

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

|                           |   |                         |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | ) |                         |
|                           | ) |                         |
| Plaintiff,                | ) |                         |
|                           | ) |                         |
| v.                        | ) | No.: 3:17-CR-82-TAV-DCP |
|                           | ) |                         |
| RANDALL KEITH BEANE and   | ) |                         |
| HEATHER ANN TUCCI-JARRAF, | ) |                         |
|                           | ) |                         |
| Defendants.               | ) |                         |

**ORDER**

This criminal case is before the Court on defendant Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf's second motion for an extension of time to file post-verdict motions [Doc. 157]. The Court previously granted both defendants an extension to March 30, 2018, to file motions for a judgment of acquittal and for a new trial and under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 29 and 33, respectively [Doc. 152]. Defendant Tucci-Jarraf now requests an additional extension of time until the second half of May to file such motions. In support, defendant Tucci-Jarraf submits that due to an administrative error by her counsel, the transcript of the jury trial will not be available for at least thirty more days. Her counsel will then require further time to review the transcript and prepare post-verdict motions.

Rule 45(b)(1)(A) authorizes the Court to extend a deadline for good cause when a party requests the extension before the original deadline expires. Here, the Court finds that good cause supports defendant Tucci-Jarraf's second request for an extension. The Court therefore **GRANTS** her motion for an extension [Doc. 157]. However, in light of the

Court's duty to efficiently manage its docket, the Court does not find an extension of time until late May to be necessary. Accordingly, both defendants shall have up to **May 7, 2018**, to file any post-verdict motions under Rules 29 and 33. No further extensions for filing post-verdict motions will be granted in this case absent extraordinary circumstances.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Thomas A. Varlan  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE