IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, Case No.: 3:17-CR-82 vs. RANDALL KEITH BEANE AND HEATHER ANN TUCCI-JARRAF, Defendants.

VOLUME III of VIII

JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS A. VARLAN

January 25, 2018 9:08 a.m. to 4:50 p.m.

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: CYNTHIA F. DAVIDSON, ESQUIRE

ANNE-MARIE SVOLTO, ESQUIRE

Assistant United States Attorney United States Department of Justice Office of the United States Attorney

800 Market Street

Suite 211

Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

FOR THE DEFENDANT: RANDALL KEITH BEANE, PRO SE

Blount County Detention Center RANDALL BEANE

920 East Lamar Alexander Parkway

Maryville, Tennessee 37904

FOR THE DEFENDANT:
(As Elbow Counsel) STEPHEN G. McGRATH, ESQUIRE

9111 Cross Park Drive

Suite D-200

Knoxville, Tennessee 37923

REPORTED BY:

Rebekah M. Lockwood, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter (865) 210-6698 P.O. Box 1823 Knoxville, Tennessee 37901-1823

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):

FOR THE DEFENDANT: HEATHER ANN TUCCI-JARRAF, PRO SE

HEATHER ANN 105 Orchard Lane

TUCCI-JARRAF Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

FOR THE DEFENDANT: FRANCIS LLOYD, ESQUIRE (As Elbow Counsel) 9111 Cross Park Drive

Suite D-200

Knoxville, Tennessee 37923

REPORTED BY:

Rebekah M. Lockwood, RPR, CRR
Official Court Reporter
(865) 210-6698
P.O. Box 1823
Knoxville, Tennessee 37901-1823

1	INDEX		
2	GOVE	RNMENT'S WITNESSES	PAGE
3	JERALD BYRNE Cross-Examination by Mr. Beane		5
4 5	Cross-Examination by Ms. Tucci-Jarraf Redirect Examination by Ms. Svolto Recross-Examination by Mr. Beane		17 87 97
6	Recross-Examination by Ms. Tucci-Jarraf		104
7	LAUREN PALMISANO Direct Examination by Ms. Svolto		111
8	Cross-Examination by Ms. Tucci-Jarraf Cross-Examination by Mr. Beane		143 172
9	SEAN O'MALLEY Direct Examination by Ms. Davidson		
10	Cross-Examination by Ms. Tucci-Jarraf		198
11	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBITS (ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)		
12	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
13 14	98	Buddy Gregg Email - RK Beane Duly Verified Proof and Declaration of complete ownership title and authority	119
15	153	Whitney Buddy Gregg Motor Incoming Wire	119
16 17	154	Whitney Email - HATJ - Confirmation of Duly Authorized Fed Wire Transfer for full payment of Coach 07-11-2017 447PM	119
18	154A	Attachment - 070717 Fed Wire from FT-OD-rkb-092967	119
19	155	Whitney Email - HATJ - Copy of the Paradigm Report incorporated into Factualized Trust 07-12-2017 134PM	119
20 21	155A	Attachment - Paradigm-Document	119
22	157	Whitney Email - HATJ - Copy of the Paradigm Report incorporated into Factualized Trust 07-12-2017 644PM	119
23	158	Whitney Denial Letter to USAA Bank 07-12-2017	119
24	163	HATJ Email to Whitney Re declaration & factualized	119
25		trust	
		Rebekah M. Lockwood, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter (865) 210-6698 P.O. Box 1823 Knoxville, Tennessee 37901-1823	

1 GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBITS (ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE) (CONTINUED) 2 NO. DESCRIPTION **PAGE** 3 163A Attachment - Declaration 119 4 163B Attachment-Trust 119 5 Fedwire Appended Information 189 164 6 162 Printout of Internet page for FAQs from Board of 195 7 Governors of the Federal Reserve System website 8 DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS (ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE) 9 NO. DESCRIPTION **PAGE** 10 1 Drawing of Buddy Gregg Motor Home property 68 11 Google Map printout of Buddy Gregg property 74 12 Hand drawing of Buddy Gregg facility 87 13 87 Google Map of Buddy Gregg facility 14 2A Google Map of Buddy Gregg with markings 87 15 98 3 Copy of 1649 Protection of Government Property -Goods in Transit 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Rebekah M. Lockwood, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter (865) 210-6698 P.O. Box 1823

Knoxville, Tennessee 37901-1823

Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination 1 (Call to Order of the Court) 2 THE COURT: Morning, everyone. Looks like we're 3 ready. 4 Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, are you going first on cross-examination? 5 6 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: No. Mr. Beane. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Beane. Okay. Then we'll start in 8 that regard. Bring our jury in. 9 (Jury in at 9:08 a.m.) 10 THE COURT: Thank you. Everyone may be seated. Good morning to our members of the jury. Hope everyone had a 11 12 pleasant evening. 13 If you will recall, the government finished with its 14 direct examination of this witness yesterday afternoon, so the 15 defendants now have the opportunity for cross-examination. I 16 believe the defendant Mr. Beane is going to begin with 17 cross-examination. 18 Mr. Beane. 19 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 20 BY MR. BEANE: 21 Good morning, Mr. Byrne. Q 22 Morning. How are you? Α 23 I'm good. How are you? Q 24 Good. Thank you. Α 25 I want to ask you a few questions about some of the Q UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination 1 stuff I heard you testifying on yesterday. 2 This is the -- okay. 3 THE COURT: Is this a copy of a previously introduced 4 exhibit? 5 MR. BEANE: Yes, one minute. 6 THE COURT: Government's 116? 7 MR. BEANE: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 THE WITNESS: Trying to get to it there. That's a 10 worksheet, Preliminary Work Sheet. BY MR. BEANE: 11 12 Yesterday you made mention whoever had filled this out evidently didn't know what they were doing? 13 14 No. I said that the date was wrong on the top of it. 15 See, every time something is entered into our system, which is 16 the second the customer comes in, when you walk in and somebody 17 meets you, greets you, and your information goes in the system. It's time stamped in our -- in our computer system. 18 19 All right. This Mr. Lassetter, Dan Lassetter, is Q 20 that his name? 21 Yes. Yes, sir. Α He's a salesman. Correct? 22

> Α I'm sorry?

23

24

25

He's a salesman. Correct? Q

Yes, he is. Α

- Q Mr. Lassetter is one of the first people I met at Buddy Gregg and I actually did meet him on the 5th. Do you remember that day?
 - A No, sir. It wasn't entered in the system.
- Q I actually spent several hours there with Mr. Lassetter looking at coaches and sitting in his office and conversing about how he got in the luxury motor home business. I'm wondering why it would have not been put in I was there on the 5th?
- A It says here date of the 5th. It's not in the system on the 5th. It means that --
 - Q But I was there --
- 13 THE REPORTER: I need you to speak one at a time.
- 14 BY MR. BEANE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

25

- Q Okay.
 - A There's no entity created.
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A The entity was created on the 6th. The entity number 19 is 1056552.
- 20 Q Okay.
- A And it was entered somewhere in the vicinity, I

 believe, if I -- I pulled it up when I was -- I spoke with

 earlier on by the folks from -- from the prosecution. The

 first entry was at 10:52 on -- on 7/6/17.
 - Q Okay. Would it be possible that he saved the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination paperwork and did it the next morning after I left?

A I guess anything could have happened. But there is a receptionist that enters this stuff up front. Dan would have had to get this sheet from the receptionist. So it would have been entered the second Dan got the sheet. They're not -- as you can see, they're numbered at the top. This isn't something that just randomly flows throughout the dealership. It's something that's controlled so we understand what the traffic flow is.

Q My main point I wanted to point out was Mr. Lassetter was the first person I met. I don't recall meeting you until the 10th. Do you remember meeting me before the 10th?

A Yes. Well, I shook your hand out in the -- in the -- on the -- I guess it would be the foyer right there in front.

Spoke with you on -- it would have been -- if I could have that calendar again, I believe it was the 8th I spoke with you.

And I -- forgive me, are you Heather? Okay. I just never put the face -- it would have been on the -- on the 8th, I spoke with you first. On the 10th, I believe is when we started having the phone call between USAA and ourselves. So I know I spoke with you plenty on the 10th. But on the 8th was our first conversation.

- Q Okay. That was on a Saturday?
- A It would have been on a Saturday. We would have scanned -- we would have scanned the documents on Friday for

1 the --

Q Right.

A -- for the wire transfer. We also would have -- we would have gotten a copy of a -- of a -- of the trust, so the conversation would have been -- it would have been on the 8th.

Q Okay. So I've got another document here. Talking about the delivery date yesterday, you said it was delivered on the 8th. According to this document, it was delivered on the 7th. It actually was delivered on the 7th, because I actually spent the night in it on the 7th.

A It was walked on the 7th. It was walked on the 7th. The delivery would have been -- correct, the delivery would have been the second the money hits Whitney account, which was, I believe, somewhere on the 8th.

Q Let me find this document.

A At ten, I believe that was like 10:17 or 12:17 or something like that on Saturday.

Q Okay. I apologize for taking so long here.

A That's all right.

Q We decided last minute I was going to go first. I thought I was going to have a little more time to get ready.

Let me get this thing out of here, see if I can help out.

A Basically, what I assume you're trying to find is the registration through Spartan.

- Q Through Entegra Coach?
- A Through Entegra and Spartan. Yeah, that's a preliminary list saying we walked the coach with you. Doesn't give you delivery of the coach, because we don't have the funds of the coach. So you walked it on the 7th, because we don't have anybody to walk it on the 8th for you.
 - Q I actually walked it and left with it on the 7th.
 - A And then --
 - Q Exhibit 129.
- THE COURT: Let's show this document. This is Government's Exhibit 129. You want to ask him a question about that, Mr. Beane?
- 13 MR. BEANE: Yeah, that's the one I wanted to confirm
 14 this delivery date on the 7th here.
- 15 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. This is 120.
- 16 THE COURT: 129.
- THE WITNESS: 129. Okay. This is the -- this is basically the walk. It says that we -- we've walked the unit with you.
- 20 BY MR. BEANE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

22

23

24

25

- 21 Q Right.
 - A You've went through -- you've went through your -- you know, your final walk-on, see, understand the operations of the coach. We frequently let you spend the night without the money. The coach will leave the premises. It will leave the

- premises on a test drive, contingency upon something, but the coach was returned back to the dealership. It didn't spend the night out on -- on Friday night.
 - Q I actually stayed on the property there on the coach.
 - A On the property, correct.
- Q The coach, I was given all documentation, all owner's manuals, both sets of keys?
 - A That's right.
- Q And it was hooked up to power and water for me that night --
- A Correct.
- Q -- to stay on the property.
- 13 A Correct.

- Q But I had the opportunity to take the coach at that point if I decided to?
- A And that would have been a problem, but, I mean, I guess you could have drove off the lot with it.
- Q That was never discussed with me. I was told it was my coach at that point, I could do whatever I choose to do.
- A Well, if the funds aren't in the account, we're not letting the coach leave the premises. I mean, we'll let it leave, but it's got to return for it -- it's got to stay on property.
- Q I guess my question to you is, at that point, being that I had both sets of keys and all the paperwork and the

1 warranties, all the information I needed, I could have left

2 that night. Correct?
3 A Our security

A Our security here probably would have had a problem with it, but I guess if you could have gotten around him, you could have left.

Q Right. I had a gate code to get in?

A Oh, absolutely. Yeah. Yeah, we give you that in the event --

- Q I had that.
- 10 A Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 11 Q And Mr. -- actually, Mr. Dan Lassetter --
- 12 A Lassetter, yes, sir.
- 13 Q -- he gave me the gate code that night --
- 14 A Sure.
- 15 Q -- so I could get in and out.
- 16 A Sure.
- Q I have some more questions here. Also, I wanted
 to -- on the day of the arrest, I was accompanied by two other
 individuals.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q One of whom was a female --
- 22 A Right.
- 23 Q -- who told the FBI agents we were headed to Texas.
- 24 A That's right.
- 25 Q And this was confirmed --

MS. SVOLTO: Objection. It appears that Mr. Beane is testifying. We'd ask him to shorten his questions.

THE COURT: You need to ask a question.

MR. BEANE: I'm asking a question, yes, sir.

THE COURT: You're giving a factual summary and then leading up to questions. You just need to ask the question.

Thank you.

MR. BEANE: Okay.

BY MR. BEANE:

Q It says in here that Buddy -- one of the Buddy Gregg employees confirmed that that was true, that I was going to Texas. Are you that employee? Did you know in fact -- you said yesterday I was going west?

- A West. I didn't know whether it was Texas or --
- Q How did you know I was going west?

A Dan. Dan talked to me. You also talked to me on -- it would have been the 7th or the 8th and indicated that you were -- you were heading out west, that you had folks out, I believe it was Seattle and -- I don't know anything about Texas. I know it was out west.

- Q Okay. One other question I have here, on the -- on the sales -- sales order, I don't remember what --
 - A Probably 105.

THE COURT: Witness is suggesting it's 105.

THE WITNESS: No, it's going to be 100 or 95.

1 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Is that the purchase, Exhibit 122,

2 | 123?

5

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 THE COURT: Let's try 122.

4 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: 122 and 123. 123 was the

original, 122 was the revised.

6 THE WITNESS: 122 is the power of attorney.

7 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Oh, excuse me. You're right. I

8 think it's 95 or 96 was the -- nope.

9 THE WITNESS: 100 isn't there. This is after the

10 trust.

11 BY MR. BEANE:

12 Q Right.

A When the trust was entered.

14 Q The line I want to focus on is the vehicle sales tax.

A Okay.

Q Is it typical for a -- for a dealership like yours to -- when someone is purchasing and they get the manufacturer's certificate of origin to charge taxes?

A Correct.

Q And are these tax -- have these taxes been turned into the DMV?

A They have.

Q And what paperwork were they turned in with?

A They would have been turned in with Randall Keith Beane Duly Factualized.

Q And what paperwork would you have used to do that since I have the certificates of origin?

A The -- well, we would have used the power of attorney. We would have had three powers of attorneys submitted. We would have had the registration form, which is, I don't know what exhibit it is, but whatever the -- is that 122 or -- we would have used Exhibit 121, which would have been the taxpayer multiple purpose, it does registration. It does everything for the thing. It also -- we have a copy of the certificate of origin to turn it in so it comes back with your name on it.

We collect sales tax in the state of Tennessee. It's mandatory. If somebody comes from Washington and buys -Washington state and buys a motor home from me, and we -- it's cash, we don't pay their sales tax. They go back to Washington and pay their own.

Q Right.

A In Tennessee, it doesn't happen that way. You're required in your own state to collect the sales tax.

- Q So you just take a copy of the certificate of origin to the --
 - A Correct. That's right.
 - Q You don't have to have the actual certificate?
- A We usually -- to be honest, unless you requested it, we would have brought it for titling. So the certificate of

Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination origin wouldn't have had Jayco and Buddy Gregg, and on the back, Randall Keith Beane Duly Factualized. If we would have done the actual titling, it would have came in the mail to you, under -- we would have been removed, there wouldn't have been any names on the backside of the certificate of origin. It would have been your name on the front.

So we didn't do the titling. If you have the -- if we would have done the registration, we would have paid the sales tax, but we wouldn't have done the titling, because you had the certificate of origin.

Q Did you at any point, once you met me, feel like that I was in any way scamming you?

A To be truthful with you, the only time we got any indication that anything was going on differently than the typical purchase at Buddy Gregg was when we got a call from True Brown and Donald Patterson and multiple people, and then we started to try to kind of put things together.

So, I mean, you were a gentleman when you were in there. You were -- I mean, you were always kind to us, so, I mean, there wasn't anything that we -- there's nothing out of the ordinary for us.

Q Would you agree that once we -- we were sitting in your office conversing over what was taking place, would you agree that I was as surprised as you were that anything was happening?

A Yeah. I mean, it's hard to know how you were feeling, but I know I was feeling kind of confused because too much stuff was going on at once.

Q I think we were all trying to -- you know, just kind of -- we didn't know what to do, because we'd never dealt with that before either, none of us.

Oh, I did want to ask you, on the extended warranty, is it typical of someone who is -- in all your years in the business, for someone who is planning on turning around and selling the vehicle for profit to buy an extended warranty on it?

A I was never under the impression you were trying to sell it for profit.

MR. BEANE: Thank you. No further questions.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Cross-examination?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Yes, please.

THE COURT: Ms. Tucci-Jarraf.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:

Q Without prejudice, I have a few questions for you, Mr. Byrne.

Mr. Byrne, I'm sorry?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q I mispresented your name.

Okay. The first time that you and I did have a phone conversation, but I've -- we've never met. Is that correct?

- A No, ma'am.
- Q Until this moment?
- A Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

23

- Q Okay. You stated that you had been a general sales manager for two years with Buddy Gregg?
- A Yes, correct.
 - Q But that you had been in the industry for 31 years?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q Okay.
- 12 A Close to 31 years, not quite.
- 13 Q Yeah.
- 14 A Twelve days away, so, yeah.
 - Q I remember our conversation. In fact, why don't we just start there, as far as our conversation, I'm going to go a little out of order, chronological order from Ms. Davidson and of course Mr. Beane, that discussion that we've had on approximately July 8th --
- 20 A Sure.
- 21 Q -- was that the first conversation that you and I had 22 ever had?
 - A That would have been our first, yes.
- Q Right. And do you recall that conversation that we had, what it revolved around?

- A Briefly from the notes I have from the CRN. Whenever there's a call that's generated, it also goes into our computer system. So if Randall called you, which is indeed what happened, it would have -- it would have -- we would have had it registered in our system, so --
- Q And, in fact, when we spoke, I asked for the MSO or the origin certificate. Isn't that correct?
 - A You would have asked for that, yes.
- Q Yeah. In fact, that's why we even had the conversation is I was saying that I was calling for the MSO to see if you had it on site or off site?
- A Well, you -- you -- it didn't quite go that in depth on the -- so I'm just kind of going by what I can remember on that. It doesn't record the conversation, but there's probably a good shot you would have asked if we would have had it on premise or not. That's what the majority would ask.
- The -- other thing was what we're doing. We had it in Randy's name. It was under Randy Beane instead of Randall Keith Beane Duly Factualized. And you made him aware that it had to go into the trust's name.
 - Q That's right. On 7/8 after --
- 22 A On the 8th.

Q -- after the purchase order, after all the paperwork had been done, and the wire transfer had come in, as well as the PO, which was in Randall Beane's name, not in the

- 1 | factualized trust, I said that had to be -- that I had asked
- 2 you if you would redo the paperwork so that it was lawful and
- 3 | legal. Is that correct?
- 4 A Correct. That would have been -- no. No, ma'am.
- 5 No. We got documents on the 7th. We did it without -- we did
- 6 it without you originally on the 7th.
- 7 Q Right.
- 8 A And we had it in Randy's name and Randall Keith -- we
- 9 had two buyers.
- 10 Q Right.
- 11 A And you said it has to go into the factualized which
- 12 is when we put the note on the thing that it was to be
- 13 registered --
- 14 Q Right.
- 15 A -- to Duly Factualized.
- 16 Q After the paperwork had already been done.
- 17 A That's right.
- 18 Q And the wire had been sent before the MSO was
- 19 delivered to Mr. Beane --
- 20 A True.
- 21 Q -- I said that had to be correct, so that the title
- 22 was --
- 23 A Would come back correct.
- Q -- correct on the back? Is that your recall as well?
- 25 A That's right.

Q In fact, I remember not knowing if you would know since you'd said you'd only been there for two years, and that's when you told me you'd been in the industry for 31.

A True. And it's different from state to state. I mean, it's --

Q Okay.

A You know, Tennessee is different than a lot of other states.

Q In fact, you had given me the information about how the state -- like, if you were to register the title with the MSO, that you would have to keep the MSO is what you told me. Do you remember that?

A Yeah. We would have to -- in order to title it, we would have to submit the original MSO. We can register it without a title. We'd have a copy of the MSO bill of sale. We would collect the sales tax. We would then put the registration in effect.

Q And by you guys doing the MSO, you would actually register that with who?

A It would have been Tennessee Motor Vehicle.

Q So you would have registered it with the State of Tennessee?

A Correct.

Q And what happens to that MSO title then, it gets transferred to a state title. Is that correct?

- A It would go to a state title, and then it would go to Randall Keith Beane Duly Factualized.
- Q I think the words that you used was that it's converted to a state title when you explained it to me?
 - A Correct.
 - Q I'm sorry. What was your response?
- A Correct.

Q Thank you.

In fact, you had stated when it was converted to a state title, that's where you would have to pay with the power of attorney that would be signed over to you to be able to do that by Mr. Beane, that you would pay the state tax from the money he gave --

A We did pay the state -- we paid the state tax when we register it. In order to -- in order to get -- we have to have it in within a certain length of time, and Tennessee is a little different than other states, but I think it's within 21 days of the purchase, the sales tax has to be in.

- Q Right. When -- so that MSO, which they showed and let's -- just so that we can have this clear.
 - A A copy of the MSO.
- Q That would be -- David, if you wouldn't mind helping me with 117, please. Now, there's two titles -- you have the cab and you have the title for the chassis?
 - A Yeah. The chassis doesn't get registered with the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination 1 state, just the cabin. 2 Right. Just the cabin. Q 3 Am I able to operate to make it bigger? MS. DAVIDSON: You can just draw on it. 4 5 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: With my finger? 6 MS. DAVIDSON: Just use your finger to circle 7 whatever you want. 8 THE COURT: I think she's asking if it can be 9 magnified. 10 MS. DAVIDSON: Can you make it bigger? 11 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. 12 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: 13 Can you see that? 14 I can. 15 Q Okay. 16 THE COURT: There we go. 17 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: So who is the certificate -- the certificate of 18 19 origin, can you let everyone know what a certificate of origin 20 actually is? 21 Yeah. It's the beginning of a title. Α 22 So like a birth certificate? 23 Α It is -- well, similar. 24 Okay. And who is this -- this certificate of origin Q

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

25

given to?

- A It would be -- it would be given to us, Buddy Gregg
 Motor Home. It would be given to us with -- to our floor plan.
 We pay for the unit, and they put our name on the certificate of origin.
 - Q And that was done on 11/30/16?
- A Yes.

- Q Okay. And so within 90 days, a tax has to be paid on that?
- A No. No. We don't pay tax. We're the dealer.
- Q You're the dealer, so you get exempted because it's for a sale?
- 12 A Yes. Correct. It never gets titled until it goes to 13 the owner.
 - Q Okay. And which at that point, it usually gets converted to a state title?
 - A Yes. It would -- it would be turned in -- it would be turned in with the -- when you have your registration, it will also show that you paid your sales tax, and it would be turned in, and Randall could have gotten the title that it was Duly Factualized with the trust.
 - Q So that would be a copy that the state would actually issue to him would be a copy of this particular one?
 - A No. He'd have the original.
 - Q He got the original?
- 25 A Yeah. He was titling his own unit.

Q Okay. So at this point, it only -- with him holding this title, it would only belong to him with no state conversions?

A Correct. But you have to -- you have to convert it to a state title. You've paid your state sales tax, it has to be titled to that state. He can bring it somewhere else, change -- change the title to whatever he wants to change it to. He can go to Guam, if he wants, and have that, but it originally has to start out as the State of Tennessee.

Q Well, if I recall correctly, you stated that you could give him like a 30-day license tab pass so he can take it to whatever state that he wanted to actually register or do a state conversion to. Is that correct?

A Yeah. But the sales tax had to be paid in the state of Tennessee. He would have gotten -- he could have converted this over, but his original title would have had to have been from Tennessee. That stops people from avoiding sales tax.

In other words, they're not allowed to go to Montana, create an LLC and pay absolutely no tax. I mean, that's something that's been -- people set up trusts, go to -- go to Montana or go to other states that don't have sales tax, save \$33,000 in sales tax from the State of Tennessee and never have an actual address in Montana. They get a P.O. Box that cost them 15, \$1800. And they've kind of stopped that.

That's why we collect -- if you buy it in the state

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

of Tennessee, we require you to pay the Tennessee tax. If you

2 have a trust set up in Montana and we do not collect your tax,

3 we don't do any of your registration. We don't do anything.

4 We hand you the certificate of origin, you can go do what you

5 want, and when you get caught, you're in trouble, not us.

Q So when they go to register in another state, for instance, to do a state conversion of this title, they -- that state actually collects that tax and sends it to Tennessee, their portion?

A No. No. We paid -- when you buy in the state of Tennessee, the dealer is -- it's mandatory that the dealer pays Tennessee tax. All the stuff is being registered to whatever his address was, it was somewhere here in Knoxville anyway, I'm not sure what the thing is. But it was being registered to 300 State Street, Knoxville, Tennessee.

Q Uh-huh.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Is that his address?
- Q So what would be the purpose of giving them the 30-day license tab pass to be able to register the certificate of origin in another state --
- A No. You would be -- you would have to register -- you would have -- this was being registered in Tennessee. The taxes had to be paid in the state of Tennessee.
- Q I'm understanding what you're telling us here today. What I'm asking is that 30-day license tab?

A That 30 days is so you can go out on the road. That's all that it is. It's the same as a motor vehicle. You get a 30-day temp tag to let all the paperwork process through the motor vehicle, to -- so you're not driving down the road without a tag. That's the only reason you need a 30-day tag.

- Q Okay. So then on the back of the MCOs, you actually wrote -- was it you that wrote over?
 - A That would have been Don, Nelson Forbes.
 - Q Nelson Forbes?
- A Correct.

- Q Thank you. And what date would that have been done on?
- A I'd have to find the exhibit. It would have been done within the 30 days, I can say that. So it would have been done before the 7th of August. I -- I don't know what --
 - Q The 7th of August, the title?
- A The title work -- the registration would have been done before the 7th of August.
 - Q 7th of August or 7th of July?
- A It would have been done -- you have 30 days from the day --
- Q Oh, excuse me.
- A -- that it's issued, so it would have been before the 7th of August. Now not knowing how -- I mean, I don't follow up on all the title work. I only get the complaint calls if

Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination it's not done by then.

But the -- considering the circumstances, I don't know if the FBI or anybody else had gotten in the middle of that and of this or if the plate's sitting at 300 State Street, Apartment 365 in Knoxville. I don't know. I -- I -- there's no way for me to know that.

Once it's -- once the sales tax is paid and the stuff is processed through our thing, I get no more -- it's now Randall's. It's now Randall's deal. You know what I mean? It's up to him.

- Q His property?
- 12 A Yeah. So --
- Q And you guys received the wire on the 8th?
- 14 A 8th.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 15 Q Of July?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q And that was Exhibit 103 at 12:10 p.m. Correct?
- 18 A Correct.
 - Q And when I had contacted you, and we had spoke the first time -- in fact, it was after 12:10 p.m., because the paperwork still showed Randy Beane, and I had instructed you that that actually had to be changed to the factualized trust.
 - A Well, it was changed on the 7th. We've got a copy of -- it would have scanned -- we would have scanned a copy of the duly factualized. It doesn't have to be an original with

the scan, but we would have done that. And then it would have -- it would have transferred over instantaneously to the trust account on the 7th, which is what the paperwork indicates.

Q Yes. I understand what the paperwork indicates.

And, in fact, so when you received the factualized trust paperwork was the first time that you would have been able to actually know the factualized trust and to be able to put the correct language into that paperwork. Is that correct?

A Correct.

Q And you believe that it was on 7/7?

A It would have been on 7/7. Now, I'm seeing -- I can't tell because these are photocopies, but we have two copies of the trust. We have an actual copy of it, and then we had -- when Randall came in and he had -- he had red dye on his fingers and stuff and brought this thing in, that was after -- that was after the initial contact, I believe it was on the 10th or the 11th. It would have been the 11th. He would have brought in the actual trust paperwork, because I asked, please, bring something in that is original documents. And he didn't have the original documents of the original trust. We got -- we got a photocopy of it. And we wanted that for our records. Want to protect the customer. And to, of course, protect us.

Q Okay. So Exhibit 105, please, David.

On this exhibit here, is this part of the

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination documentation from July 11th that you're talking about that you received?

A This could be the copy or it could be the original.

I don't know. This is a photocopy on the screen, so this is -this is -- I mean, the gist of the trust was -- let's see, on
July 7th.

- Q This, I believe, is what documentation Ms. Davidson showed you yesterday, and you said that this was the factualized trust?
 - A This is the factualized trust.
- Q When in fact this is actually a declaration of valid purchase, which is what Lauren Palmisano -- Palmisano and Mr. Cohen had asked for.
- 14 A I don't know which exhibit this is.
- 15 Q It's Exhibit 105.

- A Let me look at it so I can see it a little closer on my end.
- Q Just going to clarify the record here, because you had said this was the factualized trust documentation that you had received. This was the first documentation of the factualized trust that you guys had actually received.
 - May I see Page 2 of that exhibit, please. Thank you.
- THE COURT: Can you tell from this document she's referencing whether it was the first reference to the factualized trust?

1 THE WITNESS: This is the first reference to the

2 trust.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. I'm sorry.

THE WITNESS: And if it's original due declaration,

yeah. Correct. Now --

BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:

Q If you go to the last page, Mr. Byrne, could you look at the notary stamp there and please read what date it said.

A Well, no, I noticed yesterday it was the 11th.

Q Right.

A And -- but this here was sent on -- this here was sent to -- I believe this is what you sent to Brad in the bank, if I'm not mistaken.

- Q And Nahil Mishu is the notary there indicated?
- 16 A I'm sorry?
- 17 Q The notary symbol, the stamp?
- 18 A Yeah. Nahil Mishu.
- 19 Q Nahil Mishu, is that a notary in your office?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Do you have a notary in your office?
- 22 A Five or six, yeah.
- Q Okay. May I please have -- I'm going to use this, but only to show -- thank you.
 - This is just -- look at it and only answer the
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination questions at this point that I ask you, please. Do you recognize this document? Can you see it?

Α I can see it, yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

17

18

19

24

25

I'm going to move it up. Do you recognize that Q document?

I do. It looks like the one in front of me. So that Α would have been done on the 11th.

Sorry. Was just waiting for you to say whether you recognize that document.

Oh, yeah, I did. It's the same document I have in Α front of me.

- Okay. And what exhibit is that? Q
- Except with red dye. 13
- 14 What exhibit is that? Q
- That's exhibit -- looks like -- this would be 105, Α 16 part of 105.
 - Okay. So Exhibit 105, which was previously admitted by the government, David, if you could pull that up, please, for the first page, and specifically show this -- is that --
- 20 MS. DAVIDSON: Third page.
- 21 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Third page. Thank you. Page 3, 22 please, David.
- 23 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:
 - Q Okay. So this is Page 3, which is the factualized trust documentation?

1 A Okay.

- Q Okay. And the dates on the notary, David, if you could please move it up?
 - A That's the 11th.
 - Q It shows the 11th?
 - A It does.
- Q Okay. So that was the first time that you had seen the factualized trust documentation?
- A This is the -- this is the second -- no, this is the second copy of this that we've gotten. We got a copy of it and then we got an original. We've got an original with the -- with the red dye, which, of course, I can't tell by looking at this that it's the original with the red dye.

But from what you've indicated, the original date that we received something with that trust would have been the 7th, because it was scanned -- it was scanned through in order to create the document that had Randall Beane Duly Factualized.

- Q And did you provide that document to Ms. Davidson or the FBI?
- A I'm going to be honest with you, I didn't provide any documents. I just got blessed to come here, so --
 - Q Okay.
- A Nelson Forbes would have provided the document. I did share with them when they were in my office that you could tell every time stamp, anything on our computer, and it would

have had to come over in a scan in order to be used. You cannot print a buyer's order or a bill of sale if you do not have verification that there's an actual trust. If there's an actual, if you're tax exempt, I have to have forms in order to do that. We just can't randomly just throw things on a piece of paper --

Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

- -- so --
- And in fact, on the 10th, July 10th, in a conversation, which they played yesterday, not -- only in part and not in whole, that particular conversation, you hear us discussing how all the documentation would need to be provided and would be provided to Buddy Gregg as well as Whitney Bank so that they had full title, origin of funds, history of funds. Do you recall that --
 - Α Yeah.
- -- on that tape? Q
- 18 Α Yeah, absolutely.
 - Because this document, this factualized trust Q document did not exist prior to July 11th.
 - Okay. That particular one, you're correct, it didn't Α exist.
- It didn't exist. I had to write it and get it to 23 Q you.
 - We -- on July 11th, or excuse me, it would have been Α UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination on the 10th when we had our discussion on the thing, and we indicated we needed all this information in order to proceed further.

Q Correct. Exactly.

A And we needed it -- we needed it in order to create the original document also, which would have been done on the 7th, as you can see on -- I'm not sure what exhibit that is. But in order for it to be created in our system, it has to -- you have to have a copy of it. If it's -- if there's a misspelling, if there's anything on it, it will pick up that misspelling.

Q Exactly. That's my point, is that this document, this factualized trust document didn't exist until July 11th. Whatever was provided to you is not a factualized trust document.

A Well, I wouldn't have gotten it. I wouldn't have gotten it by anybody other than Randall.

Q And on July 8th, when we spoke, after you had already received the wire and after Mr. Beane had already received the purchase order, which originally said Randall Beane.

A Correct. Randy Beane.

Q Right? All the paperwork said Randall Beane, and it all had to be changed, and I told you that on our conversation on July 8th.

A Correct.

Q Correct?

- A Well, we had two documents. We're either going with -- it said Randy Beane, not Randall. It said Randall Keith Beane Duly Factualized. You had one or the other.
- Q So then let's go through that. This is very important with dealerships, they tend to like to only issue paperwork in one sitting. If there's something that has to be changed, all of it has to be changed. Isn't that correct?
 - A No. Not necessarily.
- Q Not necessarily. Which ones would have to be changed if the correct buyer is not listed?
- A Anything to do with the registration, anything to do with the titling, anything to do if there was a lien or anything, which there's not.
 - Q Uh-huh.
- A It would be -- you couldn't do it with the warranty information, because a warranty can't be issued to a trust. It has to be issued to an individual. Because you can't go in and say, you know, he let you borrow the motor home and go in and say, okay, it's -- you know, it's for the trust, it's got to be for Randall Beane. So that's the only thing that wouldn't -- I mean, you wouldn't need to change it for that. You wouldn't need to change it for change it for --
 - Q No. I'm not trying to fog you up here.
 - A Sure.

- Q When we had that conversation on July 8th, I wanted to make sure everything was legal and lawful so that all parties were protected. That was our discussion. That's why the MSO needed to be handed over to Randall. And you stated that you'd have to check to see if it was on site or off site. Isn't that correct?
 - A Yeah. Because the 8th, if I'm not mistaken, was the 8th a Saturday?
- Q Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

- 10 A That's why we would have had to wait.
- 11 Q Right.
- 12 A Because I didn't have anybody in the titling office downstairs.
- 14 Q Exactly.
- 15 A So --
 - Q There was nobody there on that day, and you said that you would get it as quickly as possible, but you'd have to figure out if it was off site or on site?
 - A Correct.
- 20 Q And that was in the afternoon on July 8th that we spoke, because you had confirmed to me that you had received the wire. Correct?
 - A Yes. We received a wire at like 12:10. Would have been 11:10 Louisiana time, so ...
 - Q Right. Okay. And at that point when we spoke on UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

July 8th, in the afternoon, after the wire had been received and the paperwork, I had already reviewed, that had been issued on the 7th that I had told you it had to be reissued with the duly -- with the factualized trust?

A Correct.

Q And at that point?

A Well, no, it was -- no. We've already had it printed as a duly factualized trust. We had some -- whether it be -- and this would have been done in the finance office. In order to have that piece of paper on the 7th, he would have had to have gotten something, okay, by Randall, yourself, whoever, in order to create that document, in order to create that bill of sale, we would have had to have something that said "Randall Keith Beane Duly Factualized."

Q Okay. Could you please pull up Exhibit 123. Thank you.

So this Exhibit 123 --

A Yeah. That would have been the original bill of sale, Randy Beane.

- Q Right. This is the -- well, this is only one of them.
- A Yeah. There was three -- there's actually three bill of sales, two that you have in exhibits.
 - Q Uh-huh.
- A Okay.

- Q And they're all marked for what date?
- A They all would have been on the 7th.
- Q On the 7th?

A What would have happened is, it would have been created as Randy Beane, which the salesman would have typed that in. Okay. And it would have been under Randy Beane. Knowing that he is Randall Keith Beane, they would have then brought the documents in to me, I would have changed the -- this is the original. This is the very first purchase order that was done. I would have then changed it to Randall Keith Beane. I would have made any changes and documents on that.

Beyond that point, if we're going to put it in a trust, you have to -- you have to enter -- it has to be scanned to the actual computer for it to create a trust or anything to do with like someone who doesn't have -- have to pay tax, you actually have to have the document before it allows us to create the thing. Whether the document is -- it can be real, it could be fake, it could be whatever. It's got to be in our hands in order to -- in order to change this buyer's order, which was also on the 7th.

- Q And yet you didn't provide any -- that document that you say that you got on the 7th to the FBI, DOJ, Buddy Gregg itself did not provide?
 - A I didn't provide any of these documents.
 - Q Right.

- A I'm not -- I'm not in the --
- 2 Q Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A There's different departments. That's something that the -- that the controller would have provided.
 - Q Uh-huh. Thank you. Okay. In the normal course of a purchase for any product that Buddy Gregg sells, did

 Ms. Davidson enter into exhibits everything that you would normally have filled out by any customer?
- A Pretty much, yeah. I mean, there's quite a bit. I mean, there's certain -- I'd have to go through them, but I believe pretty much everything is there.
- 12 Q Okay.
 - A A couple new forms, but --
- Q So it's consistent with purchases that all your clients in the past or present --
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q -- would get?
- 18 A Correct.
 - Q Okay. So your statement that all this documentation was done on July 7th and it was never corrected at a later date, you're still maintaining July 7th?
 - A July 7th it was all -- we would have had -- it could have been corrected with a new trust. I don't know. I -- I can't tell because it's not original. This is just photocopies of what we provided. And not only that, my memory just isn't

41 Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination quite that awesome, but it's -- it would have been time Okay. Everything in this -- in this company is time stamped. If you call me -stamped. Q Yep. -- it's time stamped. I mean, regardless of what happens, they want to know why we failed with a customer or why we -- how we succeeded with a customer. And that's the way it's done there. Okay. Okay. So in your system with these time stamps, is it possible to print reports --It's not. Α From that particular system? It's not. We tried the other day. We had to get ahold of Systems 2000. It would take 10 or 12 days to get it done. But if you had been asked any time from July 11th Q onwards to get those printouts, would you have been able to produce them for today? It would have been -- it would have been tough. I lingo of how it -- the history reads.

mean, you have to read the -- you'd have to be able to read the

- Do you know how to read that lingo?
- For the most part, yeah. Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. At any time, did FBI or Ms. Davidson or Q someone from the Department of Justice ever ask you for those UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 reports?

A They did. They asked the other day if I could get a printout of it, and I couldn't. It takes too long. I've got the request in to get it, but the only reason that we even went over that document was because some of it didn't make sense for what we had on the -- on the thing. That's the only reason why I went over it.

But you can see in every single one of those things where you've got the scan and it's time -- time stamped when we got our first copy of this -- of this -- you know, of the -- of the original -- well, it wasn't the original -- the thing for the -- for the duly factualized, so --

Q Does it also list how long your phone calls are, like how long our phone call would have been, what time, date?

A No. Only because it was on a cell phone. It wasn't on our company phone. If it would have been done -- any conversation that would have happened with us on our company phone, it would have -- it would have registered.

- Q Uh-huh. And whose cell phone were you and I speaking?
 - A You were on Randall's.
 - Q July -- on July 8th?
- A July 8th. Every conversation that I had with you was on Randall's cell phone.

- Q So it wasn't in your system?
- A No. But we -- but, again, we make -- we manually make notes --
 - Q Uh-huh.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A -- that register in that system.
- Q So there are supplemental notes that you entered into your system, even though we were using other phones or conference calls?
 - A Yeah. I mean, for the most part, I left during a lot of the conversations on the 11th.
 - Q Uh-huh.
 - A Or, excuse me, was it -- no, the 10th. I kept -- I kept leaving the room, because there's more -- there's more calls coming in on what was going on --
 - Q Uh-huh.
 - A -- with the purchase, so ...
 - Q During your testimony with Ms. Davidson yesterday during -- after the video, excuse me, was played, you were asked -- did you recall in that video that was played or during our conversation, I should say, when I stated that you guys would get the documentation of the full title --
 - A Correct.
- Q -- origin of funds, history of funds, authority, ownership, et cetera?
 - A That's right.

Q Okay. And Ms. -- I believe it's exhibit -- I'm sorry was it Ms. Davidson or Ms. Svolto that -- Ms. Svolto that asked you, have you received any of that documentation?

A I have not.

Q Okay. And, in fact, the video or the recording, the merged recording that the DOJ and FBI created was the one that we watched, Exhibit 94 yesterday?

A Okay.

Q That very long one. You had stated that you didn't receive any -- that video cut off prior to Lauren Palmisano -- Palmisano and Mr. Cohen giving their e-mails so that they could receive the documentation. Isn't that correct?

A If Brad would have gotten the document, I would have gotten the document. It's -- it's that -- I mean, I know it's very hard to believe that a company these days is that tight. It is that tight. So -- I've received no documents.

Q You've received no documents of -- other than the Exhibit 105, the factualized trust?

A I received this from Randall. This was never scanned or anything. Randall brought this in.

Q On July 11th?

A This one here would have been done on the 11th. Correct.

Q Uh-huh. Just prior to the FBI showing up?

A Or, no -- yes, correct. It would have been -- well,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination probably within an hour and a half, two hours.

Q Uh-huh.

A Well, no, actually, probably a little less, about an hour, yeah.

Q An hour?

A It probably would have been an hour, maybe an hour and a half. I mean, I didn't look at the time or anything like that, but ...

Q Okay.

A Randall went up to -- there was a couple things that had to be done on the motor home, and he went up there, and I believe Paul Chapman was our technician that was -- that was doing the repair work on it.

Q Uh-huh.

A And he went up to the coach and then they came, so ...

Q Okay. So Exhibit 105, David, I apologize for going back, but 105, Page 1, can you read that?

A Can I read what, I'm sorry? Oh, Number 5?

Q Uh-huh.

A "Number 4" --

Q Number --

A Well, yeah, but you want me to read five, and it says "Number 4," after Number 5, so ...

Q Uh-huh.

- 1 A It says, "Number 4" --
- 2 THE COURT: I think she's just asking do you see
- 3 Exhibit --
- 4 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:
- 5 Q If you can see it.
- 6 A I do.
- 7 Q And Exhibit 1 -- excuse me, Number 1 on there, who 8 does that identify?
- 9 A That's the manufacturer.
- 10 Q Uh-huh. Okay. And Number 2?
- 11 A Number 2 is -- that's the bill date of the unit that
 12 we gained possession.
- Q Uh-huh. And Number 3.
- 14 A Is the cost of the unit to Randall Beane Duly
 15 Factualized.
- 16 Q It actually states on July 7, 2017, could you please 17 read that whole section in there?
- 18 A On what, Number 4?
- 19 Q Uh-huh. No, Number 3.
- A Number 3, "On July 7th, 2017, Buddy Gregg Motor

 Homes, LLC sold/transferred vehicle/property to Randall Keith

 Beane Duly Factualized for \$493,110.68 evidenced by Purchase

 Agreement Account Number" -- and the account number is his

 customer number, Deal Number 10934 [sic].
- Q Uh-huh. And Number 4, please.

- A Number 4, "On July 7th, Trustee, Randall Keith Beane, tendered full payment of \$493,110.68 to Buddy Gregg Motor Homes LLC by Wire Transfer from Randall Beane USAA Account Number ending 0949, evidenced by USAA wire transfer confirmation number," whatever that is, so ...
 - Q And that wire transfer confirmation number?
 - A Would have been probably 2017.
 - Q Is that consistent with -- Exhibit No. 51, please.
 - A Exhibit what, I'm sorry?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

22

23

24

25

- Q He's showing you Exhibit No. 1, the wire transfer -51, excuse me. This wire transfer.
- 12 A See, we don't see this. As a dealership, we don't see this.
- 14 Q True. But there's a wire confirmation number.
- 15 A I don't know where that would be, because I don't see 16 these.
- 17 Q Is that for the same amount, 493,110 -- excuse me, 18 493,110.68?
- 19 A Yeah. It's the same amount.
- 20 Q So back to Exhibit No. 105. Could you please read
 21 number 5?
 - A "Number 4, restated, said funds tendered for full payment, the originating account of initial transfer, and account of origin with account number 1135, account name Randall Keith Beane, and located at Federal Reserve Bank of New

York, are lawfully and duly held in Original Factualized Trust, reference Randall Beane, Original Due Declaration Of" -- I'm sorry -- "Of Issue By Original Depository, with reference number FT-OD-rkb-092967, inclusive of all UCC record numbers therein, and Originally -- Original Due Declaration And Notice Of Factualized Trust, reference name, Randall Keith Beane, said restated and incorporated here by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted, 'Owner, Title, Rights, Trustee, Origin of Funds, and History of Funds.'"

- Q David, may I please have the next page?
- 11 A I'm sorry.

- Q Oh, I was speaking with David to go ahead and bring up the next page. And Number 6?
- A "Number 5, restated, and the sole duly appointed

 Trustee for Original Factualized Trust, is Randall Keith Beane,

 Original Due Declaration Of Issue By Original Depository, and

 Original Due Declaration And Notice Of Factualized Trust,

 restated."
 - Q Okay. And Number 7, please.
- A "Number 6, restated, and Trustee, Randall Keith Beane, is the lawful sole administrator and executor, with sole authority of said Factualized Trust, inclusive of said account located at Federal Reserve Bank of New York, with said account name, and said account number, and all value and funds located therein, Original Due Declaration Of Issue By Original

Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination

Depository, and Original Due Declaration And Notice Of

Factualized Trust, restated."

Q And Number 8, please.

A "Number 7, restated, and said Trust, Randall Keith Beane, did knowingly, intentionally, and willingly purchase the property identified in number 1, above, restated, and cause the funds identified in number 4, above, restated, to be duly and lawfully paid to Jayco, Inc."

And that's wrong there. So --

Q And Number 9.

A "Number 8, restated, and said Trustee, did not authorize, nor enact a request, demand, or return, directly nor indirectly, for duly paid and transferred funds identified in number 4, above."

Q Okay. And Number 10, please.

A "Number 9, restated, and Trustee, did duly and lawfully receive the property identified in number 1 and 2, restated."

Q And Number 11?

A "Number 10, restated, and said Trustee does verify and confirm that a successful, legitimate, and lawful purchase has been duly completed between the Factualized Trust, Randall Keith Beane, and Jayco, Incorporated."

Q Okay. So that is a declaration validating the sale and lawful and legal per your own reading in number --

A Our attorney would have told me that if -- if there is anything that -- I don't read these.

Q Right.

A I have an attorney. I pay an attorney, and he reads these.

Q Okay.

A So if he -- if he let this go through, he felt confident with it. And if he did not feel confident with it, it wouldn't have mattered, because we would have had to release it anyway, because he already paid for the unit, so ...

- Q And you received that document on the 11th. Correct?
- 12 A This document would have been received by Nelson 13 Forbes on the 11th.
 - Q Along with -- David can you please go to the next page?

Along where the factualized trust document?

- A Correct.
- Q Thank you. So you did receive documents after our conversation on July 10th?

A I didn't receive -- I didn't receive all the information that you sent. This here was -- we already had a copy of this. Okay. And we had a copy of this or it wouldn't have been printed on the thing. So regardless what -- where we go and what direction we go with this, I already had a copy of something in order for it to go on this paperwork. So --

- Q Did you -- did you read that factualized trust copy that you claim that you received prior to July --
 - A I didn't read this one, so ...
 - Q You didn't read the other one?
- A -- the answer would be no. I have attorneys that read this stuff. I don't do that.
- Q Do you claim that if Mr. Cohen had received any further documentation that you would have received it also?
- A I would have received it. If Brad got anything from -- it doesn't matter who it's from. I receive things that I would never need ever in my life from Brad because it transfers over. If it has something that comes in indicated for -- for any sales of anything, I get the document.
- 14 Q You get the document?
 - A That's right. Now, if it's a muffler, I don't get the document.
- 17 Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

24

25

- A You know, my service department gets that, and my attorney gets this --
- Q Okay.
- 21 A -- so ...
- 22 Q So anything Mr. Cohen gets, you get for Buddy Gregg?
- 23 A For sales, correct.
 - Q For sales. Okay. And in this instance, you're saying you didn't receive any other documentation other than

- 1 these documents --
- 2 A The document that you --
- 3 Q -- 105?
- 4 -- that you're referring to -- the -- you're opening 5 up a case, I mean, we'd have to go back to the -- to the video. 6 I did not receive any further documents besides -- and I've 7 already had a copy of this, okay, originally. So I have 8 received no further documentation than what I was originally 9 provided, except I asked for the original document, okay, so it 10 was protecting Buddy Gregg and it was protecting Randall Beane. 11 Okay. That's the only thing that I care about is the
- Q Uh-huh.

customer --

12

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

- 14 A -- and my boss continuing to write me a check, so ...
- 15 Q Understood.
- 16 A That's it.
 - Q Okay. And are you aware of whether Buddy Gregg and Whitney Bank have returned the actual wire funds or funds in the amount of the wire to date?
- 20 A I have not.
- 21 Q You have not returned them?
 - A Well, I should say, if I -- if they would have been returned, it would have been prior to -- or it would have been after Monday morning at nine o'clock, so --
 - Q Monday morning, what date are you -UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

- A Monday morning, this Monday morning.
- 2 Q Oh, this Monday morning?
- 3 A Correct.

1

4

5

7

8

9

- Q And to the best of your knowledge, they haven't been returned?
- 6 A That's right.
 - Q And all the paperwork that you and Mr. Cohen, in this particular instance, have received, has all that paperwork. Is that correct?
- 10 A I'm sorry?
- 11 Q You stated you have an attorney that goes through all this paperwork, the factualized trust?
- 13 A Yeah.
- 14 Q The wire, the -- anything, you have an attorney that 15 actually does all that?
- 16 A Anything that creates a problem, my attorney has it.
- 17 Q Your attorney has it?
- 18 A That's right.
- 19 Q In this particular matter as well?
- 20 A Yes, he would have it.
- Q Okay. Let me just check to make sure I don't have any further questions for you, Mr. Byrne.
- 23 A Okay.
- Q Oh, I do have a question.
- 25 A Sure.

Q When we were discussing on July 10th, you had -- we were trying to figure out, obviously, on the video what was going on. Isn't that correct.

On July 10th --

A Okay.

Q -- we actually initiated a call between all of us. And, in fact, there was more than one call?

A That's right.

Q Okay. And that was to determine what was going on with Whitney Bank saying that the money had been asked to be given back?

A Correct.

Q Okay. And during our initial phone call, which was not shown, there were -- which is why I called for a conference call to be made between all of us, you had made a statement that a man -- you had spoken to a man named True Brown?

A Correct.

Q And that he had identified -- you had told me he identified himself as FBI. Isn't that correct?

MS. SVOLTO: Objecting to the hearsay, Your Honor.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Your Honor, they testified that FBI contacted --

THE COURT: Well, the objection is you're asking him to talk about what somebody else told him.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. Let me rephrase the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 question.

4

7

8

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 3 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:
 - Q Okay. You were contacted by a man named True Brown.
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And who did you believe Mr. Brown worked for?
 - A At the end of the conversation --
 - Q At the beginning of your conversation.
- 9 A At the beginning, it was identified that he worked 10 for the FBI.
 - Q Okay. And by the end of your conversation?
- 12 A That he was a prior employee of the FBI. He is a current investigator for USAA Bank.
 - Q And you told me all that information that day on July 10th?
 - A I don't believe I let -- I don't believe I told

 you -- I mean, that -- I believe our conversation was that

 there was an investigator from USAA Bank, that I was under the

 impression that he was from the FBI originally, and he

 cleared -- he cleared up the idea that he was a prior FBI

 agent.
 - Q And, in fact, the reason I asked for the conference call with Lauren Palmisano of Whitney Bank, Buddy Gregg's bank, and Mr. Cohen was because you had told me that someone named True Brown from the FBI had contacted you and said that

1 Mr. Beane -- to confirm that the vehicle was still on the 2 property. Isn't that correct?

A No. We had the conversation with Lauren and Brad, not for that purpose. We had the conversation to figure out who was, I believe it was -- forgive me if I'm -- if I'm off with the name, but I believe it was Donald -- Donald Parker, Donald K. Parker or Dan K. Parker from USAA Bank had called and said he wanted his money back.

- Q In fact, on that day, there were multiple people that had called?
 - A Oh, yeah.

- Q That's why it was so confusing and why we got onto a phone call and conference call in the first place. And on the first call, it was just you, me, and Mr. Forbes. Is that correct?
- A No. The first call was myself, you, Don Forbes, Randall Beane, and some other gal that -- forgive me, I don't know her name.
 - Q You don't know who that was?
- 20 A I don't know her name. She wasn't with me. She was with Randall.
 - Q Okay. Okay. In fact, you had told me that Dan Parker from USAA had contacted you guys, saying that, number one -- or somebody had called --
 - A Dan -- I think it was Donald or Dan K. Parker called

 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

- 1 Whitney Bank, also called Nelson Forbes.
 - Right. Q

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And requested the money back from USAA -- for USAA.
- Saying that Randall Beane had -- had ordered that the Q money be sent back?
- No. No. He said that it was -- I mean, everything was brought up as a scam. I mean, that's how -- that's how it was presented.
 - That who presented that to you?
- USAA and True Brown and Donald or Dan.
- Uh-huh. And you said that you had talked that day of Q a Jaron Patterson. Who was Jaron Patterson to the best of your knowledge?
- 14 He -- he was actually an FBI agent.
 - Q He identified himself as an FBI agent?
- 16 Α He called me from the FBI building, so --
- Q And was that before or after our conversation that we watched from Exhibit 94 yesterday? Do you recall? 18
 - No, I -- it was after. It was after, because the Α conversation with True Brown was prior to -- to Mr. Patterson.
 - Uh-huh. And you actually provided me with True Brown's number that he had given to you on the 10th?
 - I don't recall, but I -- I most likely would have. Α
 - Uh-huh. You also gave me Dan Parker's number? Q
- 25 Α No.

Q On the 10th?

- A No. Nelson Forbes would have given you his number.
- Q Right. During the conference call. We were actually trying to get Mr. Parker on the phone.

A I believe it was when you were speaking with Lauren. Well, no, I got -- I called Mr. Parker from my office with -- the problem we had is, it can't accept -- you can't have three people on the line. Because USAA cannot process, and not only that, at that stage, they wouldn't have believed Randall, myself, or you, so, you know, because it was, you know --

- Q Right.
- A It was just a little -- little --
- 13 Q Suspicious?
 - A -- little -- well, that, and a little more drama they're used to dealing with without being face to face. You know what I mean? It's something they don't -- they're good to their customers. They don't care about you and they don't care about me. They care about Randall, and that's the only person they care about.
 - Q Which is why Randall said he was headed to Texas and picking up the vehicle on the 11th.
 - A I don't remember Texas. I remember west. I don't remember Texas. I don't remember -- I remember him saying something about Seattle, and I don't know why I remember that as well as I do, but it kind of registers in the memory bank.

But I remember he wanted to spend 300 days on the road, and he wanted to head out west.

- Q Right. That was prior to the July 10th conference?
- A That's right.

- Q So that's prior to, but on our conference call that we had stated that he was going to come to Texas so he could clear all this up at San Antonio headquarters of USAA.
- A Now, I may have not been in that part of the conversation. I was continuing to get phone calls from other people in reference to this deal, so ...
 - Q And who were you getting phone calls in reference to?
- A I got a couple from -- someone from USAA that I missed. I got a 504, which I'm assuming is going to be Whitney Bank. I've got Brad kind of blowing up the phone. I mean, it was a lot going on.
 - Q Yeah. Brad Cohen?
- 17 A Correct.
 - Q And so that was prior to Brad and Lauren Palmisano getting on the phone with us on July 10th?
- 20 A Correct.
 - Q So that was our first phone call that we had had, because it's not very clear from Exhibit 94 that DOJ and FBI put together that there was actually more than one phone call between all of us that day?
 - A Well, no. There was one phone call. I believe you

 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

got -- everything was done on Randall's phone. Then Brad called in and somehow attached you guys, and you're operating off of Brad's -- Brad wound up contacting everybody and getting everybody on the line. I left for that to go and discuss --

- Q You were not present?
- A In and out.

Q And that was the second phone call that Brad had called us from his phone and not from --

A I only remember one phone call Brad calling anybody, and if something happened without me in the room, that's highly possible, but there's one call that was made. We couldn't get a way to get everything on the thing. And not only that, you don't dump something on Brad without warning Brad that you're dumping it on him. So that's kind of the way we handle things there.

- Q So you're stating you weren't there for the phone call --
 - A I was there for part of it.
 - Q -- when Lauren was there?
- 20 A Lauren, no. Lauren was in Louisiana.
 - Q Excuse me. When Lauren Palmisano from Whitney Bank and Mr. Cohen were on the phone with us, you're stating that you don't -- you weren't present --
- 24 A Not for --
 - Q -- to hear --

- A -- the entire conversation.
- Q Okay. But you did hear Ms. Palmisano on the phone with us?
 - A Part of it, correct.
 - Q Okay. And you stated you had spoken with Jaron Patterson after our phone call on July 10th, sometime after our phone call on July 10th?
 - A Yeah. One or two times, yeah.
 - Q On the same day of July 10th?
- 10 A No. On July 10th, I heard from him once.
- Q Uh-huh.

- A On July 11th, one or two times.
- Q One or two times. And the one on July 10th, were you instructed to do anything?
 - A I instructed him. I mean, he asked questions. Until I can verify who somebody is, I'm not giving any information of Randall's to anyone. I'm not letting anybody -- I mean, we protect the client. It's about the client. And we protect Buddy Gregg.
 - Q So was that phone call initially with Mr. Patterson on July 10th, you just trying to confirm he was who he said he was?
 - A Yeah. I would have liked to have actually identified somebody that was truly who they said they were. I mean, you can't tell anything over a phone, you know, and -- you know,

Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination

it's -- you know, that's the biggest -- but it was pretty easy

to find out that he actually, truly was who he was.

Q And who -- how did you do that?

- A I Googled. I didn't even know we had FBI in Knoxville, so I Googled that first. And then went through and called and questioned and got down to the safe bet that Mr. Patterson actually existed.
 - Q So he was listed in the FBI website?
- A I don't know if he was listed on the website or if I just called and questioned -- you know, explained that somebody is calling me indicating they're from the FBI, and I'd like to make sure that the person actually exists.
- Q Were you taking extra precautions because of what had already happened with True Brown?
- A Yeah. Because I didn't know -- I didn't know -- at this stage, I didn't know if you were real, if Randall was real, who was -- I just -- I mean, it wouldn't have mattered.
- If -- at that stage -- and this is what everybody has to understand. If at that stage I found out Randall was -- was a criminal, I found out that True Brown was a criminal or anybody else was, it did not matter.
- The money was in the account. He was an owner. He had signed the documentation, got himself -- could have came in there to take this motor home and I could not release it to him. Okay. And that's it. That's how that happened. It

Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination didn't matter, he had ownership of that motor home.

- Q So you took all the steps that you felt were required --
 - A Every single one.
- Q -- within your experience to protect Randall as a client until proving different as well as Buddy Gregg?
 - A Correct.

- Q Okay. And what is the name of your attorney that would have had all the documentation -- you stated -- let me rephrase this. Strike that. You stated that all the documentation regarding this entire sale was given to your attorney to be able to review?
- A Correct. That would have been in July. That would have been on July 10th. And it would have been sent over to Howard Jackson, which is right down here somewhere in the Bank of America building, yes.
- Q Is that your personal attorney or the attorney for Buddy Gregg?
 - A No. He's our attorney for Buddy Gregg.
- Q For Buddy Gregg. Did you also give that -- all this documentation over to Lauren Palmisano or anyone from Whitney Bank?
 - A No. Brad would have -- Brad would have done that.

 If there was anything that was sent to her, it would have been done through corporate office.

- 1 Q Which is Mr. Cohen?
- 2 A Mr. Cohen.

3

5

6

7

8

- Q And his staff?
- 4 A Yeah. Correct.
 - Q Okay. And after reviewing all that documentation, Buddy Gregg, you were told by your attorney that Buddy Gregg still has the money?
 - A I was told by Brad. He --
- 9 Q By Mr. Cohen?
- A Yeah. Everything goes from myself to Brad to Howard, and then Brad calls me and tells me what he wants me to do.
- 12 Q It was Mr. Cohen that told you Monday, this Monday, 13 that the money -- that the --
- 14 A No, no, this Monday, I checked our accounts.
- 15 Q You checked your accounts?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q And the money is still there?
- 18 A It -- it reflects that it is, yes.
- 19 Q It reflects that the money from Mr. Beane's sale
 20 is --
- A No. It reflects on the balance that nothing drastic
 has came out of my account that I don't know about, so --
- Q Okay. Thank you. Were you present on July 11th to be able to witness the FBI approaching Mr. Beane?
- 25 A I was -- I was on premise. I didn't chase him down.

 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

- 1 Q So you had -- you didn't -- not see anything that 2 occurred?
 - A No. I -- I seen part -- I seen parts of what happened. But I -- I was called to come up to -- we have two separate locations. We have -- well, not separate locations. The same thing. But the shop is up top. It's not visible from my office. I seen them come in.
 - Q Come in where?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

- A They kind of blocked off the driveways and came on up through. And, you know, did -- did what they did. I didn't --
- Q What did you see them actually do?
- A I seen them run towards the -- towards the motor home.
- Q Did they have weapons drawn?
 - A Well, I mean, they didn't have them aiming at anybody. They had them with them.
- 17 Q No. Did they have them out of their holsters?
 - A All I saw is -- is -- I mean, they didn't have -- I guess they were just -- I mean, it's like any other type raid.

 They didn't have -- you can't holster a gun, you know.
 - Q They had a shotgun?
 - A It wasn't a shotgun. It was a --
- 23 | Q How large was the gun?
- A Couple I seen, probably, I don't know, I mean -- I don't know what kind it was, but I mean, I assume it's --

- Q Can you show us with your hands?
- A Probably something like that, I guess.
- Q So, like, what is that three feet, four feet?
- A I mean, somewhere in that area.
- Q Okay.

A I didn't see any -- you know, I didn't see any -- there were people coming up the side. We have two separate driveways. I didn't see anything on the shop side. I seen people running up the hill on our side --

Q Right.

A -- on the sales side. And, you know, it kind of happened pretty quick, so I didn't get a real good visual. The only other thing that I had anything to do with was to go up and check on our staff. That was what I was asked to do, so ...

Q So when you saw them approaching the vehicles with these -- these, what, three to four feet -- foot guns, did you see them actually touch the vehicle?

A They weren't -- it wasn't anywhere near Randy. It was on the backside of --

Q Oh.

A They -- they came in on one side. They didn't really have a good layout of our dealership. I mean, it wasn't like -- I don't think they had a plan, you know, at the time to -- you know, like they had weeks to plan it out or anything.

They just came in and did what -- you know, did what they felt best to keep everybody safe.

- ${\tt Q}$ $\,$ Are you able to draw for us just sort of a general map, so that we can understand where the --
 - A Sure.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q May I? Is there a piece of paper that he could have and a pen to be able to draw?

A If you could just imagine a horseshoe. You know, a horseshoe, it goes -- our initial driveway come in. And to get towards the shop, you go up and around, and to go back out, you go back down and out. The two driveways are probably 240 feet, 225 to 240 away from each other. One goes up into the shop. One goes up in, you can go this way to the right, to the sales department. You can go this way to go up to the shop and the parts department.

- Q How big is Buddy Gregg property?
- 17 A 22 acres.
- 18 0 22 acres?
- 19 A Yeah.
 - Q How far from the entrance of any of the entrances to the property is it to these buildings?
 - A From the -- calling the shop entrance Entrance 1, it's probably 175 feet to the shop.
 - Q I'm sorry. Could you please draw just a general map.
 - A Yeah, I don't --

Q I'm not sure where the shop is versus this horseshoe so that the jury and myself and Mr. Beane could actually understand what went down.

A I haven't been known for my artistic ability.

Q Just an accurate representation as far as measurements and to scale, we're just looking for an idea so we can picture it.

THE COURT: Go ahead and switch over to the screen and put it on the screen, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf. We'll mark this as Defendant's Exhibit 1.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Actually, at this moment, would it be possible to take a short recess so I can speak with the clerk so I know exactly how to --

THE COURT: Well, I think just put it on the screen. Let's see if we can keep going. Go ahead and put it on the screen.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Can you see that?

THE COURT: Everybody can see it? Is that the map you drew, Mr. Byrne?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't you just orient us on the screen?

THE WITNESS: The three, if you look to the far bottom right, there's -- you'll see, that's the -- that's the -- we'll call that -- I guess we'll call that Driveway 2.

1 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2 Q Which one, I'm sorry?
 - A Far bottom right.
 - Q Far bottom right?

A Yeah. It's from the end -- that's right. From the end of the driveway to the top of the shop where you park is about 300 feet. You see as it goes around the building, that little shop, or that little sales office right there?

Q Okay.

THE COURT: Point -- when you say right there, you can touch the screen.

A Okay. Right here, that's the sales office. Terrible drawing, but forgive me.

- 14 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:
- Q Okay.

A Okay. It goes 300 feet around to the parking area behind the sales building.

You can see the roadway loops around and goes back down so you can go back out the other side. There's a roadway here that goes up the side that goes from the sales to the shop, so we can take golf carts out where you can drive motor homes, so you can do whatever you want to do through there.

The FBI blocked off this area, they blocked off this area.

This from here up through to the shop is about UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 250 feet, and the furthest point that anyone is allowed beyond 2 is right there where there's a stop sign, and we have a lot guy

3 that will stop you from going through there.

There's a campground over here, kind of goes around -- good God, this is terrible. Right there.

And the shop is -- it's 24 bays, 13 feet per bay.

Somewhere in the vicinity of 275 feet.

There's also a body shop over here, which does not look like that at all, so ...

- Q So that's the body shop that you just drew, that's a separate building from the main shop of 24 bays?
- 12 A It is. It's 32 feet from the edge of the shop to the 13 body shop.
 - Q And where would -- where was the RV -- Mr. Beane's RV at this point?
 - A Right here.
 - Q Right --
- 18 A I'm sorry?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

20

21

- 19 Q Right in front of the shop?
 - A Well, it's -- it's -- yeah, it's in front of the shop. Here's the -- oh, man, this is --
- Q Could you draw just a square or something so we can tell the difference?
- A Yeah. If my finger wasn't so big, I could probably
 do a pretty good job of it. Yeah, I can't. Yeah, it's not

Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination 1 that big either, and it doesn't look like that either. 2 So it's actually lengthways in front of the shop. 3 It's -- the little stick indicator did better. It's right 4 there, right where the O is, down below the O is where the 5 office is for the shop. 6 Could you perhaps put a -- write RV, if you can right 7 next to that square just so that we --8 You can't. You can't. It's going to -- try it Α 9 yourself. Right there. 10 I will. Q 11 Give it a roll. Give it a roll. You got small Α 12 fingers and you can --Just fingernails, is that right, for touching the 13 14 screen? 15 Α Pretty good, huh? 16 THE COURT: Someone wrote "RV." 17 THE WITNESS: That wasn't me. THE COURT: Is that where the RV was? 18 19 THE WITNESS: No. The RV was over -- well, now it 20 looks like a truck -- right over by the -- between S and the O. That's where the RV was. But it was parked lengthwise. 21 22 wasn't parked widthwise. It was parked lengthwise. 23 So they simply come in. I don't know if you can --24

they come in and they blocked this right here. See where my finger is moving at the bottom? They block there. They

25

Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination blocked there. Nobody can get in, nobody can get out. 1 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: 2 3 Q Okay. Those are the only two entrances and exits to 4 the property? 5 Well, out in the woods there's some, but nobody is 6 going through with an RV. 7 Would it help if -- because I have a red pen here. 8 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Don't draw on --9 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Don't draw on it? Just color in 10 Oh, on the piece of paper. the box. 11 THE COURT: Why don't we do this, we'll go ahead and 12 take a break and --13 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. 14 THE COURT: If the attorneys and defendants present, 15 if you need to talk with the witness and you want to get a 16 diagram, that's fine. So let's take a recess. 17 (Jury out at 10:44 a.m.) THE COURT: As I mentioned, we'll take a recess. 18 19 Mr. Byrne can stick around. He could maybe during the break 20 could draw a few things on his drawn map. 21 But, also, the courtroom deputy may try to print out a Google Map, so you may be able to use that as well for this 22 23 testimony. 24 So why don't y'all figure that out over these 15 25 minutes. Give Mr. Byrne time for a break as well. But if you

Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination could hang around for a few minutes, they may want you to 1 2 confer. Just make sure everybody does it right here in the 3 well. Thank you. THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: This honorable court shall 4 5 stand in recess till eleven o'clock. 6 (Recess from 10:45 a.m. to 11:11 a.m.) 7 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: This honorable court is again in session. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. We're going to bring our jury back in. 10 11 (Jury in at 11:11 a.m.) 12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Everyone may be 13 seated. 14 Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, you may continue. 15 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: 16 Q Thank you. Without prejudice, I will continue. 17 Mr. Byrne? 18 Α Yes, ma'am. 19 Okay. You've received a Google printout from the Q 20 clerk? I did. 21 Α 22 Prosecution has a copy and Mr. Beane has a copy. Q 23 Α You probably want to turn that around. 24 Okay. Q 25 Much better. Α

- Q So this was printed by the clerk. Is that an accurate representation of the 22 acres?
 - A Pretty close, yeah. Yeah.
- THE COURT: This will be Defendant's Exhibit 2. You can put a sticker on afterwards.
- 6 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Afterwards. Okay.
- Excuse me, which one is showing, this one or -- can I
 write on it up here and it will show?
- 9 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes. That's correct.
- 10 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:

1

2

3

4

5

11

12

13

- Q Okay. So, Mr. Byrne, the exits that you had drawn on Defendant's Exhibit No. 1, is that up here in the right-hand corner off of Snyder Road?
- 14 A It's off Snyder Road. Correct.
- Q Okay. So this right here -- and I'm marking it in red. Can you see that?
- 17 A Yep.
- 18 0 Is that where that first blockade --
- 19 A That's right.
- 20 Q Multiple law enforcement blockade was?
- 21 A Well, yeah.
- Q Okay. And then the second entrance, because it looks like there's three here?
- 24 A There's only two.
- Q Okay.

- A You have what they have indicated as Herron Road.

 It's not really Herron Road, but they still somehow show it.

 That's the first entrance. The second entrance is over here,
 the little yellow line there. That's Number 2.
- Q So that's Number 2. So what is the one to the left of that? What is that?
 - A To the left of it?
 - Q Or is that just fenced off?
 - A Oh, that's all fenced off, yeah.
- 10 Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

25

- 11 A You mean -- I'm sorry, you mean here?
- 12 Q Yeah.
 - A That's just an emergency exit in the event that something happens to the gate and there's a fire, we can get all the people out of the campground.
 - Q Okay. So that's an emergency -- so these -- the one you marked in yellow and I marked in red --
- 18 A Correct.
 - Q -- those were the two gates you were showing on the first time?
- 21 A That's right.
- 22 Q Okay. Perhaps now you can go ahead and identify --
- 23 A They actually have this -- where this motor home is 24 right here --
 - Q Uh-huh.

- A -- that's where Randall's motor home was.
- Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

25

- A That's Bay 17. That's the only thing wide enough to take 45 feet with four slots.
 - Q So on here where they had the blockades, did they have any officers inside on the property --
- A Yes.
 - Q -- where the blockades -- how many officers do you think were at each --
 - A I seen -- I seen probably two or three here, you know, on what they have indicated as Herron Road. We shut our blinds because we had customers in our office.
 - Q Shut the blinds?
- 14 A Yeah. So --
 - Q Could you just mark with an X where you think the officers were and approximately how many?
 - A There's three between -- between -- three or four that I seen between -- now I can't do Xs very well on this thing either. There we go. Between there --
- 20 Q Three or four?
 - A -- and here. And then there's plenty over here.
- 22 | Q Plenty over where?
 - A Well, I mean, over -- this is where the majority -- right where Randall's coach is was where the majority -- you know, the fun was happening. This down here. I mean, they

Jerald Byrne - Cross-Examination
just stopped it so people couldn't -- they didn't want people
to get involved. So they sent people up in here to keep

Q When you say "up in here" --

customers and keep other people safe.

- A Where I put that second X right here.
- Q The second X?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

- A Yeah. They came up through here, and they cleared this going down so people would not get involved in anything going on over here.
- 10 Q And that's to the right-hand corner of the shop. Is
 11 that correct?
 - A Oh, yeah. That's actually the parts department.
- 13 Q The parts?
- 14 A Yeah, it's attached. This part right here --
- Q Uh-huh.
- 16 A -- over is parts.
- Q Okay. So I'm going to -- hold on a second. This is the --
- 19 A What's that?
- Q Hold on. My finger is marking it instead of the pen.
- 21 I'm just going to put a P for the parts department so we know.
- 22 Right there, that yellow mark?
- 23 A Yeah.
- Q Okay. And so you had FBI where those two Xs are and at the entrances?

- A Yeah. And they're proceeding to go to where Randall's coach was.
 - Q And did you -- were you able to see Randall's coach from your --
- A No. My office is where this X is going right here.

 That's my office.
 - Q That's your office?
- 8 A Yeah.

- Q So the officers that you saw were the -- with the weapons, those were the ones that you -- were in plain sight of your office?
- A Yeah. I just seen people going up over the hill to -- you know, I -- I can only assume it's to make sure that no one leaves or -- or gets hurt along the way. I mean, they --
- Q Okay. So at that moment when you saw that activity going on --
 - A I closed my blinds.
- Q You closed your blinds. And did you order everyone in your office to close their blinds?
 - A I told everyone to stay where they were and not to leave the building.
 - Q So prior to law enforcement and all these guns coming in on the property, you had a phone call prior to that from -- from law enforcement?

A Yes. Right before Randall -- right before Randall finished off in my office -- see, all of our conversations, the conversations that I had with you and Randall were right here.

Okay. Randall came in the front door, and he was in the thing when I got my call from the FBI asking me questions.

I said I wasn't expecting Randall that early. You know, Randall was coming in to go up and take his coach. I figured he was an hour, hour and a half out, and then all of a sudden he was there.

They're asking me questions.

And I said, you know, "I'm finishing delivering up the unit. It's being delivered here shortly. You know, so if there's anything that you need to do or you need to speak to him, you may want to speak to him, because he's going up here to get his coach and he's leaving."

- Q Okay. Did you contact them?
- A No. I got a call.
- Q You got a call from them?
- 19 A Correct.

- Q Saying that -- and you told them Randy was in your office?
- A No, they're just -- well, Randy wasn't in my office yet. Randy was out in the lobby. I was already speaking with them.
 - Q Uh-huh.

A And Randy just happened to come in. And when he came in, you know, I indicated that whatever you need to -- you know, if you guys have discussions you need to have, you may want to do it. I can't detain him. He owns the coach, so ...

Q Okay. And how long had you approximately been talking to them before Randy came in?

A Two, three minutes. There's never any long conversation.

- Q And you had stated earlier in our cross-examination here that you had spoken to them twice on the 11th, once on July 10th and then twice on July 11th?
 - A It was once on the 10th, twice on the 11th.
- Q Right. So you had a phone call with them prior to this phone call when Randy came in?

A I had a phone call when -- right as Randy was going into the office. I, you know, just said that, you know, it would be just a couple minutes to finish the conversation, and he came in the office. We spoke with him for anywhere probably 25, 30 minutes in our office.

I got a call directly afterwards, asking if the coach was still on premise. I said it was. They said they were coming to -- to do whatever they were going to do, so ...

- Q That was the first phone call that day?
- A No. That was the second. They were within -- they were within a half hour of each other. The first one was when

- Randy first showed up. The second one was probably six minutes

 after he left my office.
 - Q Uh-huh. So 25 to 30 minutes between these two phone calls?
 - A Yeah.

3

4

5

8

9

11

15

25

- Q And both of those phone calls were initiated by someone claiming to be the FBI or was the second --
 - A Well, I was pretty confident he was FBI. That's the one that I --
- 10 Q Oh, was that Mr. Patterson?
 - A It was Mr. Patterson.
- Q Okay. Someone that you had already gone through the -- to vet that he actually was who he said he was?
- 14 A Correct.
 - Q So who initiated that second call?
- A Both was initiated by the FBI, not by me.
- 17 Q Okay. And both times, Mr. Patterson was that --
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q And why was Randy there that morning?
- 20 A He was -- he had -- I'm trying to think if there was 21 something else that we had missed with a signature or not.
- I -- I know that we went over the -- on the 11th we went over
 the -- the trust. Don -- Nelson Forbes went over the trust
- 24 with -- with Randy.
 - Q Would that be the Exhibit 105 that you and I had gone
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 over earlier?

3

8

9

- 2 A Correct.
 - Q That's the paperwork that Randy --
- 4 A That was the one with the red dye, that was the one 5 that we -- was what we went over.
- Q And he actually borrowed your copier so that he could make copies of it?
 - A Correct. That's right.
 - Q In your office in that --
- 10 A No, not in my office. It was in the hallway.
- 11 Q In the hallway?
- 12 A Yeah.
- Q So that was approximately -- do you remember what time?
- A You know, I -- it was -- it was probably close to -back up about -- about 45 minutes from the time he got
 arrested, that's about when it was. So it was within -- it was
 within probably one to three area in the time frame.
- 19 Q A one what?
- 20 A One to about three. It -- I can't give you much 21 closer than two hours, because, I mean, I can't --
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 A -- tell you what time of day it was --
- 24 Q You believe the whole -- from Randy showing up to the 25 time that law enforcement came in and started blocking things

1 off was approximately how long?

A It was within a two -- well, it was within -- it was within probably the hour of him showing. He went up and Randall went up and went over a couple items with Paul Chapman, one of our -- one of our techs, and at that stage it just kind of all unfolded.

- Q And how were the rest of the -- you said you had to calm people down --
 - A No.

- Q -- during the event or told people to stay inside?
- A We just told -- we didn't want the customers, nor -- I don't want anybody involved in that. I mean, we -- I -- I didn't want it for Randall, I didn't want it for us, I didn't want it for the FBI people. We just -- that just doesn't happen to Buddy Gregg.
 - Q Were people trying to go outside to see what --
- A People were -- yeah. It's kind of like anything.

 They -- everybody gets curious about what's going on, and next thing you know, you have somebody hurt or somebody, you know --
 - Q How did you explain that to your clients?
 - A Sit down, don't go outside.
- Q That's all the comments you made?
- 23 A That's all you had to make, yep.
 - Q Okay. Did anyone help you in preparation for your testimony here today?

- A No. We just went over, you know, each exhibit. I mean, nobody told me to say something or --
- Q Who did you go over your -- in preparation for your testimony? Who specifically?
 - A These two folks here.
- Q Ms. Davidson and Ms. Svolto?
- A Correct. That's right.
- Q Anybody else in this room from law enforcement?
- 9 A We have -- forgive me, I'm sorry, gentleman back
 10 there, he was -- both gentlemen, they came in to --
- 11 Q Who is that gentleman?
 - A You got to forgive me. I'm not --
- 13 Q This gentleman --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

19

24

25

- 14 A -- great with names.
- 15 Q -- with the glasses?
- 16 A Him and the gentleman before him, right there.
- 17 Q And FBI Agent Durand?
- 18 A Yes. Correct.
 - Q I'm sorry I don't know.
- 20 MR. PACK: I'm Special Agent Jason Pack.
- 21 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Jason Pack?
- MR. PACK: Yes, ma'am.
- 23 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:
 - Q And I have one last question here, who's the finance manager for Buddy Gregg?

- 1 A At the time of this, it was Daron Walker.
- 2 Q Derek?
- 3 A Daron.
- 4 Q Daron Walker.
- 5 A D-a-r-o-n, Daron, Daron. And it was Walker.
- 6 Q And how long has he been with Buddy Gregg?
- 7 A He was there for a short period.
- 8 Q How short of a period?
- 9 A Less than 90 days.
- 10 Q What was his last day? Do you know, approximately?
- 11 A It would have been -- it would have been somewhere -12 somewhere around school time, because he brought his daughter
 13 back to North Carolina to put her in school.
- Q September?
- 15 A Early September.
- 16 Q Early September, possibly end of October -- or
- 17 August?
- 18 A No. It was in September.
- 19 Q Okay. And where did he work before working for Buddy 20 Gregg?
- 21 A Camping World of North Carolina.
- 22 Q How long had he worked there prior?
- 23 A I believe two or three years.
- Q His father had owned the Camping World?
- 25 A I'm sorry.

- Q He had worked there for approximately two to three years, his father had owned that?
- A No. No. That was -- no, Camping World was owned by one person. That's -- Tom Johnson owned it prior to Camping World. But Daron's dad didn't own it.
- MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. I have no further questions at this time.

8 THE COURT: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: But I would like to -- how do we save this with the markings on there. If you can get it back to where it was.

Does that look accurate?

THE WITNESS: It's pretty close.

THE COURT: Got it, Julie?

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: So Defendant's Exhibit 1 will be the hand-drawn -- hand drawings. Defendant's Exhibit 2 will be the plain copy of the Google Map. Defendant's Exhibit 3 --

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: 2A.

THE COURT: -- or 2A will be the Google Map with the markings on it.

Thank you, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf.

(Defendants' Exhibits 1, 2, 2A admitted into evidence.)

THE COURT: Ms. Svolto, redirect?

MS. SVOLTO: Yes, please.

1		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. SV	OLTO:
3	Q	How are you doing, Mr. Byrne?
4	А	I'm good. How are you?
5	Q	I'm good. So if we could switch to the thank you.
6		So on which date did Mr. Beane first arrive to Buddy
7	Gregg?	
8	А	My paperwork indicates the 6th.
9	Q	Okay. And when he came, he picked out a motor home.
LO	Right?	
11	А	That's right.
L2	Q	And did you draw up purchase agreement documents?
L3	А	We did.
L4	Q	All right. Could we go to Exhibit 123, please.
L5		Were these the original documents that you drew up?
L 6	А	That's the original.
L7	Q	And you drew those up on which date?
L8	А	The 7th.
L9	Q	If we could highlight the 7th.
20		All right. And whose signature there by the dealer
21	manager?	
22	А	That actually was printed up by Daron Walker.
23	Q	Okay. And the buyer's signature there?
24	А	Is Randall Keith Beane.
25	Q	And the date of those signatures?
		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

- A Both have 7/7.
 - Q Okay. And now if we could have Exhibit 100.

3 All right. Is this a new purchase agreement

4 document?

1

2

5

8

9

- A That's a -- that's the final of three.
- Q Okay. And so there was a second one in between these two?
 - A Correct. It would have had actual Randall Keith Beane instead of Randy Beane.
- 10 Q Okay. So there was a Randy Beane purchase agreement 11 and then a Randall Keith Beane purchase agreement?
- 12 A Correct.
- Q And then there was this agreement?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q All right. If we could go to the top where it says
 16 "Buyer" and "Address."
- All right. And so this is -- it says at the "Buyer,"
 what does it say there?
- 19 A "Randall Keith Beane Duly Factualized."
- 20 And so as the date there is also July 7th?
- 21 A It is.
- Q All right. And so that would indicate that this document was prepared on July 7th?
- 24 A Correct.
- Q And it was prepared at whose request?

- A It would have been at Heather and Randall's request.
- Q Okay. So after the second, after the first document was prepared that said Randy Beane --
 - A That's right.

- Q -- at what point did you learn that there was a change requested?
- A In the beginning when he was speaking with Dan Lassetter, he said that there was -- there's a possibility of something -- of something changing.
- Dan is my salesperson. He kind of indicated that it may -- you know, that he was speaking with his attorney or his consultant to determine whether or not it was going to be put into a trust name.
- Q Okay. So, initially, there were documents filled out that said Randy Beane?
 - A That is right.
 - Q And then when was it determined to be put in Randall Keith Beane Duly Factualized?
 - A That would have been after he -- Randall had had a discussion with Heather.
 - Q Okay. And what date was this document prepared?
- 22 A That's on 7/7.
 - Q And is it your recollection that he provided trust documents at the time he requested this change?
 - A That's right.

- Q And that would have been on July 7th as well?
- A Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

17

18

19

- Q All right. Could we go to the signature line. And so is there a dealer manager signature there?
 - A That's Daron Walker.
 - Q And also dated July 7, 2017?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q And buyer, there's a signature there?
- 9 A There is.
- 10 Q Is that consistent with all the other signatures you received on these documents --
- 12 A Absolutely.
- Q -- for the buyer. Okay. Also dated July 7, 2017?
- 14 A Correct.
- Q Okay. Thanks. And so your recollection is that you received some trust documents on July 7th, 2017. Correct?
 - A Correct. We would have had to have something scanned to us in order to -- to change from Randall Keith to Randall Keith Beane Duly Factualized.
- Q Okay. And so after you received those documents, that's when this document here --
- 22 A Correct.
- Q -- the purchase agreement, Exhibit 100, was prepared?
- 24 A That's right.
- Q Okay. And so when you received the trust documents,
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Jerald Byrne - Redirect Examination do you review them personally?

A I don't.

- Q No. You send them to a legal division?
- A Well, I usually let the finance department, you know, read through to make sure it's -- we -- we do probably 12, 14 trusts a year. And out of those, I've never had not one issue. We usually take it at face value. If something is -- is noticeably different, you know, there's -- they're normally financed. They're not paid cash on the trust. They use it to write things off for the trust. You know, if there's something that's noticeably different, the F and I manager will tell me and I'll stop the sale.
- Q So if you don't hear anything from the F and I manager, you carry on --
 - A Correct.
- Q -- with the regular course of the sale? And so when you turn things over to the legal department, you don't check to ask if they've reviewed it or anything?
- A No. We don't usually have to turn anything over to the legal department. We -- I mean, we just never -- it's easy like Sunday morning. We never have problems. I mean, it's just -- this is the first.
 - Q Have you ever had a deal go like this?
- 24 A Absolutely not.
 - Q All right. Could we go to Exhibit 105. And so you
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Jerald Byrne - Redirect Examination changed the purchase agreement documents on July 7th?

A Correct.

- Q Correct? And then you received trust documents also on July 7th?
 - A Correct.
- Q And then you received a second set of trust documents?

A Correct. We didn't -- after the -- we wound up getting the second set on the 11th. And it was -- it was a new thing. I said I want original documentation so we could see the original documentation. We had calls indicating that the sale was not -- it was a fraudulent sale.

And in order for me to be comfortable, I asked

Randall to bring in the original documents. He did it

within -- not very long anyway. He brought it back and it was

all -- I mean, I don't know what red dye means on anything. I

asked Howard afterwards, but he said he'd never seen that,

so --

- Q All right. So looking at this document, you understood this document to be a trust document?
- A Correct.
- Q All right. And so could we go to Page 2 of Exhibit 105.
- So looking at Paragraph 8, I think you indicated on your cross-examination, it was incorrect?

- 1 A It is.
- Q What's incorrect about it?
- A Because nothing was lawfully paid to Jayco by anybody but me.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A Well, Buddy Gregg.
- Q Right. Because Buddy Gregg purchased the motor home initially as the dealer?
 - A Correct.
- 10 Q Did you prepare this document?
- 11 A No.

9

19

20

- 12 Q It was provided to you by whom?
- 13 A Randall.
- Q Okay. And could we go to Paragraph 11, please. And is Paragraph 11 correct? And specifically I'm looking at the last line started with "purchase."
- 17 A No, it's not correct with Jayco. It's between 18 Randall Beane Duly Factualized and Buddy Gregg.
 - Q Okay. So the incorrect portion of that is it references Jayco?
- 21 A Jayco.
- 22 Q All right. Could we go to the bottom of this page, 23 starting with the signature line, go all the way to the bottom, 24 please. All right. Great.
- 25 And so the date on this particular document is

 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 July 11th. Correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A It indicates it. It's -- I mean, it -- yeah. I mean, it kind of looks like something could have been -- I mean, if you could see the over -- everything else is kind of fine and to the point, and that second -- that middle section looks like there's --

Q Okay. And so if we could highlight the signature line and the sentence underneath the signature line. All right.

Do you recognize that signature?

- A Randall's?
- 12 Q Uh-huh.
- 13 A Yes, I do.
- Q And underneath it, can you read that out, the sentence underneath Randall Keith Beane?
 - A "The original depository" --
 - Q Oh, no, I'm sorry. Right above that in the highlighted portion, "All inquiries."
 - A "All inquiries regarding the declaration of the duly completed sale, are duly directed to my lawyer, Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf, telephone No. 253-241" -- I think it's 2008.
 - Q Okay.
 - A 2000 something.
- Q Okay. Thank you. And so is that consistent with what your previous conversations with Mr. Beane had been?

A With Mr. Beane and with Heather, and all -- any other -- any other issues to contact his attorney directly.

- Q All right. So you understood that Heather was Beane's attorney. Correct?
 - A Correct.

- Q Okay. So you stated a couple times on cross-examination that Randall Beane had ownership of the motor home?
 - A Correct.
- Q And, in fact, did you have a storage agreement and a delivery agreement with Mr. Beane?
- A Yeah. The storage agreement is signed to let everybody know we're, one, not charging them anything to store their unit there until they take it away. The main reason to have that signed, and at the bottom, there's a second page that you don't have, but it states that you are an owner.
- You know, and there's three lines. One states that -- that you agreed to the purchase of the unit, the second line agrees that if there's any financing, you're bound to the finance contract. There was none. And the third line indicates that this is considered as delivered to me.
- So, in other words, if you buy it from California and you want to pick it up, we give you the paperwork, you sign everything on the -- on the thing, and it means that you own it. There's -- it's nonnegotiable, so --

Q Was that -- can we put up Exhibit 113, please.

And is that this document here?

A Yes. There's a second page to this document, but it all says the same thing, so --

- Q Is this 115?
- A Yes. Correct.

Q Okay. And could we go to --

A Well, this is a -- well, no, I'm sorry, this is a privacy notice stating that we're not going to -- we're not going to sell any information. We didn't have any information to sell because he didn't finance anything.

Q Okay. Could -- and I apologize, could we go to Exhibit 113.

A Yeah. That's the delivery -- that's delivery and storage.

Q Okay.

A That's actually -- this one here is done by -- this one here is done by the -- looks down here like -- I'm not sure who the authorized signature is on that one, but it would have been somebody up in the shop, because this is a shop storage agreement. It might have been Daron. I'm sorry. It is Daron. But he would have -- he would have signed it with Randall when he came down after doing the walk.

Q Okay. And could we go briefly to Exhibit 103. All right. And so the wire you've testified you received -- the

Jerald Byrne - Recross-Examination 1 wire was sent on July 7th. Is that correct? 2 No. The wire was started on July 7th. It was Α received on July 8th, 2017 at 12:10 p.m. 3 4 Okay. And once that wire is received, the cash is in Q Buddy Gregg's account. Correct? 5 6 That's right. Α 7 A wire works just like cash? 8 It was. We prefer wiring. Α 9 And once that wire came through on the 8th, 10 Mr. Byrne, was it Buddy Gregg's position that Randall Beane 11 owned that RV? 12 It is the position that he owns it, yes. Α 13 MS. SVOLTO: Okay. Thank you. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 Mr. Beane, any recross-examination in follow-up to 16 the redirect? 17 MR. BEANE: Yes, sir. **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** 18 19 MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, he would like -- he wants 20 to put in an exhibit that we have not admitted yet. We don't 21 have an objection to it, but it hasn't been admitted yet. 22 THE COURT: All right. Why don't you put it on the 23 screen. 24 MR. BEANE: Okay. 25 THE COURT: Can this witness identify it? I mean, if UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Jerald Byrne - Recross-Examination there's no objection to this document, let's just mark it as 1 2 Defendant's Exhibit 4 and we'll admit it. So go ahead. 3 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Three? 4 THE COURT: Three. Excuse me. Thank you. 5 (Defendants' Exhibit 3 admitted into evidence.) 6 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Beane. 7 BY MR. BEANE: 8 Mr. Byrne, do you recognize this logo on this 9 letterhead? 10 The Whitney logo? Α 11 Yes, sir. Q 12 Yes, I do. Α Would that be your bank that you deal with with Buddy 13 Q 14 Gregg Motor Homes? 15 One of many, yeah. Α 16 Okay. Do you see the date there at the top? Q 17 Α We received the -- oh, July 12th? 18 Q Yes, sir. 19 Yes, I see that. Α 20 The arrest happened on the 11th? Q 21 Correct. Α 22 This letter is dated the 12th. Correct? Q 23 Α Okay. 24 Would you read this letter, please? Q 25 Sure. It's indicates USAA Federal Savings Bank. Α UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 THE COURT: Just start with the "We received."

THE WITNESS: Okay. "We received a Hold Harmless from USAA Federal Savings bank on 7/10/2017 regarding a fraudulent wire deposited into Whitney Bank account on 7/7/17 in the amount of \$493,110.68. We have completed research and are unable to return the funds per your request for the following reason(s):

"Documentations have been provided by your customer, Randall Keith Beane, acknowledging that the wire, in the amount of \$493,110.68, sent on 7/7/17 to recipient, Buddy Gregg Motor Home LLC, was a valid transaction and should not be disputed.

Mr. Beane has acknowledged that he is in possession of the item purchased by the recipient.

"Should you have any questions, please contact me."

And that looks like Lauren's -- yeah, it's Lauren's number.

BY MR. BEANE:

- Q Thank you for reading that. Would you say that in order for this letter to be drawn, that an attorney would have had to gone over the documents for this letter to go out?
 - A That's something I don't -- I mean --
 - Q You wouldn't know that?
- MS. SVOLTO: Your Honor --
- 24 A I don't.
 - MS. SVOLTO: -- we'd object. The witness has no UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 knowledge.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: He said he didn't know. That's probably objectionable.

Go ahead, Mr. Beane.

Not within his personal knowledge --

MR. BEANE: Right.

THE COURT: -- so I'll sustain the objection.

BY MR. BEANE:

Q Would you assume that --

MS. SVOLTO: Your Honor, object.

A I don't assume. This is something --

THE COURT: Let me -- excuse me, when she objects,

let me --

THE WITNESS: Oh, okay, I'm sorry, yeah.

THE COURT: She objects for the same reason. I'll sustain the objection. It's not within the witness' personal knowledge.

MR. BEANE: All right. Thank you for answering that.

BY MR. BEANE:

- Q You said you never read the factualized trust?
- 21 A I don't read -- no, I don't read those.
 - Q You stated earlier that you did not feel that in any way that I was being fraudulent with Buddy Gregg Motors or that I had any intentions of doing anything with the coach other than using it for its intended purpose?

- A 300 days a year, correct.
- Q You still feel that way?

3 THE COURT: What did you say?

THE WITNESS: I said 300 days a year. Correct.

That's what he had on our notes.

BY MR. BEANE:

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q And you still feel that way?
- A Randall, please, forgive me, I don't -- I mean, at this stage, I -- I'm not -- I'm not here to --
- Q The reason I'm asking --
- A -- defend them, you, or any -- I'm here just to tell my statement, so ...
- Q I understood that you had sent word by someone that -- to let me know that I was a good customer and that you were truly sorry for everything that had happened?
- A And that's the truth. I'm truly sorry for anybody that has this type of break.
- Q Was there a warrant shown to you in order to take the RV off the property, once I was arrested?
- A It's not. It wouldn't be shown to me. This would be handled by -- most likely it would have been -- it would have been Eric Bivens, which was the people that had possession of the unit.
- Q Is it common practice to let a vehicle go without seeing a warrant?

- A None of this was common.
- 2 Q Do what?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

- A None of this was common.
- Q I mean, is it common practice to let a vehicle go without proper authority unless the owner has approved it?
- A No. We don't frequently do that. We don't let people borrow other people's stuff.
- Q There was no approval for me to remove the vehicle from the property at that point?
- A Again, I -- I would assume not. But, again, I don't -- I mean ...
 - Q Is it -- in all the years you've been in business, would it be usual business practice if funds are stolen and the property is still on the retail lot to take the property rather than try to retrieve the funds?
 - A This has never happened to me.
- 17 Q Right.
- 18 A I don't --
- 19 Q But you -- in business, would you think that would be 20 usual practice?
 - A They would -- they would usually -- I mean, it would -- it was indicated that you were the owner of the coach, and they collect the collateral, not the cash. Right now, they had no bearing against the money.
 - Q Right.

- A All they had was -- was the collateral that -- that USAA felt that they owned, so ...
 - Q But the funds had proven to be legitimate?
 - A The funds had cleared our account.
- Q Okay. Who convinced you -- at some point, you said that you felt comfortable with me as a customer, and you wanted to protect me as a customer. Who convinced you otherwise to let you believe that I had committed a crime to the point where you allowed the FBI on the property to ambush me, basically?
- A Well, it wasn't a convincing of anything. It's called obstruction of justice. I'm not going to get involved. My main goal is to keep our customers satisfied and safe.

 Okay. When someone above my authority comes in on that property, I don't get involved until the dust settles.
 - Q Had they been on the property prior to --
- A Never.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

23

24

- Q Never? Just on phone calls?
- 18 A I'm sorry?
 - Q Just phone calls?
- 20 A Phone calls.
- 21 MR. BEANE: All right. I have no further questions.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Beane.
 - Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, any recross in response to the redirect?
- MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Without prejudice, just brief
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

recross.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

3 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:

Q Mr. Byrne, Ms. Svolto had shown you the purchase agreement -- or the purchase sales order?

A Correct.

Q Okay. And you had stated that it was Daron Walker's signature at the bottom?

A Daron Walker would have done the final paperwork. It would have been drawn up by me. It would have been saved and Daron would have had Randall sign in the office. My copy would have came out. He would have seen the thing across the top that said something similar to nonrefundable deposit.

When I -- when I print the things, I take a stamp, I stamp it, I send it back. Daron then goes back, has Randall's signature on this until Daron -- because he then sells product. He sells wheel and tire. He sells wheel and tire and extended service contracts and paint and fab and stuff like that.

It then changes again. It's not signed by me. If they by-product, it's then Daron's signature. If they -- well, not any longer, but I mean, when he was there with us, it was Daron's signature. It's originally stamped by me and signed by me, holding the customer to the purchase.

Q So are you saying that you prepared that paperwork then?

- A I would have prepared the final paperwork. Correct.
- Q Not Daron Walker?

A No. He would have printed out what I already had and anything additional that he added to it. In other words, Randall purchased an extended service contract, wheel and tire and paint and fab, I believe is -- I don't have the document in front of me, but I believe it was that three product totaling somewhere around 10,000. That would be about 10,250, 10,249, somewhere around there. That would have been prepared by Daron.

Q Right. You had said in your cross earlier and as well with Mr. Beane that July 8th was the first time that you had actually met Mr. Beane. How would it be possible for you to have prepared that paperwork on July --

A I would have prepared all the paperwork on -- I prepare all the paperwork. I don't meet every customer by any means until -- until -- sometimes I don't meet them. Sometimes I'm in court and I don't get to do my job. So -- but I don't meet every customer. But I usually try to make it a point to walk out and shake hands and congratulate a customer and to let them know that they're talking to the person that makes the final decision, so ...

- Q So Daron Walker was the one that worked with him on July 7th?
 - A Daron would have had him -- Dan, Dan Lassetter and
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Daron would have done -- would have done -- I would have prepared the paperwork and prepared the numbers. If there is any objection to any of the numbers, I would have met him at that point. There was no objection to any of the numbers, so I didn't have to go out and meet anybody.

- Q You also said that any paperwork that Brad Cohen would have received regarding any kind of sale, you would have received as well?
 - A I would. He would send it to me.
- Q You stated that you didn't receive any paperwork other than Exhibit 105?
- A Brad sent me nothing to my personal e-mail. The -- the -- what I got was from Randall.
- Q Uh-huh.
- 15 A And that's --
- 16 Q And no further paperwork?
- 17 A No.

- Q Okay. You talked about Mr. Beane had asked you regarding someone taking his property, you said you weren't going to get involved with obstruction?
 - A I can't.
- Q Exactly. So, in fact, you and I never even had a conversation on 7/8, it was actually Daron Walker that I had spoken with --
 - A No.

- Q -- who -- Daron Walker, and I had told Walker that -- Mr. Walker that I've always had many Walkers involved throughout my entire career. Correct?
- MS. SVOLTO: Your Honor, we're going to object to this line of questioning.
 - MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: That's fine. I'll strike it.
- 7 THE COURT: I'll sustain.
 - BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:

- Q You and I never spoke on July 8th. In fact, the first time that you and I spoke was on July 10th?
- A No, ma'am.
 - Q And on that day, I had asked you to spell out your name, because I didn't know your voice and I didn't know how to spell your name.
 - A You were confused about the people that were in the room on the 10th, because you kept saying Nelson Forbes and you kept -- you know, Mr. Forbes, Mr. Byrne, who is this, who is that.
 - You and I spoke on the 8th. And then Daron Walker took the remainder of the phone call, and I never met -- I met with Randall on the 8th, and it was on his phone, not on our phone, so ...
 - Q In fact, it was Mr. Walker that I had spoken to and not you who told me about the MSO and the actual tabs, that's why you can't recall the conversation correctly and we had some

108 Jerald Byrne - Recross-Examination 1 issues with getting the information? 2 No, ma'am. Daron would have to ask me --I --3 Q 4 MS. SVOLTO: Objection. 5 THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Byrne. Excuse me, both of 6 you. Excuse me. Remind everyone when counsel rises to make an 7 objection, the Court will listen to the objection and make a 8 ruling. 9 MS. SVOLTO: Objecting on the same grounds as 10 earlier. THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. Just ask the 11 12 question of this witness and -- go ahead. 13 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: 14 It wasn't actually you that I spoke to on July 8th. 15 Is that correct? 16 Α No, it's not correct. 17 In fact, it was Daron Walker that I spoke to on Q July 8th? 18 19 No, ma'am. Α 20 And, in fact, it was Mr. Walker who had told me that 21 he worked in North Carolina prior to coming to your --MS. SVOLTO: Objection. 22 23

Q -- facility?

24

25

MS. SVOLTO: Same grounds, and also asked and answered.

Jerald Byrne - Recross-Examination

THE COURT: I think -- there's obviously a dispute in that regard, and the witness has answered that question.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: That's what I'm asking for is that he can say he didn't say it. I'm asking for a record of it.

THE COURT: Well, I think you've made the record, so let's move on. Thank you.

BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And, in fact, all the paperwork that I told
Mr. Walker to redo --

MS. SVOLTO: Again, I'm sorry, Your Honor, I have to object again.

THE COURT: Let me hear the question. Go ahead.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I can finish my question?

THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay.

16 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:

Q In fact, the paperwork that is marked July 7th, that we went through regarding the purchase of this vehicle was not the final paperwork -- excuse me, reflects the date the final paperwork was actually issued?

A I'm sorry. I didn't understand.

Q That the paperwork -- the original -- the final paperwork for the purchase of that vehicle was -- actually had to be redone and was redone after July 7th?

A No, ma'am. If it would have been done after
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Jerald Byrne - Recross-Examination 1 July 7th, it would have said July 8th, it would have said 2 July 10th. All the paperwork goes by the date of the thing. 3 The original -- the original contact date, if he 4 would have purchased this on the 6th, it would have indicated 5 the 6th. If the paperwork would have been changed at ten 6 o'clock on the 7th, it would have indicated ten o'clock on the 7 7th. If it would have been done on the 11th, it would have been done -- it would have marked the 11th. 8 9 This computer does it for us. We don't have to -- we don't have to recall anything. It recalls it for us. 10 11 And do you have that report for the systems 12 indicating the dates and times that the changes were actually 13 made? 14 I could pull it up on my computer. I can't -- I 15 mean --16 Q Do you have it here today? 17 Α I wasn't allowed to bring my cell phone, I certainly wouldn't bring my computer. 18 19 THE COURT: The answer is no? 20 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. 21 THE WITNESS: No. 22 THE COURT: Go ahead. 23 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. I have no further 24 questions.

Thank you, Mr. Byrne. You may be

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

25

THE COURT:

Lauren Palmisano - Direct Examination 1 excused. 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 3 MS. DAVIDSON: You want our next witness? 4 THE COURT: Yes. 5 MS. SVOLTO: Government calls Lauren Palmisano. 6 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Raise your right hand. 7 WHEREUPON, 8 LAUREN PALMISANO, was called as a witness and, after having been first duly 9 10 sworn, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 12 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Have a seat. If you would, scoot in as close as you can. State and spell your name for 13 14 the record. 15 THE WITNESS: Lauren Palmisano. 16 BY MS. SVOLTO: 17 Hi, Lauren. How are you? I'm good. 18 19 And would you tell us where you work? 20 Whitney Bank. Α 21 And where is Whitney Bank?

A Well, Whitney is -- we've merged with Hancock, so

22

23

24

25

we're pretty much along the Gulf Coast, Louisiana, and Texas.

It's Whitney and then Hancock is Florida, Mississippi, and Alabama.

Q So the --

1

5

6

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 2 A But Whitney Bank is --
- Q All right. Whitney Bank. And so is Whitney Bank
 4 FDIC insured?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And how long have you worked at Whitney Bank?
- 7 A Sixteen years.
 - Q And what do you do there?
- 9 A I'm a senior investigator with the corporate 10 security.
- 11 Q How long have you been a senior investigator?
- 12 A Four years.
 - Q Four years. And prior to that, what did you do for Whitney Bank?
 - A I started as a teller and worked my way into the credit card fraud department, and then moved on to corporate investigations.
 - Q And what are some of your primary job responsibilities?
 - A Pretty much investigating financial fraud, both internal, external.
 - Q Did you receive any training for that work?
- 23 A Just experience throughout the years with fraud and then just learning.
- Q And were you working at Whitney Bank in July 7, '17?

 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

A Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

24

25

- 2 Q Were you working as a corporate fraud investigator?
- 3 A Uh-huh.
 - Q And Whitney Bank, do they have customers all over?
 - A Just along the Gulf Coast. They do have some clients who open accounts online, but pretty much within our footprint.
 - Q Okay. Is Buddy Gregg Motor Homes, LLC one of your customers?
 - A Yes.
 - Q All right. And so in July of 2017, did you become aware of a problem with Buddy Gregg Motor Home's account?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q What was the problem you --
- A We received a wire recall on July 10th for unauthorized from USAA Bank.
- Q So you received a wire recall. What exactly is that?
 - A It's just a recall from the originating bank, from where the wire came from, advising us that -- electronically that they're wishing to have the funds returned back to them.
- 20 Q And what was the reason for the recall on that?
- 21 A Unauthorized.
- 22 Unauthorized. Okay. And who requested the recall?
- 23 A USAA Bank.
 - Q So once you received that wire recall request, what did you do, if anything?

- A I contacted Brad Cohen who works with Buddy Gregg, advised him of the recall, and he had already been aware of it prior to my call.
 - Q What was your goal at that point?
- A Just letting him know that we received a recall, and that if he had any idea of this wire amount and what it could be for.
 - Q And Brad Cohen, where is he located?
 - A He's located in Lafayette, Louisiana.
- Q That's Lafayette, Louisiana?
- 11 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 12 Q Is he -- what is his position at Buddy Gregg?
- A He's the president of Buddy Gregg and also an authorized signer on the account.
- Q Mr. Cohen is located in Lafayette. And, again,
 Whitney Bank, where is its central location?
 - A Central location, we have a Whitney Bank main office in New Orleans and also a Hancock main office in Gulfport.
 - Q Okay. That's in New Orleans, Louisiana and Gulfport
 Mississippi?
 - A Mississippi, yes. And Whitney Bank is housed in Texas and Louisiana.
 - Q Thank you. And so when you spoke with Brad Cohen, what did you -- did you decide to take any steps after that?
- 25 A Well, he had stated that the wire was for a purchase UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Lauren Palmisano - Direct Examination of an RV, and that he, Randall Beane, was in possession of that and would supply me with a copy of that purchase agreement.

- Q About when was this, what day? Do you remember?
- A This was July 10th.
- Q Was that the same day that you received the wire recall?
 - A Yes.

- Q Did you receive any other communications on -- around that time from USAA?
- A I actually reached out to USAA Bank requesting a little bit more information after reviewing the purchase agreement. I contacted USAA just to get a little bit more information. The representative told me that their customer, Randall Beane, was recalling that wire. Upon speaking with them, I asked the representative to confirm the phone number that was listed on the purchase agreement, if that matched to what they had on the system and she did confirm it.
 - Q Did you receive a hold harmless agreement from --
- A I did. As soon as I got off the phone with USAA Bank, the representative e-mailed it to me.
 - Q And could we have Exhibit 80, please. All right. Do you recognize this document?
 - A Yes, I do.
 - Q And could we highlight the date, please. Is that the correct date, July 10th?

A That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q That's when you received this letter, is that also the same date you received the wire recall?
 - A Yes, it is.
- Q Okay. If we could expand out and go to the substantive paragraphs, please.
 - A Can I grab my glasses?
 - Q Oh, yes. I'm sure there's no problem.
 - A Okay.
- 10 Q So when you say you talked to your customer, who is
 11 your customer that you're referring?
 - A To Buddy Gregg, Brad Cohen.
- Q Okay. So Buddy Gregg is your customer because they have an account at Whitney Bank?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q So what's the effect of a hold harmless agreement on Whitney Bank?
 - A It's just a letter to request funds. We usually receive this when the -- for any type of fraud where the receiving bank, such as in this case is Whitney Bank, is not required to send the funds back. This is -- letter is just releasing us from any liability if anything comes from us returning the funds.
 - Q So did you begin to conduct your own investigation into the purpose of the recall?

- A I did. After receiving the purchase agreement confirming the phone number, I contacted Randall Beane and was forced to leave a message.
 - Q And what were you trying to determine exactly?
- A Exactly what the reason for his -- him recalling the wire, just to discuss the purchase agreement that I received from Buddy Gregg.
- Q So when you were making this determination, did you look at a number of different documents?
 - A At this point, I just had the purchase agreement.
 - Q Okay. And who provided you that purchase agreement?
- 12 A Buddy Gregg. I can't recall his name.
 - Q But someone from Buddy Gregg provided the document?
- 14 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

16

20

- 15 Q And so you reviewed the purchase agreement?
 - A Uh-huh. It was Nelson Forbes that e-mailed me.
- Q All right. I'd like to show you, and only the witness at this point and defense counsel, government's proposed Exhibit 98.
 - Do you recognize this document? You can just let me know if you recognize it.
- 22 A I do.
- Q 153. Do you recognize that document?
- 24 A I do.
- Q 154, and 154A, and 155. I believe there's a 155A as
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 well.

7

- 2 A I recall all those.
- 3 Q And 159. Or, I'm sorry, 160, excuse me. Oh, 163.
- 4 Thank you. And I think there's an attachment there. Is that
- 5 163A? Do you recognize that?
- 6 A I do.
 - Q And then 163B. Do you recognize that?
- 8 A I do.
- 9 Q Okay. And are these documents that are kept in -10 I'm sorry, I have a couple more. Exhibit 157. Did I say 157
 11 already? Okay. And then finally Exhibit 158. Do you
- 12 recognize these documents?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q All right. And are these documents kept in the 15 regular course of business at Whitney Bank?
- 16 A Yes, they are.
 - Q Are they kept by someone who has knowledge of the events as they occur?
- 19 A Yes, they are.
- 20 MS. SVOLTO: And we ask that these exhibits be moved 21 into evidence at this time. All right. That would be --
- 22 THE COURT: So admitted. We'll double-check the
 23 numbers at the break, but all those you identified are
 24 admitted.

25

17

	Lauren Palmisano - Direct Examination						
1	(Government's Exhibits 98, 153, 154, 154A, 155, 155A, 157,						
2	158, 163, 163A, 163B admitted into evidence.)						
3	BY MS. SVOLTO:						
4	Q	All right. Can I show you Exhibit 96, which has					
5	already been admitted into evidence. Do you recognize this						
6	document?						
7	А	I do.					
8	Q	And what is it?					
9	А	A This is a form that is printed out upon opening of					
10	the account, shows the account number and the signatures listed						
11	on the ac	count who are authorized to withdraw and make any					
12	maintenan	ce.					
13	Q	So is this account sheet for Buddy Gregg Motor Home?					
14	A	Yes.					
15	Q	And then could we go to Exhibit 103.					
16		Are you familiar with this document? It may be a					
17	different	version of another document we have.					
18	A	I don't recall seeing					
19	Q	I think we have another document that might be more					
20	familiar.	We can move to that. Could you pull up Exhibit 153.					
21		So what is this document?					
22	A	This is the wire information through our online					
23	system.						
24	Q	Okay. Is this information you pulled during the					
25	course of	your investigation into this matter?					

A This information was provided to me by the wire department, that I do have knowledge of this document.

Q Okay. So can you explain to us what some of the information on here is?

Again, maybe we can highlight that or expand that a little bit there.

A Right, the arrow, those two are the routing numbers of the sending bank and the receiving bank. You have the amount.

- Q What's that amount there?
- A \$493,110.68.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

23

24

25

- Q Okay. And just below that, it has "ABA," what does that indicate?
- 14 A That's the routing number.
 - Q That's a routing number. And the name underneath that, "USAA Federal," what is -- what's that the name of?
- 17 A The sending bank.
- Q Okay. So is that the routing number for the sending bank?
- 20 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And then beneath that where it says "Credit info"?
 - A That is Buddy Gregg Motor Homes' account number.
 - Q Okay. And so "Credit info" indicates what exactly?
 - A Where the wire is going to, being credited to.

- Q All right. Does it state the time that -- that this occurred, the date and time?
- A Actually, the wire was received on 7/7. That date, the 7/10 is when we were notified.
- Q Okay. So that's the date the wire is received by Buddy Gregg?
 - A At the time.
 - Q All right. If we could expand out, please.
- All right. If you go to the bottom of that where it says "ORG." Can you tell us what "ORG" means?
- A Originating.
- 12 Q Well, is that -- what's the information that's provided there?
- A Well, it's Randall Beane's account number with USAA

 Bank and the address.
 - Q And so is that the name and account number for the originating --
- 18 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

- 19 Q So the person who originated the wire transfer?
- 20 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And could we go down -- you could just scroll down in that expanded box, that's fine.
- 23 And so what's "OGB"?
- 24 A Originating bank.
- Q All right. Originating bank. And what's the bank
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Lauren Palmisano - Direct Examination that was the originating bank here? USAA Federal Savings. Okay. All right. So you've reviewed this document during the course of your investigation? Α Yes. And did you -- what steps did you take after finding this information out? I'm sorry, can you say that again? What steps did you take after you reviewed this document? Well, after reviewing this document, I was sent this Α in the very beginning, prior to calling Buddy Gregg Motor Homes. And this information I was able to provide to Buddy Gregg, letting him know we were receiving a recall from a wire that was sent from a Randall Keith Beane. Q Okay. All right. So did you ultimately speak with Randall Beane? I did through a conference call. Α Okay. Did you initiate that conference call? Q

- 19
- 20 Α No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 21 So you were called? Q
- I was called. 22 Α
- 23 Did you -- you had initially used --Q
- 24 I contacted Buddy Green -- Buddy Beane -- sorry, Α 25 Randall Beane twice, and he called back.

-	_ '		<u> </u>		
Lauren	Palmisano	_	Direct	+:∀amır	nation
шаат Сп	I alling barro		$D \pm 1 \cup \cup \cup$		IUCTOI

- 1 Q And when he called back, that was the conference call?
 - A That was the conference call.
 - Q What day was that?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A That was on July 10th.
 - Q And who was on that conference call?
- A Heather Jarraf, Randall Beane, Brad Cohen, Nelson Forbes, to my knowledge.
- Q All right. And what was the purpose of this conversation?
- A Well, the purpose of me calling Randall was to find out a little bit why he was recalling the wire. During the conference call, Heather had stated that she was representing Beane, and just to discuss that the wire was in fact sent by Randall Beane.
- 16 Q I'd like to show you previously admitted Exhibit 94.

 17 In fact, I'm going to use the Elmo for this. I'm sorry.
- 18 Do you recognize this?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q Okay. So after that phone call, did you become aware that there was a recording of the phone call?
- 22 A I believe not until two days later through an e-mail.
- Q A few days later you received an e-mail saying there was a recording?
- 25 A Correct.

- Q Did you listen to that recording?
- A I was not sent that recording via e-mail. It wasn't until days later when I found out about it through law enforcement.
 - Q Okay. And do you remember the conversation that you -- the conference call?
 - A Uh-huh. I do.
 - Q And in preparation for today, did you sit down and listen to that recording?
- 10 A I did.

1

5

6

7

8

9

- 11 Q Was that the full recording or at least the full recording that was available?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q And did that recording accurately reflect the conversations you remember?
- 16 A It does.
- Q Okay. And did you sign a disc?
- 18 A I did.
- 19 Q And is that your signature on here?
- 20 A It is at the top.
- Q Okay. And that's the disc that you listened to to determine whether that was the same conversation?
- 23 A That is.
- 24 Q All right. Thanks.
- 25 A Uh-huh.

Q So during the conference call, did you learn any information?

A I learned that Randall Beane did not initiate the recall. He did send the wire, and that was pretty much it. I learned that, you know -- that Heather was going to send me several documents. In that call, I requested a letter from Randall Beane stating that he did initiate that wire and did not recall it, and it was still a question as to why was it recalled, the reason for it.

Q What -- what would Whitney Bank do if they learned of an unauthorized transfer of funds?

A Well, first, we'll try to get as much information as possible from the receiving party, our customer, to find out if they were in fact awaiting this wire or awaiting these funds, and, you know, the reason for it. If we have contact information and the fact that I was able to confirm it with USAA Bank, I felt comfortable calling the individual, Mr. Beane, to find out more information.

Q And if in a case where it's determined that it is an unauthorized transfer, can Whitney Bank decide to honor the recall and send, you know, basically -- claw that money back, give that money back?

A We can, yes.

Q Okay. And so you conducted determination -investigation to determine whether that was suitable in this
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 case?

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

15

16

17

18

19

- 2 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And so back to the conference call, you stated that Ms. Tucci-Jarraf indicated she was sending you some documents?
- - A Yes.
 - Q And the call again was on --
- 8 A July 10th.
 - Q -- July 10th. Did you in fact receive --
- 10 A I received several documents on July 11th and 12th.
 - Q And were those documents sent to you --
- 12 A E-mail.
- 13 Q -- by e-mail. Thanks.
- So if we could pull up Government Exhibit 98.
 - THE COURT: Why don't we do this, why don't we take our lunch break at this time. I don't think we're going to get done with this witness before lunch. Ms. Palmisano, if you'll enjoy lunch in Knoxville and come back at 1:45, we'd appreciate it. We'll stand in recess until 1:45. Jury is excused first.
- 20 (Jury out at 12:26 p.m.)
- 21 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: This honorable court shall 22 stand in recess until 1:45.
- 23 (Recess from 12:27 p.m. to 1:50 p.m.)
- 24 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
- 25 THE COURT: Ready for our jury in just a moment.

Lauren Palmisano - Direct Examination 1 Who needs to take up something? 2 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: We can take it up, yeah. 3 THE COURT: Do we need to, because the jury is probably going to be here in a moment. Is this something 4 5 pertaining to this witness? 6 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: It's pertaining to the schedule of 7 the court for today. 8 THE COURT: Let's take it up at the break then. 9 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. 10 (Jury in at 1:51 p.m.) THE COURT: Thank you. Everyone may be seated. 11 12 Mrs. Svolto, you may continue with direct examination of this 13 witness. 14 MS. SVOLTO: Thank you. 15 BY MS. SVOLTO: 16 Ms. Palmisano, when we left last, we were looking at 17 Government's Exhibit 98. Do you recognize this document? 18 Α I do. 19 I do. And what is it? Q 20 It's one of the e-mails that I had received on 21 July 11th. 22 And was this e-mail in reference to the conference Q 23 call --24 Yes. Α 25 -- that we discussed. Could you look at the first Q UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

- 1 paragraph there?
- 2 A Okay.

6

7

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 3 Q And so who sent this e-mail?
- 4 A Heather Jarraf, Tucci-Jarraf.
- 5 Q When was it sent?
 - A On July 11th.
 - Q When was that conference call?
- 8 A July 10th.
 - Q And so were you expecting e-mails from
- 10 Ms. Tucci-Jarraf?
- 11 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And so did you -- in this e-mail, does she list out documents that she's intending to send?
- 14 A She said on the call that she was going to send several documents.
- Q And did you have any appreciation of Ms. Tucci-Jarraf's role here?
 - A Well, she identified herself as someone representing Randall Beane. I took it that she was his lawyer, his attorney in the call, and these documents definitely increased the credibility of her being, you know, an attorney representing, so I thought nothing differently.
 - Q Okay. And did -- was there an attachment to this e-mail?
- 25 A Yes. Would be two of them.

Q Okay. And there was a declaration, and did you review the attachments?

A I reviewed the attachment that pertained to the letter that I requested on the conference call from Randall Beane stating that he did in fact send the wire. I did not review in detail the attachments or the e-mails until I got that -- that specific document they requested. There were several of them, and so I just took them all and -- because it all came down to USAA stating that their customer, Randall Beane, did not submit the wire.

- Q So your concern was whether a USAA customer authorized a wire from USAA to Whitney Bank?
 - A Correct.
- Q You didn't look at anything prior to that. Correct?
- 15 A Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

22

25

- Q Okay. And so -- all right. So let's go to Exhibit 154.
- 18 And this is another e-mail from Ms. Tucci-Jarraf?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And can we look at the date and time of that.

 And when is that?
 - A July 11th at 4:47 Central Time.
- Q Because you're located in the Central Time Zone. Is that correct?
 - A That's correct.

- Q Who else is included on this e-mail?
- A It appears to be Brad Cohen.
 - Q Who does Brad Cohen work for again?
 - A He works for Buddy Gregg Motor Home.
 - Q And Buddy Gregg is your customer. Right?
- A That's correct.

- Q So in reviewing these documents and in determining whether to recall a wire, did you take any consideration into what the customer wants, your customer?
- 10 A I do. I do, yes.
 - Q Do you put a lot of weight on that?
 - A I do. I do. The fact that there was a sale and with a purchase agreement, I try to gather all the facts, you know, is our customer going to take a loss for this? Was this part of a scam? The fact that these wires were funds for a valid purchase agreement for a vehicle, you know, that and the fact that USAA Bank's customer was being represented by an attorney, providing me all these docs definitely played a role in my decision.
 - Q Sure. So if we could go to the subject line of Document 154, please. And so this is a document that was provided as an attachment to this e-mail?
 - A Yes. I'd have to see.
 - Q Sure. Could we go to 154A?
 - A Yes.

- Q And do you -- do you know what this document is?
- A It appears to be a receipt from USAA stating that a wire was in fact sent for \$493,110.68 to Whitney Bank customer Buddy Gregg Motor Homes.
 - Q All right. Do you see -- if we could go to the top where it says "From."
 - All right. And it is sent from USAA. Is that --
- A That is correct.
 - Q To -- do you see that e-mail?
- 10 A I do see that e-mail. However, the letter is addressed to Randall Beane.
- 12 Q Okay. So -- and it was sent on what date?
- 13 A July 7th, so the date that the wire was received --
- 14 Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

25

- 15 A -- into the Whitney Bank account.
- 16 Q And that's the wire sent from --
- 17 A From USAA Bank to Whitney Bank and deposited into 18 Buddy Gregg.
- 19 Q Okay. And could we go back to the body of 154.
- 20 Thanks. And so that -- that confirmation number, what is that?
- 21 Do you know what that confirmation number is?
- 22 A I do not.
- Q And so -- but we know that's got -- this is a document prepared by -- not by you. Correct?
 - A Not by me, no.

1 Q And so the beneficiary is Buddy Gregg Motor Homes, 2 LLC?

- A That is correct.
- Q And that would be your customer?
- A That is correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. And could we go to 154, the e-mail. And so if you could highlight the bottom two paragraphs and that hyperlink.

So this is when -- again, this is 154, an e-mail you received from Ms. Tucci-Jarraf. Correct?

- A That is correct.
- Q And so could you read that first paragraph there?
- A "The way things are evolving at the moment, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York is laying the groundwork for you to have a copy of the meta data, and out-going ACH transmissions, for account name Randall Keith Beane, located at said Fed Res Bank, which was the originating bank and account name of the funds paid to you in full for the coach, for any requirements of reporting you may need to do pursuant to AML's, et cetera."
- Q And then right underneath that, do you see that quote there?
- A I do.
 - Q Where does that quote appear to be from?
 - A Appears to be from the Federal Reserve.

- Q Okay. And, again, this is in the body of the e-mail that Ms. Tucci-Jarraf sent you?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q And she also sent that to Mr. Cohen?
- 5 A That is correct.
- Q And in the blue there, do you understand it to be a hyperlink?
- 8 A Yes.

3

- 9 Q Which would, if you click on it, it would take you to where?
- 11 A It would take you to the website. I don't recall if 12 I did or not.
- Q Thank you. All right. Could we go to Government's

 Exhibit 155. All right. If we could go to the "From, Sent."

 That's fine.
- So is this another letter from Ms. Tucci-Jarraf?
- 17 A That is correct.
- 18 Q And it's sent to you and?
- 19 A Brad Cohen.
- 20 Q And was it sent on July 12th?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q So this is now a couple days after that conference call?
- 24 A Two days.
- Q Okay. All right. And so if you could highlight the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Lauren Palmisano - Direct Examination 1 attachment line. 2 And so she attached another document to this e-mail? 3 Α Yes. 4 All right. And could we go all the way down to the 5 signature line, if you could highlight that, if possible. 6 Perfect. 7 All right. So it appears Ms. Tucci-Jarraf left a 8 phone number there? 9 Α Yes. 10 Did you understand that to be her phone number? 11 Yes. Α 12 Did she also sign it -- has a signature line all the way at the bottom there? 13 14 Α Yes. 15 What does it say? 16 Α "Lawyer for Factualized Trust Randall Keith Beane, 17 and its Trustee, Randall Keith Beane." Okay. Thank you. Could we go to 155A. 18 Q 19 Is this the attachment you received with that e-mail? I recall that attachment. 20 Α 21 And, again, you didn't -- you didn't review these Q 22 in-depth? 23 Α No, I did not. 24 And this document is also sent to Mr. Cohen, too? Q

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

That is correct.

25

Α

Q Could we go to Exhibit 163. All right. Excuse me,
I'm sorry, I meant to go back to 155, the e-mail, 155. Can you
highlight the middle paragraph for me.

All right. Could you read that out?

A Sure. "I personally ran that investigation and coordinated with other local, international, and global investigators. If you have any questions in regards to that investigation, for the purpose of your own records and reporting, feel free to contact me with them."

- Q And how about the last paragraph there?
- A Read that one?

- Q Yes, please.
- A Okay. "Now, all documentation and due verification, confirmation, reconfirmation, and notice has been duly served upon you for your own records of Origin of Funds and History of Funds regarding the funds you duly received as full payment from Trustee Randall Keith Beane, for said coach."
- Q So these are the representations that you received, and did you print these e-mails out, did you save these e-mails?
 - A I saved every single one of them.
- Q Did you put them in some sort of file?
- 23 A I -- yes.
 - Q All right. Can we go to Exhibit 156, please. All right. If we could expand just the "From" and "Sent" line.

		136
		Lauren Palmisano - Direct Examination
1		And so this is another e-mail you received?
2	А	Yes. Well, that's an e-mail that I sent.
3	Q	Oh, this is the e-mail you sent. Okay. And this was
4	an e-mail	you sent in response to the e-mails Ms. Tucci-Jarraf
5	sent?	
6	А	That is correct.
7	Q	And if I could go jump ahead to 163, please. This is
8	another e	-mail you sent I'm sorry, that you received from
9	Ms. Tucci	-Jarraf?
10	А	Correct.
11	Q	Can you read that. All right. This was on the 12th?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	And it appears that she sent some attachments with
14	this one	as well?
15	А	That is correct.
16	Q	Okay. Do you recall looking at these documents?
17	А	Very briefly.
18	Q	All right. And if we could go to the signature line.
19		And is it signed for Ms. Tucci-Jarraf?
20	А	That is correct.
21	Q	With the phone number there?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	Same phone numbers
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	as previous? And does she sign it as his lawyer?

A Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

Q Okay. And could you go to 163A.

Does that appear to be one of the documents that was attached to that e-mail?

A I don't recall if it was attached to that e-mail, but I do recall seeing this. This was the letter that I was expecting, not in this format, but mentioning that he did in fact send the wire.

Q Okay. And could you look at -- sorry, expand on Paragraph 3 there.

And so is that the wire?

- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q That you're referring to there?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q And that's the wire from USAA to Buddy Gregg?
- 16 A Correct.
 - Q Okay. And could we go to the last page of that document. All right. Could you go to the full signature, all the way to the bottom of the page.

20 Can you tell what the date is of this document?

- 21 A 7/11.
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 A '17.
- Q Does Ms. Tucci-Jarraf also -- does it look like there's a number associated there?

1 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

Q All right. Could we expand out. And can you enlarge the text of the document, please. All right. If we could highlight Paragraphs 6 and 7.

And so this information, this was all provided to you, you didn't prepare it?

- A No.
- Q All right. Can we go to 163B, please. Okay.

Does this appear to be one of the attachments to this e-mail as well?

- A I don't recall if it was attached to that specific e-mail, but I do recall seeing this attachment.
- Q Okay. And could we highlight the paragraph right beneath that logo. Thank you.

Do you recall seeing this on this document?

- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And is there an e-mail at the bottom of that?
- 18 A That is.
- Q Okay. All right. If we could expand out again and go to the list right before the paragraph. That's perfect.
- 21 Towards the bottom of there, again, you didn't -- you didn't 22 review these closely, did you?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q And they were sent to your customer also. Right?
- 25 A I'm sorry?

Tauron	Palmisano	- Direct	Evamin	$a + i \land n$
Lauren	Paliii Sano	- DITECL	r _i xallı l	аптоп

- 1 Q They were sent to your customer as well?
- 2 A Yes.
 - Q And that being Buddy Gregg?
- 4 A Yes. Brad Cohen.
- 5 Q All right. And there's an account number there.

Correct?

3

6

8

16

17

18

19

- 7 A That is correct.
 - Q At the bottom, did you see those last four digits?
- 9 A 1135.
- 10 Q The account name?
- 11 A Randall Keith Beane.
- Q Okay. And then so this -- all right. If we could go to Exhibit 157.
- 14 This was also sent on July 12th?
- 15 A Yes.
 - Q And this is a response to your previous e-mail to her. Actually, why don't we go to 158. I'm sorry, not 158, excuse me. Let's go to Exhibit 156.
 - A I do recall getting that e-mail, though.
- Q Okay. And 156, so after you received the documents and the e-mails and there -- were there other e-mails and documents that -- Ms. Tucci-Jarraf that we did not talk about?
- A No. There were several e-mails that she sent in the two-day period.
 - Q Okay. And so you took note of those e-mails?

 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

A I saved them. I briefly reviewed them, but our whole thing was that he did in fact send that wire and that it wasn't what USAA was claiming, that it -- he was the one that recalled it.

- Q Okay. So you -- they made a determination on whether to recall the wire?
- A Following the conversation, the conference call, we sent an electronic denial back to USAA denying their recall.
- Q And then did you send this e-mail to Ms. Tucci-Jarraf?
 - A I did.

- Q And could we highlight the date that it was sent?
- 13 A On July 12th.
- Q Okay. And so what does this e-mail indicate?
 - A Well, it indicates that I placed all the documents that she sent via e-mail in a case file electronically and that I closed my case, based on the provided information, which is the purchase agreement, the letter that I requested, and the fact that, you know, there was a lawyer involved and I didn't think any reason to deny of who she was.
 - Q Okay. Can we go to Exhibit 158.
- 22 And is this the electronic transmission you're 23 referring to?
 - A No. This is the letter that I personally sent to

 USAA Bank via e-mail letting them know we had denied their hold

 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 harmless.

4

11

12

- Q Okay. And that's the agreement to hold Whitney Bank harmless?
 - A Yes.
- 5 Q Okay. And this was sent on what date?
- 6 A On July 12th.
- 7 Q Okay. And you personally sent that to USAA?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q Okay. And can you highlight the first paragraph in 10 the body of the letter.
 - Okay. So that's in regards to the wire transfer from USAA to Buddy Gregg?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And indicating you've denied the request?
- 15 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And then the next paragraph, please. Just scroll.
- 18 And so could you read that out?
- 19 A "Documentation has been provided by your customer,
 20 Randall Keith Beane, acknowledging that the wire, in the amount
 21 of \$493,110.68, sent on 7/7/17 to the recipient, Buddy Gregg
 22 Motor Homes LLC, was a valid transaction and should not be
 23 disputed. Mr. Beane has acknowledged that he is in possession
 24 of the item purchased by the recipient."
 - Q And did you -- how did you learn that Beane was in UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Lauren Palmisano - Direct Examination possession of the item?

- A My initial call with Brad Cohen, Buddy Gregg.
- Q When was that call?
- A July 10th.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

- Q And on July 10th, that's when you learned that Beane already had possession of the motor home?
- A Immediately following the notification of the recall, when I contacted Buddy Gregg or Brad Cohen, that's when he informed me.
- Q And so when you have a purchase agreement and your customer is telling you that it's a valid transaction and the asset is gone, that's how you make your decision on this?
- A Yeah. I mean, that was part of it and with acknowledgment from Randall Beane.
- Q Okay. Thank you. Did you receive an e-mail from Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf after -- after this time? Did you receive any further e-mails? Can you recall?
- A I can't recall after this letter was sent to USAA Bank, but I did receive a couple on July 12th.
- Q And could we go back to Exhibit 157. And so if you could just enlarge the document. Thank you.
- 22 So is this another one of -- an e-mail on July 12th?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Did you send any response to this e-mail?
 - A No. I don't recall.

T 211222	Dalmiaana		Cross Erramination	_
Lauren	Palmisano	_	Cross-Examination	. 1

- Q And so after the 12th, did you take any other steps?
- A No. I closed my case out and that was it.

MS. SVOLTO: Just a minute, Your Honor, please. I have nothing else. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Cross-examination, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Yes. Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

- Q Without prejudice, I will proceed with
- 11 Ms. Palmisano -- is it Palmisano or Palmisano?
- 12 A Palmisano.
- 13 Q Palmisano. Thank you. Okay. You had stated that,
- 14 Ms. Palmisano, that you received numerous amount of e-mails
- 15 starting on July 11th --
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q -- through the 12th?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q Pursuant to our conversation and agreement on the 20 10th of July.
- 21 That you would give the declaration of valid sale and 22 all the underwriting and title?
- 23 A I received what you had stated on the conference call.
- Q Okay. And did Ms. Anne-Marie Svolto for the DOJ, did
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Lauren Palmisano - Cross-Examination she present all those e-mails and documents that you had received starting July 11th through the 12th or only in part? Α Like I said, there were several e-mails. Uh-huh. Q And all of them were documentation that I did not really review, just partial. As far as the number of e-mails, I couldn't be for sure, for positive, but there were several, some of which seemed to be repetitive, but ... Okay. If we could, David, if you don't mind, Exhibit 105, I believe it was. Sorry, I believe it was 98. Ms. Palmisano, could you look at this -- was this the first e-mail you had received from this e-mail address, from my e-mail address? Do you remember -- do you recall if that was the first e-mail? Α I can't recall, but this -- this is one of the e-mails, yes. MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Excuse me, if we could just have a few minutes so that I can speak with Ms. Davidson. There were a number of discovery which we were not able to open. I believe those were the e-mails that they sent in discovery, I just wanted to check to see if she had them. THE COURT: Go ahead. MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. Just one moment, Your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Is there a question about documents? Car
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Lauren Palmisano - Cross-Examination we move forward with questioning with this witness?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Yeah. When it's -- when I received discovery from DOJ, on it, there were files that I'm now becoming aware that might have been these e-mails. We weren't able to open them, and I had given a copy of them to Mr. Lloyd, telling him that we weren't able to open them. I was notified by Mr. Beane today that this is the first time he's been able to see these e-mails, that they even existed.

We're trying to determine if there are e-mails -because this goes to title, possession, that there was no
intent to defraud anyone or to commit any crimes. They are
essential and vital to be able to prove that part of it.

THE COURT: So what are we trying to do?

BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:

Q What we're going to do is I'm -- first, I'm going to ask, Ms. Palmisano, did you provide every e-mail, plus the attachments that you received from me to Department of Justice or FBI?

A I did.

Q You did. Okay. Do you have those e-mails and attachments with you today?

A No, I do not.

Q Okay.

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, might I respond?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I haven't finished yet. What we were going to ask for is either a continuance so that we're able to get all those e-mails, because I do have copies from my own e-mail that I'm able to bring in since they haven't produced every e-mail, which also has the title, the ownership, the origin and funds, history of funds, which was what we had discussed on the 10th and I sent over on the 11th and the 12th, or to just hold this witness over so that we are able to -- until tomorrow wherein I can bring those in so we can go through them since they have not produced them.

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, let's just start with the fact that we 100 percent did produce these documents. And I have the discovery letter on September 5th, 2017, we referenced that we produced all financial records and documents obtained from Whitney Bank.

I've just checked on my system and a copy of those documents are on our actual hard drive at the U.S. Attorney's Office. If there's a problem with this disc, she has had since September 5th, 2017 to bring it to the U.S. attorney's attention. There's no problem with our disc, so she got it.

Furthermore, the attachments which she's referencing are the documents which this Court has already ruled are irrelevant and should not be admitted to the Court.

THE COURT: What's your response?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. Aside from that whole UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Lauren Palmisano - Cross-Examination
issue as far as that was regarding lack of jurisdiction and
lack of authority of this Court or DOJ, that's where those were
filed. However, this is a title, ownership, we're going to the
intent to commit a fraud. These were documents that were
produced over, which are title, authority, ownership, and
rights of the funds itself --

THE COURT: All right. Well --

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: -- were sent over to

Ms. Palmisano, and we -- I did notify Mr. Lloyd that I was not

able to open up -- gave him the thumb drive with the disc that

we were not able to open, and I showed him on my laptop how you

couldn't open it. And it brought up just the Outlook, and I

wasn't able to access it. So I did notify Mr. Lloyd.

And per Shirley said that there was to be -- if there was any contact, it was between Mr. Lloyd and Cynthia Davidson and Anne-Marie Svolto and not myself. So I did follow procedure on that particular, and I never received any of the documentation.

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf has contacted me personally by e-mail multiple times, and she certainly could have done so in this regard.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: And that was only after the praecipe had gone in regarding the lack of jurisdiction and authority, as well as the dismissal.

THE COURT: All right. I've heard enough. Thank you
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Lauren Palmisano - Cross-Examination both for your input.

Based on what I've heard, the Court is not, to the extent Defendant Ms. Tucci-Jarraf is seeking a continuance or to hold over this witness, the Court is going to deny those requests.

Mr. Beane are you joining in that request by Ms. Tucci-Jarraf?

MR. BEANE: Yes. Because there's been several pieces of documentation that I have not had at all to view.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, based on the representations that all discovery has been turned over, the Court, first, is not going to grant any continuance or -- and we're going to go forward with this witness.

To the extent there are other documents that arise, I will withhold a ruling on whether -- if you come with further documents, even if this witness is not available, the Court will consider whether allowing those into evidence, if they're not already into evidence. So let's go ahead and continue with this witness.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Without prejudice, moving forward.

BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:

Q Ms. Palmisano, just to make a record of the documentation that had been sent to you, since we don't have the original e-mails and the attachments with them except for the few that Ms. Anne-Marie Svolto put in, I'm going to go over

Lauren Palmisano - Cross-Examination the one document that she did have you identify. Okay. Document -- excuse me, Exhibit 163B. So this is the factualized trust. Inside of this particular document that you did receive -- do you recall this document? I recall seeing this document. Okay. And inside would have been every single attachment is actually listed that you would have received inside of those various e-mails. So I'll just go in for the record then and list which documents that you did receive, and we can always gather the e-mails and the documents and then I can put them in that way, so at least today there's a record of this. Could you go to the next page of that, please. Okay. So this particular document is Page 2 of that document that you had received, and this reference number for this particular document is capital F. Could you read that -- could you highlight that. This right here. Thank you. Are you able to read that? Uh-huh. Α What does that say, please?

FT-OD-rkb-09291967. Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. Okay. If you could unhighlight that, Q please. Thank you.

Okay. Within this document, if you go to the next page, please, in here, it lists the underwriting, which lists UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Lauren Palmisano - Cross-Examination
the various e-mails you received. Do you recall whether those attachments were UCC documents?

A I will say, like I said before, I did not go over these in-depth. I do recall seeing those documents. But as far as verbatim, what they said, so forth --

Q I'm not asking verbatim, just if you recognize them as UCC filing? Do you recall? If you don't recall, that's fine.

A No.

Q Okay. So within this document that you received, and in the other e-mails you received, you would have received each and every document that's listed in here beginning with UCC record number 2000043135 dated May 4th, 2000 and receipt number 36090.

That would have been one separate e-mail -- that would have been in the e-mail that you received.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: So at this time, I would move, without prejudice, that this document that's already in evidence, and each of these -- I can either go through and list each of these documents that are in there, and there's numerous, so that we can bring them in at a later time as soon as we're able to get them from our site, since Ms. Davidson didn't bring them in and these were attached to the e-mails that Ms. Palmisano got, or I can just have them restated as if they're set forth in full.

THE COURT: All right. I'm not entirely sure what you're asking. Are you -- I mean, we've identified the documents by government exhibit number that are in evidence.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: True. But what I'm asking for is each of these -- each of these documents that are listed here were actually presented to Ms. Palmisano inside of e-mails, numerous e-mails, because they go to the title, the authorization, the authority and the ownership of where the funds came from, the originating facility, as well as the funds she says she received and got a declaration of valid sale.

THE COURT: So what are you -- what are you wanting to establish now?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Well --

THE COURT: I mean, you've asked her did she receive -- you've identified this exhibit number and referenced to attached documents.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: So ...

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: This document, but nobody -- she states she doesn't have all the full e-mails and the attachments with her, which are the title --

THE COURT: I understand all that. Is there anything else you need to ask of this witness about that?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: No. I'm asking if we want to go through all of these numbers to see if she has received them?

THE COURT: Go ahead and ask your questions.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I'll just go ahead and --

THE COURT: You can ask -- I don't want -- you ask the question you think you need to ask and then we'll see if there's objection, and we'll just go on, same process we've been using. Thank you.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you very much.

BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Ms. Palmisano, do you remember receive that UCC record number 2000043135?
- 11 A I don't recall. Like I said, I received numerous

 12 e-mails, numerous attachments. Once you sent them, I put them

 13 in a file electronically.
 - Q Okay. I'm just going to go through, then, and list these numbers, ask you the same question and you can respond.
 - A Okay.
 - Q Okay. UCC record number 20111125781, do you recall receiving that document in an e-mail?
 - A I don't recall.
 - Q Okay. UCC document 2011055259. Do you recall receiving that document in an e-mail.
 - A I can't recall.
 - Q Thank you. UCC number 2011055260, do you recall receiving that in an e-mail as an attachment?
 - A I cannot recall.

- 1 Q 2012049126, do you recall receiving that UCC document 2 in an e-mail?
 - A I do not recall.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

22

23

24

- Q Record two -- UCC record 2012012675, do you recall receiving that document attached to an e-mail?
 - A I can't recall.
- Q Document 2012025545, do you recall receiving that document in an e-mail as an attachment?
 - A I could have. I really -- I cannot recall.
- Q I -- thank you for answering. I apologize for making you do this, but as part of the record, we need to go through it. Thank you.
- Do you recall receiving the document -- UCC document 2012049126 as an attachment in an e-mail?
- 15 A I can't recall.
- Q Thank you. Do you recall receiving UCC
 document 2012-125-1787-8 as an attachment in an e-mail?
- 18 A I can't recall.
- 19 Q Do you recall receiving document 2012012555 as an 20 attachment in an e-mail?
- 21 A I can not recall.
 - Q Do you recall receiving document 2012028312 as an attachment in the e-mail?
 - A I can't recall whether I did or didn't.
- 25 Q Thank you.

	Lauren Palmisano - Cross-Examination
1	Do you recall receiving document UCC
2	document 2012012659 as an attachment in an e-mail from me?
3	A I can't recall.
4	Q Do you recall receiving document UCC
5	document 2012028311 as an attachment in an e-mail from me?
6	A I cannot recall.
7	Q Do you recall receiving document UCC document
8	2012028314 as an attachment in an e-mail from me?
9	A I cannot recall.
LO	Q Okay. Do you recall receiving UCC
L1	document 2012079290 and UCC document 2012079322 in an e-mail as
L2	attachments in an e-mail from me?
L3	A I don't know.
L4	Q Okay. Do you recall receiving UCC record
L5	number 2012094308 as an attachment in an e-mail from me?
L6	A I don't know.
L7	Q Do you recall receiving a record, UCC record
18	number 2012113593 as an attachment in an e-mail from me?
L9	A I don't know.
20	Q Okay. Do you recall receiving UCC record
21	number 2012127914 and 20 record number 2012127907 and record
22	number 2012127854 as attachments in an e-mail from me?
23	A I don't know.
24	Q May I have the next page, please, David? Thank you.
25	Do you recall receiving the paradigm report dated
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

		1.
		Lauren Palmisano - Cross-Examination
1	March 6th,	, 2011 as an attachment in an e-mail from me?
2	A	Where are you looking?
3	Q	This would be number article two, letter A, and
4	it's sort	of in the top third of the page.
5	А	I do recall a report with that verbiage.
6	Q	Okay. And I believe that is exhibit I'm sorry,
7	155A, but	I'm not going to call those up yet. We'll finish
8	going thro	ough and I apologize for any inconvenience here,
9	but we nee	ed to get this on the record.
10		Okay. Ms. Palmisano, do you recall receiving UCC
11	record 201	12012555 and UCC record 2012028312 from me as
12	attachments in an e-mail?	
13	А	I don't know.
14	Q	Excuse me?
15	А	I don't know.
16	Q	Do you recall receiving UCC records 2012012659 and
17	record nur	mber 2012028311
18	А	I don't know.
19	Q	in an as attachments in an e-mail from me?
20	А	I don't know.
21	Q	Do you recall receiving UCC record 2012028314 as an
22	attachment	in an e-mail from me?
23	A	I don't know.
24	Q	Do you recall receiving UCC record
25	number 201	12-125-1787-8 as an attachment in an e-mail from me?

- A Where are you looking?
- 2 Q That would be article two, letter C.
- 3 A I don't know.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

- Q Moving to article two, letter D, do you recall receiving UCC record number 2012083304 as an attachment in an e-mail from me?
 - A I don't know.
- Q Moving to article two, letter E. Do you recall receiving UCC record number 2012083304 as an attachment in an e-mail from me?
 - A I don't know.
- Q Moving to letter F. Do you recall receiving UCC record number 2012086794 as an attachment in an e-mail from me?
- 14 A I don't know.
 - Q Thank you. Moving to G. Do you recall receiving UCC record number 2012086802 as an attachment in an e-mail from me?
- 17 A I don't remember. I don't know.
 - Q Thank you. David, if you would, please, go to the next page. Okay. Excuse me, Ms. Palmisano.
 - Ms. Palmisano, do you recall receiving UCC record number 2012088865 as an attachment in an e-mail from me?
- 22 A I don't remember.
 - Q Thank you. Moving to letter I. Do you recall -THE COURT: Let me ask a question.
- Ms. Palmisano, there are several UCC numbered
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Lauren Palmisano - Cross-Examination 1 documents referenced in this exhibit. And you've stated so far 2 with respect to each one that Ms. Tucci-Jarraf has asked you about that you don't recall whether you received them or not. 3 4 Would your answer be the same for each of these --5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 THE COURT: -- numbered UCC documents? 7 THE WITNESS: I don't remember specifically. 8 THE COURT: Why don't we move on. I think that 9 establishes the record. Her answer would be she does not 10 recall whether she received any of the listed UCC referenced 11 documents in this exhibit. 12 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Without prejudice, I'll move 13 forward. 14 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: 15 Q Thank you, Ms. Palmisano, for your assistance with 16 that. 17 Okay. Ms. Palmisano, how long had you -- how long had you been working for Whitney Bank? 18 19 Sixteen years. Α 20 Sixteen years. And I remember you stated that you 21 had been working -- you started as a teller and worked your way up? 22 23 Α Correct. 24 Okay. How long have you been in the corporate

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

25

investigations division?

- 1 Four years.
- 2 And approximately how many cases have you 3 investigated of financial fraud?
 - Hundreds. Α

4

5

6

7

8

18

- On 7/10 you stated you received a wire recall from USAA electronically?
- Correct. Α
 - And the recall says that it was unauthorized?
- 9 Correct. Α
- 10 Okay. Is there electronic -- or a printout of that electronic report? 11
- 12 Yes. Α
- Did you provide that to the Department of Justice? 13
- 14 I did. Α
- 15 Q And who shows on the electronic report, do you 16 recall?
- 17 Α I'm sorry?
- Do you recall who the sender is? There's an ID 19 typically of not just the sender, but sometimes the person that is actually from the sending entity. Do you recall? 20
- 21 It was -- it was just USAA. It was nobody -- it's Α 22 all done electronically, so ...
- 23 Q Did you print that report out before --
- 24 Yes. I submitted everything. Α
- 25 Did Ms. Anne-Marie Svolto put that document on the Q UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

159 Lauren Palmisano - Cross-Examination screen for you today, that electronic report that you printed and gave to them? I don't recall seeing it. You don't recall seeing it? Q No, it was just the initial wire. Okay. And staying with that, just the messaging Q between you and USAA, you stated that you had reached out to them on 7/10 after you received that wire recall to --After --Α Sorry. Q After I spoke with our customer, Buddy Gregg --Α Okay. Q -- I reached out to USAA. Uh-huh. And do you recall who you spoke to at USAA? Q I do. Α Q Who was that? Α Shelsea Anderson. And it was Ms. Shelsea Anderson, you had mentioned in your direct with Ms. Anne-Marie Svolto, that they told you their client was recalling the wire? Α Correct. And that was Shelsea Anderson that had told you that?

Α Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. And at that point, did she state that she was Q going to send you a hold harmless agreement from USAA or did

Lauren Palmisano - Cross-Examination you just receive that later?

A No. She said she would send us -- send me the hold harmless via e-mail.

- Q Did she say why?
- A I'm sorry?

- Q Did she say -- did she say why she would send -- is it typical to send a hold harmless agreement if their client is the one that's saying he didn't authorize?
- A It's typical to send a hold harmless outside of time line, like you have -- if you have a time frame to return items, and once you've gone without, like outside of that, the hold harmless is just basically releasing the receiving bank from any liability.
 - Q And what's that time frame?
- A Well, wires it's immediate. So there really is no guarantee of sending funds back for wires.
- Q Okay. So with a -- for instance, with a purchase with like a check or anything like that, it's a -- are you talking about the three-day time period?
 - A Twenty-four hours.
 - Q Twenty-four hours?
- 22 A For most checks, yes.
 - Q Okay. And so anytime after that 24 hours, a hold harmless agreement would have to be sent in that particular scenario?

- A Depending on the type of fraud.
- Q So hold harmless is really truly for situations of fraud?
 - A To my knowledge, yes.

- Q Okay. So if she told you that their client was recalling the wire, did she also tell you that that client committed fraud?
- A She did not go into specifics. I did not get any information really from them, except that their client was recalling the wire.
- Q And then she told you she would send over a hold harmless agreement, which is typically involved in cases of fraud?
- A From what I've done in the past with cases, all of mine have been fraud. I am not sure if there's other circumstances for hold harmless, but from all the hold harmlesses that I've dealt with, it's been on a fraud basis.
- Q And did you believe that in this instance she was sending it over because there might be an issue of fraud?
- A Well, she claimed that her customer was recalling it. So I didn't know any of -- any story behind why it was being recalled.
- Q And did you receive any other information in regards to -- from law enforcement at any point or someone saying they were in law enforcement, did you speak with anyone that day?

- 1 A What day?
- 2 Q Excuse me, July 10th, from law enforcement.
- 3 A No. No.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

- 4 Q Okay. Did you speak with a True Brown from USAA?
 - A I believe he may have -- he contacted the bank. I contacted him, but was not able to speak with him.
 - Q You never spoke with True Brown?
- 8 A No, did not.
 - Q And was that on 7/10 that he left a message for you to contact him?
 - A I can't recall whether it was -- he called me or called my boss. He called Whitney Bank. I returned that phone call, but did not speak with him.
 - Q And you -- when he called and left a message at Whitney Bank, did he identify who he was or who he was with. Do you recall that?
 - A I can't recall what was said on the voice mail, but I did know that it was True Brown from USAA.
 - Q And did you provide a recording of that voice mail for -- to the Department of Justice or FBI?
 - A No. Our calls are not recorded.
- THE REPORTER: No what?
- 23 THE WITNESS: Our calls are not recorded.
- 24 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:
 - Q And just to stick with the wire messaging, you stated
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Lauren Palmisano - Cross-Examination 1 that on 7/12, July 12th, that you had sent an electronic denial 2 to USAA? 3 Α No. 4 Is that correct? Q On 7/10, our wire department sent an electronic 5 6 denial. 7 So on 7/10, you sent an electronic denial? 8 Yes. Α 9 And do you have a printout of that denial? Q 10 I provided it. Α 11 You provided it to the DOJ and FBI? Q 12 Yeah. Uh-huh. Α 13 And has that document -- that printout of --Q 14 I have not seen that document today. 15 -- of that electronic printout been shown to you Q 16 today? 17 Α No. 18 On that conference call that we had on 7/10/2017, 19 which would have been -- that would have been Exhibit 94, I I believe 94 was when she put up the disc and said do 20 believe. 21 you recall that? 22 Yes. Α 23 Okay. During that call that yourself, Brad Cohen, 24 myself, and Randall Beane, and I believe Mr. Forbes and 25 Mr. Byrne possibly may have or may not have been on there, at

Lauren Palmisano - Cross-Examination

that time, you had given me your e-mail address and Mr. Cohen

had given me his e-mail address in order to send those e-mails

with all those documents. Isn't that correct?

- A I know I had given, I believe, my fax.
- Q Right.

- A But I know that Nelson Forbes had my e-mail address, because he's the one that sent me the purchase agreement. I can't recall if the e-mail address was given.
- Q You had given me your fax number and I said I had multiple documents to send you.
 - A I know I got your e-mails via e-mail.
- Q Right. Because you had given me your e-mail address as well as Mr. Cohen on the same call together, and I had read them back to you to verify that I had it correctly and stated that I would start sending them as immediately as possible after Mr. Beane had signed those documents --
 - A Yes.
- O -- the declaration of valid sale. Correct?
- 19 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And you had given me a number to be able to reach you back in case there was a problem. I verified your phone number with you on that same call?
- A I can't recall if I gave you the phone number. I know I gave you the fax number.
 - Q Okay.

- A And I know I gave you True Brown's number as well.
- Q Yes. You had given me True Brown's number.
- A Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

- Q Okay. Did you -- you stated you received a hold harmless agreement on July 10th. Did you receive another hold harmless agreement at a later date from USAA?
- A What happened was, USAA had actually faxed another department a copy of that hold harmless, at which point I did not get a copy of that fax until after I received the e-mail from USAA, from Shelsea.
 - Q And do you recall what date that was?
- 12 A July 10th.
 - Q So you don't recall receiving another --
- A I -- yeah, they forwarded that to me. I mean, after
 I had received the e-mail from Shelsea, it was the same hold
 harmless.
 - Q So you don't recall receiving another copy of the hold harmless in November of 2017?
 - A In November?
- Q Uh-huh.
- 21 A No.
 - Q While I continue to look to that, Ms. Palmisano, do you -- are you aware of whether your client has returned the money back to USAA Bank for the -- regarding that purchase?
 - A I have no knowledge of that.

- Q So, essentially, on July 12th, when you closed your case, you had no more further involvement or awareness of anything going on with this particular case?
 - A Not until I got the subpoena.
- Q Okay. So -- then let's go to that e-mail,
 Exhibit 156, please, David. Can you blow that -- thank you.

On this particular e-mail, it was Wednesday. Could you please read that, what date it was and time stamp, please.

A July 12th at 4:10 p.m., Central Standard Time.

"Thank you very much for the information you have provided. I have placed all the documents you have supplied via e-mail in a case file. Whitney Bank Corporate

Investigations has marked this case closed based on the provided information."

- Q And that was the last time you and I had contact?
- A You had e-mailed me after that regarding a recording, which I never received.
 - O Excuse me?

- A I never received the recording you had mentioned in the last e-mail. You had replied to this e-mail.
- Q Right. Okay. And if you could go to Exhibit 163, please. Yeah, I believe the last e-mails that we shared together was on July 12th. There was no e-mails between us after July 12th. Is that correct?
 - A That is correct.

Q And this e-mail, I'm sorry, this is 2:47, so that was before your e-mail to me. If you could, please, David.

In this one, on this particular e-mail, because as we were archiving them, there was one showing possibly that you hadn't received the declaration and trust as attachments that are listed there, and I had resent this to you. Is that correct?

A I can't -- I can't recall.

Q That's okay. Yeah. Looks like there was -- this was a forwarded e-mail from our archives in the event because it was missing from my e-mail server, and so I was forwarding you the archived of the original e-mail that had been sent out so that you had everything for your records. Do you recall receiving two e-mails?

A I do recall seeing -- I mean, to be -- to be exact, I have no idea, but I do -- you know, earlier, I had said that some of them seemed repetitive, so that could have been one of them.

Q Okay. And then Exhibit 157, please. Are you -- thank you.

And this -- can you say what time this was dated, date and time stamp, can you see this e-mail?

- A July 12th at 6:44 p.m.
- Q Right. And are you -- when you received this e-mail,
 were you in Central Time Zone or Eastern Time Zone?

- A Central.
- Q Central?

- A Uh-huh.
 - Q Right. That's right. Because I was an hour ahead.

 Okay. So would this -- this is the date from -- or the time -east coast time, you would have received it Central Standard

 Time?
 - A Well, this is coming from my e-mail, then it would have been 6:44 Central.
 - Q Central Standard Time. Okay. And this is just requesting me if there's any other further investigation that comes in to -- for that, can you please state what you read from that, in this particular e-mail?
- 14 A I'm sorry?
 - Q Could you please just tell me what that e-mail signifies to you when you had received it? Is this a request for any further information you may receive in regards to Randall Keith Beane and this transaction?
 - A So you're asking -- clarify that. Like, you're asking what do I take from this, just stating that your -- stating that I've closed my case, that Whitney Bank has closed the case?
 - Q So this was -- in fact, I have requested -- you had just stated in your e-mail, which we had pulled that up, your response, confirmations saying you had closed the case?

A Right.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

- Q And that was the only information you had given us was that you had closed the case. Is that correct?
 - A With the documentation that I've been provided.
 - Q Right.
 - A Uh-huh.
 - Q Just that statement. And you received this after you had sent me that --
 - A Yeah.
 - Q -- was to request these four items, the -- can you please read one through four?
 - A "The allegation initiating the investigation, all subsequent allegations included for investigation, and the findings causing the case to be closed, and define and identify, specifically and particularly, all that constitutes 'the provided information.'"
 - Q Below that, could you read that please?
 - A "In further transparency, I will e-mail you and Brad a recording of the telephonic conference from July 11, 2017 that I was recently made aware of to complete your files."
 - Q Did you ever receive a copy of that recording?
- 22 A No, I did not.
 - Q Okay. So Ms. Anne-Marie Svolto asked regarding your -- I wanted to ask you, you stated you did receive the paradigm report?

- 1 A I recall seeing a report with that verbiage on it,
 2 yes.
 - Q Right. 155, David, please.

 Did you read through it at all?
 - A No, I did not.

Q And Ms. Anne-Marie Svolto asked you basically if you put a lot of weight on what your customer wants. Could you please clarify what you mean by that?

A Well, it's about collecting all the facts, and our customer had provided a transaction receipt, a purchase agreement, that, and with Randall Beane's acknowledgment that he did in fact send the wire play a big part, because that was the reason for the recall, was that he supposedly recalled the wire. And the fact that he had someone representing himself — representing him also played a big part in my decision.

Q Uh-huh. All right. In fact, the first e-mail, 98 -- Exhibit 98, please. Yes, here, if you could pull up that first paragraph, please, David.

And I know that she's asked you already to read it.

You've read it into the record. Can you read from, "and at the direction"?

A "And at the direction of my client, Factualized

Trust, Randall Beane -- Randall Keith Beane, and its trustee,

Randall Keith Beane."

Q Did you understand that Randall Keith Beane in this
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

171 Lauren Palmisano - Cross-Examination particular transaction was a trustee of a trust? 1 2 I recall hearing trustee. I knew it has something to 3 do with that, but --4 Thank you. Q -- it was --5 6 Did Mr. Cohen ever contact you after July 12th saying Q 7 there was any problem? 8 I don't recall hearing from him. Α 9 And you never received any other contact from your 10 department in regards to the wire after July 12th? 11 Α No. 12 And who is your supervisor? 13 Jerry Kuhn. Α 14 Could you spell that for me, please? 15 Α Last name? 16 Q Is Jerry with a J or --17 Α It's actual Gerald or Gerald. Oh, goodness. Jerry, 18 J-e-r-r-y -- or G-e-r-r-y. I'm sorry. Last name, Kuhn, 19 K-u-h-n. 20 K-u-h-n? 21 Uh-huh. Α 22 Thank you. Q 23 Α You're welcome. 24 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I don't have any other questions

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Thank you, Ms. Palmisano, for your patience.

25

for you.

	ĺ		17
		Lauren Palmisano - Cross-Examination	
1		THE COURT: Thank you.	
2		Mr. Beane, cross-examination?	
3		MR. BEANE: Yes.	
4		CROSS-EXAMINATION	
5	BY MR. BEA	ANE:	
6	Q	Good afternoon, Ms. Palmisano.	
7	A	Hello.	
8	Q	Now, you said earlier you had listened to the	
9	recording	that the Court played for us yesterday?	
10	А	I did.	
11	Q	In the recording, do you recall hearing me say,	
12	"Heather,	I'm getting a call from New Orleans" twice?	
13	А	Yes.	
14	Q	Would that have been in the time frame or the time	3
15	period be	tween that call that you hear me say that and our	
16	conference	e call that you called me?	
17	А	Say that one more time.	
18	Q	The time period between when you hear me saying the	nat
19	in the red	cording and our conference call, would that have be	en
20	the time p	period between when you called and	
21	А	I heard that on the recording, yes.	
22	Q	And your number does show up New Orleans. Correct	:?
23	А	Correct.	

Okay. You also made mention that when you first

25 heard that I had called and requested the funds back, that you

	Lauren Palmisano - Cross-Examination
1	had the number cross-referenced to the purchase agreement. How
2	did you do that?
3	A Well, I received the purchase agreement from Buddy
4	Gregg, which had your phone number on it.
5	Q Where did the call come into to where you could see
6	the number calling?
7	A When I contacted USAA Bank, I confirmed with them, is
8	that what you're asking?
9	Q No. You said there was a number when I called
10	when somebody posing as me called in?
11	A Oh, after I tried calling you?
12	Q No. You said that someone had called and said they
13	were me and wanted the funds reversed.
14	A USAA told me that you were recalling the funds.
15	Q Oh, so you never got the call yourself from someone
16	posing as me?
17	A No.
18	MR. BEANE: Okay. No further questions. Thank you
19	for your time.
20	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	Any redirect?
23	MS. SVOLTO: No, thank you, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	Ms. Palmisano, you may be excused.
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	174
	Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination
1	MS. SVOLTO: Your Honor, may this witness be released
2	from her subpoena so that such that the rule would not apply
3	to her?
4	THE COURT: Yes, based on the Court's previous
5	rulings and discussions.
6	So you're free to remain in the courtroom or leave as
7	you see fit.
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
9	THE COURT: The government can call its next witness.
10	MS. DAVIDSON: We call Mr. Sean O'Malley.
11	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Raise your right hand.
12	WHEREUPON,
13	SEAN O'MALLEY,
14	was called as a witness and, after having been first duly
15	sworn, testified as follows:
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Have a seat. If you'll scoot
18	in as close adds you can. State and spell your name for the
19	record.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes. It's Sean O'Malley. S-e-a-n.
21	
	O-apostrophe-M-a-l-l-e-y. BY MS. DAVIDSON:
22	
23	Q Mr. O'Malley, what do you do?

investigator in the enforcement group at the Federal Reserve UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

I'm the senior vice president and the chief

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination

1 Bank of New York.

Q Okay. What -- how long have you been with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York?

A It's coming up in a couple weeks, it will be 19 years.

Q Nineteen years. And what are your responsibilities?

A It varies quite a bit, but my unit gets involved in a lot of investigations, regulatory investigations of banks in the anti-money laundering and the sanction violation area. We also get involved in a lot of internal investigations and in some instances external investigations, sometimes fraud related, and also as a liaison for law enforcement, me and my team gets -- we get called to come to trials on occasion, to talk about payment systems, talk about fraud related issues, so it's quite a varied area.

Q Okay. So for us laypeople, what exactly is the Federal Reserve?

A So the Federal Reserve is the central bank of the United States. And as part of that, it has many different functions. The main part of the Federal Reserve is in Washington. That's the Board of Governors. People forget about that. And there's 12 regional reserve banks around the country. So altogether they make up the Federal Reserve System.

Typically, what most people think about the Federal UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination

Reserve is they execute monetary policy, which includes setting interest rates, you know, that are being used by the banks. In addition, they also coordinate with other central banks. And in addition to central banks, they also coordinate with other international monetary policy entities, like the International Monetary Fund, the IMF, or The World Bank. And part of that

interaction is actually providing services to them.

So the Federal Reserve Bank of New York is the reserve bank responsible for having accounts services. So we actually have accounts for well over a hundred central banks in the New York Fed, and that allows them to conduct U.S. dollar transactions, buy securities, even -- they're even allowed to have gold in the vault downstairs in the Federal Reserve, and many of them do. So that's -- that's another part of it.

We also conduct -- basically provide fiscal services for the United States, so we are the fiscal agent for the U.S. government. And as part of that, we'll process transactions on behalf of the government.

And what most people think about also is that when the U.S. government is trying to fund itself, they quite often do that by selling treasury securities. So the Federal Reserve — in fact, the Federal Reserve in New York has a markets group that operates the area where they sell treasury securities out to the public. That's done through what's known as primary dealers. So there's a certain group of institutions

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination
that buys the U.S. debt from the Federal Reserve on behalf of
the Treasury. So that's how the U.S. government funds
themselves in large part in addition to tax revenue and other
things.

The Federal Reserve also has an area where they —
they execute transactions on behalf of the depository
institutions, so that would include wire transfers, electronic
transfers, like wire transfers and the Fedwire system. It
would also include other types of electronic transmissions,
such as ACH transactions through the FedACH process. In
addition, they clear checks on behalf of financial
institutions. And, finally, they distribute currency
throughout the nation, and even, in fact, it's distributed
internationally in some cases as well.

So those are the payment -- the internal payment operations of the Federal Reserve. We also have another area, which is involved in the supervision of financial institutions.

They -- this -- the institutions that are regulated by the Federal Reserve, we have examiners that go out, and they go on site and they make sure that the banks are adhering to U.S. laws and regulations and then that the banks are safe and sound.

So my unit, the investigative unit, often works hand in hand with supervision, and if there's a problem with one of the financial institutions, then it gets referred to my area,

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination which is the enforcement area.

Q Okay. So how exactly is the Federal Reserve owned?

Is it a government agency, or is it a combination of government agency and bank?

A Well, it's a federal instrumentality, so it was created by Congress in I believe it was 1913. And so there are members of the Federal Reserve, the banks.

The banks are not allowed to lend a hundred percent of the deposits that they take in. They're required to keep a certain amount of reserves that they can't lend out in case there's an emergency and some people want to come back and, you know, access their deposits.

They have to keep a certain amount, and they do in fact keep a certain amount at the Federal Reserve. And those deposits themselves are part of the structure, and they are actually in -- the banks are part owners in theory of the Federal Reserve, because they share in the Federal Reserve.

But it's not ownership in the common definition of you can tell the institution what to do or direct the executives what to do. That -- that activity is with the Federal Reserve, the 12 regional banks in coordination with the Board of Governors in Washington.

The Board of Governors is actually not an instrumentality. They are actually part of the U.S. government. The reserve banks themselves are these entities UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination
that were created by Congress, and they are quasi public, but
in fact funded, as you described by the sharers of some of the
financial institutions.

Q Okay. And so do any individuals, like me or Ms. Svolto, do any individuals own or have accounts at the Federal Reserve?

A No. Just depository institutions or, as I mentioned earlier, central banks, you know, IMF, World Bank, things like that.

Q So central banks are like the banks of a country?

A Yeah. So, I'm sorry, the central banks -- you know, the Federal Reserve is the central bank of the United States.

And, similarly, there are central banks in most other countries. And those central banks can apply to maintain an account at the Federal Reserve. And, you know, if -- we don't have one for every single country in the world, but for well over a hundred countries have an account at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

Q So the only -- I guess the only things, because they're not really individuals, the only groups that have accounts, personal accounts, are banks -- are the central banks or depositories? You said depositories?

- A Depository institutions, yes.
- Q Okay.

A So it could be a credit union as well.

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination

Q Right. So does the Federal Reserve hold any secret accounts for individuals?

A No.

Q Does the Federal Reserve and its member banks, is that how you say it, member banks, or is there all the banks?

Okay. There's the Federal Reserve and then there's 12 Federal Reserve Banks?

A Right. So there's -- they're by districts.

Q Okay.

A So the New York Federal Reserve district is the Second District. But, yeah, so there's 12 regional Reserve Banks, if you'd like, but those banks themselves all have depository institutions that will have accounts at those Federal Reserves.

So, you know, depending on where you are, it's usually the closest location to the nearest Reserve Bank that we open your account.

Q Okay.

A Those are known as master accounts. So a big bank might have one master account. And if you think about the account, when they have an account with the Federal Reserve, it's their ABA routing number. Okay. So if individuals have an account at the bank, the bank will determine what that account number would be. But at the Federal Reserve, all the accounts are their ABA routing numbers. That is the bank's

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination account number at the Federal Reserve.

Q Okay. Yeah. I follow. And so does the

Q Okay. Yeah. I follow. And so does the Federal Reserve and its district banks, am I saying that right?

A So the Federal Reserve in Washington doesn't -- is not a bank.

Q Okay.

A So they don't have any accounts. It's only the reserve banks themselves, the 12 reserve banks that have -- that maintain accounts for depository institutions. And if it wasn't clear before, they also maintain accounts for the U.S. -- if the U.S. government.

Q Okay. So the 12 reserve banks, that's the way to say it. Right?

A Yes.

Q Did they have their own routing numbers?

A They do.

Q And are these routing numbers published?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Is it pretty easy to find Federal Reserve Banks' routing number?

A Yes. Or commercial banks, the U.S. government entities, yeah.

Q So you mentioned that the Federal Reserve, parts of it regulate ACH transactions. And just without asking for a treatise on ACH, but roughly, what is an ACH transaction?

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination

A Okay. Can I just -- I would just like to comment, they don't regulate the ACH.

Q Okay. I'm sorry.

A So what -- what they do is they operate a payment platform. And one of the payment platforms and the services they provide is ACH services. So there's also a competing ACH service called Electronic Payment Network, which is a private entity -- you know, run by a private entity. So I'm just going to keep mine to the FedACH program.

Q Okay.

A And that's basically where -- I think, for most people, to try to keep it a little easier to understand, if people think about their direct deposits of their paychecks, that comes into their -- into their bank account electronically. That is an ACH payment.

In many instances, if you pay your utilities or your car loan or things like that, in many instances, you will give your utility the right to take money out of your account on your behalf and to pay your utility bill. So you would give them the right to debit your personal account, take money out and apply that funds to your payment. And so that is all part of the ACH.

You can either direct an ACH payment out or you can give somebody the rights to pull or debit money out of your account. Those are electronic payments, but there's different

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination legal differences between ACH and Fedwire, which we'll talk about in a second.

ACH gives more rights to the consumer. They have more -- you know, they have the ability to pull back, you know, payments which are -- which were not properly approved. And same with financial institutions. Financial institutions -- if one financial institution tries to extract money from another financial institution's account holder, that -- the account that's being debited or the money's being pulled from, they have two days to determine whether in fact that's a valid payment or not. And if -- within that two day period, if it's not valid, they can basically take the money back and revoke the earlier payment.

Q Okay. And so that time period, which you just discussed, is two days?

A Two days that the banks will have to go back to the other financial institution that debited the funds from their account to determine whether it was valid or not. If it was not valid, but they waited till three days, then if they couldn't get the money back from the customer, it's on the bank that didn't return those funds in a proper period of time.

Q Okay. So I guess, bottom line, an ACH transaction is not instantaneous. There's a two-day time period before most banks would consider it final?

A That's correct. Although, you know, that's kind of UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination

like the legal responsibilities, so, you know, each bank has

its own back office. So when it's apparent to the customer,

versus when it's apparent to the bank, you know, might depend

on how fast their systems are, how fast they update, you know,

each customer, because ACH in many cases, it's -- it's a batch

system.

So let's say if it's your utility company and they would take payments out, they might take a hundred customers' payments all out at once from a big bank, let's say. There might be a hundred customers from that big bank that have authorized them to pay their monthly utility bill.

But each -- the bank has to go down to each account holder to make sure that that was valid, because each account holder will have the right to say, no, that that wasn't a valid debit out of my account. And then on the other side, the utility would have to credit each individual account holder's, you know, account. So that takes time for each of them to do that internally.

Q And so what you're saying is, it can take more than two days?

A It might appear that way, yeah. But from bank A to bank B, if bank A is debiting or pulling money out of bank B's account, bank B has two days to say that was an improper debit of my account and I'm taking the money back because it was improper.

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination

- Q Okay. So are you familiar with Fedwires?
- A Yes.

Q And how are -- what are Fedwires?

A It's another electronic payment, but Fedwires are more immediate. So when a wire transfer is executed, that -that payment gets executed by their financial institution and it will go through the Fed -- the Fedwire funds transfer system, and that payment is immediate, and that payment can't be revoked. Right. You don't have the legal right to pull it back anymore.

So there's a significant difference legally between the two. Typically, there is -- ACHs are often free, usually free, when a wire transfer could be 20 to \$40 in a processing fee that goes along with the wire, with the Fedwire transfers.

Q So do all federal wires with U.S. dollars, do they go through the Federal Reserve, Fedwires?

- A No. So --
- Q Okay.

A -- the -- I would say the vast majority of domestic wire transfers get processed by the Fedwire Funds Transfer Service. And the majority of the international wires gets processed by another competing entity that is owned by the banks, and that's called Clearing House Interbank Payments System or CHIPS. So CHIPS and Fedwires are competitors. And you can send international via Fedwire, but you don't have to.

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination

You could use the CHIPS system, and I guess you could use CHIPS domestically as well.

But that's generally the way it works out, is that for whatever reason, most domestic institutions choose to use Fedwire and a lot of the big banks that are clearing internationally tend to use CHIPS.

Q Okay. And how is -- and you may have already explained this, but how is the Fedwire different from ACH, just the immediacy?

A Well, it's -- it is the immediacy, but it's more about the ability to -- to tell somebody there was an incorrect payment. Okay. And also Fedwire, you don't have -- you have to push out a Fedwire. Okay. You have to initiate the Fedwire, where an ACH is much different.

To help spur the economy, if you will, they created ACH so that other big institutions, like your utility or like your car, you know, GMAC, or whoever it would be, if you give them the right, they can initiate and they can pull money out of your account with your authority into -- you know, to pay off your loans.

You can't do that with a Fedwire. Nobody else can take money out of your account via wire transfer, because it's immediate. So you wouldn't have the ability to say, "No, that was an improper withdrawal of those funds."

But with ACH, because they have those built-in UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination controls, that gives them the right to say, "No, it was an improper withdrawal out of my account," that's generally the legal difference between the two, and that's why one takes longer to settle than the other.

Q Okay. That makes sense.

So does USAA Bank have authority to pull money out of the Fed Reserve of New York if they use the proper routing number?

A Yeah.

- Q Through an ACH transaction?
- A They would have access to the ACH system so they could execute that kind of a transaction, yes.
- Q Okay. And then the Federal Reserve in New York would check to see if it was a valid account?
- A Well, see, the first process is, they check to see if it's a valid routing number.
- Q Okay.
 - A Okay. Because if it's not a valid routing number, it will get automatically rejected.
- 20 Q Okay.
 - A So if it's a valid routing number, then there's going to be an account number also as part of that, but they won't know whether that account number is right or wrong. The Federal Reserve System won't be able to determine that because that's going to be on the books and records of the party that

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination account's being debited.

Okay. So when they execute that, that's why, you know, there's this two-day window so you can figure out whether that account number is valid or not.

So -- so when -- if USAA wanted to execute it, they could. As long as it was a valid routing number, they would be able to pull funds out of the routing number of the receiving depository institution, the one that they're sending the payment -- they're sending a message to but they're pulling the funds from.

Q Uh-huh.

A And then that institution then has two days to say either -- in the vast majority, 99.9 percent of the time, it's a valid routing number. So two days goes by, nobody rejects it, and, you know, they get to keep their money.

But if, in fact, there was no account number there, or there was some other reason why, maybe they don't have funds in their account, right, and they tried to pull it, but the person had a zero balance, so the bank isn't going to give them credit, so they're going to reject that. So for whatever reason they reject it, they have to do it within a two-day window.

And then the financial institution that initiated the ACH debits, in this case, USAA, then the counterparty would pull those funds back and say that that was not a valid

	189 Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination
1	transfer, transaction. And those funds would then be credited
2	or taken back out, you know, so I'm sorry. They would have
3	debited the other financial institution so it's a credit into
5	debited the other rinancial institution so it's a credit into
4	their account, and now that credit gets reversed and that gets
5	pulled out or debited back out of the USAA's account, if it was
6	an invalid transaction.
7	Q Okay. So specifically in this case, there was a
8	Fedwire from USAA Bank to Whitney Bank that on July 7th,
9	2017 and did I serve a trial subpoena on you to receive an
10	information whether this Fedwire went through the Federal
11	Reserve of New York?
12	A Yes. As part of my trial subpoena, I brought that
13	with me, yes.

Okay. And is this a record which is maintained in the ordinary course of business?

Α Yes, it is.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, at this time, I'd like to admit Government's Exhibit 164. He brought it with him, but I have provided a copy to both defendants.

THE COURT: We'll go ahead and admit -- absent objection, admit 164.

(Government's Exhibit 164 admitted into evidence.) BY MS. DAVIDSON:

Thank you. Do you recognize this document? Q I'm sorry, we can blow it up on screen. We had time UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination

to scan it. Could you pull it up? And if you could expand it.

Could you tell me what this document is?

A Yes. It is -- it's a Fedwire wire transfer that we processed about a half million of them a day, so this is just one of many. And in this case, you can see the -- well, it's got a lot of codes that may be a little hard to read. But you can see, there's a line that says "Amount," and the field tag is the "2000" field tag, and this is in the amount of \$493,110.86 [sic].

Q If you can scroll up some.

Sender reference -- I'm sorry, if you could go a little bit further to expand. Okay.

What's the "Sender Reference Number"?

A The sender reference number is a number that the financial institution that created the wire transfer, they put that number in, so it's not a number that's assigned by the Federal Reserve. It's initiated by the institution.

Q Okay. And so -- and the "Originator"?

A So in the originator, what that's saying here is this wire transfer -- and if you'll look at the IMAD, which is in "1520" field tag, you can see the date of the wire transfer. So that was on July 7, 2017.

And what this is saying is that the originator, who initiated this wire transfer, is in the 5,000 field. And that would -- the originator is identified as Randall Keith Beane at

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination
300 State Street, Apartment 365, Knoxville, Tennessee.

- Q Okay. Can you go further down?
- A Well, the -- the other thing is the account number that's associated is that 020787, that -- that would be the account number at the bank that initiated the wire transfer, which would be USAA.
- Q Okay. The identifier is the USAA Bank number associated with Randall Keith Beane?
 - A Correct.

- Q Okay. And then the financial institution USAA Federal?
- A Yes, so the sender ABA, 3100 at the top, that is -- and you see right below that is the receiver ABA at 3400, those are the customers of the Federal Reserve System. Okay. So these are the two depository institutions whose accounts are being debited and credited.
- So in this case, the Federal Reserve is debiting the account of the sender, taking money out of the sender, which is USAA, and putting a credit into the account of the receiver, which is Whitney Bank. And both of them have their ABA routing numbers in front of their name. So, again, those would be the account numbers in the Federal Reserve System.
- Q Okay. If you could scroll down further, please. And so -- and what is the beneficiary information?
 - A So the beneficiary is the person who's -- the wire
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination

transfer is being sent to, so the funds would be put into their account. And the beneficiary is identified as Buddy Gregg

Motor Homes, LLC in Knoxville, Tennessee. And the account number associated with Buddy Gregg Motor Home is 046074960 number.

Q Okay. If you could expand, David. And could you go to the top, which is OMD. What does OMD -- what kind of information is in there?

A Well, there's -- there's an IMAD and an OMAD. So one is really the incoming message, and then the OMAD is the outbound message.

Q Okay.

A And that's really the Federal Reserve sending this message, if it's -- if it's got an OMAD, it's an executed wire transfer.

Q Okay. That's what this is. If it's got an OMAD, it's an executed wire transfer. And so does Document 164 indicate that it's an executed wire transfer?

A Yes, it does.

Q And can you assume from the numbers, the "20170707," is that the date?

A Yeah. It's the same date as it was initiated, right, so the -- before we talked about the IMAD, it had that date, the OMAD has that date. And I believe the actual execution is that 0918. I think that's 9:18 in the morning.

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination

Q Okay. And so if we -- are you familiar with the electronic transmissions that would cause a Fedwire to happen? I hate to use the word "wire," because it confuses everybody dealing with Fedwires versus wires in the legal sense, which are telephones and Internet.

But are you familiar with the way a transaction would go? Do these transmissions go through the Federal Reserve of New York from the member banks?

- A We're talking Fedwire right now?
- 10 Q Yes. Fedwire.

- A So in Fedwire, we've got two processing centers.

 There's a primary processing center and a secondary center. On the date of this wire transfer, the processing, the primary processing center was in New Jersey and then a secondary was in Texas.
 - Q Okay.
- A And, basically, the way it works is the -- you know, the -- the requests for payment, right, from USAA would come into the Fed Reserve, the Fed Reserve gets ready to process it, and before it actually does the debiting and crediting, it sends a payment to its secondary facility. The secondary facility sends an acknowledgment that it was received, because that's the backup site. And then it would be -- debiting and crediting gets executed, and then the outbound advice of payment goes to both institutions.

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination

So the first institution, which executed the payment is USAA, they would know that it had been completed. And then Whitney Bank, which doesn't know that a wire transfer is being initiated, will get that advice of payment, and then they would say, okay, who's this payment on behalf of, and they'll find the account, the beneficiary, and they'll be able to apply the payment.

Q Okay. So if I understand you correctly, basically, this Fedwire, you know at least went through New Jersey and Texas?

A Correct.

Q Okay. And you testified, does -- and I think I already asked you this, but does the Federal Reserve of New York have any individual accounts linked to people based on their Social Security number?

A They don't have individual accounts. They don't have accounts for individuals for any number.

Q Okay. And are you familiar with the Federal Reserve of New York website?

A Yes.

Q And is this very easily accessible to anyone who's familiar with the web at all?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And does the Federal Reserve website specifically say that individuals do not have -- that no UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination 1 individual has an account? 2 Yes. I believe it's on the Federal Reserve Bank of New York's website and it's certainly on the Board of 3 Governors' website as well. 4 5 I'm going to show you what's been marked as 6 Government's Exhibit 162, and just for the witness. 7 And do you recognize that? 8 This is on the Board of Governors frequently Yes. 9 asked questions. 10 MS. DAVIDSON: Okay. And, Your Honor, I'd like to 11 admit Government's Exhibit 162 at this time. 12 THE COURT: Just one-page document? MS. DAVIDSON: Just this one-page document. 13 14 screenshot of the -- the internet for the Federal Reserve. 15 THE COURT: Any objections? 16 MR. BEANE: I'm sorry. No, I don't have any 17 objection. THE COURT: Okay. So admitted. 18 19 (Government's Exhibit 162 admitted into evidence.) MS. DAVIDSON: Can you publish it to the jury? 20 21 THE COURT: Let me ask the jury, we were going to --22 I need to leave a little early today, about 4:45, or leave the 23 courtroom to attend to some matters. Do y'all want to take a ten-minute break or so now or keep going for another 45 minutes 24 25 or so? Totally up to you-all.

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination 1 JURY PANEL: Break would be good. 2 THE COURT: Take a break. Okay. Let's go do that. 3 Sounds good. 4 (Jury out at 3:51 p.m.) 5 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: This honorable court shall 6 stand in recess until 4:05. 7 (Recess from 3:52 p.m. to 4:09 p.m.) 8 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please remain seated and come 9 to order. 10 THE COURT: Looks like we're ready to continue. 11 We'll bring our jury in. 12 (Jury in at 4:09 p.m.) 13 THE COURT: Thank you. Everyone may be seated. 14 Ms. Davidson, continue, please. 15 BY MS. DAVIDSON: 16 Yes. I just brought to your attention Government's Q 17 Exhibit 162, and you stated you recognized this document? 18 Α Yes. 19 And could you read this document for the Court, 20 please, just basically where it starts at the "Does." And this 21 is frequently asked questions. Correct? 22 That's correct. So the question is, "Does the 23 Federal Reserve maintain accounts for individuals? Can 24 individuals use such accounts to pay bills and get money?" 25 And the answer is, "No. The Federal Reserve Banks UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Sean O'Malley - Direct Examination

provide financial services to banks and governmental entities

only. Individuals cannot, by law, have accounts at the Federal

Reserve Bank.

"A recent hoax circulating on the Internet asserts that the Federal Reserve maintains accounts for individuals that are tied to the individual's Social Security number, and that individuals can assess" -- "access," excuse me -- "these accounts to pay bills and obtain money. These claims are false."

Do you want me to continue?

Q Yes, please.

A "The Federal Reserve does not maintain accounts for individuals, and individuals should not attempt to make payments using Federal Reserve Bank routing numbers or false routing numbers. Individuals who attempt to pay bills or conduct other transactions using a Federal Reserve Bank routing number may face penalty fees from the company they were attempting to pay, or the suspension or closure of their commercial bank or payment service provider accounts. Law enforcement, including the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the FBI, is aware of this scheme, and individuals who participate in such schemes could also face criminal charges."

Q Okay. That's far enough. And beside this posting to the Internet, the Board of Governors Federal Reserve System, are there other places on the Federal Reserve Internet that

Sean O'Malley - Cross-Examination explains that no individuals have accounts at the Federal 1 2 Reserve? 3 The Federal Reserve Bank of New York has a Α Yes. 4 frauds and scams website, section of the website, which also 5 explains that, as does -- the scheme that they're talking about 6 has also been posted on the Atlanta Reserve Bank's website as 7 well. 8 MS. DAVIDSON: Okay. May I have a moment? 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 MS. DAVIDSON: That's all I have. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. Cross-examination, 12 Ms. Heather Tucci -- excuse me, Tucci-Jarraf. CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 14 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Without prejudice, I have a few 15 questions. 16 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. 17 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Good evening, Mr. O'Malley. 18 19 Good evening. Α 20 Earlier you had stated that you're a senior vice 21 president, and I didn't catch the rest of the title. Could you 22 please repeat that for me? 23 Sure. I'm also the chief investigator, and that's 24 within the legal group and there's an enforce -- in the legal 25 department of the New York Fed, there's an enforcement group,

Sean O'Malley - Cross-Examination
so I'm in that area. I guess my official title is financial
intelligence and investigations unit in the enforcement group.

Q Financial intelligence?

- A And investigations unit, yes.
- Q Is that separate than the senior vice president -- senior vice president title that you have?

A So there's -- senior vice president is kind of like your rank within the bank. So there's many other senior vice presidents in the bank. It's a senior management position.

But there are others that are not investigative in nature, and then there's other people in the financial intelligence and investigation unit that are not senior vice presidents. There are other areas, you know, could be --

- Q Okay. And this is for the Federal Reserve Bank New York. Correct?
 - A Yes. Each reserve bank has their own staff.
- Q Okay. And so that senior vice president is not for the chief investigator of the legal department that you're working in, it's separate?
- A No. So I just became senior vice president. So, before I was vice president and chief investigator of the financial intelligence.
 - Q Okay.
- A So I -- I was given a promotion, so my title of -- changed from vice president to senior vice president, but

Sean O'Malley - Cross-Examination within the unit, my title stayed the same.

- Q Okay. I'm sorry. I'm only familiar with chief counsels in your guys' legal department, so ...
 - A No, that's okay.

- Q And you've been with them for 19 years in the enforcement arm of it or did you start out in a different department?
- A No. I started in the -- as an investigator in the enforcement unit, yes.
- Q Okay. And prior to that, did you have military experience or anything like that?
 - A So I've got an accounting background. I'm a CPA in New York state, and I'm a certified fraud examiner.
 - And the -- I guess what people term as my first real job was -- I spent seven and a half years being an investigative auditor for the New York State Attorney General's Office for Medicaid fraud, so healthcare fraud.
 - And then after that, I spent another seven and a half years at a large corporate -- a private investigation firm that specialized in corporate investigations in Manhattan.
 - Q So in the New York area?
 - A Also in the New York area, yeah.
- Q But the -- with Federal Reserve Bank New York, you
 also -- do your investigations take you outside of the New York
 area as well?

A Well, the New York Reserve Bank includes part of Connecticut, parts of New Jersey, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands. So it varies. Many of the banks we investigate are foreign banks, so they will have operations overseas as well. So, yeah, I've -- I've been to Europe conducting investigations, you know, so it's hard to say, because the -- the banks have such a wide geographical footprint.

Q And it says here that you had stated you were a liaison with law enforcement. Can you please give us a little bit more information what you mean by with -- liaison with law enforcement? What does liaison mean?

A It means I'm one of -- one of the investigators in my unit, Robert Amenta, and myself are generally the point people for law enforcement. So there's an area of the Treasury Department called FinCEN, the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, and they do a lot of training for law enforcement agents. And they have both of us listed as references that if you need information from the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, call either Robert or myself.

Q Okay. And that's with FinCEN only, or is that with also with other law enforcement agents?

A I would say I get calls from agents on at least a weekly basis. I got a call earlier this afternoon from an FBI agent, so I get calls all the time, Homeland Security, FBI, IRS, a lot of different agencies.

Q And so FinCEN is just a separate when you -- because you brought that up. So most people don't know FinCEN and U.S. Treasury. So when you say "law enforcement," I don't -- I wasn't thinking FinCEN.

A So, I'm sorry. FinCEN has a manual for law enforcement agents, so -- to do financial investigations. And that's why many of the agents who -- you know, a lot of agents, they might do gang, you know, or drug crimes, right, but if they're getting involved in financial investigations, and if they have any connection with the Federal Reserve, there's a high likelihood they will call either me or somebody on my team.

- Q The manual is where they can look to figure out what numbers, departments, does it list that kind of information?
 - A Yes. Yes, it does, yes.

- Q Okay. So it's not like they would contact FinCEN, the U.S. Treasury to say, "Who do we contact," it's in that manual?
- A Yes. I mean, they could call -- you know, a lot of times what happens is they'll say, "Oh, I worked with another agent in my office, and he referred me to you." So a lot of times it's just within their agencies anyway.

But, officially, yes, you know, we're listed in the manual that a lot of agents take home with them and go back to their offices to help them when they conduct investigations.

- Q Okay. And when you said Robert Amenta is your partner, can you please spell his last name for me?
 - A Yes. It's A-m-e-n-t-a.
 - Q = A-m-e-n-t-a?
- A Yes.

- Q Okay. And you're physically stationed, typically your office is in New York?
 - A Lower Manhattan, yes.
- Q Okay. And do you train -- do you do training for law enforcement regarding financial crimes?
- A Yes, I do.
- Q Is that the legal department that does that or the enforcement?
- A I guess I'm in the legal and enforcement group, so I guess both, but, you know, we have other areas in the legal that wouldn't do it. It would be the investigators in the enforcement group that would conduct the training.
- 18 Q Do you do training for FBI then?
 - A Yes. I've conducted it for FBI, for U.S. Attorney's Offices, for many different federal investigations and state agencies as well.
 - Q And has that mainly been just in fraud, financial fraud that you do that training?
 - A Yeah. Mostly payment systems to let them understand how the payment systems work and how to -- how money moves

Sean O'Malley - Cross-Examination internationally.

Q So it sounds like you get a lot of calls from law enforcement. Is there any time that the Federal Reserve, any of the Federal Reserve Banks would actually contact and refer to the FBI, for instance?

A Yes. We did in fact on this case in July of 2017, when this -- we had a major ACH fraud being attempted on the reserve banks, so we were in coordination with the FBI, and we -- we were working with them on these matters.

Q So was it Federal Reserve Bank of New York that actually initiated the investigation throughout all the FRBs?

A I can't really say that, because the -- the folks that were not on the investigative said, they were on the payment side, had already knew about this, because they were rejecting tens of thousands of ACHs that were coming through the system at that time.

So -- so when the FBI got involved, yes, it was -- it was around that same time period. So there was an agent who I think might have been out of Washington who was involved, and I think he was contacted by the USAA investigator, and then we coordinated and I contacted the cyber fraud unit in the New York FBI to help make sure that they were involved as well.

Q Okay. Because I want to make sure that you mentioned Washington, D.C. and New York, and I want to make sure -- a cyber fraud. Can I please help you -- excuse me, could you

please help me identify -- you stated that you contacted -- you initiated -- on this particular case, you initiated the contact with the FBI. Is that correct?

A The New York FBI, not -- the FBI had agents that already knew about the fraud before I -- before I did.

Q Okay. So you were actually notified by the FBI in New York regarding this case?

A I'm sorry. Let me go through it. So -- and this is my understanding, is that USAA, an investigator there had reached out to the FBI, and I believe that was Brad Carpenter, special agent, and --

Q For which office? I'm --

A So he was -- Brad, I believe, is headquartered out of the Washington office of the FBI.

- Q Washington, D.C.?
- A Yes.

- Q District of Columbia?
- A Yes. I'm sorry. However, he may be detailed to New York. So I'm unclear exactly where Brad physically resides, but I think he's operating out of either headquarters or the Washington field office of the FBI.
- Q So headquarters. And he's the one that contacted you?
- A No. Because -- because there's a network, I got contacted by an agent in the Inspector General's Office of the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Federal Reserve. So the Federal Reserve has inspector general agents, and we coordinate with them, we provide training on their behalf, and one of their agents contacted me when they heard about this fraud. So -- and then they referred me to Brad Carpenter. So that's how I got involved, and that was mid to early July 2017.

- Q Okay. I just want to make sure I have this straight.
- A Uh-huh.

- Q Because before you had said that you called the FBI and then the FBI -- so I'm just making sure we have it.
- A So to follow that up, then, as I mentioned that Brad Carpenter, I was dealing with him, and then I reached out to Mitch Thompson, who's a supervisor in the New York FBI who runs one of their cyber fraud units.
 - Q Okay. So Federal Reserve Bank, OIG, Office of --
 - A Inspector General.
- Q -- Inspector General contacted -- an agent contacted you and referred you to Brad Carpenter. Is that correct?
- A Ask me if I knew about the ACH fraud going on. I did not.
 - Q Okay.
- A Gave me a little bit of insight and referred to Brad Carpenter, yeah, so ...
 - Q Okay. And that was early July, mid July, you stated?

 Can you give me a time frame?

- A Could have been the 10th. I don't know the exact date.
 - Q So possibly on July 10th --
 - A Uh-huh.

- Q -- was when you became aware of this ACH problem in general?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Okay. And so at that point, you talked to Brad Carpenter after the OIG contacted you to come and notify you in general, you then were referred to Brad Carpenter and you spoke to Brad Carpenter?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And did Brad Carpenter give you more general information on the ACH than OIG made you aware of?
 - A He confirmed he had an open investigation, and that they were -- they were aware that -- that these were generally -- there was linkage to videos that were on YouTube.
 - Q Okay. So when you say he had an open -- because, I mean, we're here on a specific case. When he says he had an open investigation, was that just a general investigation regarding this ACH?
- A There was a general investigation, and then there was a specific investigation with USAA and --
- Q Okay.
- A -- the case that we're talking about right here,
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q I was just clarifying what Brad Carpenter was speaking of when you had said that.

Okay. So was Brad Carpenter on this particular case?

- A Not that I know of, no.
- Q Okay. So where is Brad Carpenter located?
- A I don't know if he's physically located in the New York office.
- Q I'm sorry, what office is he -- what agency is he with?
- A The FBI.
- 12 Q He's with the FBI?
- 13 A Uh-huh.
- 14 Q And you're not sure what field office or --
 - A So I believe -- so Brad is also part of like a cyber fraud group, okay, and this is my understanding, because I don't know Brad outside of this interaction. My understanding is, he's with a lot of institutions that like threat finance -- a threat -- a cyber threat working area. So he -- this came to his attention.
 - Q So are you talking about cyber fraud group, do you mean like a task force?
 - A I don't know how I could describe it. I mean, it could be considered task force. I don't know if it's an official task force.

- Q You don't know if it's an official task force?
- 2 A No.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

19

24

25

- Q And you're still not sure what -- is he with New York FBI?
 - A That task force that I'm talking about, if we're going to call it a task force, I understood operated in New York. So I think Brad went back and forth between New York and headquarters.
 - Q Headquarters in Washington, D.C.?
- 10 A D.C., yeah.
- 11 Q If you could give me just a second so I can write 12 this down. Thank you. I appreciate your patience.
 - So Brad Carpenter was the one you spoke about in general about this open investigation. And please continue so that we can figure out -- you mentioned a Mitch Thompson. Was Brad -- did Brad Carpenter refer you to Mitch Thompson?
- A No. I referred the matter to Mitch Thompson, because

 I knew --
 - Q Which matter?
- 20 A The ACH fraud.
- 21 Q In general?
- 22 A In general.
- Q Okay. I'm just trying to keep this clear.
 - A No. Okay. And I'm sorry, to make it clearer, Mitch
 Thompson is in the New York office, and I was trying to refer
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Sean O'Malley - Cross-Examination that to him to see if they'd have an interest in opening a case and not on this matter.

My understanding is that Mr. Beane was arrested, that that matter was handled entirely within Tennessee and it did not have anything to do with Mitch Thompson and/or Brad Carpenter, but the -- the scheme, if you will, was the same. It was all related to ACH frauds which occurred in July of 2017.

- Q So Mitch Thompson, at what point -- who told you about this particular case, I guess, maybe we could start here, because there's --
 - A Yeah, so I was told --

Q -- no clear way we know how you found out about this case.

A So I was subpoenaed to come here and testify in this case, not -- I didn't have any particular involvement in the investigation, okay, but I knew that this was going on, because at the time, when we were trying to fight and stop the ACH frauds from attacking the Federal Reserve Systems, what we were doing was reaching out to different law enforcement agencies to see who would coordinate and who would become involved in this investigation.

- Q Okay. Because we have a --
- A The broader investigation, if you will.
 - Q Yeah, because you --

A The broader ACH investigation as opposed to your specific matter here.

Q Okay. So when you first became aware of this specific matter here, was that when you were subpoenaed?

A No. I knew about it before then, but I wasn't involved in investigating it. It wasn't until after I was subpoenaed that I got involved more in the details, and I asked from the Federal Reserve System to look at the actual transactions themselves.

So I got -- I got involved, if you will, in the nitty-gritty after I was subpoenaed, but I was involved -- I had a general knowledge of the fraud in relation to the bigger ACH fraud that was going on in the attack on the Federal Reserve System in July of 2017.

Q Okay. Okay. So you speak with Brad Carpenter about this, and then you go to Mitch Thompson, and you refer the whole general ACH investigation -- and Mitch Thompson is in New York, FBI, he's head of FBI in New York?

A He's a supervisor.

Q A supervisor. Okay. And you refer the whole ACH general investigation to ask him if they're going to open an investigation, just in general on the ACH?

A General, that's correct, yes.

Q Okay. So at that point, did Mitch Thompson tell you about the Randall Beane case?

- A No. They knew that Tennessee was handling that case.

 They extricated themselves. They were not involved in that at all.
 - Q Okay. So -- but I'm asking, where did you learn about the Randall Beane case, was it from Mitch Thompson?
 - A No. It was from Brad Carpenter.
 - Q You said Brad Carpenter only told you about the ACH general. And when I asked you if he had told you about the Beane, and you said no?
- 10 A No. I thought you said was he working that case, no, 11 so --
 - Q No, I just --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

- A -- I knew basically within realtime or within days of the -- the USAA loss, I knew of that relatively right around the time of the loss.
- Q Okay. So you knew from Brad Carpenter, he's the one that first made you aware of the Randall Beane case?
- 18 A Correct.
 - Q And Brad Carpenter is New York, he goes between New York and headquarters in D.C.?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q Okay. Feel like I gave birth.
- Okay. We have -- and was it Mitch Thompson that told you -- or excuse me, Brad Carpenter that told you it was handled by Tennessee, that was being handled, or was it Mitch

1	Thompson?	

A I think that it may have been both, but certainly Brad Carpenter. I knew that Mitch Thompson had no interest in getting involved in a case in Tennessee.

Q So Mitch Thompson is just a supervisor at FBI. Brad Carpenter, he has no title, just on that task -- maybe a task force or a cyber group?

A I believe he's a special agent, and he's probably in some sort of cyber-related area as well.

If you look at one of the exhibits was on the Fed Reserve website, and I don't know if we could pull that exhibit back up, but it -- the Federal Reserve, I believe, in Atlanta, and I believe in New York, I think Brad Carpenter's listed there as the FBI person to contact.

- Q Was that on Exhibit 162, the announcement about the scam?
- A If we could pull that back up, we could take a look at it. I don't know if it was on this one.
 - Q I think she only showed you one exhibit.

 David, could you please help me with 162? Thank you.
 - A If you could go down.
 - Q I'll let you direct him where you need to go.
- MS. DAVIDSON: That's the entirety of the exhibit.

THE WITNESS: Okay. So this refers people to the IC3.gov website, which is where people were being asked to UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Sean O'Malley - Cross-Examination 1 report this to, but I believe that the -- there were other 2 notices on the Atlanta Feds website and, I believe, also the 3 New York Feds website that specifically references Brad 4 Carpenter and gives a go-to, an e-mail or a phone number. BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: 5 6 Q All right. Okay. And do you know when this notice 7 was put out? 8 I think it was -- this notice? Α 9 Uh-huh. This specific one. 10 I'm not --Α 11 I mean, we may not be able to, because it's cut off. Q 12 I'm not sure. Α 13 Do you recall from memory? Q 14 It would have been the summer of 2017, this one. Α 15 Summer. Did you give other law enforcement, you Q 16 know, like Brad Carpenter or Mitch Thompson, did you give them 17 any notice to put out on the FBI website as well, or did -- did 18 you give them any verbiage to put in to their -- any notices 19 about this alleged -- or this scam that you've noticed here? 20 So it's my understanding that the Board of Governors 21 put this on their website. I don't know who approved that 22 language. 23 The Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta also put a notice 24 on their website and their internal people did it.

My group, the enforcement group, was involved in UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

25

Sean O'Malley - Cross-Examination putting the warning on the New York Federal Reserve's website.

And then, I think your question is, did the FBI distribute something else additionally internally. I believe that occurred as well.

Q Okay.

- A But I don't know if that's published anywhere.
- Q Yeah. Just what you know of is all I'm asking for. So you didn't work directly with the FBI here in Tennessee on -- on this particular case at all, except for being subpoenaed to come here?
- A Until I got called and requested to come testify, yes. That was my first discussion of -- with anybody about this particular case, except for referencing of the case knowing that it occurred.
- Q And what details, if any, did Brad Carpenter give you regarding this particular matter? I'm asking, because it's unusual for New York or for D.C. to mention one case specifically.
- A Well, it wasn't, because this is really the only case where somebody was able to actually execute the fraud, you know, successfully. Because in most cases, the ACHs were rejected and nobody actually was able to extract the money from their financial institutions. In this case, USAA released the funds, and my understanding is, is that there was -- you know, we saw the wire transfer before, almost a half million dollars

Sean O'Malley - Cross-Examination was used to purchase an RV. That didn't occur in any other cases, as far as I know.

- Q So it was only regarding a wire or close to 500,000 for an RV that Randall Keith Beane's case was on the floor in New York, in Washington, D.C.?
 - A It was part of it --

Q Or was it because of the CDs?

A So there were -- as I think I said, there were tens of thousands of ACH debits attempted to be done in a fraudulent manner. And what happened was, the largest ones stuck out. So there was, I believe, 32 transactions that involve Mr. Beane for approximately \$30.5 million. That volume got people's attention.

And it -- although the reserve bank was able to successfully pull back within that two-day period, they were able to take those funds back from USAA Bank, as they did in almost every other instance that I know of, this is the only instance that I knew of that there was a substantial fraud that was actually not only attempted, but actually executed.

Q Thank you for clarifying why one case would stick out as opposed to, I believe, there was something like, I personally knew of what, 300,000 different cases or instances of this particular -- and I only knew a fraction, because I didn't -- I didn't get all of the data. Obviously, you would, because it was Federal Reserve, involving Federal Reserve Bank.

1 So was there even more?

A So I don't dispute that it was hundreds of thousands.

I know that it was in the -- at minimum in the tens of
thousands in a very short period of time, so it very well could
be. I wasn't involved in looking at the aggregate numbers as
to what it ended up being at the end of the day.

Q Okay. Thank you for explaining how you found out about this case and clarifying who you actually spoke with.

You stated that when Ms. Davidson had spoken to you about the Federal Reserve, you had stated -- she asked if it was the government agency, you had stated that it's a fiscal agent for the government, that it was an instrumentality, excuse me, a federal instrumentality, could you please explain what that means?

A Yeah. To my knowledge, it's an instrumentality -Congress created the Federal Reserve, and then they create the
ability to have certain corporate vehicles that operate based
upon their creating this structure, and that's how the -- the
12 Federal Reserve regions were created.

Q Okay. When you say it's a fed instrumentality, are you talking about the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve?

- A No. I'm talking about the 12 regional reserve banks.
- Q So the 12 regional reserve banks. So you're saying that it is -- that's part of the government, that is a

1 government bank?

A It's kind of like the postal service. You know, the postal service, people consider it part of the government, but I think that's also a private corporation that's, you know, part of the government, if you will, so ...

Q Okay. So the Federal Reserve Banks are actually private banks that serve the U.S. government?

A I wouldn't describe it like that. I wouldn't -- a private bank is -- connotes that it's a commercial bank, that it's -- that it's owned and controlled by individuals without any sort of delegated authority from anybody else, right, that they were operating on their own.

That's not the way that the Federal Reserves work, right. The Federal Reserves are created, there's a special structure that's created by Congress, and the reserve banks, especially on many of these things, and supervision, especially, their power comes from the delegated authority from the Board of Governors.

Q Okay. But -- and we'll get to the power authority.

I'm talking about the ownership. You had mentioned that banks are part owners of the 12 branches or the Board of Governors or both.

- A The twelve branches.
- Q Okay. And who owns the Board of Governors?
- A It's just another U.S. government agency.

Q Okay. So you're saying the Board of Governors at the Federal Reserve are actually part of the government?

A That's correct.

- Q They are the government, they aren't a private entity at all?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Okay. And the 12 member banks -- actually, there's more than 12, because Seattle has one too.
- A So you have branches. So, you know, I think Seattle is probably a branch of the San Francisco Fed.
- Q But the main ones we're talking about are the 12 branches, because the Seattle is underneath the San Francisco branch?
 - A Yes, the Federal Reserve Banks.
- Q Okay. And so those banks are part owners of themselves?
- A I wouldn't describe it that way.
- Q Okay. So then please explain to us, because this is -- you say the banks are part owners of the Federal Reserve?
- A They have shares. Okay. So, you know, based upon their deposits and how much money that they need to, you know, they need to hold it reserve at the Federal Reserve, then they become part shareholders, if you will, by putting up the capital. So the Federal Reserve has funds sitting there. It's a requirement that the depository institutions maintain certain

Sean O'Malley - Cross-Examination reserves at the Federal Reserve. So the bigger the bank, the bigger the reserves.

Q Okay. So when you say banks are part owners, it indicates that there are other owners. Is that a correct statement?

A If that's the case, then I would like to stand corrected. They are shareholders. Okay. You're the one who's putting the word "owner" into it, not me. So I'm --

Q I am quoting here --

A -- looking at, the way I look at the Federal Reserve, it's an instrumentality of the United States created by Congress. So it's owned by the people of the United States. That's my understanding of how the Federal Reserve is, even the reserve banks. There are shareholders. The banks have reserves there and then they're shareholders. But it's not as you are trying to equate that this is like a private bank in any sort of way. It's not a private bank. It's a public institution.

Q Thank you for that clarification. And I'm not trying to disrespect you. I just wrote your quote down, banks are part owners.

- A Okay. So I hope I clarified --
- Q Clarified that.
 - A I hope I clarified it. Thank you.
 - Q Okay. Thank you.

221 Sean O'Malley - Cross-Examination 1 Okay. I'm going to -- do we still -- do I still have 2 time to address an exhibit or --3 THE COURT: How much cross-examination do you think 4 you have left? 5 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Quite a bit. 6 THE COURT: Let's go ahead and dismiss the jury for 7 All right. the day. 8 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. THE COURT: And, again, just remind you you're 9 10 continuing to hear evidence in this case, not to discuss it 11 among yourselves or with anyone else. Continue to keep an open 12 mind as you hear all the evidence in this case, and otherwise, I appreciate the jury's attention over these last three days. 13 14 Looking forward to see you here tomorrow, Friday, January 26th 15 at 9:00 a.m. Thank you. 16 (Jury out at 4:49 p.m.) 17 THE COURT: Okay. See everybody here tomorrow at 18 9:00 a.m. Thank you. Have a pleasant evening. THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: This honorable court stands in 19 20 recess. 21

(Proceedings recessed at 4:50 p.m.)

22

23

24

25

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF TENNESSEE
3	COUNTY OF KNOX
4	I, Rebekah M. Lockwood, RPR, CRR, do hereby certify
5	that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the
6	foregoing proceedings; and that the foregoing pages constitute
7	a true and complete computer-aided transcription of my original
8	stenographic notes to the best of my knowledge, skill, and
9	ability.
10	I further certify that I am not a relative, employee,
11	attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative
12	or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel
13	connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in
14	the action.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand at
16	Knoxville, Knox County, Tennessee this 22nd day of April, 2018.
17	
18	
19	(y) + (y)
20	REBEKAH M. LOCKWOOD, RPR, CRR
21	Official Court Reporter United States District Court
22	Eastern District of Tennessee
23	
24	
25	