: You'll have to give me a moment. MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I apologize. 18 19 MS. DAVIDSON: I don't have that copy yet. Is that 20 This one right here looks like my copy. it? 21 MR. LLOYD: I think this -- that was yours. 22 MS. DAVIDSON: Yeah. This is my copy. Is it 23 multiple pages? 24 MR. LLOYD: Yes. 25 MS. DAVIDSON: I only got one page of Exhibit 8. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination 1 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Your Honor? 2 THE COURT: Yes. MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: In the interest of time, I'll just 3 4 go ahead and strike the entrance for the identification. I can 5 always -- if we have a break and I'm not done, I can always go in and correct these, so strike that. 6 7 THE COURT: Let's go ahead with the direct examination testimony then. Go ahead. 8 9 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. 10 So on July 5th, I went in and tested it, the ACH 11 system, so that I could see exactly what information he was 12 putting in and what it looked like. And on July 5th, I -- on 13 July 5th --14 THE REPORTER: Can you scoot back so we can hear you 15 on the mic? 16 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. 17 On July 5th, I did two with different institutions, and when I went to go put in the routing number that would --18 19 and I used my own personal information to test it. I used my 20 own name, my own Social Security number, and I already knew 21 what the Federal Reserve was for my particular one. When I went to go do the routing number that 22 23 corresponded with that Federal Reserve, it didn't work. 24 would say, "Routing number not valid." 25 So I then had to go and figure out which routing

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination number went along with that bank. And a lot of the Federal Reserve Banks will have like a processing agreement with some of the other banks. So it was, in this particular case, I believe it was Amalgamated, a bank in Chicago, Amalgamated Bank.

And in that particular one, once the routing number was in, even after I put it in, it literally had the name pop up below, so I clicked on that. And then I went in and I put the account name, which I used Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf, and then I put in the account number, which was my Social Security number. That process went -- went fine.

Then for the second bank that day, I did the same thing, and it went fine. And I -- so I added those as other payments, other payment options within an existing account already. So then from there, I would be able to go and make payments, pulling -- choosing from which payment method I would just choose, and I named it HATJ Trust or Heather -- excuse me, Tucci-Jarraf Trust.

I made different -- during the tests, I made different nicknames. In this particular case, it was Trust Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf on those days.

And then on the 5th, Randall had noticed me that he was doing CDs, and the amounts were anywhere from 400 -- excuse me, 500,000, and then the rest for a million. I believe he did four at 500,000 each and then the rest were all at a million

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination dollars each, jumbo CD loans -- or jumbo CD accounts, excuse me.

And the process that was described regarding the CDs was correct from my experience and also from what Randy had described to me.

When I went in to go and test that, number one, there was no confirmation page. It just said "Application pending."

And then I received e-mails, and it -- it wasn't until I believe July -- it was July 5th -- or excuse me, July 17th, it was the day I left for Knoxville from Houston, I had received letters in the mail from the bank, which I had made the applications, which was my own institution that I bank at, at Bank of America.

So there was a whole application process done online. However, the approval was done, and they sent out a letter of whether you were denied or accepted. And, of course, in this instance that I experienced and tested, they were all denied. Okay.

So then on July 6th, due to the amount of CDs and the value that -- of each CD, I went in to go and test more of them because he was starting to pay off other things and also trying to do Amazon.

And the thing that became very clear was on July 7th,

I had received a phone call that there were a whole bunch of

people who had watched this Harvey Dent video, and they were

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination having a radio show about it that night.

So I listened on the radio show and listened to all these people's testimony about their experiences. And at that point, I just said if anyone -- first off, this is -- the TreasuryDirect deposit accounts, as far as my knowledge, would not have been accessible through an ACH transfer processing system, however, it did look like it was somehow.

So I would have to look more into it. If people were actually doing these accounts and doing this -- and I had mentioned that I had gone in to test, and so far the tests, at least for ACH, adding it as an account within the existing entity, it did work.

But as far as whether it was directly tied, that was something I had to look more into. But I told everyone keep screenshots of every step so that I can see exactly what -- where the issues were, or if there was success, figure out how in the banking ACH system they had actually tied it to the TreasuryDirect deposit accounts, which would be sitting within the U.S. Treasury master accounts inside Federal Reserve Bank.

So at that point, after I got off the call, immediately my e-mail started beeping and I was receiving tens of e-mails with screenshots already. People had already started to do it and had been doing it prior to even me speaking, but they started to send them to me to my e-mail, which my e-mail has been public for years, so there wasn't

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination much -- I was going to set up a separate account to be able to receive those so it could act as an archive. I didn't have time.

People just started sending me. And total, as of to date, there have been 300 -- over 300,000, I just rounded it off to 300,000 individuals, and they've been doing multiple. Even to this date, I've received notice that ones that have been done months ago, back in July, were still paid. They hadn't been returned.

So on July 7th, I spent time to go in and do one more, to check out some of the issues that people had mentioned on the phone call. And every single time that I went in to test, never did it give the whole account number. It would do a star, star, star, star, and then only give the last four digits of the actual Social Security number or account number as it was showing on the test.

And it was Amalgamated Bank of Chicago, which my own personal data that I was using to test this, that's where it was running through. I never had it where the Federal Reserve switched the routing number in my particular Social Security number.

So then once that occurred, and I saw how many people were doing it, I continued to do tests, but on different -through different institutions and different platforms. I
think I did a total from July -- July 5th through July 8th, I

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination did a total of 14 -- 14 to 16 tests.

And each one, if there was a time, because there was also an issue of account names, what would -- what would automatically reject and what would go through, so the routing number, you had to have the correct routing number, a valid routing number, or it would just automatically say, "Valid routing number," and it wouldn't let you proceed till you fixed it.

The account name, entering the wrong account name also produced, even if I had the correct account number and the correct routing number, it would reject it and say, "No valid account."

And then if I had the correct name, the correct routing number, but not the correct account number, it would reject it and say, "Account not found."

So that was during those testing, that was my experience, but every time each of the reports that were printed out and confirmations would show just stars and wouldn't show the whole name of the account, the account name, and it wouldn't show the whole number of the account number.

Also on the routing number, it wouldn't show the whole routing number, it would only show partial, the last four digits. The other thing that I looked at when I was doing each of the test cases was to see what language people were getting when they would hit each process. So it would say, "Submit

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination 1 your information." You'd submit it, and then you would have to 2 go and you would have to click "Submit." 3 But there was legal language that was on there, so I 4 also evaluated the legal language that was there just to be 5 able to give feedback when we did meet in D.C. for the cleanup and how to change whatever this --6 7 MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. At this point, I've let her go on for a while. But I'm unsure about how the 8 9 actions of all these other people are relevant with regard to Randall Beane and Ms. Tucci-Jarraf. 10 11 THE COURT: What's your response to that objection, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf? 12 13 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: It goes to my personal knowledge 14 so that I was prepared to do a conference call with Whitney 15 Bank as well as with Mr. Beane and Buddy Gregg, so I could 16 actually speak to whether or not there was a valid transaction. 17 THE COURT: Well, why don't we just talk about the conference call then. Isn't that where we are? 18 19 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I was almost there. 20 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 21 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I just had a few seconds there to 22 finish. 23

Okay. So based on what I had seen, and on July 7th, I understood that there was a -- there was a big problem. And so at that point, like I said, on -- in June, we had put out UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

24

25

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination for all the intelligence agencies, the government, the banking institutions, the factualized trust, which was originally only three pages when formatted correctly.

And so in order to at least protect Mr. Beane until we could figure out what was going on, as well as Whitney Bank, as well as -- or, excuse me, not Whitney Bank, Buddy Gregg, because at the time, I didn't know about Whitney Bank, I only knew about Buddy Gregg, was that I had e-mailed Mr. Beane the factualized trust, which was in testing and discussion phases for D.C. and sent that to him with his information that was particular to him.

And that was for Buddy Gregg to be able to do the paperwork properly in the event that there was an issue and -- on the -- behind the side of the user application for ACH transfer systems. And the actual factualized trust looks a lot different than the one Mr. Beane gave them on July 11th.

And that would be Exhibit 21. If we could -- you could do the foundation questions, please.

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, I'd like a copy of this.

I know that something like this exists. We believe that Buddy

Gregg didn't give it to us, because they were probably confused

thinking they were the same document. But I think testimony

has established this document was presented likely to Buddy

Gregg. So I don't know that we object to it, but I would like

to see it.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination 1 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: There's a copy there for you. 2 MS. DAVIDSON: Okay. 3 THE COURT: It's Exhibit 21, I believe we're dealing 4 with now. 5 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Yes. 6 MR. LLOYD: Your Honor, may I approach the witness? 7 I have an Exhibit 20, but I don't have a 21. 8 THE COURT: Do you have it, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf? 9 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: They were in the stack of 10 exhibits. They were in the stack of exhibits that I had given 11 you. 12 MR. LLOYD: Yes. That's what I'm looking at. 13 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. 14 MR. LLOYD: May I approach? 15 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: There was an e-mail on top with 16 the factualized trust document that was attached as a -- to the 17 e-mail. MR. LLOYD: The copy of the Exhibit 21 shows a 18 19 photograph of Mr. Beane. 20 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Oh, no, that's not correct. 21 It is 12. I had it upside down. I apologize. It's 22 Exhibit 12, not 21. It was upside down. 23 THE COURT: Show Exhibit 12 to Ms. Davidson, Mr. Lloyd, and see if there's any objection. 24 25 MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, this is an unsigned copy, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination so presumably it's the one that she sent, but we don't have any objection.

THE COURT: We'll admit Exhibit 12. You can display it for the jury and you can continue your testimony about that document.

(Defendants' Exhibit 12 admitted into evidence.)

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you.

So this is the e-mail that I had sent to Randy at approximately 2:18 Central Time, because I was in Houston, Texas, on July 7th. And this was -- I had to go in and take the factualized trust that we had on my website for all the testing purposes for D.C. and take it and do a -- a changeover, so that instead of my information on there, because I also use myself for -- any time that we test any kind of policy change or document change within the system, I always use myself. I do not involve any other individuals.

This particular case, you'll see that this was the e-mail I sent.

Could you please go to the second page for me, Mr. Lloyd?

So on this one, it looks very similar to the first one. This particular information that sits on the first page was for title, ownership. This is how it looks in banking when you have to show title, ownership, identification, the account information. This is basically the same structure that any

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination title for any kind of asset in banking would have.

So for the factualized trust and an individual's property, it was just copied over so that banking wouldn't have too much of a changeover as far as learning a new format or a new system or a new style.

Now, the other pages, you'll notice when you compare this particular unsigned copy, because it was sent over to him for his review and at least to show Buddy Gregg the factualized trust information -- or excuse me, the name to put on there.

Of course, they -- they didn't write it correctly, they put "Duly Factualized," and it should have just been "Factualized Trust."

But like I said, all of this was in testing phase. It wasn't ready do go into the public. The intention was, it never would, that the banking system would be able to change things internally, and there would be no disruption to any of the individuals or commerce or amongst the different institutions that were inside using the banking systems.

And this document, each of the pages, you'll see, there really is only -- after the title page, there's only two pages really of content, because at the time, we were still going through which particular UCCs needed to go into the underwriting in the factualized trust to correspond with what was already inside of the commercial registry systems, globally, as well as here in America, as well as with the

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination particular asset structures inside of Federal Reserve, as well as Bank for International Settlements, and then of course all the international equivalent.

So it was really -- there had to be a lot of coordination, so it was just a matter of getting a uniform format and then of course style.

So this particular document was only really two pages. Here, it's formatted incorrectly, so it ended up being four with a signature at the bottom.

There was discussion, because in the final product, if there was to be a final product, there was to be no notaries or anything like that. Everything was supposed to be done because all the biometric identifiers, such as thumbprints, DNA, Social Security numbers, all of that was already inside of the systems themselves so the people wouldn't have to go in and do anything new. It would just be connected to the actual factualized trust that would be instituted within the system itself. But like I said, we weren't even to that point.

This happened. And at that point, I had to hyperaccelerate in order to protect the individual parties, which we had a conference call on July 10th. We had a conference call, and you've heard that.

You've seen a video, only the video part was my recording. There was no -- you couldn't hear the voices of anyone at Buddy Gregg location or Whitney Bank location. You

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination could only hear mine.

There was a recording that was made by another individual I didn't know at the time. I found out after the fact, and I asked for a copy of that. Due to the events that occurred, I never received a copy of that directly. It got sent to someone else.

And by that time, Randy, I was dealing with sheriffs and had the FBI calling and was dealing with the bankers and the intel agencies to figure out what was happening with Randy, that I never got to receive a copy directly or send one over to Lauren Palmisano.

However, I asked all the different teams that had received it to please just publish it on YouTube. That way, it would be there and I could pull it at any time when I needed to.

So after that point, that was the one that Mr. Beane apparently, according to testimony, had submitted. However, we haven't seen it, so I don't know if that is the exact one. However, that's the only one I know that I had delivered over to Mr. Beane.

So during our conversation, as you've heard with Ms. Palmisano and Mr. Cohen as well as Mr. Forbes and Mr. Nelson, there were certain actions that we needed to take.

First off, I didn't know who anybody was. So I had to get all their names, have them spell it, give me their phone UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination numbers.

In the particular case of Ms. Palmisano and Mr. Cohen, they had given me their e-mail addresses so that I could send all the documentation we agreed on via e-mail rather than fax, because I didn't have access to fax. I was on a farm in Houston working on another matter. So they gave me that so I could send it via e-mail.

So on July -- between July 10th and our phone call, and before I had revised that public trust -- or, excuse me, that factualized trust document, I had asked to see the bill of sale for the coach as well as a copy, the front copy, not the back copy, of the certificate of origin for the actual vehicle so that I could prepare the declaration of valid sale, which Ms. Palmisano had asked for. So that, I had received from Mr. Beane on July 10th at approximately 6:47 p.m.

Your Honor, I have the exhibit with me here. May I have it passed down to -- so we can ask about foundation?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: And there's a copy here for Ms. Davidson.

MS. DAVIDSON: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What is this number?

MR. LLOYD: 17, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We'll admit 17. Go ahead.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination (Defendants' Exhibit 17 admitted into evidence.)

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you.

Just so you can see the full communication of what actions I took, this is the e-mail for the bill of sale of the coach, which Ms. Davidson for the U.S. actually presented and put into evidence that you saw throughout last week.

So this is just the e-mail that I had received, and then there's a link, and that led me to the scanned copy of exactly the documents that she -- or that they had -- that they put into evidence.

And then the second page, this is for the manufacturer's certificate of origin for the coach. This is just the e-mail, so that you can see that Mr. Beane did send it to me after we had the particular conference call and I could prepare the documents, which Ms. Palmisano and from Whitney Bank as well as Mr. Cohen from Buddy Gregg would need in the event there was a problem with the Fedwire, the sale itself, the particular -- these particular documents would be needed for anti-money laundering laws as well as any kind of other laws that Federal Reserve typically in the past has used to be able to exert pressure through their -- their agents inside of law enforcement and the justice department.

Now, during that time, once I had received those documents, it took me quite a while to actually insert all of the underwriting into the factualized trust, because I had to

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination first pull all of the documents out of archive to be able to do that. They had already been prepped and archived.

I had to pull them all, double-check them, triple-check them in some instances, and grab a few that had been missing so that they -- Buddy Gregg and Whitney Bank as well as Mr. Beane could have a copy of the actual underwriting that's inside of the factualized trust.

Now, again, these haven't been made public, other than the UCCs themselves have been made public over the years, but nobody knows how to use them.

And, in fact, that's what the meetings in D.C., as well as globally, if there was travel anywhere, hoping to have everything done in D.C. since there was all the embassies there. Everything was basically prepared for that and not prepared for actual usage and e-mail to third parties.

So I had to put all of that together and write the underwriting that would be at least satisfactory at that particular point. And I didn't finish that until approximately 10:00 a.m. on July 11th, at which time was e-mailed over to Mr. Beane.

May I -- the exhibit is here with a copy for Ms. Davidson. I apologize.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: That's all right.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. So as you can see, 10:10 a.m. on July 11th.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination

MS. DAVIDSON: I have no objection.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Sorry.

THE COURT: What is the number?

MR. LLOYD: 18.

THE COURT: We'll admit 18.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you.

(Defendants' Exhibit 18 admitted into evidence.)

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: On this particular document, you can see where I wrote a quick note to Randall regarding the account number I had to actually -- what was missing, because I didn't fill any information out. I didn't have the Social Security at hand for the last four digits for the account number. And then explained that needed to be print, signed, and notarized, and scanned, and e-mailed back.

You know, at this particular time, all the energetic signatures are already -- the system and protocols in banking actually have moved to energetic signature, like I explained, with the different technologies that the banks have been preparing since 2011 to have that switched over.

Everything traditionally has been done by biometric, biometric meaning your thumbprint, Social Security number.

However, in this particular case, I wanted to make sure Mr. Beane as well as Buddy Gregg and Whitney Bank had the particular protocols that I particularly used so that if there was a problem later on, I would be able to address it.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination

Everyone in banking, everyone in the government, they are all familiar at the highest levels with my security protocols that I have developed, as well as used, with all of those entities since 2008, so they were familiar for them, and we could figure this out very quickly.

And then to notify the different agencies and intel, because at that point, everybody knew. I had made phone calls to all my contacts in intelligence, as well as banking, government, to figure out who put the pressure on -- or who was going to put the pressure on, I should say. At that point, they were just going in to do discovery. So I didn't have that information.

All I had for them on July -- the evening of July 10th were some names I had gotten from Ms. Palmisano, Mr. Forbes, and Mr. Nelson, as well as Mr. Cohen, which were True Brown, and all I knew was that he had identified himself as a FBI agent and then later switched it to a USAA employee. So I gave them True Brown.

I had also gotten the name of Agent or Officer

Patterson, so I had given them that name as well. I gave them any names that I was given in that conference call to be able to go check so we could figure out what was about to happen and who was going to do it.

At that particular point, in order to protect

Mr. Beane, also Whitney Bank, Buddy Gregg, and anyone else that

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination would eventually end up, as well as USAA Bank -- excuse me, I keep leaving them out -- as well as USAA Bank, I went in and signed, because I never -- I'll never issue anything, whether it's tests or whether it's usage, that I wouldn't put my own signature and seal on or incorporate in with the authorization or with the approval of different agencies, intelligence.

However, Mr. Beane was fast, escalated to a very serious and grave matter, in my experience. And so I actually issued my own factualized trust and put it on my website, which is where I archived different things that the intelligence agencies, the government, the different branches that are involved in the cleanup, and the bankers that are involved in the cleanup would know to go look at. As long as it's on my website, they know that that's something I've issued and that I'm standing behind.

So I went and issued the same exact factualized trust, but with my identifiers on the same day, approximately about eleven o'clock in the morning, and then had that put on my website.

Now, at that time, I was still doing also the declaration of valid sale, so that I could get that over to Mr. Beane, which I did get over to Mr. Beane at approximately noon. And when I called -- after I had sent over that to Mr. Beane and he was notarizing them, I gave a call to Lauren Palmisano at the phone number that she had given to me, to let

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination her know Mr. Beane has them, he was getting them notarized, and he was delivering them to Buddy Gregg, and that I was going to send over the underwriting, the actual individual UCCs to their e-mail as soon as I had gotten home to my computer to do that.

Only Ms. Palmisano didn't answer her phone. She was gone. It said that she was out of the office, which during our call, she said that she would be in the office. So at that point, I started to suspect something was going to go on. And then -- so I left her a voice mail of exactly what I just told you guys.

And then I called Mr. Cohen at the phone number he had given me. And I started talking to Mr. Cohen and repeated the same things that I left in the voice mail for Ms. Palmisano.

And he said, "Okay. That's good." He goes, "Because there's -- you know, I don't want there to be a problem," and he went in to some of the discussion.

By that point, I knew that there was a problem.

Didn't know how fast it was going to go, so I needed to get over the UCCs, the underwriting for the actual factualized trust that they would need to show origin -- proof of origin of funds, history of funds, title and ownership for the funds that Buddy Gregg had already received.

All of the transaction had already been done before I even came on on the 8th. They had received the money. The

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination paperwork had already been done. Randy had the keys to the coach. So, really, I came in after the fact with the exception of giving Mr. Beane the factualized trust on July 7th, which was the first one that's only three pages when formatted correctly. And that's in evidence now.

So other than that, it was just a lot of figuring out what was going to happen at that point. So Mr. Cohen had given me a heads-up.

And as I was driving home, I received a phone call from Randall. He had already given the paperwork to Buddy Gregg. They had everything. And I told him that was great. Now they have that, I'm headed home so I can get them the underwriting, every single piece that's listed in that factualized trust.

As we were talking on the phone, that's when he said, "There's some suits outside, out in the parking lot or they just got out of the car in the parking lot."

And I said, "Are they indicating to you? Are they signaling for you? Are you sure they're suits?"

Suits for me means something different than, I believe, for Mr. Beane. For me, it means a different -- a whole different agency that's not very known. What it ended up being eventually was the FBI, Mr. Still and Durand -- I may be saying that wrong -- and others.

And so when he said, "No, they -- they're just UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination getting out of the car," I said, "Okay. Just be calm. Sit there. No problem. If they come to you, just open the door, hand them the phone, and let me just figure out who they are."

Because I asked if it was a marked vehicle, and he said no. But it looks like a super Charger, a Charger, a Chevrolet, a Chevy Charger is what he had said, which, in my experience, is law enforcement.

So at that point, I just communicated to him just to stay calm. If they came, we'd handle it. No problem.

And at that point, things just started to explode, as far as Randall started making excited utterances. I heard noise in the background. I heard yelling and pounding on the background.

I told Randall, I said, "What's happening? Talk to me. What's happening?"

And he couldn't. At that point, he said, "They're trying to break into my RV."

And he was very excited as far as -- I mean, in a bad way. He started to become scared.

I said, "Just calm down, calm down, hand them the phone."

So I was actually on the phone and trying to walk him through it so that somebody could hand me off to whoever was there, and we could figure out who they were, what they needed, since Mr. Beane had already provided all the documentation that

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination was necessary for not just the valid sale, that had already occurred on July 8th, but for the underwriting and everything else so that that copy could be gotten if there was an issue. But we didn't know at the time because of this interruption.

So all of a sudden, the phone, as I'm listening, I can hear Randall screaming, plus people talking, and it was like talking over another. To me, it sounded, in my experience with incidents similar to this, it sounded like someone was being grabbed, pulled, resisting, asking for questions. I heard warrants, but I didn't hear anything else. I just heard warrant. And I'm not sure who it came from, whether it was Randy asking for -- to see a warrant or whether it was them saying they had a warrant.

So at that point, the phone, sounds like it drops and it goes dead. So I'm sitting there and I'm waiting for someone to call me back. I'm calling. When nobody calls me back immediately, I end up calling. No answer.

So at that point, I'm trying to figure out -- I knew there were two individuals with Randy, because I had spoken to them the night before, but I didn't have their numbers.

And so at that point, I contacted another friend of Randall's to try to figure out what the phone numbers were. In fact, I had a difficult time even trying to find Randall's number, because I didn't have it programmed into my phone. The one that I had that he called me from, I'm not sure if that's

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination his phone, because we usually do Skype. That's how we usually talk. And so I didn't know whether I was calling Randall's phone back at the number that had just called me or if there was another number. So I was trying to find a number.

Then, while I was doing that, and we're still in the car driving, there was a phone call that came in from a number that I didn't know. I answered the phone. And at that point, one of my colleagues that helps me with all the archiving for the test cases and things like that, I was with her. We were working on the stuff for preparation for D.C. She started filming.

While I was on the phone, I heard a voice, but it sounded like it was down low, because they weren't talking directly to me. And so I stayed very quiet and listened as best as I could. I could still hear screaming. I could still hear yelling and what sounded like an altercation, a physical altercation, but I had no details.

So at that time, I started saying, "This is Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf. This is Randall Beane's lawyer. Identify yourselves immediately." Those are the words that I kept repeating as loud as I could just so someone could pick up the phone and talk to me to let me know and pass me off to law enforcement or whoever it was at that point.

Then I heard a voice speak to me, which I know now is

Alex -- I can't remember his last name, the two individuals

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination that were with Randy that day. And he just said, "Hold on a second." And then I heard him speaking to someone that was unidentified to me. And I heard him say, "This is Randall Beane's attorney, she wants to talk to you."

And I'm saying, "It's lawyer, not attorney," and stop myself from correcting it.

At that point, I heard an unidentified male speak back saying, "I'm not talking to any attorneys. I'm not talking to anybody. There's some other characters that may be involved with this," and that was it. Then it cut.

I received another call almost immediately after that at that point. And during this particular call, it was Alex, he was speaking to me. He said he was outside of the RV, that Valerie, his wife, was inside the RV with FBI or some kind of agency.

When I asked him to look around and tell me what kind of law enforcement vehicles were there, just so I could figure out which agencies were involved, so I walked them through, and I said, "Please tell me which law enforcement vehicles you see."

He said most of them were unidentified, but there was one Knox sheriff's vehicle at the scene.

At the same time, he -- I said, "Please look to see how are they dressed."

He said, "Most of them are in suits." He said that
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination there was only one officer in uniform.

I asked him to see which kind of uniform, as well as whether the other plain-clothed apparent officers had any kind of badge, some kind of identification that he could see on them.

He said, "I see things that are -- what looks like a badge. It's just metal. I can't read anything on them." He said, "The sheriff, the Knox Sheriff, I can't get close enough to actually read his name tag or anything like that."

I said, "Okay. Who's in the vehicle with Val?"

At that point, he said, "I don't know. They're in suits." He goes, "I believe it's FBI."

I said, "Okay. Do they have anything that shows FBI, like jackets, do they have their windbreakers on that say FBI on the back, anything like that?"

He said, "No."

So at that point, all I could do was wait.

I said, "Please find a law enforcement officer, hand them the phone so that I can speak with them."

And Alex was worried about handing them his phone, because apparently at that time he was on his phone. He didn't want to hand them his phone.

I said, "Fine, write my number down, write my name down, and then hand them the piece of paper and please have them contact me as soon as possible, like immediately."

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination

So Randy -- excuse me, Alex did write it down. He said he wrote it down at least.

And I said, "Okay. Now go and give that to them."

He turned his phone off or ended the call at least.

And I waited. At that point, I had to wait for about five minutes. I made it all the way home. We had to pull over on the side of the road, because it was very hard to hear. So I continued on to the house so that I could get to the house, get situated, and also -- until somebody called me, I could start the actual UCC delivery, the underwriting to Ms. Palmisano as well as Mr. Cohen.

At that time, another individual called. This time, I spoke with a woman who said that it was Val. So I spoke with Valerie, and Valerie had said that she had spoken with the FBI, and that they had given her two business cards. One was a Parker Still, and the other one, I'm going to -- I'm going to really mispronounce this name, Vehippy [phonetic], Vealy [phonetic] was her name. She was a special agent.

So I asked them to hold onto those cards so that they could take a picture and send it to me as soon as possible so I could start making the phone calls that needed to be done.

At that time, Valerie, as she was on the phone with me, a woman approached her. I could hear a woman's voice, and it ended up being this Special Agent Vealy. And when I asked to speak with her, she was already in the middle of a speech to

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination them, speaking to them, trying to ask if, you know, "Do you need anything" -- this is what I heard was, "Do you need anything? Thank you so much. This is a stressful, stressful situation."

And then I couldn't hear the rest. And the phone must have gotten passed to Alex, because at that point, Alex had gotten on the phone.

And I said, "Do they have a warrant? Please find out if they have a warrant."

He goes, "We've already asked that. They don't have a warrant. They told us that it was an electronic warrant, that someone in their office said that it was being sent to their office."

So at this point, after the events had -- basically was wrapping down, there still was no warrant, no warrant number that they could give him, no copy, and there was definitely no physical, but not even the electronic had been produced in their office yet according to what -- what the officer had said.

And there was a video, apparently, that had been made about that as well. I'm not sure who it was from, but there was a video out showing Valerie and the Special Agent Vealy, I believe her name was, speaking. And you could only see their feet, and then they showed -- and Special Agent Vealy was very pregnant. She looked about seven to eight months pregnant,

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination based on my own experiences.

And you could see the Knox County Sheriff's car and you could see different agents, what appeared to be agents, because none -- all of them were in suits. There was some windbreakers. But I couldn't see from the video any of -- like initials or acronyms on the back, like FBI or sheriffs or anything like that.

But there were approximately about nine or ten officers, not including the uniformed one, but there was only one uniformed officer that I could see on this video.

So at this point, I had to make a decision regarding -- they had taken Randy and put him into the car.

Nobody would speak with me. There was one officer who was put on the phone with me, and when I identified myself, they hung up immediately.

At that point, I just went in and started the e-mail process to Palmisano, to Whitney Bank, and to Buddy Gregg, so at least that part could get done. So at approximately 4:21, I began the e-mail process.

And I have that exhibit. Excuse me one moment. The copy, I believe, is down with you. It would be Exhibit 20. The original exhibit as well as Ms. Davidson's copy is with you.

May I continue?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: While we're looking for the exhibit and giving it to Ms. Davidson, at this particular point, I sent him an initial e-mail to begin a marker for the process of all the data and communication that would be sent regarding the factualized trust and this particular transaction.

Now, the transaction was already done on the -July 8th. However, this, I believed, in my experience in
banking, was something that was required in order to protect
all the parties, especially now that law enforcement was
involved, although we still didn't know which agencies
completely.

And the first e-mail was delivered on July 11th, 2017, beginning at 4:21 p.m. And the last e-mail -- most of the e-mails were one -- part one through part five were all sent on July 11th.

And then as we went to go archive all of these, there were -- one was missing in the archive, so I went to go in and resend it, which was the factualized trust and the -- I believe it was the factualized trust and the declaration of valid sale. So I did resend those.

So the communication went from July 11th at 4:21 p.m. all the way through July 12th at 4:25 p.m.

So if Mr. Lloyd is ready, we can ask some foundation.
MS. DAVIDSON: We have no objection to these.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination 1 THE COURT: All right. Are they all one number or 2 are they --3 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Yes. 4 MR. LLOYD: They're all one number, Your Honor, and 5 it's 20. 6 THE COURT: All right. Defendants' Exhibit 20 is 7 admitted. 8 (Defendants' Exhibit 20 admitted into evidence.) MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: So the first e-mail was on 9 10 July 11th, 4:21 p.m., and at the top, you'll see Lauren Palmisano and Brad Cohen. I didn't e-mail a copy over to -- or 11 12 I didn't add Mr. Beane to this particular one, because at that point, I'm still reeling as far as what just occurred with 13 14 Mr. Beane. I'm also running intelligence inquiries to figure 15 out what just happened, who was involved, who ordered it. 16 Because while this was occurring from July 5th 17 onwards, I was in communication with different intelligence agents as well as different bankers in order to not have a 18 19 situation like this happen. 20 So this particular e-mail was just an introductory. 21 There was -- excuse me, there were two attachments to this e-mail with the due declaration and the factualized trust, 22 23 which you have seen as exhibits, Exhibit 1 of 155A, for the declaration of factualized trust and of valid sale. 24

If you go to the July 11th at 4:46 p.m., please.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

25

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination Now, these will just be the e-mails. I'm not putting in the attachments of the UCC underwriting. So these are just the e-mails, but they discussed the attachments.

This is the attached -- or the e-mail with the Fedwire, which was the proof of Fedwire that Randall had gotten from USAA Bank because his bank is online, everything is online. They had sent an e-mail to him, the actual confirmation of the Fedwire, and that was an exhibit from the state in their case. So this was the e-mail that I had used to send over to Ms. Palmisano as well as Mr. Cohen for the proof of the Fedwire, and attached to that was a copy of what you have seen in this trial.

The one marked 7:34 p.m., before I get to this one, I believe it's part one that I need. Okay. Just prior to part one being sent out, because we had to pull all the attachments into each of the e-mails, which took some time due to being out at the farm.

So in between 4:46 p.m. and 7:23 p.m. on July 11th, and I don't recall the exact time, but it was in between these two e-mails, I had received a phone call from our intelligence and banking contact, and that particular phone call was essentially them telling me that I could -- if I stopped what I was doing and made sure that all the people stopped what they were doing as far as researching TreasuryDirect -- the correct term is TreasuryDirect deposit accounts, but the people were

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination calling them, due to that video from Harvey Dent, TDAs or TreasuryDirect accounts. I was told that -- if I went in and took action to stop all of this from happening, as far as the people becoming too aware about TreasuryDirect deposit accounts, because it was only going to be a moment between going from TreasuryDirect account to TreasuryDirect deposit accounts, and there were a lot of transactions. Apparently, there were over 10 million in transactions done, not people, but in transactions done up to that point throughout the United States of America, and that the banks actually had to make emergency crews to manually go in --MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance and no foundation whatsoever in fact. MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I'm testifying to my personal knowledge of the situation. MS. DAVIDSON: Her personal knowledge has to have some foundation, and she's laid no foundation to any of this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

knowledge. Someone told her. Who told her?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: The banks and the intel agents that I worked with on this particular matter.

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor --

THE COURT: What intelligence agents?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I can enter the exhibit, if you UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination 1 would like. 2 THE COURT: You can tell me. MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: The name? 3 4 THE COURT: The objection is --5 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I have the name of the agents. 6 can actually identify them and lay the proper foundation for 7 the agent and for the testimony. 8 THE COURT: What's the relevance of this testimony? 9 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: It goes to weight and credibility 10 of the severity of the actions that were about to follow as far 11 as Mr. Beane's situation and also to my state of mind to make a determination whether I would enter into this case in the role 12 of a defendant. 13 14 THE COURT: What do you mean? 15 Well, I'll sustain the objection. 16 Go ahead. 17 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. So at this point, I was given an offer to stop things, to be able to have access to my 18 19 own personal TreasuryDirect deposit account, which I've seen. 20 There's more numbers in there than I could possibly use. That 21 was essentially what I was asked to do. 22

At that point, I said I would consider everything that they had told me and make my decision. And it was expressed to me that physical harm or worse would come if I continued on. And, basically, what they mean by that or what UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

23

24

25

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination 1 they -- what I understood that to mean was that if I continued 2 to be involved with this instance and protect Mr. Beane with 3 the particular --4 MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay, no 5 foundation. These people supposedly told her there would be a war. What people? 6 7 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I believe she can handle it on reproach. I said --8 9 THE COURT: The objection is hearsay. You're 10 offering testimony as to what someone else told you, which in 11 general terms is hearsay. What's your response to the hearsay 12 objection? 13 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Actually, I didn't say that. 14 said it was my understanding that there was going to be 15 physical --16 MS. DAVIDSON: It came from the hearsay, so that's still a hearsay objection. 17 THE COURT: What's your response to that objection? 18 19 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: It's based on my personal 20 knowledge with -- after years of experience with these agencies 21 and with Federal Reserve Bank and how they operate. 22 MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, my response is still 23 whatever she was told on this day is hearsay, even if she believed it based on her personal experience. 24 25 Go ahead for now.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

THE COURT:

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination

I'll overrule this particular objection.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you.

To clear up as far as my experience of why I believed that it was credible was because in 2011 during the mortgage cases that I spoke to you about yesterday, the Federal Reserve Bank did take action, but didn't enter themselves into the particular case, and ended up stealing a million dollars of my father's money -- of my mother and father's money. There was no paper trail.

So as far as how this particular case needed to go, that's why I say, when there is a threat, I consider all the information taken, I look at what possible actions are -- with the specifics of a particular event, then I make my own choice as to what my actions will be in the follow-through.

So the one thing that was not desired was that I would be involved in any kind of case in this particular matter, regarding Mr. Beane and the Federal Reserve.

So that night, I left that alone for the moment and continued on with making sure that Whitney Bank and Buddy Gregg had all of the underwriting and the data that they needed for their origin of funds, their history of funds, which is all necessary when you're dealing with law enforcement or regulatory agencies for the anti-money laundering laws and for them to be able to use those funds.

And, in fact, Ms. Palmisano -- at this point, I still
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination have no information that they've returned the funds based on the documentation that was provided in these e-mails as attachments.

So part one, it lists every UCC, which is also listed in the factualized trust. And those particular ones that are listed there were attached to this particular e-mail and sent over as part one.

And then with each -- each part of this exhibit here, it was the same thing. Each attachment was identified, and it was basically incorporated, used as legal language as far as all restated, incorporated here as if set forth in full, as if each UCC that was listed, or each attachment that was listed was actually in the content of this e-mail for service purposes.

I also gave them a copy of the paradigm report, which came after part five. There were five parts that were sent with all of the underwriting and the UCCs that are listed in the factualized trust.

I also re-sent the declaration of duly completed lawful sale. And this one was from July 12th at 1:00 p.m when we discovered it possibly may not have arrived to them in the beginning. I wanted to make sure that they had a complete paper trail and anyone else that would be involved in this particular matter at that point.

During this -- the end of these e-mails, I did
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

50 Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination 1 receive communication from Lauren Palmisano on July 12th at 2 approximately 4:25 p.m. 3 So from July 11th at 4:21 p.m. to July 12th to 4 4:25 p.m., we were working nonstop on this particular matter, 5 as well as trying to locate Mr. Beane, because we were still unable to locate Mr. Beane at that point. 6 7 On July 13th, I did receive a phone call and came to learn that Mr. Beane was being held at a detention facility in 8 9 When I tried to find out under what warrant, what Knoxville. 10 kind of document, what kind of information there was regarding 11 the arrest, what was the name of the facility, getting the phone number so I could contact the facility and figure out 12 13 what was going on. 14 And so I was able to speak with Mr. Beane's cousin, 15 Patricia, who her --16 MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay. 17 THE COURT: Well --MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I wasn't --18 19 MS. DAVIDSON: She was -- she just testified that she 20 was able to talk to Patricia and presumably --21 THE COURT: She hadn't testified yet -- you can't

necessarily testify what other people told you. You can say you talked to someone.

So I'll overrule the objection for the time being.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you.

22

23

24

25

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination

Okay. So, apparently, his family was just trying to figure out where he was as well. It was later on DOJ -- excuse me, at the facility in Knoxville, the large one, Wilson D. Detention Facility, we were able to look on their website to be able to find Randall. And he had been finally at least processed.

And it did show on their own website that there was a case number, the document type was a warrant, and that it was booked and served on July 12th on 2017 and that the charge was a fugitive from justice, a felony.

However, per the information I had had with working with Randall, that there -- he didn't have any felony charges. So I, again, put out an inquiry for any warrants that were outstanding or any warrants in the name of Randall Keith Beane so that I could see the actual copies.

On this particular -- on July 12th, when I went to go look at this, the case number, we tried to pull up any information that we could through the normal judicial as well as calling the clerk's offices to figure out what kind of case.

Now, obviously, at this point, it showed that on July 12th, there was a jail arraignment, however, we weren't able to get any information on it. And the case number looked like basically a warrants number, it would be a number assigned to the warrant that was supposedly put in.

And underneath it, so it showed July 12th that there
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination would be a jail arraignment.

MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. This line of testimony, I don't understand how this is relevant to the charge of money laundering against Ms. Tucci-Jarraf.

THE COURT: All right. Your response to that objection?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: It goes to intent and state of mind, that there was never an intent to commit money laundering. There was no conspiring at all.

MS. DAVIDSON: By the time that Mr. Beane was arrested, we don't allege that she participated in any money laundering.

THE COURT: Based on that, I'll sustain the objection.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: So what we did find at this point and through my particular analysis was that there was going to be a situation similar to this one. What charges would be, we didn't know at that point. Whether I would be brought into the case, I didn't know at that point.

I had hoped that I would be brought in so I would be able to share the particular information in regards to a cleanup that we ended up hyperaccelerating due to this particular case being filed.

So at no time was there ever an intent on my part to conspire to hide money or have money stolen or laundered. No

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination fraud at all.

My intent was just to stop crimes from happening, definitely a crime of fraud, but it was Federal Reserve that was my target because of my experience for all the years that I had worked on Federal Reserve Bank over the last 20 years.

We were trying to set up a situation where we would be able to put Federal Reserve Bank and all the foreign actors that use it, that I have experienced commit crimes and been a part of those investigations throughout the years, to put them into a situation where the foreign actors, we would have a clear line from the foreign actors to the foreign agents and their process of how our systems in America are actually used for their benefits for those foreign actors and their foreign agents' benefits.

Also to be able to identify the foreign agents which are embedded as officials and employees of the United States government so that they could be rooted out, and that's -- that is why I got involved, period, in this particular case, was to be able to establish that line and to establish the line going from the foreign actors all the way through to Tennessee, which we were able to do.

We were able to discover that Mr. Still had been ordered by the Federal Reserve Bank, and at that point, it was just a matter of trying to get Mr. Still to get me involved in this case somehow, someway.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination

I did receive a phone call from Mr. Still. At that point, we were trying to coordinate between the different military intelligence agencies and everyone else that had already been involved in the cleanup since my time in the last 20 years, but also because the Federal Reserve Bank has their own police force, their own force that they use, so there was a lot of details trying not to make this visible.

This is that war that I was talking about that's been going on behind the scenes. It bled out. And, unfortunately, Mr. Beane, in order to protect him and everybody else involved who don't know about what's going on in the financial system and amongst the -- within the government of the world, as well as the agencies, departments, and branches, these are the actions that I took.

These are the actions that I took to be able to assist in ferreting all this out, which has resulted since this particular case has been filed. The indictment has resulted in a lot of things becoming visible, even yesterday the FBI deputy director resigning in a large part due to this case.

MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance and that has no basis in fact.

THE COURT: Well, let's just go on.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: So the -- my particular intent with even involving myself was to be able to create a situation where I would be able to go in and lay an evidentiary trail for

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination law enforcement, corruption, collusion, and ignorance that resulted in crimes against -- not just the American people but also humanity throughout, from July 7th all the way through to today.

And that's what we've been hearing about in this trial.

May I just check my notes? If we're going to do a break, I can check my notes to make sure I don't have anything else.

THE COURT: Can you check them there? Because I'm not quite ready to take a break.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay.

There was one thing on July -- July 17th when I made my inquiry to figure out where the RV was, as well as what was happening with Mr. Randall, I had asked for any warrants, and I did receive copies of those warrants, which were verified in the clerk's office; however, they are not any warrants that we've been presented in this trial.

On July 17th, without receiving any of that particular data, I made it an emergency to get to come to Knoxville to be able to figure out what actually was going on at that point. There was -- I -- I was under the impression that it was a Colorado warrant and --

MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. Again, this goes to relevance of the warrants with regard to the charge

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination against Ms. Tucci-Jarraf.

THE COURT: Are you about finished with your direct?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I'm almost done.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you.

So I made an emergency to get on the 17th, flew in, and came to the court. At that time, law enforcement didn't contact me about any charges, no indictments.

At that point, I was here from July 17th through -- or excuse me, July 18th through July 19th. So it wouldn't be until Washington, D.C. that I would find out that there was a charge against me or an indictment that was done on the 18th, and yet I was here in Knoxville and in the courts, talking with attorneys as well as with law enforcement to figure out what had happened. And that was the information that I had at that time.

So, again, there was no intent to conspire for anything regarding money that's -- that's my field of work. It wasn't to hide anything. It was to expose everything, expose the crimes that are not seen by the public, by the very institution of the Federal Reserve, as well as utilizing subversion with the FDIC and DOJ and FBI.

And that led me to D.C. After being here in Knoxville, I flew on an emergency flight out to Washington, D.C., because the same threats against Mr. Beane were the same UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination people that were doing threats in D.C.

So I flew out immediately to be able to figure out what happened to the money transaction here and who was involved and who gave the particular orders.

At that time, when I landed in D.C., Washington,
D.C., and the ticket was made on the evening of the 18th after
I'd come here to get some answers. No answers were
forthcoming. I went to D.C., made the ticket on the 18th and
flew in on the 19th.

As of the 20th, that's when the Federal Reserve Bank, I went to the U.S. Treasury and the White House to be able to speak with everybody about what had gone on. Val and Alex, who had been with Mr. Beane on that particular day, had insisted on coming to D.C. I was flying. So they chose to drove and joined me at the place where I was staying at a hostel.

At that point, while we were at the hostel, because they didn't like the hostel, they decided they wanted to stay in a hotel. In order for all the people that I work with to be able to monitor and track, I was the one that paid for the particular rooms, using the credit card I had opened up to be able to do different testing.

And so they knew where we were at that point. Law enforcement never came to speak with me during that time. But on July 20th, when I went to 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, as soon as I approached, Secret Service came out, and locked -- Secret

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination

Service, law enforcement, military, locked down the whole

street, pushed all the tourists back to the park so you could

still see U.S. Treasury and the White House.

During this time, on July 20th, approximately around 11:00 a.m., I was on the phone with a particular agent that works in the cleanups with all the banking, as well as with law enforcement and intelligence agencies, as we were trying to track everything that was going down, and the Federal Reserve was involved. It was just a matter of laying an evidentiary trail all the way from the Federal Reserve down to this case in Tennessee.

So the entire time this case has been in this court, from the indictment onwards, it's been tracked in Washington, D.C., because in all the agencies and branches and departments, you have agents that engage in good behavior and agents that engage in bad behavior, by my experience.

So we were trying to work on cleaning that up, not so publicly as it is being done now. Unfortunately, this is where we're sitting. So thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. That concludes the direct examination.

Let's go ahead and start with the cross. Is it all right with the jury, we'll go to about 11? Is that okay with everyone?

MR. McGRATH: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt, if
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Continued Direct Examination 1 I may? 2 THE COURT: Need a break? 3 MR. McGRATH: Yes. 4 THE COURT: All right. We'll go ahead and take our 5 recess at this time. Thank you. 6 (Jury out at 10:48 a.m.) 7 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: This honorable court shall 8 stand in recess until 11:05. (Recess from 10:48 a.m. to 11:09 a.m.) 9 10 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: This honorable court is again 11 in session. 12 THE COURT: Ready to proceed with cross-examination? MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, Your Honor. But we would like to 13 14 put something on the record before the jury enters. 15 THE COURT: Go ahead. 16 MS. DAVIDSON: Ms. Svolto? 17 MS. SVOLTO: Your Honor, I was just discussing with 18 Mr. Beane and Mr. McGrath the possibility of agreeing to the 19 forfeiture, subject to an appeal, in the event there is a 20 guilty conviction just regarding the forfeiture of the motor 21 home. And it looks like we have an agreement as to that in principle, such that there would be no need to retain the jury 22 23 to determine the forfeiture. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Beane, you agree with that? 25 MR. BEANE: I do.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination 1 THE COURT: You understand if there were to be a 2 guilty verdict, you have or are working out an agreement with 3 the government on forfeiture, such as there would not need to 4 be a separate forfeiture proceeding? 5 MR. BEANE: Correct. 6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 7 Bring our jury in. 8 (Jury in at 11:10 a.m.) 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Everyone may be 10 seated. 11 Ms. Davidson, you may proceed with cross-examination. 12 MS. DAVIDSON: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY MS. DAVIDSON: 14 15 Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, you said that your family was in Q 16 construction. Is the name of the company Tucci & Sons? 17 Α Tucci & Sons, Inc. So they build roads, right, and other big things in 18 19 the northwest? 20 That is one of their businesses, yes. 21 And so if you do -- I looked, researched it a little 22 bit, and I saw where they own asphalt companies, but I didn't 23 see anywhere where they own banks. What bank do they own? 24 25 That would be Puget Sound. It would be Bill Hamilton UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination and Michael A. Tucci are the names of the owners of that bank.

- Q On the actual Tucci & Sons website, they actually list that they do all their banking with a Columbia Bank.

 Isn't that correct?
 - A That is some of their banking, yes.
- Q Oh, okay. So that's what their website says. It doesn't mention these other two.
- A Tucci & Sons utilizes a number of banking facilities, including KeyBank as well.
- Q Okay. So you went -- you said you went to law school at Gonzaga University in Washington state?
 - A Spokane, Washington.
- Q Spokane, Washington. It's not easy to get into law school, is it?
 - A No.

- Q In fact, Gonzaga is a very well respected law school, and it's hard to get into, isn't it? You have to be smart.
- A It actually wasn't an issue of smartness. I had great LSATs. It was more a matter of my undergrad grades was the issue.
- Q Okay. So you got in, though. You worked hard, you got into Gonzaga Law School.
- A I met with the dean at the time, Dean Clark. He was Assistant Dean Clark. I spoke with him. I arranged to do two meetings.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

The first time that I met with him or that I went to go meet with him, I flew over to Spokane and had hotel arrangements and the meeting was not on the calendar. And they apologized to me profusely and asked if I could come back on a Monday, and this was on a Friday.

So I flew back home per my flight that I had already had round trip, and then I made arrangements and paid for another flight and hotel.

I met with Dean Clark, and we had a big discussion about it. His concern was my grades. That was it. Because my family is very -- they're big supporters of Gonzaga University. Everyone in my family, all 20-some have gone to Gonzaga, my aunts, my uncles, my grandfather was an alum.

So his concern was, he didn't want to use that particular influence to be able to let me in, and I agreed with him. And I explained to him, because I was on the university volleyball team at Puget Sound, the same kind of passion that I put in -- we were national champs -- was the same passion I put into law school. That's why my grades were so low in undergrad was because I put everything into volleyball, all my time. So he did give me a chance.

- Q And then you did well and you graduated?
- A Uh-huh.

- Q And you passed the bar. That's not easy, is it?
- A Well, I was actually studying for the California bar
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination at the time, for my federal defender's job down in San Diego, left three days before the bar and decided to do Washington State bar and passed it. It was not hard. It was easy. It was an essay, all essays.

- Q No problem.
- A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

- Q And after you graduated, you were state prosecutor in Washington state. Right? That's what you testified to.
- A Actually, no. I went overseas. At one point, for -during the cleanup, I did take on a job at the -- at the public
 defender's office first, and then moved over to the
 prosecutor's office in Pierce County.
- Q Okay. And so you did work for the state prosecutor's office. Right?
 - A I did. For three years, approximately -- May 26th, 2003 all the way to February of 2006.
 - Q Okay. And so you're very familiar with the law?
- 18 A Yes.
 - Q Yes. And you know that the law applies to everyone, don't you?
 - A Yes.
- 22 Q And you've seen this Black's Law Dictionary?
- 23 A I'm familiar with Black's Law.
- 24 Q Everybody buys --
- 25 A It used to be a joke book --

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

Q I'm sorry.

- A -- and then it got turned into a dictionary. Yes, I'm very familiar with it.
- Q Okay. And so you know that there is absolutely no difference between the definition of attorney and lawyer, don't you?
- A Actually, in Bouvier's Dictionary, which is the law book or the law dictionary that at least the judges I worked with at the federal and state levels, that's the one they use. It was Bouvier's. Black's Law, that's what we used in law school.
- Q Okay. And so you know there's no difference between attorney and lawyer?
- A Actually, I know there's a difference between attorney and lawyer, based on my experience with DOJ and with the attorneys and the judges that assisted here. That was the part of the fraudulent legal -- or excuse me, the fraud in the legal structure is the difference, the legal terms and how, you know, the general public doesn't understand what the legal standing is of the terms that are used, so pro -- excuse me, pro se and pro per, persona, the difference between that and the legal standing and the consequences, the legal consequences that come from each of those.
- Q So you're the only one that knows the difference between attorney and lawyer?

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

A Oh, no. Actually, there was -- the United Nations did a judicial corruption investigation. I wasn't a part of it. But I did receive the information during the mortgage investigations that were run in Pierce County, Washington. This was the information from the federal judges, the state judges, the district court judges, and the Department of Justice attorneys that I was working with at the time during those investigations. They were the ones that brought it up.

Q Who were the --

- A Until that point, I didn't know.
- Q -- Department of Justice attorneys you were working with at that time?
 - A At that time, it was retired DOJ Brenda Stealey.
 - Q And what time was that? What date?
 - A It would have been around March -- excuse me, January and February of 2011.
 - Q 2011. Retired Brenda Stealey?
- 18 A Stealey, S-t-e-a-l-e-y.
 - Q She told you there was a difference between lawyer and attorney?
 - A No. She was talking about the bar, the association and the history of it. She had been with the DOJ for 30-some years and then retired and went to the senate as a -- as one of the assistants to a senator. I can't recall the name of the senator. And she's also in the Geneva Trade platforms or the

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

Geneva Trading groups. She was a ghostwriter for the Supreme

Court of the United States.

Q Ghostwriter?

- A That's what they call them.
- Q Do they teach at the DOJ the history and the bar and the difference between the -- I must have missed that class.

 Is that -- they teach that at DOJ?

A They actually back -- she explained it to me, was that prior to the six -- around 1965, they were teaching constitutional law to all of their attorneys in continuing legal education. And then at a certain point, because most -- according to her, most of the attorneys at that time were not allowed to hold a position or, as an employee, an office or a title in the United States government because they would have to register under the foreign -- foreign registration -- Foreign Agent Registration Act, which was enacted in 1930.

This was information that she had shared with me, that the federal judges and I had discussed and talked about. Most people don't know about that.

And then the United Nations report that came out regarding judicial corruption in the United States was at the top of the list.

MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. She's not answering my question.

THE COURT: Let's go on to the next question.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination BY MS. DAVIDSON:

- Q Okay. So you know you have to have a license to practice law. Right?
- A Yes. I know that an attorney has to be a licensed bar attorney.
- Q And you know that it is a crime to hold yourself up -- out as a lawyer or an attorney if you are not licensed to practice law, don't you?
- A I know that it is illegal to hold yourself out as an attorney and represent other people as an attorney, yes.
- Q It's also illegal to make -- do any sort of legal documents, isn't it?
- A As an attorney, yes.

- Q Oh, but you think there's a difference between being an attorney and a lawyer?
 - A I was writing documents for a factualized trust, which I'm -- I was the lawyer for the factualized trust and its trustee, not for individual persons.
 - And I never jumped in as a lawyer or an attorney in any instance. This was only done in order to protect all of the different parties using something that we were already producing and refining for Washington, D.C. to be able to implement throughout the financial system here in the United States while other countries were working to refine it in connection with Washington, D.C. in their particular locales.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

Q Okay. But Exhibit 94, we heard multiple times, and all the exhibits attached to Ms. Lauren Palmisano -- is that it -- you held yourself out as an attorney?

A Actually, it was lawyer. Only one time did I actually say the word attorney. Never wrote it, because it's lawyer that I'm actually. But attorney, I did do in the video, and that was for a specific purpose was to be able to enter into this case, which it took more than just that in order for myself to enter into this case.

Q Okay. And so you said that you were -- that you were asked right before you graduated from law school to participate in the cleanup.

Who asked you?

A Well, they used other words that I can't recall. I called it a cleanup.

- Q Okay. Who? Who asked you?
- 17 A These are --

Q You said, "They asked me."

19 Who asked you to participate in a cleanup?

A It was a representative that works with all of the different cleanup parts, such as the space programs, the militaries, U.S. Navy, specifically, U.S. Army.

And then throughout my career in the last 20 years, it -- I found out that it involved different agencies, departments, and branches from every government on the planet

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination in order to clean up their particular fraud that was instituted or created through legislative acts, judicial acts by the respective countries in order for the fraud in the banking system to run through their systems.

- Q So who was the individual that asked you? What was his name? Her, his, her name?
 - A At law school or throughout the --
 - Q At law school.
 - A At law school?
- 10 Q Uh-huh.

- A They didn't identify themselves at that time. It was only later on that I found out who that was.
- Q Okay. Who was it?
 - A It was a Commander Thorak.
- Q Why did they pick you as a third-year law student?
 What was special about you?
 - A It had to do with just my personality as well as my connections that I already had growing up. It had to do with my belief system and that I was always searching for the truth. These are things that they told me. So I'm just giving you what -- what their reasons are, and they'll be limited because there's probably more. I don't know.
 - As far as my belief system, that was the biggest key, that, and money didn't mean anything to me. So as far as not getting corrupted or being able to be bribed, that was a

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination significant part that was repeated to me over and over.

But mainly the belief systems, because the belief systems that we grow up with, everything that I've discussed here today, yesterday, and even throughout the trial can seem pretty unbelievable, especially at that time.

So it was -- on my part, it was a leap of good faith, but at the same time, I had ten months to research it before I did my own decision.

Q Okay. And so you mentioned, you were talking about your time in Italy and how you went there, and you knew you didn't really know Italian and you learned it when you got over there, and you said you were asked to help with the financing of the World Trade Center in Sicily. Who specifically asked you?

A I don't recall his name. He was a local politician that came to Taormina. Taormina basically is a fortified city in Sicily. And you end up having Taormina Film Festival in the year I was there living for six months, approximately six months before I moved to Florence. Oh, it's also where President Trump gave his speech last year. He was in Taormina, Sicily. That's where I was.

And it was a local official that worked from Catania as well as in Taormina, because Taormina is a very -- it's probably the wealthiest area of Sicily. And you had politicians coming in from all over. You had Chinese -- excuse

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination me, the Japanese would send the girls over to have a year of experience before they got married.

So there was a real international community there.

But it wasn't -- it wasn't a big area. It was like one street with stores and restaurants on either side. That's -- that's where I was.

So I don't remember the name of this local politician. That was what, 18 years ago. I don't.

Q Okay. So who were you working for at that time? Why would they just ask you, someone studying Italian in Italy? Who were you working for in Italy?

A I wasn't working for anyone. I was prepping for the particular -- the particular job I would end up having to do for the next 20 years and also deciding how I wanted to do it. So it really was a matter of from 2000 to approximately 2000 and-- what year did I come in, 2002, so December of 2002, it was mainly me researching and figuring out the nexus points, the networks I would -- identifying the networks I would have to go in and be able to see where the connections were.

So in Italy or in Sicily, really, it was me having a break. I had to learn Italian. I had to learn French,

Spanish, and Chinese. That's what I knew.

Q How did this politician find you? You're there studying Italian, and for some reason, they come and ask a private individual to help them secure financing with the World

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination
Trade Center? Is that your testimony?

A No. Actually, along my whole way, starting at the beginning, because I needed more assistance, I obviously didn't know so much about what was going on in the cleanup. It was not a lot of details.

It was just that I would have all the tools required in order to do the job that I was going to do. So there were people already in place and in Italy, throughout Italy, because I started out in Taormina -- and I chose Taormina to be able to learn the language and have the professional skills to be able to speak, because it was in Rome and Florence that I would mainly need to be at due to the Vatican Bank. Vatican Bank was a nexus, but I didn't understand that at the time.

So I was introduced by people who were already in Italy in the different fields, whether it was political, judicial and whatnot. So they had arranged the meeting. But I was living in Taormina and people just came there.

- Q Okay. And so you grew up around people who had multinational corporations. Right?
- A Uh-huh.

- Q That's what you said? And so because you grew up around multi -- people that own multinational corporations, you became an expert in multinational corporations. Is that your testimony?
 - A I never testified I was an expert.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

Q Okay. And so you said that prosecutors and defense attorneys both are working for the same people and are paid by the same entity.

That's just not true. You've testified to a lot of stuff that is just absolutely not true, haven't you?

A Why don't you ask me which ones. But as far as the payment, yes, we were paid from -- when you backtracked the check all the way back, it was from the same Pierce County organization, yes, the same entity. That would be the auditor. And then we backtracked it all the way so that depending on which level that we were checking -- and this is later.

After that, I left the prosecutor's office in February of 2006, was when we began to plan on how we would need to handle any kind, if the situation where the exposures would come out in a courtroom or it would come out politically or it would come out socially. And, in fact, what we have going on right now is a combination of all of it.

Q So as a line prosecutor, someone asked you to research where all the money was coming from? That's what your testimony is?

A No. I took the job at the public defender's office and the prosecutor's office in order to do the investigations. My sole job for the last 20 years has been the cleanup. I took the prosecutor and the defender's office job in order to figure out the inner mechanics of how it operated, because human

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination trafficking was a big issue, and most of the human trafficking was through the judicial system having defendants come in and out.

- Q You said you worked in human capital.
 What does that even mean?
- A No. I said that there are those that have departments that work with human capital.

Essentially, humans, individuals are not only registered, but they are shuffled around as cattle, but they call it human capital. Okay. It's registered as capital. You have a money value to you, and each one has their own accounts, which, you know, aren't known about. TreasuryDirect deposit account just happens to be one of many.

- Q So why do you know about them? If no one knows about them, why are you the only one that knows about them?
 - A I'm not the only one.
 - Q Oh, okay. So --
- A Never said I was.

- Q -- at your family's company, Tucci & Sons, do they have a director of human capital? You said all these companies have a director of human capital.
 - A Multinational companies --
 - Q Oh, but you said your --
- A -- yes, do. Tucci & Sons isn't multinational. It's multistate or it was at least. I haven't worked there since I UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination graduated from law school -- no, excuse me, undergraduate at University of Puget Sound.

Q Okay. And so you -- you know, you've done a really good job with this trial. You like to talk, and you're a good talker, and you expect people just to say -- just to believe everything you say, don't you?

A No.

Q Okay. And you -- let's -- you specifically said that the Swiss -- the Swiss company that was talking about the shell companies, you said it's really just a matter of you would try to get anyone that had a monetary instrument to come and hypothecate that through -- through or within a bubble called a shell company.

Hypothecate doesn't mean what you said it does in that test -- if you look at -- in Black's Law Dictionary, you use a lot of terms that don't mean what you say they mean?

A I'm sorry. The question -- was there a question that you were stating or were you just --

Q I'm saying hypothecate doesn't mean what you said it did in that sentence, that you're -- a Swiss shell company hypothecated monetary instruments and they put them in a bubble or something to that effect.

A Actually, I never said that. I stated that there are shell companies that are used mainly out of Switzerland. Now they use Panamanian as well as -- and they've moved more from

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

Panamanian to Spanish until the scandal happened in Spain regarding the bankers that were all arrested.

The particular shell companies, I never went out to go and get people with monetary instruments. That wasn't my job. My job was anyone who brought monetary instruments in from other people, I had to do the compliance and research, whether it was legit.

First, I had to do a forensics, and I was taught how to do the forensics of a document for forgery. A lot of the forgery with electronic signatures, you can usually tell. It was pretty easy. FBI does a lot of work with forged documents and whatnot. So using protocols and processes that were very familiar to me personally, most of that was done with training from different agents and FBI who were familiar with that as well as CIA and then the bankers themselves.

The bankers themselves are pretty good at identifying, and they were the ones that taught me how to identify fraudulent instruments starting when I was younger.

Q Okay. You testified right after that that you said in 2000, it became illegal to do these hypothecate-type contracts. Where did it become illegal?

A No. That's not correct. No. I talked about -maybe you misunderstood hypothecation. Hypothecation means
someone who brings you a monetary instrument, like a standby
letter of credit. Obviously, a standby letter of credit, you

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination can't just take to the grocery store and use the money and all of that.

So they do what they call hypothecation. So with this instrument, they go to look, and if they can cash it, obviously, the instrument is no longer there, you actually have cash.

Hypothecation would mean this instrument can't be cashed right now, or we want to hold onto it, but we want to, for instance, get a loan or get -- get some cash that we're able to use in our everyday life or with our projects immediately. So they will use that as like a collateral. So that's what we mean by hypothecation.

And then I went into an insurance wrap after that.

So you misquoted or misheard. But hypothecation isn't done by shell companies. It's done within shell companies, and it's done by banks.

Q That's not what the definition of hypothecate says in this law dictionary.

A In banking, we don't use Black's Law Dictionary.

It's Uniform Commercial Codes as well as International

Commercial Codes and the international equivalents for each local area.

- Q Which bank did you work at?
- A I worked with all the different banks.
 - Q Which ones? Name me one.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

A I never worked -- was employed by a bank, but I worked with all the banks. I was employed with different companies, such as Treasury Finance AG until I left them. That was the last one that I worked with. Loan Stone Capital was another.

Q Treasury AG Finance, where is that?

- A Treasury Finance AG, that was in Switzerland.
- Q And who are the owners of that company?

A Those are done by bearer bonds -- or excuse me, bearer shares, so whoever holds them. You never know. All you know is the director of the company, which is registered in the Swiss registry and has to be published in the newspaper. So you only typically know the director that is registered and you work with them. However, a lot of the directors don't do any of the daily stuff. Basically, their job is to sign off on paperwork. That was the issue with shell companies.

Q Okay. And so did you know who the director was of this?

A Yes. For both Treasury AG as well as Loan Stone Capital, those were Kristof Ray, who's the Swiss authority that is also the owner, cofounder of Leo Trade [phonetic] who does -- who sells all these shell companies, they were his people in these particular two companies.

 ${\tt Q}$ Okay. And so you said that the judges that were being attacked by the Mafia contacted you when you were in $$\tt UNITED\ STATES\ DISTRICT\ COURT\ $\tt UNITED\ STATES\ DISTRICT\ COURT\ {\tt UNITED\ STATES\ DISTRICT\ COURT\ }$

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination Italy. Why? Why you?

A No. I had an opportunity to speak with them as I just spoke to you earlier.

Q Which judge?

A I don't remember the judges' names. That was 20 years ago. They were all in the newspapers regarding the bombings that were going on and the shootings and whatnot. It was Mafia involved.

I didn't get involved. I spoke to them. I was offered a job by an organization that ended up that was Mafia. I don't recall which one. This is 20 years ago, and it was a brief conversation.

When they -- I asked them about the retirement plan, and they laughed at me. I said, "Is there one, like, can you walk away?"

I just walked away from the whole thing. To me, I wasn't even -- that wasn't my goal, and I was there for other reasons. I was there to learn the language as quickly as possible by submersing myself into the culture, as well as the school. It was a professional school called Babilonia run out of Catania but in Taormina.

From there, I was scheduled to go to France and Spain. I could pick which one I wanted to do. And then from there to China.

Q Okay. And so you -- many times during your
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination testimony, both yesterday and today, you said you had intelligence contacts. Who are they?

A I have different intelligence contacts, mainly the last two for the last, I would say, five years that I use pretty much exclusively, because all the other ones I've met through them and worked on different jobs with, Karl Langenstein is one. He was the trader for Switzerland, for the country of Switzerland up until -- I'm going to have this date wrong probably. It was the year that -- excuse me, the year before Swiss Air, which was the national airlines, went bankrupt.

- Q And so that is your intelligence contact that --
- 13 A That is one.
- 14 Q One?

- A The other one is Jonathan D. Betts. He is the financial trader for the White House, one of them.
 - Q The financial trader for the White House?
- A He does the trading for the White House.
- 19 Q And the White House does financial trading?
 - A The White House, I don't know exactly how it all works. All I know, in my years of experience with him, since I've met him has been that he is the trader for the White House, which hasn't exactly been known, but I've made it public over the last two years.
 - And so that Secret Service, I gave all their contact UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination information, plus e-mails and whatnot to Secret Service when I met with them on the 24th of July. And Jonathan is under Secret Service protection since I believe it was 2016, so ...

Q Okay. And you testified that your kids' birth certificates in Morocco were registered with the IMF. IMF is the International Monetary Fund?

A No, that's not what I said. I said that we had birth certificates --

Q I've actually got this one tabbed.

A We had birth certificates in order to register the children. At that time, there was only three. I have four children. So three of the children were existing at that time. We went to Morocco, and we had to go down to Marrakesh, because in order to enter them into what they call a family book, which would be the Moroccan version of their registration system, we had to bring the original birth certificates of the two that were born in America and the other two were born in Florence, so we had consular certificates, you only get one of those. We had to bring them in.

I asked if I could get -- just have a copy of it, and they explained to me, no, I could not, it had to be a certified copy on their bond paper in order for them --

Q And you testified right here, "And that they explained it to you, how they sent it to the IMF, so we were there, and they get to be able to collect for everyone."

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

- A I'm sorry, could you repeat that?
- Q I'm directly reading from your testimony.

"I had two children in Italy. I had two children in America. We went to register their birth certificates in Morocco in what they call a family book. My -- so that was really where I learned that birth certificates and getting into that level, and the Moroccan government was very good about explaining it, and how they needed to -- so they could stamp it and send to it the IMF."

A Yes. It would eventually end up to the IMF. They had different departments that it had to go through in their own interior state, was my understanding. However, it does end up at the IMF, according to him.

- Q Okay. And that's simply not true?
- 15 A Is it not?

- Q It's not. Okay. And all of these factualized trust documents that you keep going on and on about, none -- nothing in here is true, is it?
 - A It is all true.
- Q Okay. And you know that the UCC, you studied this in law school, I'm sure, that UCC stands for the Uniform Commercial Code, doesn't it?
- A The Uniform Commercial Code. However, those UCCs that we're talking about are from the uniform commercial registry.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

Q So the Uniform Commercial Code is nothing but a bunch of proposed laws that aren't even law unless a state adopts them in their particular state. Isn't that true?

- A Say that one more time.
- Q That there is no UCC code. There is -- it's --
- A There is UCC.

Q The Uniform Commercial Code, it's just proposed codes, which aren't even law unless they are adopted in a certain state. Is that true?

A Each of -- the Uniform Commercial Code in banking is the law of the land, and there is no borders with that. And each country has its own equivalent. Okay?

Q That's simply not true.

A The UCC, and then each state here in the United States has adopted some form of the Uniform Commercial Code and put their own exact coding and numbers. So Washington would have different code numbers. However, in banking, they use the UCC codes.

Q Okay. And so you keep talking about -- there's no UCC registry. There's no such thing as a UCC registry?

A You can actually go into the state department, here even in Tennessee, you can go in, even online -- most of the states have an online portal.

However, in the last, I would say, I checked about three months ago, where they -- they are not allowing filings

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination of UCC ones for instance online. You have to actually walk them in, because too many people are becoming aware of the Uniform Commercial registries within their local communities and going in trying to file things.

So, yes, there are Uniform Commercial registries, and they usually, typically have offices. I know in Pierce County, they have an office. In Washington, D.C., they have an office. As far as going into any other local offices, I've never been into any other local offices.

Q And all of these, it's actually -- all of these UCC documents that you keep referencing are actually filed at the recorder of deeds, aren't they?

A Yes. Just like every state, every state has their autos office where they go to register claims of property or proof of property, well, it's the same thing. The recorder of deeds for the uniform commercial registry, they are the ones that actually hold all the records of all the property claims made in the United States of America.

- Q There is no UCC registry.
- A Yes, there is.

- Q You can record anything you want to at the record of deeds, can't you?
- A There are other departments at the recorder of deeds, but UCC is one of them.
 - Q So all these documents are the documents that you UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination keep referencing, all the UCC documents?

A I don't know. I can't see from here. I'd be willing to look at them if you would like.

Q Well, you've already had your day in court on these documents, haven't you?

A I don't know which documents you're referring to, because I can't see from here.

Q I've got your pleadings and all the attachments.

You've already had your day in court on all these documents,

and the Court ruled that these were frivolous and devoid of any
intelligible argument.

MR. LLOYD: Your Honor, I do object to counsel for the government arguing issues of law as opposed to asking questions on cross-examination.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I believe this was an issue that she herself --

THE COURT: Let me -- go ahead. What's your response?

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, she made this an issue. She continually references these UCC documents and says that this negates all of her intent. She's made it. She's put this exact issue -- and she's acted like it's some vast conspiracy by the United States to keep all of these out of the court.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection offered by standby counsel in this record.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

Instruct the jury to disregard any questions or answers pertaining to those documents.

BY MS. DAVIDSON:

- Q Okay. So what's preterea and praeterea?
- A Praeterea preterea.
 - Q Yes. What's that?
 - A That means in hereafter. It's Latin.
- Q Okay. But it's nowhere in Black's Law Dictionary, is it?
 - A It goes along with nunc pro tunc, which the courts use all the time, which, you know, now for then, as well as ab initio, from the beginning, that's also something we use in the court system.
 - It's legal language derived from Latin. Excuse me, it is Latin that we use in our legal filings and pleadings throughout the legal system.
 - Judges use nunc pro tunc in order to correct something that's actually happened in the beginning so that it's the same standing as before. So praeterea preterea is one that is with those as well. It's just you don't hear many people using it.
 - Q And so these documents are basically just a bunch of gobbledygook.
 - A No. They are not.
 - Q Okay. I'll read from one of the contracts.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

A I'm sorry, which document are you -- I don't know what you're referring to. Contract, I don't remember a contract being in here. Is this an exhibit?

Q This is "Heather Tucci-Jarraf, as Trustee, UCC Financing Station -- and UCC Financing Statement."

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Objection. I just would like to see the document that she's referring to before I make any comment.

THE COURT: Put it on the screen. Is it an exhibit?

MS. DAVIDSON: No, it's not. I was going to ask her about some of these documents that she's made it such an issue about how she's relied on these.

THE COURT: Let's go on from that based on the Court's previous ruling. Thank you.

BY MS. DAVIDSON:

- Q So you run a website where you help people create fake legal documents, don't you?
- 18 A No.

- Q And you've tracked this fraud scheme, haven't you?
- 20 A Which fraud scheme? I've tracked many.
 - Q This ACH fraud scheme that you participated in, and you've published that on the website, everywhere it's worked and everywhere it hasn't worked?
 - A Actually, I didn't participate in a fraud, because there was no fraud in this particular instance. And I did go

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination in to see what the ACH system was, because I was unfamiliar with the ACH system. I work on the inner system and policies within banking, not with just a system. I don't do the system.

Q So you were the pied piper for another fraud scheme, weren't you? What is One People's Public Trust?

A It was not a fraud, and it wasn't a scheme. It was actually part of the cleanup. It was the tool that was actually instituted when the particular 1871 contract went in, that's known as the constitution. It was a tool that was preexisting. And even one of the departments of defense, his son, used to be one of the trustees to it, and then it was abandoned. It was just a tool that was used to be able to go and clean it up.

Q And you know the people that followed you in that fraud have been prosecuted for fraud, don't you?

A It wasn't a fraud, and I'm not aware of that. But I do know that as far as the work that I did with the public trust, like I said, it was a tool that was used to go and clean everything up and close everything down to return the government and the systems to the people, as well as to those officers and employees that were in those titles of U.S. -- United States government.

I didn't run any kind of -- everything I did, nobody even knew I was part of the public trust until December of 2012, and that was because there was a notice requirement to

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination the public to let them know what had happened, and then retire the trust, which we did, in March 18th of 2013, it was retired.

Q Okay. So you operate a blog, a YouTube channel, website, various podcasts?

A No. I did open a YouTube channel for a particular interview that I did with a gentleman named Sacha Stone, but that's the only video that's on there. And that was back in 2000 and -- I think that was 2014. I can't remember the exact date.

And then as far as a website, I've only opened two. One was HeatherAnnTucciJarraf.info, and that's where I put all of the results for the UCC documents and everything else from 2012 so people could go in and look at them. Anything that I put on my website was something that could be verified by the different agencies, organization, et cetera.

It wasn't until 2000 -- December of 2012, I became even known or that what went down even became known. But it wasn't really until January of 2013, people had blogs and radio shows and whatnot. I only did a few of those at the beginning, because I had the other stuff that I was doing as far as the restructuring and the plans for the restructuring that I was working on with the bankers and the agencies at that time.

Q Okay. And you've got one video that's got almost 60,000 views, don't you?

A I'm not aware of -- my son Zacharia [phonetic] got
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

upset at me, saying, "Mom, you do these -- I have an issue.

I'm trying to make some money." And he was about ten. He was

like, "I'm trying to make some money. You do videos."

I was like, "I just do interviews. I've never done videos."

And he wanted me to, when I did them, put them on his YouTube. He was going to start a YouTube site so he could make some money and that could be his job.

I don't know how many clicks anything I do does. I don't put anything out. People ask for interviews. That's their thing. They put it out. So I don't know. And I'm not aware of how many clicks.

I don't even like watching myself. Watching that video in here during the trial, that was pretty upsetting for me.

- Q So you have supporters, right, you have followers?
- A I wouldn't say anyone is a follower. I've always stated everybody needs to be a leader, period.
- Q You actually make money off of your supporters and followers, don't you?
- A No. Until July of -- or excuse me, yes, around July 25th, which was the day that Mr. Still had some of his colleagues come to Washington, D.C. or from Washington, D.C. come to Trump Hotel, at that point, I know that a lot of people who have been following the information regarding Federal

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

Reserve and a lot of different systems, corruption within the government, things like that, that they were notified that I was put in.

And from there, I believe that moneys have been received, but I haven't received any kind of funding or campaign or being paid for any of the work. Everything I've done in the last 20 years has been funded by myself, and that was due the fact that if I was paid from any agency, branch or department or government or even private entity, that the information and all the research and all of the actions taken could be seen as tainted, similar to what's going on in D.C. right now with people accepting money here and doing things over here.

So that was something that we prepared and protected from the entire time, so that the validity and integrity of what happened and the research that was done was not at jeopardy.

Q Okay. And so your supporters, you begged money -for money to buy an RV to travel the world to -- to spread your
message, the OPAL Tour?

A I wasn't involved with the OPAL Tour. There was a group run by -- well, not run by, but kind of influenced and started by a woman named Lisa M. Harrison, who was in Australia. And she came to Morocco, along with a whole bunch of other people. She was the one who started what was called

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination the opt in and opt out, OPPT.

For us, when we were doing the work we did without any public knowledge whatsoever, except for the agencies and the bankers from 2000 to 2012, nobody knew anything. In fact, we were just known -- we were known as the big trust amongst the governments and the bankers. We were also known as the public trust.

And then when the mortgage scandals came -- or when I worked the mortgage fraud investigations and the mortgage scandals came out, that's when the One People's Public Trust sort of -- that's what they called us, the One People's Public Trust.

Q So your supporters paid for you to actually move to Morocco, didn't they?

A No. And I don't have supporters.

My husband and I actually paid for -- like I said, everything is funded by myself and my husband. He takes care of housing and whatnot. I was making over, you know, \$5500 a month, plus I come from a well-to-do family. I wouldn't say extremely wealthy, but wealthy family. I paid for my own law school myself. So that was 120 grand.

So I've always funded and I've always worked. I've worked since I was ten. But I've never made money or asked for money or received money regarding any of the work I've done from outside sources.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

The only time that there was help was after the FBI came in and took me in Washington, D.C. on July 25th, and then I went on a 30-day tour across the United States, courtesy of the FBI and the U.S. Marshals, and got to see the different facilities. But they helped out with commissary. Even now, they're helping out with rent, but that doesn't come to me, and I've never put out the call for that.

But there are people that I have -- that I've worked with throughout the years who believe in cleaning things up, and they have supported this particular incident, but until then, for 20 years, I paid for everything. I've spent at least over \$2 million at this point.

Q You didn't ask for money to help start a commune in Morocco?

A No. No. That was again, Lisa M. Harrison, Dani McKinney, she's from Canada. She was with the Royal Military as a paramedic. Her family are actually in the royal family in the United Kingdom. Those were the two that were starting up communities and everything else.

My job and my focus a hundred percent has always been to clean up the financial system and clean up the government.

I don't care about anything else.

And they came the first time, we discussed certain things, because each one had their area that they focused on, plus all of their interests. I wasn't -- my only interest was

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination cleaning things up in the financial and the government.

So I didn't have anything to do with OPAL Tour. I was asked about OPAL Tour, I mean, as far as to join it, no way. I had my focuses. Plus I had four kids. So at some point, I have to sleep. I wasn't involved with all that.

Q Okay. So you believe, based on your testimony here today, that everyone, every human being has a secret account?

A I don't call them secret accounts. That's what people call them, so I will refer to them, because that's their reference point. TreasuryDirect deposit accounts, and then each bank, central bank around the world has their international equivalent.

But everything comes back to Bank for International Settlements, which would be your top of those. So each central bank around the world is a member of the Bank for International Settlements. The Federal Reserve is just one of the facilitating banks.

And that was -- that's how it's been since 1997, 1999, because in 1997, 1999, there was a consolidation, the banks themselves here in the United States, for instance, were too exposed, and the Federal Reserve had blanket immunity that was granted to Bank for International Settlements by the Federation of Switzerland.

So by making every bank in the United States a member of the Federal Reserve Bank, they each got immunity, and that's

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination
why most of the time you don't see banks being -- going to
trial. You always see settlements, which actually is just now
the cost of doing business, \$50 billion fine, you know, a
hundred-million-dollar fine. It's just the cost of business.
They all have immunity. But if the people knew that, they
wouldn't stand for it.

So does that answer your question?

- Q Yes. And so -- but you asked the Sean -- what was --
- A O'Malley.

Q O'Malley, yeah, Sean O'Malley and he'd never heard of these secret accounts or these TreasuryDirect deposit accounts.

A Yeah. It's kind of like the FBI saying trade platforms don't exist, and yet two days ago you had six traders from those platforms, as well as three big banks, Deutsche -- I can't remember the others, Deutsche, HSBC being arrested and civil and criminal actions taken against them by your own department, Department of Justice, as well as the Federal Bureau of Investigations.

So, yes, they do exist. And that's why I loved having O'Malley on the stand was for him to just admit whatever statements he wanted to admit, because the truth is, they do exist, they do hold them, that commandeered value. And all I needed for him to say was no so they could become visible in the cleanup. And that's what's happening now.

Q You said you were offered your secret money, but you UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination refused it. Is that your testimony?

A I was offered in order to shut -- in order to have access to my own TreasuryDirect deposit account, and that's just one, but also my other accounts, as well as have a small group that I would select and control to have access to theirs, if I shut down everyone becoming aware of all these different parts, and I did not -- I turned it down.

Q You turned it down. You turned down your billion dollars?

A I've always turned it down. It's been offered to me so many times, as well as other bribes, to stop the mortgage investigations. There have been more bribes and threats in that -- I've never taken them.

Q And who offered you this last time?

A This last time, it was communicated through Karl Langenstein. However, it was regarding AIIB and the officials there. I have in my notes the name of the gentleman, if you would like me to look and review my notes and give you that.

Q No, that's fine.

You -- so you -- when we were in a hearing before Magistrate Judge Shirley, you stated that you were going to reject it until everyone got theirs. Isn't that --

A I'm sorry.

Q You said you were going to reject your secret money until everyone else got theirs?

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

A That's not what I said in the court hearing. He was making fun of me and saying thank you so much for giving up yours so that all -- until all the rest of us have ours.

I had stated very publicly that everyone was going to get their accounts. However, the point was, the money system itself, all of it's fraud, all of it. So even those accounts, releasing them, Federal Reserve notes, which are the dollars that you have in your pockets, it's all fraud.

So that's why you have U.S. Treasury working at the time, even right now to issue its own currency, United States notes. There's a lot of different names that they have for it.

Q Okay. So you mentioned that you saw Harvey Dent's video, and it talks about the ACH transactions. Right?

A Yes. In general, I remember it. If you ask me anything specific from it, I just remember him going through a process similar to what we've reviewed here, as far as going in and filling out other payments, the screens that you showed that went all the way to the confirmation. It was very similar to that in process.

It involved the Social Security number, the name on the Social Security card number, as well as the letter that's on the back, designating -- which those letters do designate specific Federal Reserve Banks, and then from there, showing them how to pay certain things.

And then I believe there were other videos that $\hbox{\tt UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT}$

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

Harvey Dent and others did. It really took off, because these people have been looking for a way to access these accounts.

Of course, he referred to them as TDAs, TreasuryDirect accounts, which they're not. It's TreasuryDirect deposit accounts.

And within this particular system -- or excuse me, process that he put out, he showed some documents and all that. That's what I recall of it, plus he showed an actual photo or I would say it's just a -- it was a list, and it had a Federal Reserve routing number, but then there was a red number that was next to it.

That's what I recall in general. If there's something specific, I would have to review the video, which I don't believe you've put into evidence.

- Q I haven't even seen it, so the -- you testified that you were trained at the highest levels of banking, trade, finance, and collections, but you don't know anything about ACH transactions?
 - A Not collections.
- Q I guess you said that in 94, Exhibit 94, you said I was at the highest level of bank trade, finance and --
 - A Recovery.

- Q -- collections?
- A Recovery, yes. Recovery, I have done, as far as recovering assets. These are particular monetary instruments

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination
that have a value of a million dollars on the face value up to
a hundred billion dollars.

Q Okay.

- A Okay.
- Q And so --

A Those are the instruments I'm talking about. We don't use ACH for those kinds of instruments.

In fact, there are -- in the AMLs, the anti-money laundering laws, you can only have so much value that you're able to transact. I believe it's \$500,000 or more, you have to actually get authorization from the U.S. Treasury -- or excuse me, the Federal Reserve in order to make that transaction, and then it becomes -- a suspicious activity report gets issued.

Q Okay. So you have all this banking experience and you know nothing -- you didn't recognize the Harvey Dent video as ACH fraud?

A I don't work at that level of banking. I don't work with ACH. I work with Fedwires, SWIFT, CHIPS is the other one, the AIIB, which is the Asian bank's answer to the World Bank. I work with those systems. I am not familiar with ACH, not even for paying -- I don't even have bills that I pay online, so I wasn't familiar with the ACH system.

Q Okay. And so you know that in the Harvey Dent video, the ACH transactions, he specifically says it has to be an ACH transaction and that it only works because of the two-day float

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination of the ACH system. You know that?

A I didn't remember that part, no. I didn't even hear that part. In fact, it wasn't until we were here --

Q No. I understand you do know that the only reason this fraud works is because of the two-day float?

A First off, it's not a fraud. Secondly is, is that

I'm not aware of the two-day float until we were here in court.

Q Okay. So you knew what Beane was doing every step of the way?

A No. I just would receive notice, like I said, the first time that I found out what he was doing was, he sent me -- or posted something over to me in messenger. And it said, "I paid off all my debts." It looked like a post that he just put on his wall and was making sure he shared it with me in messenger.

And then it was speaking with him later that day, because he had sent me Harvey Dent's video as well as that message, and then stated that he was starting to pay off all his credit cards, how he did it, and then CDs.

Q Okay. And so you were on the phone with -- or you were on Skype with him when he was purchasing these CDs. Right?

A At one point, I believe it was in the evening, late, late evening. I was working on the D.C. matter, and he called in, asked me if I had a moment, and we started talking. And he

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination said that he was doing all these CDs. He had done quite a few at that point. I don't know if it was, I think, 12, at that point.

And as we were talking, I said, "What are you doing? What do you mean you're doing CDs?"

Because with CDs, it's basically an account that you open, and you have to deposit money in and then leave it there and not touch it for a certain amount of time.

So I asked him what he was talking about. I didn't even know you could purchase CDs online. Everything I do is through the bankers, you know, vice presidents, presidents of banks.

So I'm not familiar with the process of ACH and going in, so I asked Mr. Beane to explain to me, but I also asked a number of people who said they were doing this ACH deal how would -- what actions, like PayPal, Amazon, or were they just paying credit cards. Most everyone was paying debt.

That was the hilarious part, is that here are these people going, finally, we get our value that's been stolen from us, and they were all excited because they got to pay their debt off. It wasn't like they were trying to go buy, you know, vehicles.

In fact, that didn't happen until -- Mr. Beane was the only one that I know of that successfully actually went in to purchase. Harvey Dent apparently was doing a deal. I

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination didn't really keep up with Harvey's stuff other than to know how far this guy was going, how far he was reaching, and inspiring others to reach out. Because it's like a Calgon commercial going on acid, you know, so you end up covering the whole planet like a virus very quickly.

So as far as ACH, I didn't know anything about it. I did ask Mr. Beane, and the session timed out, so I didn't get to know how it was going -- what the actual steps and processes were.

- Q You also testified that you didn't have any debt, but your exhibits that you proposed, Government's Exhibit 11, shows a Macy's balance of over \$5,000?
 - A Those aren't mine. I don't have any debt.
 - Q It says right here --

A I don't have any debt or accounts. That Macy's account actually belongs to James G. Tucci, which is my father. I used my sister and my dad's accounts and would take full responsibility.

I needed to know how it all worked, and I didn't have the time to go set up accounts at different places for myself.

They had preexisting, and I used his, because of the million dollars that was stolen that was in collusion with Federal Reserve Bank and everything else.

And they're very aware of that case. That was the one case they didn't want to show up at, so I was very excited UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination that they showed up at this one.

Q And your Costco card, it's got your name right here on it?

A That's my sister's Costco card. And I believe you kept these exhibits out.

MR. LLOYD: Your Honor.

THE COURT: Hold on a second.

MR. LLOYD: Your Honor, I have to state an objection to cross-examination on the basis of exhibits that the government objected to, and as a result, they weren't admitted. Now they're being used by the government that objected to their introduction.

MS. DAVIDSON: Again, this is proper cross. She said that she had no debt, and the documents which she just provided to me, not in discovery, but just provided to me shows she does in fact have debt.

THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection, because based on the examination, I think it's opened the door to this line of questioning.

And then if Ms. Heather Tucci or through standby counsel wants to come back on redirect and seek to introduce those documents, I'll consider it at that time.

Go ahead. Let's make sure we got the question out. Go ahead and ask the question, Ms. Davidson.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination BY MS. DAVIDSON:

Q Okay. The Costco card ending in 4569, it clearly has your name on it, has a balance of \$18,493.

A No, that's not my Costco account. I don't have a Costco account. My sister has a Costco account, my father has a Costco account. And during this testing, my sister actually put me on her Costco account in order for me to be able to test. But I don't have a Costco account.

Q Did you tell your sister that you were going to use the routing number of the Federal Reserve Bank and your Social Security number in order to try to pay off her credit card?

A Due to the event -- my family did not know the kind of work I did with the exception of my mom, and that was very limited data that was given to her, until 2011.

And in 2011, in order to stop me from doing -exposing the mortgage scandal, along with Marie McDonald, the
forensics fraud examiner from Massachusetts, the Federal
Reserve Bank went in and had KeyBank and my family, who has a
bank, go in and exert pressure and take my father's retirement,
which they did. And they're actually now in re-litigation
since everything is being cleaned up.

However, Federal Reserve is very hard to draw a line from local actions, what you see here, like today, to the Federal Reserve. But, fortunately, in this case, we were able to draw that line and actually get the names, so that it can be

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination cleaned up by law enforcement that actually is doing their job and not serving the Federal Reserve Bank over the interest of the American people.

So none of these accounts, which I tried to enter into evidence that you are now questioning about, none of them are mine. They were my sister's and my father's. They know the work that I do. They're a hundred percent for cleaning everything up.

And I explained to them I was going to test something out, but I would stand fully responsible if there was any issues, any problems whatsoever, if they got contacted by anyone, that I would stand there and tell them I did those, but I would also explain why I did it.

So they were very willing to assist. My sister and especially my dad, after he's -- he's in the process right now in court getting his million dollars back that got stole with the collusion with the Federal Reserve. So, yes, they helped.

They did not know what I was doing, though. I never explained the process. I just said I need to test something out. I don't know the system, this ACH system.

In fact, my sister was the first one that kind of -had to walk me through how to actually even access the online
account and what page things were on. She did walk me through
that part. And then I said I'm good.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

My sister and my father did not know any of that. They did not know it was regarding Social Security number and account number or secret accounts or TreasuryDirect deposit accounts. They didn't know any of that at all.

Q Okay. So when you talked to Beane on July 5th,
July 6th, July 7th, you agreed to help Mr. Beane, didn't you?

A On July 7th, when I saw everything that was going on, I gave him -- I told him to go to my website, that there was a factualized trust, it wasn't finished, regarding the testing and actually going -- not testing, but going into, because my intent was to have the banks and the governments themselves put it within their process. And that way, there wasn't so much -- I mean, when you find out you've been lied to by the government as well as Federal Reserve and your bankers and everything else, it can cause a lot of upset.

And, unfortunately, that's what we have going on in D.C. right now with the upset with the FBI and with the DOJ itself and Congress people that are resigning, and it's just a big mess.

This is what we're trying to avoid. And, actually, it's even less than what it could have been because of actions that were taken to mitigate and diffuse the toxic situation that was going to explode.

So as far as these accounts and assisting with Mr. Beane, I was assisting to keep him protected as well as UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

Whitney Bank, as well as USAA Bank, Federal Reserve Bank, as well -- and, really, it's mainly protecting them from themselves. Because there are things they could do to change it, which is what we've been working on constantly and solely for the last five years, and now we're at this --

Q You were trying to protect USAA Bank too? That's what you just said. But you didn't send any of these documents that you sent Whitney Bank, you didn't send any of that to USAA Bank?

A Actually, I didn't have any contact number for USAA, except for True Brown. But at that point, Mr. Beane, before I could even contact Mr. Brown to find -- if he did indeed work for USAA and all of that. And then Mr. Beane had already been arrested.

So I had so much on my plate, because I had the threat against POTUS, assassination attempt against POTUS that I was working on before any of this even happened, to make sure to stop that one, then to find out, because it was the same actors that were involved in that one that also ordered the arrest and humiliation of Mr. Beane, who got caught up in this whole thing that he didn't even know was going on.

Q But you admit that it was Mr. Beane's idea to purchase the CDs. Right?

A All I know is that by the time that I actually spoke with Mr. Beane, they were already purchased, credit cards were

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination already paid off and whatnot.

So as far as what I could do for him at that point -because, in my opinion, he became human fodder. A lot of
innocent people stood at risk. And as, you know, you sitting
there, as well as FBI and everyone else, I have worked for
years to make sure that you guys knew the data.

Obviously, Tennessee office did not know what was going on. You had no idea what the big picture was.

You know, for me, I'm even in here protecting what went down. I don't care what went down. What I care about at this point is that things change. And, unfortunately, the environment, and when you receive orders from a superior that you know in New York or from headquarters in D.C., you do the order. Right. And you don't really question it. But they don't see what's behind it.

Now, they don't change things. You usually don't change things unless it touches and affects your life. It's never going to affect the life of law enforcement until it does, and that's what we're seeing now.

Like, with your deputy director who resigned. It touched his life because he committed crimes or potentially committed crimes and he resigned. And the investigation is still going on about that.

But it's now touching law enforcement, isn't it, unfortunately, because we couldn't change it without this UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination display that's happened.

MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. I asked her in the CD idea was Mr. Beane's.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: The CD -- no, the CD idea came from a video -- my understanding was it came from a video, and Mr. Beane went to go test it out, if it was connected. My question -- my questions followed after that.

So from what I understood, it wasn't Mr. Bean's idea. It was a guy named Harvey Dent, who most likely, in my opinion, knowing what I know now, was given that information from someone inside the banking at the highest levels of intelligence or government.

BY MS. DAVIDSON:

Q Okay. And so you admit that the idea of -- the reason this whole scheme to defraud worked is because he purchased the CDs and cashed them immediately. So that's a pretty smart idea, wasn't it?

A First off, there was no fraud, no intent to fraud.

As far as a scheme, it was absolutely a scheme. The whole

banking system is a scheme. The legal system is a scheme. A

scheme -- perhaps you could look up the word "scheme."

You make it sound like it's something illegal, something deceptive. And, you know, a scheme is actually what they call proposed bills. Scheme is a plan. That's what it

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination is. That's what scheme means.

Q Okay. So this buying the CD and cashing it immediately the next morning, that's not the work of a delusional man, is it?

A Actually, from what I heard on the audio, and I believe everyone was here was that he went to go and actually get a line of credit, which he had stated was something he had done in the past, with a CD that he's had at other institutions as well.

When he went in to go get that line of credit, it was actually -- and we could hear it on the audio -- was, it was suggested by the USAA employee who said, "Well, why don't you just cash it?"

That's where the idea came from, not from Mr. Beane himself. He didn't even know he could cash it from his testimony. At least that's what I heard. Maybe you heard differently.

Q So it's USAA's fault?

A I think it is the fault of the Federal Reserve. It's the fault of all of us that have been trying to do this cleanup for not finding a solution fast enough, not just for this incident, but as Mr. O'Malley even stated, you know, fraud -- a little bit of fraud is a good thing for the financial system. If you took out a hundred percent of the fraud, the systems wouldn't work.

I disagree. And I've disagreed very explicitly to them and to other institutions and agencies for years, and I've actively worked with them in order to clean that up. So that's where I believe the fault is. But then again, that's just my personal opinion.

And here we have a man who's sitting here because things couldn't be cleaned up that were behind the scenes that the public doesn't know about.

And the FBI now is in a situation where it has questionably used extreme force in a situation where it could have just been as simple as, hey, do you got this or don't you?

You know, so now I feel like everyone has been abused and victimized in this, from Mr. Beane to the FBI to even us having to sit here.

Q Yes. And so you told Mr. Beane to put the RV in a factualized trust on the 7th. Isn't that correct?

A No. I stated that anything that would be used with TreasuryDirect deposit accounts -- because at this point, nobody really knows TreasuryDirect deposit accounts, at least -- except for the information that Harvey Dent or whoever this guy is that goes by Harvey Dent. Okay. The information that they have -- as far as ACH, like I said, I didn't know that system.

However, TreasuryDirect deposit accounts, plus other accounts with other names, all the international equivalents,

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination they do exist. Okay.

As far as people being able to access it, it shocked me they might be able to access it using an ACH system just from their home computers. I cannot imagine that the Federal Reserve would be so stupid to have a system created where someone from their house or their phone at a party or wherever could access a TreasuryDirect deposit account when I know the security protocols of being able to access those accounts.

Only bankers at the highest levels with the proper security clearances can even see those accounts on computer screens that are registered within the Federal Reserve System. So, yes, excuse me.

- Q So the question I asked you was, did you tell Mr. Beane to put the RV in the factualized trust?
 - A I said anything that he purchases using those --
- Q So the answer is yes.
- 17 A -- funds.

- 18 Q The answer is yes?
 - A If it was being purchased with funds from the TreasuryDirect deposit accounts, then, yes, it has to go in there.
 - Q Okay. And so you told Mr. Beane that on the 8th that he needed to cancel the F250 Ford pickup truck and that he needed to do a Fedwire to pay for that, didn't you?
 - A No, I did not. And, actually, regarding the
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination factualized trust, it's all -- it's all paperless. So, really, truly, everything is already in there.

But as far as him writing out paperwork, that was because none of this has been addressed publicly or even within the system itself, other than going in and refining policy changes.

So as far as Mr. Beane putting -- excuse me, what was the second question? Your first question was RV.

Q Wiring --

- A Oh, wiring, no.
- Q -- the money -- Fedwiring the money so --
- 12 A No, I did not.
- Q So Dwayne Griffith was lying when you heard him testify up here that he talked to you and you said the word Fedwire?
 - A No. Actually, I believe that's a recall issue. But as far as speaking with him, I can't speak on behalf of Mr. Beane. Okay.

I said that as far as the paperwork went, I said that if he's purchasing this vehicle using his funds from his trust, then it would have to be put into the factualized trust -- or have a document stating that it's, you know, inside the factualized trust. I said that Mr. Beane -- I asked him if he had the vehicle or did Mr. Beane.

He said Mr. Beane had the vehicle.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination 1 I said, "Okay. Do you have the cash?" 2 He said, "There's a check." Okay. At that point, I said, "That check needs to be 3 4 canceled. He needs to be sent back over with the truck to drop 5 it off to you" --6 Why did the check need to be canceled? 7 So that he could write "Factualized Trust." At the 8 bottom on the memo, there needs to be at least some note. So I 9 said Mr. Beane would make the decision to do another check or to have a Fedwire done. I wasn't sure what he was going to do. 10 That's not what Mr. Griffith testified to. And he 11 Q 12 actually said that Mr. Beane said his attorney said that he needed to cancel the check. 13 14 Okay. Well, everyone has their recall. I'm telling 15 you what my recall is. Mr. Griffith got his chance to say what 16 his recall is. I never told Randall to cancel the check. I 17 said that it needed to have the memo. Most of the time, they'll have the check. 18 19 Randy said he didn't have the check, the dealer had 20 the check. So that's why I asked the dealer whether or not he 21 had the check or had the cash. 22 23 And he said, "No, I have a check."

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

So when I asked Randall, he said, "Yes, I gave him a

24

25

check."

I said just -- you've got to have the memo. If it doesn't have the memo, then everything needs to be canceled.

And at that point, with everything that was going on, I couldn't take on much more. I had D.C. and the banking stuff. I had D.C. and the threat against the president. I now had an escalating situation with not just Mr. Beane, but everyone that was watching this crazy Harvey Dent video.

You know, so at that point, I couldn't handle another thing to be able to have to go in and protect. So trying to minimize it, I just said until a deal is done -- and my family and our -- we also have auto lots. So with our auto lots, if someone pays, they get the car. If someone doesn't pay all the way but there's financing, okay, fine, that's still paying and having the car.

You don't get the car with -- I mean, they have a different protocol. He says, yeah, I let them take the truck, and there was no payment. So those are their practices.

That's fine. That's their practices.

I told Mr. Beane to take the truck back until everything could be worked out, paperwork in order, as well as payment being done.

Otherwise, there's no way he should have that truck in his possession, in my opinion. But then again, this is Tennessee, they do things a little bit differently from what I've experienced being here the last five months.

Q Okay. And so you know that a Fedwire is just like cash. Right?

A I am familiar with a Fedwire system. Like I said, SWIFT -- Fedwire -- basically, the Fedwires that we use and that I'm familiar with, it's like going to Western Union, sending money, and in less than 30 minutes later, it's to the other side.

So as far as Fedwires, yeah, I'm very familiar with Fedwires. There is the opportunity to do a recall system. However, at this level with Fedwires, this is the first time as far as not having a recall. So I've been where we can do recalls. That's my experience with Fedwires. Now, you're not able to.

Q Okay. So you knew that because of all of your study of the law, that if Mr. Beane, if Whitney Bank and Buddy Gregg had the Fedwire, had the cash, and he had the property, there was nothing that USAA Bank could do to get it back, didn't it?

A No, actually --

Q You say that multiple times on Exhibit 94, don't you?

A No. Actually, my understanding of the Fedwire, because my experience has always been we've been able to have recalls of Fedwires. You just -- it's communication between the two banks, and they give it up. Not a problem. And there's paperwork -- or, excuse me, a digital trail, messaging that goes on.

It was only afterwards, as I was prepping for this, that I found that when I went in for the Fedwire to go in and see what the Federal Reserves -- so that's why I copied it over to them.

But as far as him returning the money, I didn't see where there would be a problem of him having the wire returned and then --

Q But you didn't do that?

A And them getting the RV back. That's not how it went down, but they need to have all the information. There was a valid transaction, in my professional opinion, in banking and trade and finance, as well as my legal training, that there was a valid transaction.

He had the cash, which I already knew the origin of funds and history of funds for the cash, and they had given him the vehicle. So there was a valid transaction, which had already taken place before I even spoke with him on the 10th.

Q Okay. But you heard that Ms. Palmisano testified that she gave a lot of credence to the fact that you were an attorney on the phone and you said that they were -- Mr. Beane's funds. She gave a lot of credence to that. Did you hear?

A I heard her say that she gives a lot of weight to her client's desires. That's what I heard. So which part?

Q Okay. So she also testified that she gave you True
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

Brown and made it completely clear that it was True Brown from USAA Bank, but you didn't call him, did you?

A I couldn't at that point. I was trying to prepare the paperwork for Palmisano, as well as the declaration of facts. I already went through today, showing you all the work that -- the difference between what it was at the moment to what it needed to be in order to protect all of them.

But True Brown, yes, we actually had calls that went in. He never answered, never returned phone calls. So I've never spoken with True Brown, nor Tom Shaw who was the vice president of the criminal investigations for USAA. He's left USAA in July of 2017 right after this whole incident went down. That was who the -- your witness testified was her boss' boss.

Q Right. So you didn't call True Brown, because you knew what he would say?

A Oh, no. I have no problem talking to law enforcement. I would have sent him all the documents as well. Because the FBI in their white collar crime divisions, I've never worked with, but as far as working on particular banking and whatnot, we do have to always consider law enforcement.

I have no problem talking to law enforcement. I'd make sure they'd have everything, and I would have pulled in my own contacts to be able to figure out what are they missing, what's the issue. But it's Federal Reserve. What Federal Reserve wants Federal Reserve usually gets until now.

Q So let's talk about that conference call that we all heard that you recorded and that you put on a YouTube --

A I didn't --

- Q -- because you want to be YouTube famous.
- A I didn't put it on YouTube.
 - Q Okay. You recorded your part --
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{A}}$ No. Actually, it was someone that was there with me --
 - Q At your direction?

A -- started -- no. First, they recorded without my direction. And then they stopped the video, because I started to roll -- I roll cigarettes. I smoke pipe tobacco and roll my own cigarettes. Number one, it's cheaper, so I can put more money towards the activities that I do for the cleanup.

But I sat there and she cut the video, and you could actually see that it kind of goes dark for a couple minutes there or maybe less than a couple minutes. Then she starts it back up.

I said, "Don't worry about it. It's fine. If you're going to record, fine."

Because I didn't want to be recorded. I don't like video. And, really, it's just a matter of I don't like looking at myself. Okay.

And so when she did the recording at the second time, she -- I told her, "If I smoke, don't stop the recording."

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination 1 So we went along and did that. Is that the video? 2 That is the video I'm talking about. And --Q 3 Α I didn't put that on YouTube. I didn't even 4 distribute it. I didn't even record it. I didn't have any access to it in files or anything else until after I got off my 5 30-day tour. 6 7 Okay. And so you're all about transparency? 8 Yes. Α 9 You've said that many, many times? 10 I absolutely believe in transparency. Α 11 Okay. And so why didn't you share the truth with the Q 12 people on that conference call? Why didn't you tell them, you 13 know --14 What truth? Α 15 -- the truth that this money actually came from the 16 Federal Reserve and Mr. Beane's secret account? Why didn't you 17 just say that? Why did you call --I actually did share with everyone where it came from 18 19 with the factualized trust. That is all the documentation. 20 And every bank on this planet knows about the UCC filings. It 21 has gone through for every single bank. It was delivered to all the Federal Reserve Banks. It's also been looked at and 22

Once they -- after they were written, that's when the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

conferred with for it's correction and revision while they were

being written as well as after they were written.

23

24

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

Federal Reserve really got nervous, especially DOJ Jack Smith,

who used to be director of the public integrity in Washington,

D.C. and then got demoted and came down here to Tennessee,

Western Tennessee as U.S. Attorney. He retired on August 4th

after only being U.S. Attorney, Chief U.S. Attorney for five

months due to this particular incident.

- Q Okay. So throughout that exhibit, you call it the originating bank, originating bank?
 - A No. It says originating depository.

- Q You say in that exhibit originating bank. You never once say, oh, it's a secret account from the Fed Reserve. You don't say Fed Reserve because you know it's going to raise red flags, and your goal is to get Mr. Beane out the door in that RV, isn't it?
 - A Oh, are you talking about the --
- Q I'm talking about the conference call you had with Whitney Bank and Buddy Gregg.
- A No. But originate -- because there's originating bank and originating depository. An originating depository is what is in the factualized trust. An originating bank is where any transaction starts from. That's originating bank.
- THE COURT: We'll let you follow up after lunch,
 Ms. Davidson. We'll excuse the jury until 1:45.
- MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Sorry I misunderstood you.

 (Jury out at 12:32 p.m.)

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination 1 THE COURT: You can step down. 2 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. 3 THE COURT: Just a quick housekeeping measure. I 4 hadn't followed up on this. The juror questionnaires that were 5 distributed, I think we've gotten them back from the government and Mr. Beane. 6 7 Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, do you have yours? 8 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I still have to find it. I put it into a space where it wouldn't get lost, and I can't remember 9 10 where that space is, and I was trying to do -- prepare for the 11 directs and the crosses, so I am going to find it and 12 immediately turn it in. I'm the only one that has --THE COURT: It's not here with you today? 13 14 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: It's not here with me. 15 THE COURT: Let's make sure we turn it in tomorrow 16 morning. 17 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Thank you. We're in recess. 18 19 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: This honorable court shall stand in recess until 1:45. 20 21 (Recess from 12:33 p.m. to 1:49 p.m.) THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. 22 23 THE COURT: Thank you. We'll bring in our jurors. 24 (Jury in at 1:50 p.m.) 25 THE COURT: Thank you. Everyone may be seated. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination 1 Ms. Davidson, you may continue. 2 MS. DAVIDSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 BY MS. DAVIDSON: 4 So you're familiar with Federal Reserve website, 5 aren't you? 6 Recently in the last months, I've gone on there a few 7 times to grab a few things, but I haven't been on there since 8 before July. 9 And so you actually cite the Federal Reserve website, 10 you even included a link in one of your e-mails to Whitney 11 Bank, don't you? 12 I believe that was regarding Fedwire. Α 13 Exactly. Q 14 Uh-huh. Α 15 And you got that from the Federal Reserve website? Q 16 Α On February 10th, when I went to go look at the 17 rules. January 10th? 18 Q 19 Excuse me, July 10th. Α 20 Q July. 21 Thank you. I keep transposing those two months. On Α 22 July 10th, when I went to go look at the bill of sale and the 23 manufacturer, certificate of origin and all that, in order to 24 have the correct information, I did go in to look at the

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Federal Reserve, their website regarding Fedwires, what their

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

policies -- because they've been changing their policies, now

ACH payments, the settlements aren't two days, it's same day.

And that changed as of September 15th.

- Q So you are familiar with the website?
- A I'm familiar with keeping up with the Federal Reserves activities, but not from their website.
- Q Okay. And you know that all over the website, it says that this TDA scheme that you keep talking about is a fraud?
- A Actually, I hadn't seen any of the notices until you, I think, had presented it into evidence. That was the first one I had seen. Usually, it's the FBI that puts out notices.
 - Q You're familiar with Google, aren't you?
- 14 A Yeah.

- Q And so if you research on Google, TDA accounts, it says it's a fraud, doesn't it?
- A I don't know. The only thing I was researching regarding the ACH things was regarding this transaction, what the process was. As far as TreasuryDirect deposit accounts, those are absolutely real. I have experience with them. I have seen them.
- As far as TDA, for me TDAs don't exist, but TreasuryDirect deposit accounts, absolutely exist, and I have a lot of experience with them.
 - Q Okay. And -- but everywhere on the Internet, like UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

Snopes.com and Wikipedia say they don't exist. They're all lying, you're the only one that has the truth?

A They also said the Federal Bureau of Investigation and our Congress serves the people, and yet we're finding out differently this month, aren't we?

- Q And that's your opinion?
- A It's what's on the Internet, Google.
- Q Uh-huh. And so you say, just to be clear, that your very first contact with Beane was on July 4th regarding --
- A With who?

- Q With Randall Beane --
- 12 A Mr. Beane?
 - Q -- regarding this whole scheme?
 - A As far as the video from Harvey Dent, the first that I had seen it was on July 4th, when I woke up and found his -- or his message in my messenger box with a video.
 - Q And when did Beane pay off all of his debts? I thought it was your testimony that y'all were together when he paid them off on the 5th?
 - A No. I said I had received the video link in messenger, from Facebook Messenger, the link of that. And then I had seen -- he had also shared with me the post where he said, "I paid all my debts off." The first time I saw that was on July 4th.
 - I didn't speak to him about the CDs and all of that UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination stuff -- or excuse me, when I actually was on the phone with him while he was working on the CDs, that would have been on July 5th, I believe. And that was when he -- it got sessioned out. That was the one and only time we were actually on while he was doing something.

Q Okay. And so you knew that his purchase of the CDs was related to this Harvey Dent video involving the ACH fraud?

A I knew the process that he was -- that's what I was trying to figure out. Was it the same process for a CD as it was for credit card payments or the loan mortgages that he had done? I was trying to figure out if it was the same process for each of these particular portals and the ACH system. So that's what I was trying to figure out.

Q So is it your position that the money that Mr. Beane accessed was his TDA account and was not in fact USAA's money?

- A TDAs don't exist.
- Q Okay.

- A TreasuryDirect deposit accounts do.
- Q Okay. TreasuryDirect deposit. Is it your position that he actually accessed his secret account?

A That's something we'd have inside of the Federal Reserve. I do know that the Federal Reserve holds those TreasuryDirect deposit accounts and they hold commandeered value from the people of America as well as other people around the globe.

Q And so when Mr. Sawn O'Malley testified there were no secret accounts at the Federal Reserve, he was lying?

A I know there are accounts that the people in America as well as on this planet don't know exist, and they are used every day. That's what the Social Security card actually is.

It's a deposit -- TreasuryDirect depository account as well as other accounts that are linked to the identifier called a Social Security number. That's why you get the card. It's like an ATM card, but you can only deposit. You're not allowed to pull out.

If -- and that's what the Social Security Act was for, which is why President Trump went in -- I think it was in November and said we're just going to do away with Social Security completely. I don't know. That's something we'll have to discuss in D.C. when I get there.

Q I still don't understand why, if you have all the truth, you didn't open the eyes of the people that were participating in that conference call. I mean, in fact, you kind of -- you know, you talk about the Ford truck, well, he rejected the Ford truck, but -- and you knew a hundred percent that they were dealing with USAA wanting their money back, the Fedwire? Did you not?

A Look how you're acting with the information. The bankers, they know. Those are conversations I would have.

With Nelson and Forbes, I gave them -- I said that I would give

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination them the information. The factualized trust gave them all the information.

I can only give them the information, and it is their choice as well as their opportunity to go in and verify and validate that information, which is why I gave it to Whitney Bank to be able to go in and do that and work with USAA.

Q But you didn't give to it USAA?

A I didn't have a chance, because I had FBI beating up and detaining unlawfully Mr. Beane, and trying to make sure he didn't disappear or was killed. Because there's no Jasper, Colorado.

So that, for me, indicated that possibly at the highest levels military was involved, which I know from my experience, which is why I was in Texas dealing with the Bush family.

So, excuse me, there was too much going on at the time. And I did give it to Whitney Bank, and said, please, anything that you guys need, forward. That was in the voice mail as well.

Q So you said during my -- I asked you exactly who recruited you to do the cleanup and you said Commander Thoraks?

A There are space programs. I don't know if you recall this, but Trump back in, I think it was October, November, reinitiated the space council. These are programs that our military has been hiding for a long time. There was

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination 1 whistle-blowers inside. I have been working with different 2 technologies, different scientists. Banking doesn't have 3 borders. So in my field of work over 20 years, I have had 4 experience with the medicine industry, education industry, all 5 of that. 6 Okay. I asked you, you said it was Commander Q 7 Was he in the Navy? Thoraks. 8 It's part of the space programs. Α No. 9 Part of the space programs? 10 However, those contacts then put me in with different U.S. military or militaries from different countries, so --11 12 THE COURT: Let me interrupt. But let's just -- the question was about Commander Thorak, so let's limit right now 13 14 the answer to that. BY MS. DAVIDSON: 15 16 Q Right. 17 Α He works with those militaries as well. Okay. And do you play video games? 18 Q 19 Α No. 20 Are you familiar that there's a Commander Thoraks 21 with the World of Warcraft?

A It's actually Thorak, not Thoraks. No, I'm not familiar with -- I could ask my kids, if you'd like, but I wouldn't be able to have that answer for you right now.

22

23

24

25

MS. DAVIDSON: Uh-huh. May I have a moment?

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination 1 Thank you. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Beane, any cross-examination? 3 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. BEANE: 6 Okay. Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, how long would you say we've 7 known each other in your eyes? 8 Really, it was the first time that you had contacted Α 9 me in spring of 2017 was when I first became aware of you. And 10 then we didn't have contact again until -- it was approximately July 3rd when you were calling me from coming home, and that 11 12 was a brief conversation. So, really, July 4th was when I started to really get to know you. 13 14 Did you ever receive any pay from myself or did we 15 arrange any type of pay for anything at any point that you may 16 have done work on my behalf? 17 Α I haven't received any money from you or anyone else 18 regarding these matters. 19 I've heard you mention foreign actors on several 20 occasions. 21 Would you please clarify who a foreign actor might 22 be? 23 Okay. So foreign actors, the ones I've worked with, 24 when I say foreign actors, it's anyone that is outside 25 physically the United States. So you have Xi, president of UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination

China, I worked with General Wang, Guanzhong Wang, and I've worked with -- so that would be one.

Then you have Putin, and every of the high level cabinet officials who haven't been assassinated to date.

Then you end up having in Italy, it was basically McKelly [phonetic], he's the Italian version of Jeff Sessions here. It wasn't him, but it was black nobility families that are in Florence. However, the communications was with he and I regarding their wishes of trying to find out a solution.

Bill Gates, Sr., although he lives in Seattle, is a part of those foreign actors as well.

The Rothchilds, obviously, and I've dealt with the English as well as the Swiss and the French. There are many -- there's a lot, so how far do you want me to go?

Q I just wanted a definition kind of so we could clarify who a foreign actor is in this since it's brought up quite frequently.

A Mainly in this particular case, China and Russia were involved in giving the orders, because China has the most to lose regarding TreasuryDirect deposit accounts.

Q Could we bring up Exhibit 165, please.

Do you recall the warrants that you looked at pertaining to my arrest? And I don't remember what day you said you saw the warrants, but does this warrant look familiar to you?

A This one, no.

Q And what warrants --

A I've never seen this page until Ms. Davidson or maybe it was Anne-Marie Svolto entered it into evidence. I've never -- I've never seen -- oh, excuse me, this is the state of South Carolina. I had seen it, but it was presented by someone that had spoken with the FBI, but as far as this one being in the system, when we checked the NCI -- national criminal history for you, it was not showing at all, not active, not even resolved. It wasn't in the system.

So I've never seen this one until today, except for that one time that I was shown, but I wasn't allowed to have it, and it wasn't showing in the systems.

 $\,$ Q $\,$ You mentioned there were a couple of other warrants. Do you recall what was on those warrants or --

A Yeah. Well, the ones that I saw that I received also regarding the NCIC were two warrants. If I recall right, one was issued on July 12th. One was issued on July 13th. Not duly issued. There was no signature on them. They were in the clerk's records as well. So when I received it from my intel contact, I had gone to the clerk's office and verified that they were the same ones.

But there was no signatures on them or anything else. The ones presented by Ms. Davidson, I've never seen. There was never a stamp on it, there was no signature, no nothing.

Q This -- you said there was a warrant out of Jasper, Colorado?

A I believe. Is there a second page to this one?

MS. DAVIDSON: Not currently in evidence.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Oh, okay. That was the one.

Jasper, Colorado doesn't even exist.

BY MR. BEANE:

Q Right.

A Which is what made me very concerned with who was actually involved with having you taken. Jasper, Colorado doesn't exist. That's what was stated, even in the courtroom about Jasper, Colorado, that you were to be extradited there. I even contacted the facility on July 13.

Because when I saw the -- excuse me, July 14th.

Because when I saw the facility's website and saw you listed there on the 12th, it said an arraignment, a jail arraignment, which means you would have been in the facility -- from my experience, you would have been in the facility with a screen and talking to someone over like a Skype thing. Okay. And that you -- there had been an arraignment.

However, the July 12th warrant that I viewed that wasn't signed at all, and neither was the affidavit on the back, that was supposedly the one that was used for the arraignment, but as of July 18th in the clerk's office, those still weren't signed.

So this one was signed after July 18th -- or excuse me, the ones that I was presented for discovery were signed after July 18th. But on the day you were arrested, there were no warrants, no active warrants per my intel.

Q And you had mentioned an imminent threat on my life because of this case. Can you elaborate on that threat from the information you gained, any more than what you already have or --

A I was contacted by an agent that I worked with for years. And then I also contacted Jonathan D. Betts, but didn't get a contact back from him. And between those two and myself, we worked together for years. They work with CIA, Mossad, Chinese intelligence, Karl Langenstein is the other one that I work with.

He's actually a director of finance for the state owned Senotech, which is -- basically, it's the only company and its two subsidiaries that can actually move money in and out of China.

There was a lot of -- personally, there was -- he -
I had upset someone in Seattle, Bill Gates, Sr., and he

apparently, because I went in to ask questions, that's who

China, Russia in general, but it had to do with the AIIB --

MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. How is this relevant?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: He asked who was -UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

THE COURT: The question is the relevancy of what you're talking about now. You said something about Bill Gates, and I don't know how that has to do with --

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Because they were all involved in making sure he gets arrested.

THE COURT: Your testimony is Bill Gates in Seattle was involved in --

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: They were part of --

THE COURT: -- Mr. Beane getting arrested?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: They were part of all the actors.

THE COURT: That's based on your own personal

knowledge?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

BY MR. BEANE:

Q Is that it?

A AIIB, which is the Asian version of the World Bank, they were dropping a whole bunch of U.S. Treasuries and everything else, because that's what they were using as collateral and monetary instruments, because of your case and the Federal Reserve, and the fact that TreasuryDirect deposit accounts, even if people were calling them TDAs, it was a short time, in their estimation, that it would move to TreasuryDirect deposit accounts and the exposure of them and the commandeered value that the Federal Reserve was holding.

So they were a big push for having you arrested, and they did that through the Federal Reserve. As far as I can tell at this point, I received that information from Mr. O'Malley during his testimony, as to the chain from Federal Reserve to the Tennessee office here of the FBI.

Q Right. Do you remember a phone call between you and I after my arrest in which you explained because of my actions that I had exposed a lot of the deep state and the secret space program and had upset a lot of people.

Would you explain the background to this information, or is that something you've already done?

A Well, this is -- no, it's not something I've completely done. These are programs and systems. Basically, you have the Federal Reserve, which acts as the facilitator for foreign interests. Okay. Around that, it has different security wrappers.

So the outer wrapper, the politicians, even schools, corporations, to help manage the exposure. Okay. Then inside of that, they have law enforcement. And the main law enforcement, traditionally in America at least, has been the Federal Bureau of Investigation. CIA never comes out of the woodwork. They always use, unfortunately, the Federal Bureau of Investigation or local law enforcement, which was the militarization of law enforcement.

Then inside of that, you have the judicial wrapper,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination which is the final one in between that and -- so there's a number of wrappers to help shield the Federal Reserve Bank and that's the same for every country and their cental banks, same template.

Q So how would that have helped to expose the secret space program and the ...

A A lot of people that are working in different offices, there's always good and bad --

Q Right.

A -- as it seems. You have good agents, you have bad agents. And, really, usually, the foreign agents of the foreign actors are always at the highest levels.

Q Right.

A Always. And the way they use the foreign agents or the network, because those foreign agents are responsible for creating a network inside of their department, their agency, or their branch. Okay. And so the lower levels don't know that's where we call the system and a fraud inherent. Okay. It's inherent in the system.

So like, for instance, the Federal Reserve will have Congress, and they use a lot of different ways to pressure certain members of Congress to introduce bills, pass bills, so that they become law. And it really just is a regulation of commerce, but in favor of the foreign actors.

Q Oh, wow. Thank you.

	13
	Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination
1	A And the space program is all wrapped up into that,
2	involved in that.
3	Q And as well as the deep state? Is that
4	A People use deep state, shadow government, and all of
5	that. I don't use that, so I don't know.
6	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance to
7	the deep state and shadow government.
8	THE COURT: I think we've gone I'll sustain the
9	objection. Go ahead with your next question, Mr. Beane.
10	BY MR. BEANE:
11	Q Would you please define the term "rebutted" for us in
12	the legal sense?
13	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. This has all
14	been briefed and litigated, rebutted, the presumption,
15	unrebutted presumption.
16	THE COURT: What is the relevancy of the question
17	today?

fact they had never been rebutted. I just wanted to get an

explanation on the rebuttal of -- and what the process was in

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BEANE:

THE COURT:

Let's go ahead and go on to the next question.

rebutting something.

BY MR. BEANE:

She explained about the filings and the

I think she's explained that already.

You seem to be very passionate about your work and

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination 1 your effort behind the universal cleanup. 2 Can you help us to understand the ultimate goals of 3 this cleanup and what you're looking to be gained by that 4 cleanup and the ultimate benefits of it? 5 MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance. 6 MR. BEANE: She keeps continuing to talk about the 7 universal cleanup, and it's not been defined really what that is and what the ultimate goal of the cleanup is. 8 9 MS. DAVIDSON: Or how it relates to this case. 10 MR. BEANE: Evidently, it relates in a big way or she wouldn't talk about it. 11 12 THE COURT: Go ahead. 13 We've had some testimony about cleanup, so we'll 14 allow the question. BY MR. BEANE: 15 16 Would you mind explaining to us the ultimate goal of Q 17 the cleanup and --Let's take -- you had three parts, I think --18 Α 19 Q Okay. Yeah. 20 -- to that, so I'll take what was the ultimate goal. 21 THE COURT: Ask the question. The question is, what's the ultimate goal of the cleanup you've been describing. 22 23 Go ahead and answer that question. MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: The ultimate goal of the cleanup 24 25 is essentially that. There's many, many code names for the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination cleanup. I use just the word "cleanup." Other people use the word "war," depending on whose interests are being protected and or a threat.

So the cleanup itself is basically through a series of events, throughout history, systems have been presented to the people or created by the people, and then slowly, through deceptive practices and acts, have been converted and subverted so that they don't serve the people. And that part has been hidden from everyone for centuries.

However, it's been coming out. So for the last 30 decades, people know something is wrong. People know that stuff has been hidden. People within their own agencies experience the pressure and the bureaucracy and the corruption.

So that cleanup, there are people at the highest levels in America as well as in the other governments in corporations with -- on this planet who have been involved in doing a cleanup and coordinating this cleanup so that every single system that's supposed to serve the people serves the people, as they were told it does, but it hasn't been working that way. That's really what it is.

So right now, we're handling the judicial, for instance. And, truly, it's to make it so that the people that are in there who want to do their jobs can do their jobs. So identifying the foreign actors -- or excuse me, the foreign agents and establishing the line between the foreign agents and

141 Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination the foreign actors was a big one, and also freezing the money so that it couldn't be fraudulently used for terrorism activities and whatnot. That was the biggest goal initially, one step, and then go in and make everyone aware so they could change the systems. THE COURT: All right. Let's go on to the next question. Go ahead. BY MR. BEANE: Okay. Referring to the terrorist activity, is this a situation where they could have traced it to someone who was a 12 potential terrorist to obtain these type of funds, or could 13 it -- was it something it could have just been exploited and 14 used by anyone? 15 Α In regards to the TDA? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Excuse me. Let me hear the objection. MS. DAVIDSON: There's been no testimony regarding 19 terrorists. THE COURT: What's your response to the --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BEANE: She just mentioned terrorism.

THE COURT: She mentioned it. I'm dealing with the question right now. What's the relevance of that question?

MR. BEANE: It was -- she mentioned it in her answer about the cleanup, about terrorists. And so I was alluding UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination 1 to --2 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 3 Go on to the next question. 4 BY MR. BEANE: 5 Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, you say a lot of phrases that are 6 not common in most people's everyday vocabulary, such as 7 absolute sole authority, absolute transparency, and you seem to say those phrases with a deep conviction. 8 9 Can you expound on your purpose and these phrases, 10 what they mean to you and why they're important? The words. 11 Α 12 MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance and 13 this is not proper cross. This is an open-ended direct. 14 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 15 BY MR. BEANE: 16 You said earlier in your testimony that you're a 17 truth seeker. Is that a simple term, or do you feel it needs to be expounded upon in order to understand what truth it is 18 19 you seek? 20 MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance and 21 it's not proper cross. 22 MR. BEANE: It was in her testimony. 23 THE COURT: Is that your response to the objection? 24 MR. BEANE: Yes. 25 THE COURT: All right. I'll sustain the objection. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination 1 BY MR. BEANE: 2 You often speak of exposing fraud in this universal Q In your viewpoint, is there a lot of fraud yet to be 3 cleanup. 4 exposed? 5 Α Yes. 6 What means are there to expose this fraud at your 7 disposal or available? 8 Awareness of the people. That's the only way. Α These 9 are supposed to be their systems, and not just here, but 10 everywhere. So going in and cleaning up, which has been 11 happening since October 18th, and, for instance, there's now 12 13,216 indictments sitting sealed, waiting to be unsealed. 13 How many? Q 14 I believe it's as of yesterday or as of 26th of 15 January, 13,260 indictments. I could be wrong on that. It's 16 over 13,000. They haven't been unsealed yet. A few have, 17 so -- by the Department of Justice, but not all of them. Can you describe the difference between a private 18 19 banking system for public use and a public banking system for 20 private use force? 21 Uh-huh. Α MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance. 22 23 MR. BEANE: It's in the paradigm report in the --

MR. BEANE: It's in the paradigm report in the -that she keeps using as a reference. Have you read it?

THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

24

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Cross-Examination 1 limit your answer, but go ahead and answer. 2 Be brief, please. Go ahead. 3 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I'll answer the question 4 sufficiently. 5 Okay. So a private -- or a public money system which 6 is where a treasury of the actual government would issue the 7 funds -- and typically there would be a collateral behind it, something of value, and that way, they could collect on it. 8 9 Private system -- and that would benefit the people 10 that actually own the government and the government -- excuse 11 me, who the government actually serves. 12 In a private money system, it's owned by a few, and it's their assets, and they loan them to everyone. So, for 13 14 instance, O'Malley had testified that the U.S. funds itself by 15 borrowing and that's how a private system works. 16 So, essentially, the central bank is the Federal 17 Reserve, they say, which is nothing federal about it. It's a private bank. And it loans money to the U.S. by receiving a 18 collateral called U.S. securities as well as other securities. 19 But it's for the benefit of the few. It's just like a regular 20 21 private bank. MR. BEANE: Okay. Well, I don't have any further 22 23 questions for you at this time. 24 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Beane.

Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, we now move to redirect. You have
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination
the opportunity for -- to present in a factual narrative a
redirect examination based upon the cross-examination, limited
to the scope of the cross-examination. So if you would like to
present any redirect, you can do so at this time.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you.

May I have Mr. Lloyd bring up my notes from cross so that I can make sure I stay within that scope, please?

THE COURT: Yes. Hand them to the courtroom deputy will be fine.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: How I'll handle these questions is the topics.

So one of the largest problems with the systems is just the ignorance of those within actually operating and employed in agencies that are supposed to serve the people in public capacity, okay, as a public servant.

And when Ms. Davidson kept asking me about Black's Law Dictionary, for instance, that's an example of sort of not understanding how everything all works and that it's inner related. So saying that hypothecation is not in the Black's Law Dictionary in the way I've described it, I'm describing it, defining it as it's defined in banking.

Okay. Law, like I said, is a shield around banking so that it can say invisible as possible, that people don't UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination
have access to the inner operations of banks, how money is
created. And not just money created. I should say debt
instruments are created and how they can be monetized, which is
another way to say hypothecated, okay, so that you can actually
use an instrument and pay groceries, you know, from it.

So with the dictionary, this is an example of how legal has its particular job, the judicial has its particular job, law enforcement has its particular job. Each one uses their particular weapons. Okay. And in the case of law enforcement, it's usually armaments. In the case of judicial, it's words. So it's definitions and it's a play on words.

And that's just inherent in the system. You have to -- our system basically is based on the British system.

Okay. So this is something that has been existing since before America even did. So there are -- there's an ignorance that's built in, and it is literally managed so that that ignorance stays there.

Now, I'm not saying the people that are doing their jobs are ignorant. I'm saying they're just trained so that they don't ask questions. And when they do, they receive repercussions that basically tell them not to ask any more questions. Don't rebel against the policies and the rules, you know, stick to those.

So in these systems, it's designed so that there is no awareness or there's very little.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination 1 With law enforcement, for instance, it's sort of the 2 same thing. They have -- even just at hiring, they change the psychological evaluations overall for all of law enforcement to 3 4 bring in a different kind of --MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. What is her 5 6 basis of any of this knowledge? Foundation, relevance. 7 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I was questioned regarding my knowledge of the law, and I'm explaining my knowledge of the 8 9 law. THE COURT: Well, I think you've answered that, so 10 11 let's move onto the next subject matter. I have to put in the 12 context, since you're giving a factual narrative, it's almost like what was the question you're asking yourself and what's 13 14 the answer. So I think from that standpoint, you've answered 15 the question, so let's move on. 16 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Well, to assist, I can just --17 THE COURT: Let's just go on --18 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: -- give a question and then an 19 answer. 20 THE COURT: If that's your prerogative, if you'd like 21 to do it that way. MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: That way, there's no confusion. 22 23 Okay. Who asked me to participate in the cleanup. 24 We've gone over a little bit about it. The initial 25 contact was from Commander Thorak, and then from there, there

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination are a lot of individuals that I met over the time period between 2000 and 2008, and it was always someone that was significant for the area that I needed to go into.

So, for instance, in 2011, it was regarding D.C., and the judicial, it was working with a supreme court justice from Washington, D.C. who explained there needed to be a tipping point as an example of which part I needed to go into.

So for each section of the work that I did, it was always someone that would come in, and it would usually be in the field related that needed to be incorporated into the cleanup, and specifically, in my area of law and banking.

Okay. There was a question as to why I was chosen.

Of course the things that I had said, but the main thing was, it was about the belief systems, my belief systems, and that I would let go of belief systems as I did my work and had exposure and experience within each of the fields, especially banking and law and government, was that it didn't work the way we were told it worked, and then being going -- being able to go in and interconnect them to figure out exactly how they did work so we knew where the solution -- how -- how to create the solution to clean them up.

It was about not being susceptible to bribery. That was probably the biggest one, along with my ability to adjust my belief systems through experience. That and not having any fear as far as being creative in the types of operations I

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination would do in order to expose things, but also my compassion regarding all the parties involved.

So, for instance, in this particular case, we have a lot of parties involved. And each one of them didn't know the whole story. When you don't know the whole story -- or excuse me, you do know the whole story, when you do know all the facts, it tends to assist someone in acting differently, having more compassion and peacefulness in their approach to find out what's going on as well as to stop anything that shouldn't be going on as well as to create a solution, so ...

And just to be clear and to clarify regarding her -the question that was asked about human capital, human capital
is you and you and you and you and all of us. That's
human capital.

Again, the intelligence contacts that I may have been working with for the last five years, there have been many different ones that I've worked with throughout the years, and through every single agency, intelligence agency, I really have a respect, not just for intelligence agencies, but also the law enforcement, as well as the militaries, these are ones that I've worked with that truly want things to be cleaned up, they just didn't know how to go about it. And a lot of people died, a lot of people disappeared, so we all worked together.

So by not being assigned or paid by any other agency,

I was able to work with all of them neutrally and not have bias

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination against any of the others. And so that's my reputation, and that was another reason why -- not that I got asked to help, but why I continued on and why I took a larger role in the cleanup, was because of that, seeing everyone, not as a victim but as if they had the awareness as a solution, as a leader.

Okay. So the UCC, I got asked a lot of questions about that. Well, not asked a lot of questions. It was you believe that it doesn't exist.

Okay. Here's the thing, in my work, it's compartmental. Everything is compartmentalized in life, period, no matter where you are on this planet, it's always compartmentalized.

Not -- for instance, DOJ doesn't know what goes on in banking, other than what they're told. Usually what they're told is not the truth or it's a piece of the truth in order to get them to act a certain way, okay, as an example.

So that has been the biggest problem is that nobody knows actually what law has been the law of the land. But I can tell you in my experience and with the actual processes that we use in banking, as well as in government, as you could see in -- I believe it was Exhibit 3.

If you could bring up Exhibit 3, Francis, please -- or Mr. Lloyd.

THE COURT: Defendants' Exhibit 3?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Defendants' Exhibit 3. Thank you.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination

While he's doing that, the big question was, the UCC has no relevance. We've been dealing with that issue since I got here in Tennessee. It absolutely has relevance.

MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. You have held that it has no relevance.

THE COURT: Well, there was -- there was some questions about the UCC, just in general in terms of the UCC in its general applicability. You can answer that. So go ahead --

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I was just waiting for the exhibit to show up where it actually states it, so it was the first exhibit that we had put in yesterday from the Department of Justice website regarding property. Oh, I apologize. It's right here. It doesn't have the sticker, though.

So it's utilized, but then every single agency, branch, and department in the United States government, for instance, so it's used even in the judicial — this case particularly was one where I had to go and make sure that the monetizations didn't occur where the Federal Reserve could use the monetizations and securities that get issued when there's a defendant. And especially when there's a conviction, the monetary instruments get turned further into securities and batched up and sold out.

So there were actions I had to take in this particular case that we're in right now where I had to make UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination sure there were certain responses, rejections, et cetera, to filings made -- and orders and stuff like that so that it couldn't be monetized and fund the Federal Reserve to continue any, let's just say, illegal activities rather than terrorism.

Did you get them?

So human capital is basically goods in transit, because people are always moving, so that the Department of Justice, Exhibit 3, that we looked at yesterday --

THE COURT: Go ahead and put it on the screen.

Everybody agrees that's a copy of Defendants' Exhibit 3. Go ahead.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. This is Exhibit 3 that was entered yesterday, and this is -- regards "Form 1649, Protection of Government Property, Goods in Transit."

And in here it discusses that it's -- that the application is determined by application of the Uniform Commercial Code. So most people don't know that.

And Department of Justice, such as Ms. Davidson, it's not their job to know this stuff. Their job is to do the judicial part. Okay. But everything revolves in banking. Everything is about commerce. That was the issue with the UCC.

So, yes, it absolutely exists. The Uniform

Commercial Code is what was used for the filings in this

particular instance. There are offices you can go into, and
the state department has their own person that takes in the

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination filings. And a lot of times they have an online portal where you can actually do the filings. However, as of, I think, early -- or late last year, they made it so you couldn't do certain filings unless you took it in in person, so ...

Thank you, Mr. Lloyd.

Okay. So I had been asked a question about praeterea preterea and using Latin and all that stuff. The way the factualized trust is written, it's written for bankers, it's written for attorneys that specify in or specialize in the UCC, because we're talking about value. That factualized trust secures value.

Now, secures value means only you can use it. If it's only yours, only you can use it, and you have to give authorization. But it can't be the way that it was done before where through deceptive acts and practices, you didn't realize you were delegating authority for someone to use your value without your knowledge.

That's what securing means was that it secured it to each individual so only they have the authorization, and unless they have all the material facts and awareness of the existence of that value and its intended purpose, who it was being used by, they had to have their -- what we call the finger on the tail so that they had control over every aspect, because they're responsible and accountable and liable for it.

Everyone is. They just don't know it. So it's -UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination sometimes actions occur where they don't understand why it's occurring. It's because there's a lot of stuff that's been hidden behind the veil regarding this value.

All right. So that's why I use those particular words because they're used in banking. And those are the ones that would have to look at the factualized trust along with the value and understand and know the anti-money laundering laws and all the different regulations that would apply to value its transportation or its transmission and its transfer.

So the words in that factualized trust are specifically for the ones that would have to determine whether there's title, ownership, and authority.

One People's Public Trust, there was some questions about that and about frauds. Is this the first fraud, I've worked on other frauds?

I have worked on a lot of frauds, okay, Haiti,

Benghazi. There have -- excuse me, the Bureau of Land

Management, so the Bundy case, for instance, I was in China in

December of 2008, and part of -- I was there to work on

cleaning up the corruption in Hong Kong with General Wang.

And during that, he received a call while we were there, and it was basically offering a deal from our state department for land -- gift of land, and that land happened to be part of the land that the Bundys' issue was about. That case got dismissed on January 8th.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination

MS. DAVIDSON: Objection, Your Honor. How are the

Bundys related to this? Relevance.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I was questioned about the different --

THE COURT: Go ahead and talk about the One People's Public Trust.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you.

THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. Thank you. Thank you.

So One People's Public Trust, that was just the name of the trust. As far as any activities or promotions or radio shows that were done, campaigns, like opt in opt out, all that stuff, I wasn't involved in any of that.

However, what was great about it was it really ended up showing a lot of awareness, that there was opportunity for awareness and then also that the people were choosing to be aware, because you can't fix a problem unless you know exists, number one, and then the level of awareness about the problem allows for an informed decision on how to solve it. So I did not stop those particular people from doing those particular activities. However, I was not involved with them, and they had nothing to do with the public trust tool that was used and closed down.

In fact, I think some of those things went on after the public trust had been closed down, like OPAL Tour which I UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination
was specifically asked about. OPAL Tour, I was in Morocco and
that was done in the U.S. You know, although I spoke to some
of the people while they were on it, because they are friends
of mine, I didn't have any involvement with that particular
tour, so I don't know too many particulars about that, about
that case.

But it wasn't -- people kind of just took off with this One People's Public Trust and made it something else. And that was fine, because at least in my eyes it made an awareness and a reason for people to come and unify and ask questions.

That's the big goal is people to ask questions and look for the information and make informed choices, which is why I'm so big on transparency. There has to be transparency, and there can be no transparency without complete context. So why we might have transparency that banking exists, we do not have complete context on how that banking actually works and what they are actually using.

Because if they are using the commandeered value, it might put you in a different state of mind or at least a mind that would go and question's going on. Is this true? And looking for the truth.

YouTube and all that, just to make it very, very clear. I don't put anything out to the public for the purpose of the public's awareness, so to speak. I'm more focused on the cleanup of the financial system and the tools, so that --

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination and a lot of tools I create myself and then present over to the different agent -- different agents that are currently using the system, as well as the presidents of banks, the presidents of corporations, the government itself, so that everyone can come together and give their opinions, give their thoughts, their concerns, and then it's refined.

So the mortgage scandal that I worked on, I've been working on since 2009, and didn't get into a courtroom until approximately the end of 2010, so there was a lot of strategizing and a lot of working together with judges and bankers and title companies and attorneys and whatnot, so — real estate agents as well in order to go in and find a solution without upsetting commerce and having it shut down completely.

That's always a big issue. That's a consideration we take in completely. So it's usually a long period of time before you actually see changes.

In this particular instance, it wasn't a long time.

I just put in the first form, which you have now seen today,
and it was four pages -- or excuse me, three pages long when
formatted correctly. Okay. And it didn't have everything in
there. It was just a starting point, and then additions go in
or refinements are made, but with everyone's -- everyone's
thoughts and concerns or suggestions.

So that was in June. We hyperaccelerated. I made UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination
the personal choice to hyperaccelerate this cleanup by
inserting myself into any case at this point -- or excuse me,
at that point, so even actions were taken so that I could make
sure, whether it was me entering into this case or me having a
case that was separate, either way, it all needed to come out.

So that was the intent behind -- the original intent behind One People's Public Trust was making things visible and at the same time securing everyone and their property so they couldn't be used for terrorist acts or financial terrorism or other illegal activity.

Ms. Davidson and Mr. Beane had asked specifically about payments, had I received any payments.

No payment whatsoever. That was truly a key issue. We see that a lot happening right now, is that a lot of people are getting in trouble for having received funds from foundations or for campaigns and things like that, and it taints whether or not they were serving a -- an outside interest rather than the agency and the protocols and the policies and the constitution that they signed up for.

So in order to make sure that there was no tainting of any of the work that I specifically had done, that was a protocol that I instituted myself, and I continued on. I can tell you, I never thought I'd be sitting here 20 years later and it not being done by now. So this is a big moment for myself as far as the cleanup and it being made visible all

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination through D.C., but also around the world in countries like Saudi Arabia and the United Kingdom.

Regarding Mr. Beane and directing him, I was asked about if I had directed him.

Basically, I've always stated to Mr. Beane, as well as anyone else who had come into contact with me, do what you feel, do what you feel to do.

I will explain what I know from my own experience. For instance, I had sat with Mr. Beane and -- or not sat with him, but on the phone with him, and this was, I would say, approximately on the 6th or the 7th, and explained to him that this was not going to be easy, that there was so much more involved, and at any point, it was his choice.

And I didn't mean as far as CDs and, you know, this TDA account, which doesn't exist. It's TreasuryDirect deposit account. But anything involving the Federal Reserve, I expressed to him, brings a lot of heat down and a lot of very extreme actions.

And I didn't know the details at the time, and I explained that to him. I didn't know all the details. It would make itself visible as we went, but he needed to only move forward with what he felt good about, what he felt inside to do.

And he -- I also explained that I would go, if there was any heat that came down from anywhere and that I had had

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination intel that there was heat coming down, that I would be with him every step of the way, as you see me here today, sitting and explaining all of this to you.

However, there was no conspiracy to hide money, to even take money that wasn't Mr. Beane's. I've actually worked with these accounts and with this value. I know it exists.

And whether or not Mr. Beane knew how the ACH system worked or TreasuryDirect deposit accounts, he believed that the value he was accessing was his. I know the value that everyone has the ability to access is theirs, but has been hidden and has been commandeered, so ...

Okay. At in no time was there conspiracy to hide funds in an RV. How do you find a 45-foot RV with a GPS, tracking signal? So you can find it anywhere. For instance, when I tried to find the RV to be able to know at least where it was so that the proper steps could be taken to secure it, no records were able to be found, other than at the sheriff's office when I asked if they had impounded it, which was information I had gotten while I was sitting in court listening on July 18th.

There was no record of an RV, just that Mr. Beane had turned himself in at headquarters, which, obviously, you've heard here during the trial that wasn't the case. So the RV, I just said no problem, we'll grab it remotely through its GPS.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination anything. My intent was to expose everything, make it visible. And there's a lot of information here that you've had to hear that seemed -- might seem incredible, but it all is about points of reference. Not many people have a point of reference with Federal Reserve other than it's a bank, and most people think it's a federal bank. It's not. It's a private bank.

So that's one example of the data that's coming out, and it's only going to continue, no matter what this case -- whatever happens to it. Everything is coming out. That was the beauty of this case.

With USAA, Ms. Davidson had asked me regarding I didn't give them any information.

I -- I did give them the information. I didn't speak of TreasuryDirect deposit accounts or any of that stuff while we were on the phone. That wasn't the information they were seeking. They were seeking whether or not it was a valid transaction.

They were also seeking information whether the funds were Randall Beane's, which they received the title, the ownership as well as the origin of funds and history of funds, which are the UCCs that were done from 2012 to 2013, inclusive of the perpetuity that was done in May of 2000, which all the bankers have, all the banking systems have, as well as the highest levels of law enforcement and military, not just here in the U.S., but around the world.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination

And we've been working consistently on creating a solution to that private problem, called the Federal Reserve and the Bank for International Settlements.

And that's why we were going to USAA. Mr. Beane was going to pick me up. As long as the threat in Washington, D.C. didn't turn imminent, I was going to San Antonio with Mr. Beane to be able to speak with their president or their vice president and their legal department. They know exactly what this stuff is. They use UCCs all the time.

Regarding the Federal Reserve website, for me, the Federal Reserve website is just a bunch of fluff. There is some things in there as far as what the -- the public is supposed to be able to rely on it, if it's issued by the Federal Reserve on their website.

So the information, I don't usually go to that website. I usually use our banking contacts directly or intelligence contacts to be able to do the information, because a lot of information they put out on their website is incorrect.

Same with the Federal Bureau of Investigation, in my experience, saying things are a fraud when they're not.

Especially like trading programs, those are not a fraud. I've worked on them. I've actually prepared all the documents and done all the transactions from A to Z regarding those, and yet they are posted.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Redirect Examination

I've never seen it on the Federal Reserve site. They don't talk about trading programs, but on the Federal Bureau of Investigation, saying that it was a fraud, it was a scandal, and it wasn't. They just didn't want anyone to know unless they were chosen to know.

I was asked about the warrants.

Again, South Carolina warrant wasn't in the NCIC.

When our people looked at it from July 11th forward, there was no record of South Carolina, an active South Carolina warrant out of South Carolina or anywhere else. Until July 12th, there were two -- there was one warrant on July 12th, one warrant on July 13th. But those weren't in the system.

My intelligence contacts pulled them from basically every -- all the intelligence communities have access to every single computerized program that's used in court systems, education systems, medical offices.

I've actually worked with the gentleman, Michael Short, who did all the consolidation for all the medical fields in the United States. They have access to be able to pull all those. They were the ones I had asked for whatever warrants were in Randall Beane's name.

And I did another one on the 17th for that as well.

And when I got the paperwork, it was two warrants, one showing for Jasper, Colorado, which doesn't even exist. It wasn't signed. And then the other one, which was for South Carolina,

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Recross-Examination 1 which wasn't signed. And since then, I've come to have 2 personal knowledge that they have been altered since July 18th. But they have not been introduced into evidence. 3 4 Thank you. That's all I have. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. That concludes redirect. 6 Mr. Beane, do you have any recross based on the 7 redirect? 8 MR. BEANE: No. 9 THE COURT: Ms. Davidson, recross? 10 MS. DAVIDSON: Just briefly. 11 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** 12 BY MS. DAVIDSON: 13 So the websites from the Federal Reserve and the FBI 14 are all fluff. Right? That's what you just testified to. 15 So if you had read that, you would have deliberately 16 ignored it, wouldn't you have, if you read that this whole 17 scheme was a fraud, you would have deliberately ignored it? 18 No. I always take data in, but I do my own 19 assessments based on my personal knowledge as well as inquiries 20 that I make thereafter. So, for me, most of the stuff that 21 they put on there, in my experience, has been fluff. It's more 22 for the public so that they have a certain --Like you? 23 Q 24 -- a certain reputation. No. I would not -- I mean,

yes, I'm part of the public. However, my experience and my

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

25

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Recross-Examination knowledge about the Federal Reserve or -- and with the Federal Reserve is not consistent with the general public and their experience with the Federal Reserve and their knowledge of the Federal Reserve.

Q And so you say you're knowledgeable about a hundred sealed indictments at the Department of Justice. That's just a lie. No one's knowledgeable about sealed indictments except the grand jury. Were you on the grand juries?

A Actually, they're just showing sealed indictments. So we're not able to see what they are, but they are showing on PACER.

Q Okay. And you say that you have compassion for all the people involved in this case, all the law enforcement and the prosecutors, you have compassion for all of us. But that's not true. You actually published all of Special Agent Parker Still and his family and his parents, all that information on the web, didn't you?

A I don't know about Mr. Parker's family or his parents. As far as the discovery, which he had presented in Washington, D.C., yes, I made sure that that particular information was made public in our archives and --

Q And his hometown and his parents and where he worked and his family in Knoxville?

A I didn't know there was -- I did not see information that he gave me regarding his -- I had got FBI reports. That

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf - Recross-Examination

was basically the gist of it as well as an identification,

thumbprint analysis that had been done regarding videos as well

that I -- video that I made so these -- that was the only

information they had. I didn't have any information about his

hometown, where he was.

Are you talking about testimony, transcripts?

 ${\tt Q}\,$ No. I'm talking about published information on the web.

A I don't know about his published information on the web. I just published the discovery, every piece of the discovery that he had, and that was just to make sure that things didn't -- basically that I made it from D.C. to Tennessee. That was it.

MS. DAVIDSON: Thank you, Your Honor. That's all I have.

THE COURT: Thank you. That concludes the testimony. You can return to --

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you.

THE COURT: -- the table. Let me ask you before you leave, based on what you said yesterday, do you have additional witnesses you wish to present?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: At this time, I move to rest in my case in chief.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you.

	Heather Ann Tucci-Jarrai - Recross-Examination		
1	THE COURT: So Defendant Ms. Tucci-Jarraf rests on		
2	her case in chief.		
3	You can go ahead and return to the table,		
4	Ms. Tucci-Jarraf.		
5	MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you.		
6	THE COURT: Does the government wish to call any		
7	rebuttal witnesses?		
8	MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, Your Honor, we do.		
9	THE COURT: Go ahead.		
10	MS. DAVIDSON: Okay. We recall to the stand,		
11	Ms. Monica Alcala.		
12	Your Honor, just for the record, she has not been		
13	here during any of the defendants' case. She left to go back		
14	to USAA on Friday at lunch.		
15	THE COURT: Ms. Alcala, you've previously been sworn		
16	in this case.		
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.		
18	THE COURT: And that swearing in applies equally to		
19	your testimony today, you understand?		
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.		
21	THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Davidson.		
22	MS. DAVIDSON: Thank you, Your Honor.		
23			
24			
25			
	II		

Monica Alcala - Direct Examination

1	WHEREUPON
_	

2

3

4

5

7

8

15

16

17

18

MONICA ALCALA,

was called as a witness and, after having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 6 BY MS. DAVIDSON:
 - Q So we heard some -- during your direct testimony, we heard some phone calls that were played. Do you remember that?
- 9 A Yes, I do.
- 10 Q And you pulled those calls off the computer system?
- 11 A Yes, I did.
- 12 Q And we heard some calls from July 3rd, July 5th,
 13 July 7th?
- 14 A Yes.
 - Q And when you were pulling those jail calls, did you make -- I'm sorry, not jail calls -- USAA calls, did you make a spreadsheet that reflected what information you got on the computer system?
- 19 A Yes, I did.
- Q Okay. And would that spread -- was it based on the computer records at USAA which were kept in the ordinary course of business?
- 23 A Yes, they were.
- Q And would that spreadsheet aid in your testimony here today?

		Monica Alcala - Direct Examination					
1	А	Yes.					
2		MS. DAVIDSON: Okay. And I'm going to show you					
3	what's ma	rked as Government's Exhibit 167.					
4		Your Honor, at this time, I'd like to admit					
5	Government's Exhibit 167.						
6		THE COURT: Any objection?					
7		MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: No.					
8		MS. DAVIDSON: May it be published to the jury?					
9		THE COURT: Is there any objection? Mr. Beane, any					
10	objection?						
11		MR. BEANE: No.					
12		THE COURT: Ms. Tucci-Jarraf?					
13		MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: She can go ahead.					
14		THE COURT: All right. So admitted.					
15	(Gov	ernment's Exhibit 167 admitted into evidence.)					
16	BY MS. DAVIDSON:						
17	Q	This references the calls from the 5th through the					
18	7th. Rig	ht?					
19	А	This is for all transactions for any time anybody					
20	went into	Mr. Beane's profile at USAA.					
21	Q	Okay. And when you say anyone went into his profile,					
22	what does	that mean?					
23	А	Whether it was phone calls or if that representative					

who took the phone call called our help desk to maybe help
clarify some questions that they might have. The receiver, the
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Monica Alcala - Direct Examination
help line representative also goes into the profile so that
they can see all the information and know how to answer the
questions. So those were also documented. So any time anybody
opened up the profile for Mr. Beane, it was -- it records that
information.

Q Okay. And I'm going to show you -- so this has every single call from -- and the employee number -- what's the employee number?

A The employee number is the number that USAA provides us as employees.

Q Okay. And what is the green lines?

- A The green -- so USAA has multiple third-party companies that assist us with phone calls, basic banking phone calls. So what I did is, I went in and looked at the employee number to determine which location each employee worked at, and the green was for USAA internal employees that worked in the San Antonio office.
 - Q Okay. And what was the yellow?
- A Yellow is Teleperformance, which is one of our third-party contract companies.
 - Q Okay. And what is TeleTech?
 - A Yeah. TeleTech and Sitel are also third-party companies we utilize throughout the United States to take our basic banking calls.
 - Q Okay. And the -- the call on 7/7/17 at 7:50 a.m.,
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Monica Alcala - Direct Examination which call is that, with Jeffrey Eichelberger?

A That was the call we listened to, that was the wire transfer for that large amount for the RV.

- Q Okay. That was 7:50 a.m. Central Standard Time?
- A Yes. All times on here are Central Standard Time.
- Q Okay. And the call on 7/7 at 7:12 p.m. Central Standard Time, who was that call by?
 - A That was --
 - Q Taken by?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 10 A That was Steven Dyer. That would be Teleperformance
 11 in Utah.
 - Q Okay. And I'm going to show you what's been marked as Government's Exhibit 166. Just for the witness. They haven't seen this yet. I'm sorry, the witness and the defendants.
 - Do you recognize 166?
- 17 A Yes, I do.
 - Q And is this a document that reflects the information that you placed in this spreadsheet with regard to one particular call?
 - A Yes, it is.
 - Q And where did you get this document?
 - A This is actually the portal information that USAA uses to recognize our customers, and it's held in our normal course of business.

Monica Alcala - Direct Examination

- MS. DAVIDSON: Okay. At this time, I'd like to admit Government's Exhibit 166.
- THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Beane?
- 4 MR. BEANE: No. No objection.
- 5 THE COURT: Ms. Tucci-Jarraf?
- 6 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: No.
- 7 THE COURT: So admitted. Thank you.
- 8 (Government's Exhibit 166 admitted into evidence.)
- 9 BY MS. DAVIDSON:

1

2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 10 Q So this can be published.
- Okay. In the highlighted call, referenced there at 7:12 p.m., and that is -- who does it say took this call?
 - A So when you click on that line, when you open up the member's information, if you click on it, below that, it gives you detailed information. And that's what I pulled to put on the spreadsheet.
 - So it says at 7/7/2017, at 7:12 p.m., Steven Dyer and his employee number is who took the call.
 - Q And this is 7:12 p.m. Central Standard Time. Right?
- 20 A Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q 8:12 Eastern?
- 22 A Correct.
- Q Okay. Did Mr. -- did someone use Mr. Beane's member number call on the 8th?
- 25 A Yes.

Monica Alcala - Direct Examination

Q And who took that call?

- A If you could go back to the spreadsheet, I can tell you.
 - Q Okay. I'm sorry. Can you put it back up there?
 - A So on 7/8, there was only one call that was done at 1:41 p.m. Central Standard Time. That, when I pulled the information, said unknown, because the employee no longer works at USAA. So at the time of the data pull, I didn't have the information.
 - Q Okay. And let's go back to Government's Exhibit 79.

 Do you recognize Government's Exhibit 79?
 - A Yes, I do.
 - Q And if you could go to the second page, David. If you would highlight the call at 1:41 on 7/8.
 - Okay. And what is the phone number listed there?
 - A So this is just telling you that on 7/8 what matches the contact history. It says it was taken by telephone for a member service representative, and that phone number was (865) 693-7611.
 - Q And did you research on your computer who made that call?
 - A I show that that is not Randall Beane's phone number, that we have listed for him, and I believe it was -- it was a dealership. I don't remember offhand, the name.
 - Q Okay. And I'm going to show you what's been marked UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Monica Alcala - Direct Examination 1 as Government's Exhibit 168. 2 And the defendants have not seen this. 3 Do you recognize this? 4 Yes, I do. Α 5 And what is 168? 6 This is internal documentation that is stored by USAA 7 under the member profile. Any time there's specific notes or 8 comments that need to be made, a bank representative will type 9 that in, and the system will capture the date, time, and who 10 submitted it. Once it's entered, it can't be altered or 11 changed. 12 Okay. And --Q THE COURT: Is there any objection to 168? 13 14 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: If it could just be blown up, I 15 can't actually read it. 16 MS. DAVIDSON: Here. 17 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Oh, thank you. 18 I have no objection. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Beane? 20 MR. BEANE: No. 21 THE COURT: Okay. So admitted. 22 (Government's Exhibit 168 admitted into evidence.) 23 BY MS. DAVIDSON: 24 Can we publish it to the jury? It's very small. Q 25 Could you read what it says, and this is at 7/8/17 at UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Monica Alcala - Direct Examination

- 1 1:44 p.m. Central Standard Time.
- 2 A Right. So at the time -- so the call happened, and
- 3 then the representative will have notated what the call was
- 4 about, anything out of the ordinary or unusual. On this one,
- 5 | it does say, "Dwayne, Ted Russell Ford," gave a phone number,
- 6 "(865) 384-6949, called in to verify a check. Told him no it's
- 7 | not going to go through. I couldn't tell him it's credits
- 8 only."
- 9 Q Okay. And let's go back on -- to Government's
- 10 | Exhibit 167.
- 11 So what are the dates of all of these calls?
- 12 A 7/8 of 2017.
- 13 Q 7/7, the times?
- 14 A On -- the times for 7/7?
- 15 Q Yes. If you could highlight all the calls from 7/7
- 16 and the people that they spoke to.
- 17 A So on 7/7, the phone calls were taken between
- 18 7:50 and eight -- 7:50 a.m. to 8:21 p.m., Central Standard
- 19 Time.
- Q Okay. And -- the ones that say "internal," explain
- 21 to me what that is.
- 22 A So each one, David Parker -- so not in green. You
- 23 want just the green or just anything internal?
- 24 Q Just anything -- it says "telephone, internal,
- 25 | internal." What does "internal" mean?

Monica Alcala - Direct Examination

A Internal is going to reflect that there was not a direct phone call to that representative. So David Parker, internal, because he was reviewing the wire.

The next three in green that say internal, those were our consumer loan representatives that were actually calling out to Mr. Beane, so they go internally to his profile, because they didn't receive directly a phone call.

- Q And so these three calls at 10:39, 10:48, 10:51, those are the people calling him regarding his consumer loans?
- A Yes. Those are the ones who were calling to let him know that his payments did not clear.
- Q Okay. And then we discussed the 7/7 call to Steven Dyer?
 - A Correct.

- Q Okay. Let's go back to the transactions that happened on 7/3/2017.
- Okay. I'm going to show you what's been marked as Government's Exhibit 169.

And what is Government's Exhibit 169?

- A This is the information that would have been added by Randall Beane, providing us with a routing number and an account number for an external bank account that he wanted to utilize.
 - Q Okay. And where did you get this document?
 - A This is also on the portal on the membership
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Monica Alcala - Direct Examination 1 information that USAA stores in its normal course of business. 2 MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, at this time, I'd like to 3 admit Government's Exhibit 169. 4 THE COURT: Any objections? 5 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: No. 6 MR. BEANE: No. 7 THE COURT: All right. So admitted. 8 (Government's Exhibit 169 admitted into evidence.) 9 BY MS. DAVIDSON: 10 Okay. Explain what Government's Exhibit 169, now 11 that the jury can see it, is. 12 At the very -- starting from the bottom to the top, 13 it tells you when -- the ID tells you who added this 14 information, how it was done, and it says "Add" and the date 15 and time. 16 At the very top, it tells you what's provided to us 17 by the customer, so their account number, the routing number. 18 One of the questions that we asked when you add this is if 19 you're assigned authority, and that's what would determine the 20 to and from on it. So this is what you would add when you pay 21 a bill or want to do a funds transfer, an ACH. 22 Okay. And what is the -- what is the last line here? That last line is -- just indicates that the member, 23 Α

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

on 7/3 at 4:55 p.m. Central Standard Time.

Randall Beane, went on the Internet, the web, added the account

24

25

3.6	~ 7 7		~ =	
$M \cap D : C \supseteq$	Alcala	_	Cross-Exa	amınatınr
nontea	лтсата		CIUDO EA	

Q	Okay.	If you could go back to the big screen,
please.	And can	you blow up the account number and transit
routing	number.	I'd like both of them, please. Thank you.
	_ , ,	

And what account number is that?

- A That's Randall Beane's Social Security number.
- Q And that transit routing number, where does that transit routing number go to?
 - A The Federal Reserve Bank.

MS. DAVIDSON: May I have a moment?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. DAVIDSON: That's all I have of this witness.

THE COURT: Any cross-examination by either

13 defendant?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I have a few questions.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

17 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:

Q Without prejudice, a few questions.

David, do you mind putting Exhibit 169 back up for me, please.

Good afternoon, Ms. Alcala. Am I saying that right?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Thank you. So in Exhibit 169, I have a question, is this produced by your wire room?

A No, ma'am.

Monica Alcala - Cross-Examination

- Q Okay. I'm sorry if I missed it, but could you please repeat who actually produces this report?
 - A This is stored under Randall Beane's profile.
 - Q Okay.

- A Based off of the information that -- on this one, he provided it, the account number, routing number, so we just pull that data from his membership profile.
 - Q So like an activity log?
- A It's like that, but this is for account -- it's account activity, account detail activity.
- Q So any details he wants to change about his account, like settings, this is what this report would be?
- A No. This is for USAA only. So he would not -- he'll see the account number and the routing number, but everything underneath, the activity, that's for USAA only for us to determine when an account was added, when it was verified, if it's been restricted, who restricted it. So this is what we keep as our internal audit log.
- Q Okay. At any time, did you -- at any time -- are you aware of at any time any USAA employee actually going over this log with Mr. Beane?
 - A I don't know that anybody went over it with him.
- Q Okay. I noticed that on Exhibit 168 -- David, if you could help me with that, please. Thank you. On Exhibit 168, and if you could help me blow that up so we could read that

Monica Alcala - Cross-Examination note, please.

So this was July 8th. Correct?

A Correct.

Q 2017. And can you read that -- read that part -- is this actually a note of what was said by a USAA employee?

A Part of it is what was given to him. So I can do it with punctuation, because it doesn't look like they added any.

Q Thank you.

A So Dwayne from Ted Russell Ford called. This is a number that he provided, not necessarily the business phone, but it could be his cell phone.

Q Okay.

A Said that that person called in to verify a check, period. The representative would have told him, no, it's not going to go through, period. And the rep is saying, personally I can't tell him that the account is in credits only.

So the only thing he probably would have -- the rep would have said is that the check is not going to go through.

Q And what does it mean "credits only"?

A "Credits only" means that USAA put it in a status to where no funds could be depleted, but if money was coming into the account, that that would still be allowed.

Q Okay. So not credits that you're waiting for from the Federal Reserve?

A Yes. That would be included as a credits only,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Monica Alcala - Cross-Examination

coming in. So we were still allowing money to come in, but if

we were sending a wire transfer out, ATM withdrawals, or checks

to be debited, any debits from the account would not be

allowed. Any incoming credits, including money from a funds

transfer, was allowed.

Q Okay. Was any of this explained to Mr. Beane regarding the credits only versus if he put money in to deposit? Did anyone at USAA Bank attempt to speak with him about the difference?

A I don't know.

Q Okay. Did you attempt to speak with him about the difference?

A No. At that point that the money was gone, I did not contact him.

Q And why was that?

A At this point, the money had already left and it was considered an investigation. And I do not call out to somebody until I've done enough gathering of information.

Q At what point had you done enough gathering of information, before he was arrested or after he was arrested?

A Before he was arrested, I would have had -everything would have been done on the 10th. We had enough
information showing the routing number, the account number,
where the funds were pulled from, where the money went, so that
would have been on the 10th.

Monica Alcala - Cross-Examination

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}$ On the 10th. Let me see if I have any other questions for you.

A Sure.

Q On the call sheets, the worksheet was for, which was Exhibit 167, which I don't need up, but that was just for calls. Did you have one for actual activity, a worksheet for actual activity of Mr. Beane going in, showing September -- or July 3rd through the 10th?

A That's on the authentication log. I don't remember which exhibit.

Q Oh, that was the authentication?

A Yeah. Just to clarify, this is all USAA employees that contact -- that was opening Mr. Beane's profile. So any time we have to gather information, not just calls, so that spreadsheet that I made was for any time somebody opened up Mr. Beane's profile, whether it be to look for the fraud, because of a call or answering a help line question.

Q Okay. Thank you for that clarification.

A Uh-huh.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I don't have any further questions. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Beane, any questions?

Monica Alcala - Cross-Examination

1 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 2 MR. BEANE: Could we pull up, I think it's 3 The one you're holding there, what is that one? Exhibit 167. 4 MS. DAVIDSON: 167. 5 BY MR. BEANE: 6 What's your last name, again? Q 7 Alcala. 8 How do you spell that? 9 A-l-c-a-l-a. Α 10 I just had USAA Monica on here. I didn't write -- if 11 we go down to the last line here on the 10th, there was a call 12 made that morning at 8:45. Correct? 13 That says that it was internal. 14 It says it was internal? 15 Yes. Α 16 Okay. I do have a question about the recording that 17 was played for the Court concerning the conversation that took place between two USAA employees while I was on hold. 18 19 I wanted to confirm with you for the jury that I was on hold during that call, so I did not hear -- I was not privy 20 21 to the backside of that conversation which is recorded by USAA and not recorded by me? 22 23 Α That's correct. 24 All right. Also, let's go to Exhibit 169, please. Q

- - I don't see any activity between the 3rd and the 6th.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

25

Monica Alcala - Cross-Examination

1 Does that mean there was no activity on the 4th or the 5th?

A For this account, we would have to look at the other exhibits that were provided that showed the payments. This is just, again, for USAA's information to know whether -- when an account was added or when it was verified, confirmed as yours --

Q Okay.

A -- or whether it was restricted. So that does not track money movement or any transactions. It's just --

Q Just open an account is all it tracks?

A It's documenting when you provided us with an external bank.

Q Okay.

A If and when the external bank was confirmed.

Q Okay.

A And if and when we restrict a bank account.

Q Okay. Document 168, please. According to your testimony a few minutes ago, you said my account was set up for credits only. According to my -- what was shown on my phone, it said I needed to sign a signature card. Would that -- why was that posted on my account instead of someone contacting me and asking me what was going on here?

A Right. So I'm not sure why you got that message that you needed a signature card.

Q Is that a valid screen that would show up on my phone UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	18
	Steven Dyer - Direct Examination
1	with USAA?
2	A Depending on if the credits only, so that could
3	trigger it to say because if we didn't have a signature
4	card, that could be another reason.
5	Q Okay. So as a customer, if I saw I needed a
6	signature card, all I would think is I needed a signature card?
7	A Correct.
8	MR. BEANE: Okay. No further questions.
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
10	Any redirect?
11	MS. DAVIDSON: No, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. This witness may
13	be excused.
14	Any additional
15	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Steven Dyer.
16	WHEREUPON,
17	STEVEN DYER,
18	was called as a witness and, after having been first duly
19	sworn, testified as follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Have a seat. Scoot as close

THE WITNESS: Steven Dyer. S-t-e-v-e-n, D-y-e-r.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

as you can. State and spell your name for the record.

And, Mr. Dyer, where do you work?

21

22

23

24

25

BY MS. DAVIDSON:

Steven Dyer - Direct Examination

- A I work at a call center, Teleperformance. It's -
 2 our client is USAA.
 - Q Okay. And Teleperformance, you work at a call center. What does Teleperformance do?
 - A We are just a basic company for different clients.
- 6 Q Okay. And you said a telecommunications company?
- 7 A Yes.

3

4

5

- 8 Q So you answer phone calls?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q And how long have you done?
- 11 A I've done that for a year and a half now.
- 12 Q And what do you do -- do you only take phone calls
 13 for USAA Bank?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q And does your company take phone calls from other 16 banks?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Okay. You personally, do you only take phone calls
 19 from USAA Bank?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And how many calls do you take a day?
- 22 A 40 to 50 calls a day.
- Q Okay. And does USAA make a recording of these calls that you take?
- 25 A Yes, we do.

```
Steven Dyer - Direct Examination
 1
               MS. DAVIDSON: If we could play Government's
 2
     Exhibit 86.
 3
               THE COURT: It's already in evidence?
 4
               MS. DAVIDSON: It is.
 5
               THE COURT: Go ahead.
 6
          (Audio played in open court; not reported.)
               MS. DAVIDSON: Could you pause it?
 7
 8
          (Audio paused in open court.)
 9
     BY MS. DAVIDSON:
10
               Do you recognize your voice?
11
               Yes.
          Α
12
               Is that you?
13
               Yes.
14
              Do you remember this call?
15
          Α
               I do.
16
               MS. DAVIDSON: Okay. Could you play a little bit
17
    more of it?
18
          (Audio played in open court; not reported.)
19
               MS. DAVIDSON: Okay. Could you pause it?
20
          (Audio paused in open court.)
     BY MS. DAVIDSON:
21
22
               So what stood out about this call of all the calls
23
     you take every day?
24
               What stood out, the fact that when I asked him what
25
    bank the trust came from, normal -- normally, you know,
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
```

Steven Dyer - Cross-Examination 1 everybody knows where their trust is. 2 And he didn't tell you? 3 He couldn't tell me. 4 And he had millions of dollars at this bank, Q 5 according to him? 6 Correct. Α 7 And did that seem odd to you? 8 That seemed very odd. Α 9 And did -- if he had said, you know, my trust account Q 10 is at the Federal Reserve, would that have raised red flags to 11 you? 12 Absolutely. Α MS. DAVIDSON: That's all I have of this witness. 13 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 Any cross-examination by either defendant? 16 Mr. Beane? 17 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I just had a quick question. 18 THE COURT: Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, go ahead. 19 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION 21 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: 22 Without prejudice, I just have a couple of quick 23 clarifying questions. And it's Mr. Dyer? 24 Α Yes. 25 Mr. Dyer, you went in there, and, obviously, you read UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Steven Dyer - Cross-Examination his profile -- or opened his profile, I should say. Is that correct? Α Correct. Is that the same profile that's at USAA? Is that Q whose portal you accessed to be able to get that profile? Correct. Okay. And this call was on what date? It was on July 7th. Α And you had stated that after you took some time to read the notice, you had stated that the CD was showing as returned? Α Correct. Okay. Was there any other notes that were there? Α No. It didn't say anything about ACH credits -- or excuse Q

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- me, credits only or anything like that?
- Α It said there were credits only, yes, but it did not have any other notes.
- Can -- David, can you please pull up Exhibit 168, please. And if you could blow it up just so we could see the notes, please.
 - MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, I don't think this witness has any personal knowledge of this note.
- THE COURT: Go ahead and ask the question, see if he does.

Steven Dyer - Cross-Examination

1 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

Q Okay. When you opened up the profile on July 7th, is there a window that looks similar to this one?

Not the highlighted one, just the actual -- can you unhighlight that for me, please, David. Thank you.

Would it look similar to this window?

- A Yes.
- Q Okay. And so the note would be there to the right so you'd be able to see it?
- 10 A The note on the right?
 - Q Is this how it's formatted when you pull up the window?
- 13 A Yes. Yes.
- Q So in that particular window of notes, in there is where you say that it shows that it was ACH -- or excuse me, credits only?
 - A No. Not in there, not in the comments, or the O docs is what we call it.
 - Q Where did you say -- where did you see --
 - A Credits only will be right next to where the checking account, each checking account is.
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 A In a different section.
- Q Okay. And it would say right next to it, "Credits only"?

Steven Dyer - Cross-Examination

- 1 A Right next to each checking account.
- Q Okay. And that's what you saw that day was, "Credits only, credits only"?
 - A Right.
- Where would the returns have been posted, to the right of that?
 - A Yes. On the transaction report.
 - Q Okay. So next to each account, after each account, it said, "Credits only," and then after that it said,
- 10 "Returned"?

4

7

8

9

- 11 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Did it say, "Account not found"?
- 13 A No.
- Q Did it say, "Restricted"?
- 15 A No.
- Q Okay. So just "Returned," that was it?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q And you didn't tell Mr. Beane that said credits only?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 You did tell him that it said credits only?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q Or did you tell him that it said returned?
- 23 A I told him both.
- Q You told him both?
- 25 A Yes.

Steven Dyer - Cross-Examination

- Q Did you explain to him what credits only meant?
- 2 A I don't remember.
 - Q Do you know what credits only means?
- 4 A Yes. That only credits can go in and no debit.
- 5 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: No debits. Okay.
- 6 Thank you. I have no further questions, Mr. Dyer.
- 7 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 8 Mr. Beane, any questions?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. BEANE:

1

3

9

- 11 Q Hello, Mr. Dyer.
- Do you recall asking me about the name of the bank
- and I -- do you recall what my answer to you was?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q What was that answer?
- 16 A Your answer was, "I don't know."
- 17 Q And that?
- 18 A I don't remember the rest.
- 19 Q Did I ask you -- did I say to you that I had written
- 20 it down on a Post-it Note and I left it in my briefcase and I
- 21 didn't have my briefcase with me? Do you remember me saying
- 22 | that statement?
- 23 A I don't remember that.
- MR. BEANE: Okay. Thank you very much.
- 25 THE COURT: Thank you.

193 Steven Dyer - Cross-Examination 1 Any redirect? 2 MS. DAVIDSON: No, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Dyer. You may 4 be excused. 5 MS. DAVIDSON: Want the next one? 6 THE COURT: How many more with rebuttal? 7 MS. DAVIDSON: We have three more, but they're all 8 short. 9 THE COURT: I was hoping to let the jury go by the 10 afternoon break, but we may have to take a break and then come 11 back. Why don't we take about a ten-minute -- try to limit it 12 to ten minutes, come in, and see what we can get done. 13 (Jury out at 3:33 p.m.) 14 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: This honorable court shall 15 stand in recess. 16 (Recess from 3:34 p.m. to 3:43 p.m.) 17 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: This honorable court is back 18 in session. 19 THE COURT: Ready for the next witness? 20 MS. DAVIDSON: Oh, I'm sorry. Terry Wilshire. 21 MS. SVOLTO: Yes. We're ready for the next witness. 22 It's Terry Wilshire. We're recalling Terry Wilshire. 23 THE COURT: Let's bring our jury in.

THE COURT: Thank you. Everyone may be seated.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

24

25

(Jury in at 3:45 p.m.)

	Terry Wilshire - Direct Examination
1	The courtroom deputy will swear in the next witness,
2	please.
3	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: He's been sworn.
4	THE COURT: Oh, excuse me. This witness, you've
5	previously testified?
6	THE WITNESS: I did, sir.
7	THE COURT: That's right. Thank you for the
8	reminder. Remind you you're still under oath for purposes of
9	your trial testimony.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Svolto.
12	MS. SVOLTO: Thank you.
13	WHEREUPON,
14	TERRY WILSHIRE,
15	was called as a witness and, after having been first duly
16	sworn, testified as follows:
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. SVOLTO:
19	Q Captain Wilshire, can you remind us where you work
20	again?
21	A I work for Knox County Sheriff's Department,
22	currently assigned as assistant facility commander at the Roger
23	D. Wilson Detention Facility.
24	Q And so what are some of the primary job
25	responsibilities that you have there?
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

A One of the main ones, I'm over the intake center where everyone is booked and processed upon booking or release. I'm over that, the sex offender registry for Knox County. I'm also over all the database systems that have to do with anything with fingerprints or mug shot information that can be used, over the phone system, also over the 287(g) program.

- Q You mentioned bookings and processing. When you say that, do you mean intake processing?
 - A Yes.

Q And what does that entail exactly?

A When someone comes in, we identify them for who they are by fingerprints and/or mug shot information. We run database searches to ensure we have the proper person in custody for the charges that are going to be presented to the courts.

- Q How are those folks typically brought into the facility?
- A How are they brought in? Usually by the arresting officer and/or a paddy wagon. They may bring in multiple arrestees at once.
- Q In the course of that process, does the facility maintain records for each of the inmates that it's processed?
 - A Yes, we do.
- Q Would those records include forms and information about the previous warrants and things like that?

1 Yeah. We maintain a database that shows all prior 2 arrests by booking number. And then all those have contained 3 any warrants or information that would -- each booking is 4 specific itself. We maintain a hard copy and electronic copy. Are those records kept in the ordinary course of 5 6 business? 7 Α Yes. 8 If I could show for the witness and defense only what's been marked as Government Exhibit 170. 9 10 I'm just going to ask you if you recognize this. Do 11 you recognize this document? 12 Yes. Α 13 All right. Q 14 That's a mug shot taken with our data work system. Α 15 Q Okay. 16 THE COURT: Any objection? 17 MR. McGRATH: I'm sorry. We were --THE COURT: Any objection to this document? 18 19 MR. BEANE: 20 THE COURT: Ms. Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf? 21 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Oh, no, I do not. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. So admitted. 23 (Government's Exhibit 170 admitted into evidence.) 24 BY MS. SVOLTO: 25 All right. So I'm going to move on to Government

Terry Wilshire - Direct Examination 1 Exhibit 171. 2 And do you recognize that document? 3 Yes. That was in the inmate's file. That's a copy Α 4 of the warrant that he was wanted for in South Carolina. 5 All right. And is this record also kept in the 6 ordinary course of business? 7 Yes, it is. Α 8 MS. SVOLTO: I'd like to go ahead and move to admit 9 that. 10 THE COURT: Any objection? MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: No objection. 11 12 THE COURT: Mr. Beane? 13 MR. BEANE: No. 14 MS. SVOLTO: I'd like to --15 THE COURT: So admitted. 16 MS. SVOLTO: Thank you. Sorry. 17 (Government's Exhibit 171 admitted into evidence.) 18 THE COURT: Show it to the jury now. 19 MS. SVOLTO: I'm going to go through them 20 individually. I'd like to go ahead and admit them. BY MS. SVOLTO: 21 22 Can we go to Exhibit 173. Is there a second page to 23 that document? All the way through. That's the end. 24 Do you recognize that document as well? 25 Yes, I do. Α

- Q And also kept in the ordinary course of business?
- 2 A Yes, it's also kept electronically through our jail management system.
- 4 MS. SVOLTO: All right. I'd like to move to admit.
- 5 Could you go back one page, David?
- 6 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. No objection.
- 7 THE COURT: Mr. Beane, any objection?
- 8 Ms. Tucci-Jarraf --
- 9 MR. BEANE: No.
- 10 THE COURT: -- had no objection. Do you have any
- 11 | objection?

1

- MR. BEANE: No.
- 13 THE COURT: Thank you. So admitted.
- 14 (Government's Exhibit 173 admitted into evidence.)
- 15 BY MS. SVOLTO:
- 16 Q All right. Government's Exhibit 174.
- Do you recognize this document?
- 18 A Yes, I do.
- 19 Q And I think there's a second page to this document,
- 20 too, David.
- 21 All right. Also kept in the ordinary course of
- 22 | business?
- 23 A Yes, it is.
- MS. SVOLTO: All right. Go ahead and move to admit
- 25 that exhibit now.

Terry Wilshire - Direct Examination 1 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: No objection. 2 THE COURT: No objection by Ms. Tucci-Jarraf. 3 Any objection, Mr. Beane? 4 MR. BEANE: No. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. So admitted. 6 (Government's Exhibit 174 admitted into evidence.) 7 BY MS. SVOLTO: 8 I'd like to show you now what's been marked for 9 identification as Government's collective Exhibit 175. This is 10 175A. 11 Do you recognize that document? 12 Yes, I do. Α 13 What is this document? 14 That's a -- we call it a cover sheet. It's actually 15 an initial booking sheet. Whenever we house someone that's 16 newly brought in, it's starts the course of our process. So it 17 shows the date and time we received the person, then when we 18 started our pat-down process, and all the way through the 19 release of the inmate. 20 All right. Could we go to 175B, please? Q 21 And you recognize this document as well? 22 I do. Α 23 And 175C, do you recognize? Q 24 Yes. Α 25 And 175D? Q

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And are all of these documents kept in the ordinary 3 course of business at the facility?
- 4 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q And they're kept at or near the times that the events occurred?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q I'd like to move to admit Government's collective 9 Exhibit 175.
- 10 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: No objection.
- 11 THE COURT: Mr. Beane, no objection?
- MR. BEANE: No objection.
- 13 THE COURT: Thank you. So admitted.
- 14 (Government's Exhibit 175 admitted into evidence.)
- 15 BY MS. SVOLTO:
- 16 Q All right. If we could go to 175A, please, 17 affidavit.
- 18 All right. So you mentioned this document is inmate processing form?
- 20 A Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q Is that filled out the time the inmate arrives at the 22 facility?
- A It is. It's completed as they go through the processing.
- Q So that would be shortly after their arrest?

 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

A Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

19

Q And so if we could go to the intake date and time there, and the inmate name right underneath it all the way to the end of that page.

What's the intake date here?

- A It's July 11th, 2017.
- Q And the numbers next to it, does that indicate the time?
 - A Yeah. By hours, military time, 16:55.
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A Or 16:35, I'm sorry.
- 12 Q All right. And so would that be 4:35?
- 13 A 4:35, yes, ma'am.
 - Q In the afternoon. So if we could highlight where it says "Inmate Name," and the line. Perfect.
 - So it looks like there's something crossed out there.

 Could you read that for the jury?
- 18 A Yeah, it's "Doe, comma, John," like John Doe.
 - Q Why does it indicate John Doe there?
- 20 A If we have a person who will not tell us who they are
 21 when they first come to be arrested, we mark them as John Doe
 22 until we find out who they are through fingerprinting and/or
 23 any other methods we need to identify the person.
- 24 Q Is that what happened here?
- 25 A Yes.

- Q And so how was the inmate in this case identified?
- A By fingerprint identification. We actually took his fingerprints and was waiting for a response from TBI and FBI, and we actually went ahead and sent them off to the FBI directly and asked them to do an online search for us -- or offline search, if you will -- and they returned back a letter to us telling what his true identity was.
 - Q All right. So is that when the form was completed?
- 9 A Yes.

- Q Okay. And so if we could go to 175B, please.
- Is this another form that's filled out during the intake processing?
- A It's filled out usually prior to processing, yes.

 It's an arrest report.
 - Q Okay. And who fills this document out?
 - A Normally, the arresting officer does.
 - Q All right. And if we could -- if we could highlight on the "Personal Information" section.
 - And what does that say there?
 - A John Doe referral to -- refused to give information. Then city, state, ZIP Code, refused; home phone, refused; and all the other information on the side, height, weight, eye color, hair color, says refused.
 - Q Indicating the inmate refused to answer any questions about that?

A Uh-huh.

- Q To the arresting officer or to which --
- A This is filled out by my staff upon entry. They brought him, and upon entry, talking to my staff, they informed me that he wouldn't answer any questions, tell who he was, so they filled out this report to start initial report for him.
- Q So this was done at the time of intake, and that is when the inmate there refused to answer those questions?
 - A Yes, ma'am.
 - Q Does that delay the processing time?
- A It does, because we start pretty much from scratch. Even if someone gives us a name, we still refer that as the name referred to upon the arrest. No matter what they would tell an arresting officer, we still consider that name as not being the completed name until we get fingerprint proof and responses back from somewhere saying who the person is.
 - Q Thank you. Could we go ahead and go to 175C.

 And what's this document?
- A That's a document we -- every inmate that comes in to processing, we actually screen them for medical and mental issues, any needs they may need initially upon entry, so we can classify them and make sure they get any medical attention they need upon entry.
- On this one, this Officer Mobley that did the initial screening, he put down refusal to sign. He refused to sign

1 this.

Q All right. So then -- so there he refused to sign it. And there's a name and date of birth, so forth at the bottom there.

Could you highlight? Thanks.

And so how do we know -- if he refused to sign, how do we know that it's an individual by this name?

A Well, they could have done the screening, but he refused to sign it. This record is what we keep on file to show that we gave them the opportunity to give us all information, that they sign certain things saying they told us the truth about their medical information. Also some releases so if something happened, when you get medical records from another facility, they're signing for that as well. That's what you're signing on this part.

- Q And so by refusing to sign, did he -- and what's the name on there?
 - A Randall Keith Beane.
 - Q And so did Beane then refuse the medical review?
- A I haven't reviewed the medical review on it. I couldn't tell you that part, but I can tell you he refused to sign it. That's all I can state on this one.
 - Q Thank you.

So if we could go back to Government Exhibit 171.

All right. And what is this document?

A This is a faxed copy of the warrant from South

Carolina showing what they wanted -- he was wanted for, showing

the case numbers and the charges and the date issued.

Q All right. So is this document important when processing an inmate that's been arrested?

A It can be. The biggest -- the biggest thing we use for fugitives from justice is, of course, the teletype that comes in from the agency. If someone has a warrant on them, when an officer runs it, they have to do what's called a locate, which sends that agency, we have this person in custody, and we'd like to know if we wish to extradite on them or not. And the response you get from that is what's most important at that time, saying if they will or are not willing to extradite. Because without that, you can't do a fugitive from justice warrant.

- Q Okay. And --
- A Start the process.
- Q I'm sorry. And so you need that information in order to get a fugitive from justice warrant?
- 20 A Yeah.

- Q Can you explain to us what a fugitive from justice warrant is?
- A Sure. Any time a subject is wanted from another state outside of the state of Tennessee or any other state, the state will enter the warrant into NCIC, which is National Crime

Terry Wilshire - Direct Examination

Information Center. It's what all law enforcement uses. It's a repository for criminal justice information, also for wants data.

If you run someone's name, and they get a hit on them, what's called a hit, it will show the warrant that's outstanding for that agency. The agency has so many minutes at that point in time to actually respond back to that hit and let that agency know, "Hey, we've got that person in custody. And would you like to either extradite them or place a hold? What do you want to do at this point in time?"

In fact, it works such intricately, that if you don't do that response, if you fingerprint the person, the NCIC will actually pick up on the fingerprints and will send you an automated hit, saying this person is in custody and they're wanted. It's got backups.

Q I have two questions really to your testimony just now.

First, you mentioned extradition and having an individual extradited. Can you explain to us what that means?

A Sure. A state -- you can't just surrender someone's person to another state without them giving consent initially.

Q Who would have to give consent initially?

A The person, the actual arrestee would have to. The person wanted would have to give consent. It's called a waiver of an extradition they sign.

So what we do is we do an FFJ warrant, which is fugitive from justice. We take it in front of our general sessions court.

THE REPORTER: Would you slow down?

THE WITNESS: Sure. Sorry. Sure. We take it in front of general sessions court, and the sessions judge will actually read the warrant to them, and tell them what they're wanted for, and give them the option to either sign waiver of extradition, saying they agree to go back willingly to that state, or they can refuse to sign.

And at that point in time, if they refuse to sign, they can seek what's called a governor's warrant.

- Q And when someone is extradited, does that mean they're sent off to where the warrant was issued?
 - A Yes.

- Q So if the warrant was issued in South Carolina, if they were to be extradited, they would be extradited to South Carolina?
 - A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. Another question I had based on your testimony was NCIC, what does that stand for again?
 - A National Crime Information Center.
 - Q And who has access to that?
- A Law enforcement mainly.
 - Q Do members of the general public have access to NCIC?

 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

A No, ma'am.

Q And the information that's available through NCIC, what -- excuse me, what information is available through NCIC?

A Lots of things. Your criminal histories are all maintained there based off fingerprint submissions. So any time you're arrested, your fingerprints are submitted to them electronically through TBI in the state of Tennessee, or whatever state agency you are. Your fingerprints actually get submitted to FBI, and that's how they maintain the database of what your charges are.

A lot of people think it's name, date of birth, and demographics, but it's not. It's mainly by fingerprints. Of course, they interact with INLETS, which stores driver's license information, car information. There's lots of systems that are part of NCIC that law enforcement uses on a daily basis.

Q Thank you. If we could go to Government's Exhibit 173.

And now what is this?

- A That's a criminal complaint warrant.
- Q All right. And what is the criminal complaint warrant based on?
 - A That's based on an affidavit from an affiant that states that a crime has been committed and violation has been done by TCA law -- yeah, TCA law in state of Tennessee.

- 1 Q Okay. Could we go to Page 2 of that document.
 - All right. And is this the affidavit of complaint that you referred to?
 - A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

- Q All right. Could we highlight the all caps section.
- And to be clear, this complaint is what forms the basis for the warrant that the inmate is arrested on when he comes to your facility?
- A Correct.
- Q So is this what is used for the fugitive from justice warrant?
- 12 A This is the fugitive from justice warrant, yes, it 13 is.
 - Q All right. So this is the information that is used to -- to get an arrest of a fugitive?
- 16 A Yes.
 - Q Which would mean someone who's wanted perhaps in a different -- from a different jurisdiction or who's wanted from a different location?
- 20 A Yes, ma'am.
 - Q Okay. And could we go -- could you read -- starting at, I guess, right -- go ahead and read from the beginning, it might be easier that way.
 - A The defendant is a fugitive from justice -THE REPORTER: Whoa, whoa, whoa, whoa, whoa.

Terry Wilshire - Direct Examination
THE WITNESS: Sorry.

"The defendant is a fugitive from justice, Tenn. Code Ann. 40-9-103. On Wednesday, July 12th, 2017 at 05:30 hours, the affiant came in contact with the defendant at 5001 Maloneyville Road. The defendant did unlawfully, knowingly, and willfully flee from Colorado to Knoxville, Tennessee to avoid prosecution for failure to appear. NCIC confirmed the defendant is a wanted person. ORI is Jasper, Colorado Sheriff's Office, SC027000. NIC" -- or NIC number --"is W373601642. Extradition confirmed."

BY MS. SVOLTO:

- Q That's good. Thank you.
- A Okay.
- Q So here it says that the -- there is a -- that the defendant is a fugitive from justice, failure to appear, and then it says Jasper, Colorado. So it is this -- is this a fugitive from justice warrant that relates to Randall Keith Beane?

A The -- the bulk of this is. There was one typo by the commissioner's clerk that put the word "Colorado" in there. This is actually South Carolina. There's other identifiers in this document that tell you what it is, that really makes the sense of it.

First of all, there's -- I wish I could show you, but right where it says Jasper County Sheriff's Office, the SC

Terry Wilshire - Direct Examination
number, that's an ORI, is what that's called. That's an
originating agency number, and that's what's on the actual NCIC
wanted page, which shows the South Carolina 027, which that's
the county number for South Carolina, which shows that was the
area the person was wanted in.

And, of course, the NIC number is a great tool also. The NIC number is the wanted number that's entered in NCIC national. And there's only one NIC number per want. So that number is kind of like a serial number for the want.

- Q So this particular affidavit contains -- it's inaccurate as to Colorado?
 - A Yes. That was inaccurate.

- Q So what should it have said?
- A Should have said South Carolina. The CO was meant to be county. And when the clerk -- apparently, what we can figure out is, the clerk, when they saw "Jasper CO," they thought it meant Jasper, Colorado. So they typed it in incorrectly. And then we had a staff member that actually -- this was actually released and a new one was issued right immediately after we found the problem.
 - Q All right. So could we go to Government Exhibit 174.

 And so what is this document?
- A It's the secondary, the FFJ that was taken to correct the first one's typo.
 - Q All right. Can we go to Page 2, David. Thank you.

 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Terry Wilshire - Direct Examination 1 Could we highlight that all-caps area there. 2 I'm not going to make you read the whole thing, but 3 does this contain any corrections? 4 Yeah. The Jasper part, the Jasper County, instead of Α 5 Jasper, Colorado, now it reads correctly. ORI is Jasper County 6 Sheriff's Office. Of course, it contains the same NIC number 7 and same South Carolina ORI number, identifying the county and the state. 8 9 All right. Could we go to Page 1 of this document? 10 That's all right. Actually, if you could go to the bottom. 11 There we go. If you go to the middle sort of column there in 12 that document, all the way to the bottom. All right. And so 13 there we go. 14 This -- this notation down here, what does that 15 indicate? 16 It just means it was returned as Forrest Wallace was 17 a pro se attorney for the defendant. Shows he was available for court dates. 18 19 So the notation there to the left of that line, ATTY 20 dot, does that mean attorney for defendant? 21 Attorney for defendant. Α And so then there's a name that's indicated there? 22 23 Uh-huh. The Forrest Wallace? Α 24 Uh-huh. Okay. All right. So let me go ahead and Q 25 show you what has already been admitted as evidence in this

1 case, Government's Exhibit 165. 2 And, in fact, what is this document? That's a bench warrant from Jasper county, South 3 Α 4 Carolina. 5 And so with these documents, were you able to confirm 6 that there was a warrant out of Jasper County, South Carolina? 7 This was just actually extra information. A Α 8 lot of times, the agencies don't even send the copy of the 9 warrant. We have the NCIC hit and the locate with their 10 indication they would extradite, and confirmation they have the 11 warrant on file was plenty. 12 All right. Thank you. There was confirmation that Q South Carolina would extradite here? 13 14 Yes, ma'am. Α 15 All right. Could we go to Government's Exhibit 175D. Q 16 All right. And what is this document? That is a waiver of extradition. 17 Α Could we highlight the waiver of extradition 18 19 paragraph at the top there? 20 And so what does this paragraph indicate. 21 It's indicating just in short that the person in 22 charge of the FFJ is willing to return willingly back to the 23 area that's wanting him, the jurisdiction that's wanting him 24 for the outstanding warrant.

And there's that signature of the defendant there?

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

25

Terry Wilshire - Direct Examination

- 1 A Uh-huh.
- 2 Q So it's the defendant that's waiving that?
- 3 A Yes.
- Q And is that the defendant that we've been discussing here, Randall Beane?
- A It appears to be so, yes.
- 7 Q All right. Could you zoom back out?
- 8 And is there a date on this?
- 9 A Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q And what date is that?
- 11 A It's got -- it does have a date. It's got July, but 12 it does have -- July of 2017. It doesn't have that date on it 13 for some reason. Didn't put the actual date of the day.
- 14 Q But there he waived extradition. Correct?
- 15 A Uh-huh.
- Q All right. Do you also take photographs of inmates
 when they're being processed upon arrival?
- 18 A We do.
- 19 Q All right. I have a -- I have an exhibit just for 20 the witness and defense only, please.
- 21 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Want the camera?
- MS. SVOLTO: I want the Elmo. Thank you.
- 23 BY MS. SVOLTO:

24

25

Q I'd like to show you what's been marked as Government Exhibit 176. I think that's as far back as I can go.

Terry Wilshire - Direct Examination 1 Do you recognize this? 2 Yes. It's a profile picture. We take -- it's one of two profiles we take left and right of every person who's 3 4 arrested. 5 Okay. I'd like to go ahead and show you Government 6 Exhibit 170. And that's on the TrialDirector. 7 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. 8 MS. SVOLTO: Thank you. Okay. And this has already been admitted as Government's Exhibit 170. 9 10 I would like to move to admit Government Exhibit 176. THE COURT: Without objection, so admitted. 11 12 (Government's Exhibit 176 admitted into evidence.) MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I'm sorry. 170 and --13 14 THE COURT: 176 is the side profile picture. 15 MS. SVOLTO: I'm sorry. That's my fault. I also 16 have --17 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: We didn't receive pictures. 18 That's why I'm asking. 19 MS. SVOLTO: I'm showing them. 20 THE COURT: We'll admit 176. 21 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I don't have any objection. 22 BY MS. SVOLTO: 23 All right. I do want to show just for defense and 24 the witness only, and it's on the Elmo. Thank you. 25 Government's Exhibit 177 -- what has been marked as UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Terry Wilshire - Direct Examination 1 Government's Exhibit 177. 2 And you recognize that? 3 Yes. It's the second profile picture. Α 4 MS. SVOLTO: All right. Move to admit Government's Exhibit 177. 5 6 THE COURT: Without objection, so admitted. 7 (Government's Exhibit 177 admitted into evidence.) 8 BY MS. SVOLTO: 9 All right. So we'll go ahead and publish to the jury 10 Government's Exhibit 177. 11 And so this is -- what is this, for the jury, so they 12 know? 13 That would be a right profile picture. 14 And is this a picture of the inmate that the 15 documents we've been discussing refer to? 16 Α Yes, it is. 17 Q Is that Randall Beane? 18 Α Yes. 19 And so this was taken at the time he was processed? Q 20 Α Yes. 21 All right. And same for Government Exhibit 176? Q That's the left profile. 22 Α 23 Okay. Also taken at the time of his processing? Q 24 Yes. Α 25 All right. And now Government Exhibit 170, and Q UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Terry Wilshire - Direct Examination 1 that's on TrialDirector. I'm done with the Elmo. 2 And so this photograph, is this taken of every inmate 3 when they are processed? 4 Yes, ma'am. Α 5 And is this a photograph of Randall Beane? 6 Yes, ma'am. Α 7 And it's his front shot? 8 Yes. Α 9 And this was taken when he was arrested. Right? Q 10 Α Yes. 11 MS. SVOLTO: That's all I have. 12 THE COURT: Any cross-examination? 13 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I'm going to, but it's -- I don't 14 know how much time you wanted to --15 THE COURT: How much cross do you have? 16 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I have quite a few questions 17 regarding the worksheets and the forms that they have. 18 THE COURT: Do you mind coming back in the morning, 19 Officer Wilshire, and completing your examination at nine o'clock? 20 21 THE WITNESS: Sure. 22 THE COURT: I appreciate it. We'll excuse you for 23 now. So I'm going to let the jury go a little bit early today.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

You can go ahead and step down while I'm talking to

24

25

the jury.

So I do believe -- I'm going to talk to counsel -
the only reason I'm going to stop a little early today -- about

some other matters. One is the scheduling. I'm anticipating

right now we'll probably get to closing arguments tomorrow,

so -- but you've not heard all the evidence in the case yet,

including rebuttal evidence. So I caution you to continue to

keep an open mind as you hear all the evidence.

Keep in mind my reminders about not talking about the case with anyone, including among yourselves and -- or anyone else, and not reading anything that may be recorded on this case.

With that being said, we'll see the jury back here at 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning, which will be Wednesday, January 31.

(Jury out at 4:13 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right, Ms. Davidson -- everyone may be seated. Thank you.

You have two short, so to speak, rebuttal witness after this witness?

MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Does either defendant, at least at this point in time, anticipate any rebuttal testimony?

MR. McGRATH: I was just -- I'm sorry.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: No. Go ahead.

MR. McGRATH: I was discussing that with Mr. Beane.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2021

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

22

24

25

Terry Wilshire - Direct Examination
We would like the chance to go over that. He was asking me about that right now.

THE COURT: Well, y'all discuss that. Because as soon as the government finishes, one or the other, I don't know which order, but we'll ask you tomorrow morning, probably pretty shortly after they finish, if there's any rebuttal testimony to be presented by either defendant.

And after that's done, and after everybody rests on their case in their entirety, we would give defendants the opportunity to renew their Rule 29 motions, and the government [sic] would rule on those motions before we went into closing arguments to the jury.

Let me ask, start with the government, do you have anticipation as to how long you want for closings?

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor --

THE COURT: In total, including rebuttal.

MS. DAVIDSON: 45 minutes.

THE COURT: So roughly 30 and 15?

MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, I'm doing both closings.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that's 45 minutes. So the defendants can divide up 45 minutes. That's roughly 20, to 22 minutes apiece. So just keep that in mind after you prepare your closings, because it looks like we will get to closings tomorrow.

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, may I have -- I said 45

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Terry Wilshire - Direct Examination minutes. Right? Can I have 40 and --

THE COURT: Want 50 minutes?

MS. DAVIDSON: 35 and 10, I think is how I want to divide it.

THE COURT: I'm going to give you 35 and 15, and then I can divide it up a little easier.

MS. DAVIDSON: I won't take that long, but I know that the front part of my argument is way longer than my last.

THE COURT: That's fine. The math is a little easier that way. All right. We'll give 50 minutes to the government and 50 minutes divided equally to the defendants for their closing arguments.

If you-all talk and, Mr. Beane, if you want -- since there's more counts against you, if you want to divide that more in your favor, you-all can talk about that before you leave court today, but otherwise, we'll do 25 and 25.

I want the parties and counsel and their standby counsel to meet with my law clerk just over here at side counsel. We'll adjourn court, so people in the audience know, but sometimes I have, you know, what I call informal charge conferences.

But just in follow-up to our formal charge conference yesterday, we've got a few revisions we want to discuss with you. And then you-all were going to do some research on the one charge about -- I guess the three methods of money

Terry Wilshire - Direct Examination laundering and the unanimity instruction.

So we've got some proposed language, but we want to hear from you-all as well. So if you'll meet with my law clerk just over here at the side bench after we adjourn court, that will allow us to take your input into consideration as we finalize the jury charge.

Remind the defendants, if you -- you probably need to think about this overnight and have prepared tomorrow, if you want, and my law clerk will show -- remind you with the particular jury charge page, if you want to submit a defendant's theory as part of the jury charge, you'll need to have that ready in the morning for the Court to review at the conclusion of all the evidence.

I think that takes care of everything.

Main thing is, be prepared as soon as -- the main thing, to reiterate, as soon as the government finishes with its rebuttal testimony, we'll turn to the defendants respectively, and your standby counsel can discuss with you, they're very capable attorneys, what the scope of rebuttal testimony is.

Mr. Lloyd and Mr. McGrath, I'd ask you to do that with your -- with the respective parties, if you could, after you finish the discussion with my law clerk. Okay?

MS. DAVIDSON: Okay.

THE COURT: So otherwise, we'll see everybody back
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Terry Wilshire - Direct Examination

here at -- why don't we plan, just depending on what happens

with your discussion about the charge conference, why doesn't

everybody plan to be here at 8:45, in case we need to take up

anything before the jury comes back in.

All right. We'll see everybody back -- we're

All right. We'll see everybody back -- we're adjourned until 8:45 tomorrow morning.

(Proceedings recessed at 4:18 p.m.)

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF TENNESSEE
3	COUNTY OF KNOX
4	I, Rebekah M. Lockwood, RPR, CRR, do hereby certify
5	that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the
6	foregoing proceedings; and that the foregoing pages constitute
7	a true and complete computer-aided transcription of my original
8	stenographic notes to the best of my knowledge, skill, and
9	ability.
10	I further certify that I am not a relative, employee,
11	attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative
12	or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel
13	connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in
14	the action.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand at
16	Knoxville, Knox County, Tennessee this 22nd day of April, 2018.
17	
18	
19	
20	REBEKAH M. LOCKWOOD, RPR, CRR
21	Official Court Reporter United States District Court
22	Eastern District of Tennessee
23	
24	
25	