UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	No. 3:17-cr-00082-002
v.)	Hon. Thomas A. Varlan, Chief USDJ
)	Hon. C. Clifford Shirley, Jr., Chief USMJ
HEATHER ANN TUCCI-JARRAF)	• •

NOTICE OF FILING

The Defendant **HEATHER ANN TUCCI-JARRAF** hereby files DULY ACCEPTED EVIDENCE OF SEPARATE ACTS DONE BY FOREIGN AGENTS, PURSUANT TO STANDING PRAECIPES #2 and #3 OF DOC 101, TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE C. CLIFFORD SHIRLEY, JR., August 29, 2017, 10:47 a.m. to 12:50 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Francis L. Lloyd, Jr.

LAW OFFICE OF FRANCIS L. LLOYD, JR.

9111 Cross Park Drive, Suite D-200

Knoxville, TN 37923 tel: (865) 470-4077

fax: (865) 978-6504

E-Mail: FLLloydJr@gmail.com

Counsel for the Defendant Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this document was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent by operation of the Court's electronic filing system to all the parties indicated on the electronic filing receipt. All other parties will be served by regular U.S. Mail. Parties may access this filing through the Court's electronic filing system.

s/ Francis L. Lloyd, Jr.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)

Plaintiff,

vs.) Case No.: 3:17-CR-82

HEATHER ANN TUCCI-JARRAF,)

Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE HONORABLE C. CLIFFORD SHIRLEY, JR.

August 29, 2017 10:47 a.m. to 12:50 p.m.

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: CYNTHIA F. DAVIDSON, ESQUIRE

ANNE-MARIE SVOLTO, ESQUIRE

Assistant United States Attorney United States Department of Justice Office of the United States Attorney

800 Market Street

Suite 211

Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

FOR THE DEFENDANT: FRANCIS L. LLOYD, JR., ESQUIRE

Law Office of Francis L. Lloyd, Jr.

9111 Cross Park Drive

Suite D-200

Knoxville, Tennessee 37923

REPORTED BY:

Rebekah M. Lockwood, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter (865) 210-6698 800 Market Street, Suite 130

INDEX

	PAGE
Proceedings	3
MARIE WASILIK Direct Examination by Ms. Tucci-Jarraf Cross-Examination by Ms. Davidson Redirect Examination by Ms. Tucci-Jarraf	41 45 46
Proceedings	47

Rebekah M. Lockwood, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter (865) 210-6698 800 Market Street, Suite 130 (Call to Order of the Court)

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. All rise. This court is again in session with the Honorable C. Clifford Shirley, Jr., United States Magistrate Judge, presiding.

Please come to order and be seated.

We are here for a detention hearing, status conference, and motion hearing in case number 3:17-CR-82, United States of America versus Heather Tucci-Jarraf.

Here on behalf of the government is Cynthia Davidson and Anne-Marie Svolto. Is the government ready to proceed?

MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And here on behalf of the defendant is Francis Lloyd, Jr. Is the defendant ready to proceed?

MR. LLOYD: The defendant is ready -- present and ready, Your Honor.

As to the status of my relationship to the defendant, I believe that at the hearing this past Thursday, Magistrate Judge Guyton left that to be decided by Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Fine. We will take that up first then.

Ms. Davidson, good morning.

MS. DAVIDSON: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Lloyd, good morning.

MR. LLOYD: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Is it Ms. Tucci-Jarraf? Have I said it

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

close? I would like to address you as close to proper as I can do.

THE DEFENDANT: Without prejudice, yes, my name is Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf.

2.2

THE COURT: Tucci-Jarraf. Okay. Thank you. I'll try to get that correct. And I'll apologize in advance if I don't. Good morning to you.

Good morning to those in the audience. Let me remind those in the audience, this is a public court, and it's a public hearing, and we're glad to have you, and we welcome you to Federal Court in the Eastern District of Tennessee.

There's a couple rules that all of you need to be aware of, and it's because we obviously have to maintain order in the courtroom, and that's for the benefit of all the participants, and it's very simply you're just not to be disruptive. No comments and anything like that.

If you become disruptive, then I'll obviously need to remove the disruptive individual or individuals. If it becomes systemic, then I may have to consider closing the hearing.

So I don't anticipate any problem. We often have some relatively high-profile cases, and we have the courtroom filled from time to time, and it's generally not a problem, as long as people just sit back, take it all in, and listen.

Everybody is entitled to take any notes or anything like that if you want to, but the local rules do prohibit any UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

audio or video recordings. So there's -- no one's allowed to record anything audio -- audibly or by video. No cameras are allowed. And if you do that, you will be subject to not only being removed, but possibly sanctioned or charged for violating the rules.

Does anybody have any audio or video equipment on them at this time, whether it's being used or not?

Does anybody have any electronic devices?

MR. LLOYD: Your Honor, I have my telephone, which I --

THE COURT: You know the rules, Mr. Lloyd.

MR. LLOYD: Yes, Your Honor.

2.2

THE COURT: Your continued attendance in this court insists that you follow them, and I've never known you not to.

So all right. Nobody has indicated that they have that, so I will presume everybody understands it. Anybody have any questions about the -- kind of the ground rules?

All right. Now, let's begin. I think we should take up the issue of the representation of Ms. Tucci-Jarraf. And do I understand that she wants to retain you, that she wants you to be appointed, or that she wants to represent herself?

MR. LLOYD: Your Honor, I have informed --

THE COURT: Can you speak -- come on up and speak into the microphone here. We have a court reporter, and I want to be sure she gets everything down properly.

MR. LLOYD: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

2.2

MR. LLOYD: I have explained to the defendant that in my view of the ethical obligations of someone called to a case under the Criminal Justice Act, I cannot accept being retained. I think that --

THE COURT: I don't think that's true.

MR. LLOYD: Well, I would -- I'm concerned, Your Honor, that it violates the spirit of the act, if not the letter of it. The defendant would like to apply for appointed elbow or standby counsel. And I'll -- I would ask the Court to hear Ms. Tucci-Jarraf on that subject.

THE COURT: All right. She wishes to represent herself?

THE DEFENDANT: Actually, if I might address that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Just one second.

Is that your understanding, that she wishes to represent herself?

MR. LLOYD: My understanding is that she wishes to have -- to have me appointed under the Criminal Justice Act, but as the attorney to consult with her in presenting her desired defense.

THE COURT: Well, you understand how that works. That means she represents herself, and you are available to assist her with more administrative or ministerial-type acts, like

1 assist her with filing or things of that nature, provide her if 2 she wants copies of cases or things like that, if she can't get them, but --3 4 MR. LLOYD: And that --5 THE COURT: -- she's -- she is representing herself. 6 You do not speak for her. You will not represent her in court, 7 but you can sit beside her and assist her. MR. LLOYD: As was done in one of the --8 9 We've done it a half dozen times or more --THE COURT: MR. LLOYD: 10 Yes, sir. 11 THE COURT: -- in the last few years. 12 MR. LLOYD: One out of Oak Ridge was --Right. 13 THE COURT: MR. LLOYD: -- one of my colleagues served in that 14 15 capacity. 16 THE COURT: Correct. 17 MR. LLOYD: But I would ask the Court to hear the 18 defendant so as to -- there's a clear understanding of her 19 desire. THE COURT: Oh, I'm going to do that. I'm going to do 20 21 that, because, obviously, I have to go through a litany with her 2.2 to ensure that. 23 All right. Thank you, Mr. Lloyd. 24 Yes, Your Honor. MR. LLOYD: 25 THE COURT: So, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, is it correct that UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 you would like to represent yourself, number one, and, number 2 two, you would like for me to also appoint Mr. Lloyd to act as 3 what we call elbow counsel or standby counsel? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Do you want me to answer from here or 5 from the podium? 6 I think from there. If you'll just pull 7 the microphone up, I think we can hear you fine. Mr. Lloyd just 8 wasn't speaking up loud enough and didn't have his own 9 microphone. Go ahead. 10 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I am -- I'm here as myself. 11 I didn't ask that question. THE COURT: 12 THE DEFENDANT: No, I know. You're asking if I'm 13 representing myself. We're all here as ourself. 14 THE COURT: 15 THE DEFENDANT: I'm not representing myself. I'm here 16 as myself. I am myself. And I will --17 THE COURT: I think I am myself too. 18 THE DEFENDANT: Correct. I am. 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 THE DEFENDANT: And this is without prejudice to the 21 matter for jurisdiction, which we haven't gone over yet. 2.2 THE COURT: Correct. I'm going to allow you to file 23 any motions you want on jurisdiction. And we'll -- I've got a 24 good organization here, so I think if you stick with me and just 25 let us do this, if there's anything I miss at the end --UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 THE DEFENDANT: No, that's fine. 2 THE COURT: -- you can go on about that. 3 THE DEFENDANT: I'm -- your answer was if I'm going to 4 represent myself. 5 THE COURT: Yeah. 6 THE DEFENDANT: To represent myself pro se is a 7 completely different legal status than pro per or propria 8 persona, as well as just --9 THE COURT: No, it's not. You're wrong. It's just not 10 the law. You either represent yourself or you don't. 11 THE DEFENDANT: Without prejudice, I am myself, and I 12 am going to be presenting before this Court and on behalf of my 13 case. 14 THE COURT: Do you want to represent yourself? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Okay. And the Sixth --17 THE DEFENDANT: Without prejudice, yes. 18 THE COURT: All right. Sixth Amendment provides that a 19 criminal defendant has the right to assistance of counsel, but 20 it also provides that you can proceed without counsel, which 21 you're offering to do in this case, if the court finds that you 2.2 have voluntarily and intelligently elected to do so. 23 Okay. In other words, you have a right to counsel and 24 you have a right to waive counsel. You have your choice.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

25

Either one.

THE DEFENDANT: Right. And this is why I wanted to handle jurisdiction first. Because depending on the jurisdiction, where -- we are operating on two different jurisdictions here. So I am going to, without prejudice, going to state that I will be presenting -- and I am myself -- I'll be presenting all cases. I do not want counsel.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: But I do -- would like Mr. Lloyd to act as elbow counsel or what we call in the west coast standby counsel.

THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. Either one. We use them --

THE DEFENDANT: Interchangeably.

THE COURT: -- interchangeably here.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

2.2

THE COURT: All right. So I have to determine that your decision to represent yourself and to waive counsel is being made knowingly and is being made voluntarily and that you understand what we refer to as all the dangers and disadvantages that that provides.

So let me start with a few questions. Have you ever studied law?

THE DEFENDANT: I have.

THE COURT: All right. And in what capacity?

THE DEFENDANT: I have a juris doctorate.

THE COURT: All right. And have you practiced law?

1 THE DEFENDANT: I did practice. I've been a lawyer for 2 17 years, and I was a barred attorney for 11. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Barred has two terms. One is to be a member of the bar and the other is to be barred from being 4 5 member of a bar. 6 THE DEFENDANT: A member of the bar. 7 THE COURT: All right. And are you still practicing? 8 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 THE DEFENDANT: I canceled that license in -- on 11 March 24th, 2011. 12 THE COURT: All right. Have you ever represented 13 yourself as a defendant in a criminal action? 14 THE DEFENDANT: I did in Washington state regarding 15 a -- when I was doing the mortgage fraud investigations. There 16 was a -- an incident with the sheriffs that I was advising and 17 consulting on the mortgage --18 I don't need all the details. 19 THE DEFENDANT: True. But it was an obstruction, and 20 so it was a criminal case that was unforeseen, and I did proceed 21 to -- as myself presenting myself. 2.2 THE COURT: All right. So you were charged in the 23 state of Washington --24 THE DEFENDANT: Right. 25 THE COURT: -- with something? UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 THE DEFENDANT: It was --2 THE COURT: I don't need the -- I don't need the 3 details. 4 THE DEFENDANT: It's on NCIC, but it was obstruction, 5 but it was a deferred prosecution. 6 THE COURT: All right. And I'm going to --7 THE DEFENDANT: It was a misdemeanor in district court. 8 I'm going to pause here just for a second. THE COURT: 9 I need to remind you of something probably Judge Guyton told 10 you, which is you have certain rights as a defendant, and one of 11 those is the right to remain silent and not say anything that 12 might incriminate you. 13 So I'm going to ask you questions very specific that in my mind don't incriminate you, but I don't want you to go on and 14 15 on talking about other things that might incriminate you, 16 because I don't want you to hurt your case. Okay. 17 THE DEFENDANT: I'm aware. 18 MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, I --19 THE COURT: Ms. Davidson. 20 MS. DAVIDSON: I was just wondering, might it be 21 appropriate for the defendant to be sworn in, because she has 2.2 not as of yet been sworn in, and she was answering lots of 23 questions that you're asking of her. 24 THE COURT: All right. We'll do that. The -- I need 25 to take up another matter that was sworn, I think before, but UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 there may be some disagreement in light of Mr. Lloyd's filing. 2 So if you would, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, if you'd raise your 3 right hand, please, ma'am. Okay. 4 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Do you solemnly swear or affirm 5 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? If so, please say, "I do." 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: I am source of all that is. 8 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I can't hear you. 9 THE DEFENDANT: I am source of all that is. I swear to speak true, accurate, and complete. 10 11 THE COURT: I don't know what that means. I didn't ask 12 you what your source was. We just asked you if you are going to 13 swear or if you're going to affirm that you will tell the truth. THE DEFENDANT: I just said I swear to speak true, 14 15 accurate, and complete. 16 THE COURT: Is that different? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: What is it? What's different about that 18 19 and telling the truth? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Truth is a matter of perception, 21 whereas speaking true, accurate, and complete gives you a full 2.2 accurate record. 23 THE COURT: But it's not necessarily the truth? 24 THE DEFENDANT: It is the truth. I only speak truth. 25 True, accurate, and complete. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 THE COURT: Well, then I ask you, will you affirm to 2 tell the truth? 3 THE DEFENDANT: I'm not trying to be difficult here. I'm saying that I will speak only true --4 5 THE COURT: You are being difficult. 6 THE DEFENDANT: -- and complete --7 THE COURT: You are being difficult, because I just 8 asked you will you tell the truth. 9 I will speak truthfully. THE DEFENDANT: 10 THE COURT: And is that different than telling the 11 truth? 12 THE DEFENDANT: No, it's the same thing. 13 THE COURT: Okay. THE DEFENDANT: The truth -- anyway. 14 15 THE COURT: That's good. Telling the truth and 16 speaking truthfully are the same thing. 17 THE DEFENDANT: The other version means that there is 18 room for perception. There's room for not speaking truth --19 true, accurate, and complete. I'm telling you I speak only 20 true, accurate, and complete. 21 THE COURT: All right. So when you told me you had a 2.2 JD. Is that truthful? 23 THE DEFENDANT: It is true and it is truthful. 24 THE COURT: Okay. And when you said you had canceled 25 your license and quit practicing in 2011, was that true? UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 THE DEFENDANT: That is true and truthful. 2 THE COURT: And when you said you represented yourself 3 in a criminal case in the state of Washington, was that true? THE DEFENDANT: That is true and truthful. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. And have you represented 6 anybody else in a criminal case? 7 THE DEFENDANT: I used to be a prosecutor for the state 8 of Washington, so, yes, during my 11 years, I have defended as 9 well as prosecuted criminals. 10 THE COURT: And how long were you a prosecutor? 11 THE DEFENDANT: From -- well, I was a defender from --12 THE COURT: A defender or prosecutor? 13 THE DEFENDANT: First I was a public defender. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 THE DEFENDANT: For about four months. December --16 excuse me, January -- approximately January of 2003 until May of 17 Then from May of 2003, I was a prosecutor until February of 2006. 18 19 THE COURT: All right. Have you ever been involved in 20 any cases in federal court like this? 21 THE DEFENDANT: No, I haven't. 2.2 THE COURT: Or just state court? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Just state court. 24 THE COURT: You realize that you are charged in this 25 court with a crime referred to as money laundering. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 THE DEFENDANT: I am aware of the allegations made 2 by -- I'm sorry. 3 THE COURT: In the indictment. THE DEFENDANT: 4 Yeah. 5 I just need to be sure you understand. 6 THE DEFENDANT: I've read the allegations in the true 7 bill. 8 THE COURT: Okay. I'm not asking you to agree to them. 9 I'm just asking if you understand them. 10 Do you realize that if you're found guilty of that 11 crime, that you could face certain number of years? How many 12 years in prison in this case? 13 MS. DAVIDSON: Up to 20 years imprisonment. THE COURT: Up to 20 years in prison. And what's the 14 15 fine? 16 MS. DAVIDSON: It's 500,000 or twice the actual loss. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that if you're 18 found quilty, you could receive up to either of those? 19 THE DEFENDANT: As with this answer and the other 20 answers, with reservation to the matter of jurisdiction, to let 21 us handle, I am aware of what the U.S.A. is speaking of, yes, 2.2 the terms and the --23 THE COURT: All right. You realize that if you're 24 found guilty of more than one of these crime -- but there's only 25 one charge, right, so there's no concerns about the consecutive UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

sentencing?

2.2

MS. DAVIDSON: Yes. As to Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, there is only one charge, the conspiracy.

THE COURT: All right. You realize, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, that if you choose to represent yourself, as you're asking, you're on your own. And I mean by that, I can't tell you how to try your case. I can't give you any legal advice or any advice on how to try the case.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I am aware of those issues, yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm aware of what you're stating, and I get that I would be on my own.

THE COURT: Is that different than understanding?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I am aware. I am aware of

everything that you're speaking to and --

THE COURT: But you don't understand it. Because if you don't understand it, I can't let you represent yourself.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: I mean, that's what the rule says, and it's very simple.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. And I get the implications, and I understand the implications of the word "understand." So I am aware, and I'm agreeing that I'm going to be going forward and doing my own case.

1 THE COURT: Do you realize I can't tell you what to do 2 or how to do it? 3 THE DEFENDANT: I'm -- I am aware of that and I agree 4 to that. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Are you familiar with the Federal 6 Rules of Evidence? 7 THE DEFENDANT: It's been a while, so I'm going to have 8 to refresh myself on the Federal Rules of Evidence. 9 THE COURT: I take it you realize that the Federal 10 Rules of Evidence will govern what evidence may or may not 11 actually be introduced at trial, and in representing yourself, 12 you have to abide by those rules. 13 THE DEFENDANT: With reservation to the jurisdiction issue that we still have to handle, yes, I am aware. 14 15 THE COURT: Always going to have the jurisdiction 16 issue, so don't even raise it again. I will always let you file 17 that motion as soon as we leave the court today. But we're 18 going to play by the Federal Rules of Evidence with regard to 19 evidence that comes in this court. 20 Do you understand that? 21 THE DEFENDANT: I'm aware of that and I agree to that. 2.2 THE COURT: Pardon? 23 THE DEFENDANT: I am aware of the Federal Rules of 24 Evidence that will govern this particular case, and I agree to 25 use those rules.

1 THE COURT: All right. And do you understand if you're 2 not familiar with them, that it can be a problem because you 3 might not get something in evidence? THE DEFENDANT: I am aware of that as well. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Are you familiar with the 6 Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Again, it's been a while since I've had 8 to --9 Do you realize that the Rules of Criminal THE COURT: 10 Procedure govern the way that this Court will handle a criminal 11 action here in Federal Court? THE DEFENDANT: I'm aware that that's how this Court 12 13 proceeds and how they do all their matters, yes. THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that if you decide 14 15 to take the witness stand and decide to testify that you'll have 16 to present the testimony by generally asking questions of 17 vourself? 18 THE DEFENDANT: I am aware of that and have experienced 19 that. 20 THE COURT: All right. So that there's a proper 21 procedure for objections and things like that. 2.2 You understand that? 23 THE DEFENDANT: I'm aware of that, yes. THE COURT: All right. It's imperative for me to 24 25 advise you that, in my opinion, that you would be far better off UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

to be represented by a trained lawyer than you would be to represent yourself.

2.2

I think it's unwise of you to try to represent yourself in this type of a case where the stakes are high. I don't believe you are familiar with the Federal Rules as much as you need to be. I don't believe you're as familiar with court procedure as you ought to be. And I would strongly urge you not to represent yourself. That's just my opinion and my suggestion to you.

But in light of that, and in light of the penalty you might suffer if you're found guilty, and in light of all the difficulties of representing yourself, is it still your desire to represent yourself and give up the right to be represented by an attorney instead?

THE DEFENDANT: Again, I am aware of my capabilities.

I'm aware of my capacity to be able to take in new material or to refresh myself in old material and my ability to be able to present it as needs to be presented while conforming or practicing the particular rules that you have set forth that are going to govern these proceedings.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

THE DEFENDANT: So I'm very comfortable with -- in fact, I prefer it at this time.

THE COURT: Fair enough.

THE DEFENDANT: Again, with Mr. -- Mr. Lloyd's
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 assistance administerially [sic]. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So it is still then your desire to 3 represent yourself and give up your right to be represented by 4 an attorney. 5 THE DEFENDANT: It is my preference. And it is my 6 choice that I'm going to implement to move forward as myself to 7 present as myself and to present this case by my own --8 THE COURT: Is it going to be that any descriptive word 9 I use you're going to pick another word? 10 THE DEFENDANT: You know, after you get -- it's 11 really --THE COURT: Because I've tried so hard to be --12 13 THE DEFENDANT: I'm just trying to create the record 14 That's all. If there's any corrections, I can go ahead 15 and go back and file corrections to anything. 16 THE COURT: I'm just figuring out if I say, "Is it your 17 desire to represent yourself" --18 THE DEFENDANT: It is my desire to move forward --19 I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt you. THE COURT: 20 THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry. I'm answering questions. 21 desire to move forward, and I choose to move forward, and I 2.2 implement that choice now to move forward by myself as myself. 23 THE COURT: And I'm going to let you. I'm just trying 24 to figure out if I say, as I've said --25 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 THE COURT: -- to -- I'm sorry. 2 THE DEFENDANT: Please. THE COURT: Can I finish? 3 4 THE DEFENDANT: No, please. 5 Thank you. If I say to you, "Do you desire THE COURT: 6 to represent yourself," most everybody I've had before says, 7 "Yes." You won't say yes because you want to change wording. 8 Okay. And what I'm trying to figure out, you say, "I want to 9 make the record," does that mean that the record you're making 10 is of something other than a choice to represent yourself? Because if it is, you need to tell me that now. 11 12 THE DEFENDANT: No. I -- Your Honor, my record is just 13 to establish that I am here, I am present, and I am moving forward as myself. Because of the legal standings, I agree 14 15 that -- excuse me, I acknowledge that you and I disagree as to 16 legal standings between pro se, pro per, sui juris, and all of that. I get that. 17 18 So I'm just explaining to you that I will be moving 19

So I'm just explaining to you that I will be moving forward as myself, not representing myself on behalf -- excuse me, not representing on behalf of myself, but representing or presenting as myself to the Court, speaking truthfully, true, accurate, and complete.

So, yes, just to make it easy, yes.

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE COURT: Yeah. Yes. You desire to represent yourself. Yes?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I'll go back and correct it later. Yes.

THE COURT: And you give up your right to be represented by an attorney?

2.2

THE DEFENDANT: I know that you said not to bring this up, but without prejudice of reservation of the jurisdiction, I will -- which is why I didn't want to address the issue of prose, pro per, et cetera until after we had done jurisdiction.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's suppose I find jurisdiction --

THE COURT: -- is appropriate here.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. If -- whether you find it or you don't, the point was, is that there is a difference between me presenting on behalf of myself and me presenting as self, that I am myself. I'm not here on behalf of anyone. I'm not an attorney representing myself as an attorney. I am one and the same. I'm just me. And I'm going to be moving forward.

THE COURT: Every human that comes into this court has a right to represent themselves. You have that same right as every other person. They don't have the right to represent anybody else.

THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Only a lawyer can do that. And only a lawyer that's properly in this court can do that. So the only person you are representing is yourself.

1 THE DEFENDANT: And that's just --2 THE COURT: That's all I'm asking you. THE DEFENDANT: And that is a matter of difference in 3 4 legal status. And I'm just trying to say --5 THE COURT: It isn't. 6 THE DEFENDANT: -- the correct legal status. 7 THE COURT: That's legal --8 THE DEFENDANT: I know you disagree. 9 That's just legal wrong. It's just mumbo THE COURT: 10 It really isn't anything. jumbo. 11 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 12 THE COURT: Are you giving --13 To answer your question, yes, I will go THE DEFENDANT: 14 forward. 15 THE COURT: All right. And are you giving up your 16 right, waiving your right to be represented by a lawyer? That's 17 a yes or no. 18 THE DEFENDANT: Without prejudice, yes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. And is your decision completely 20 voluntary on your part? 21 Without prejudice, yes. THE DEFENDANT: 2.2 THE COURT: All right. I am prepared to 23 find that the defendant has knowingly and voluntarily waived her 24 right to counsel, and I will permit her to represent herself. 25 Do you have any disagreement or objection to that UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 finding, Ms. Davidson? 2 MS. DAVIDSON: No, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Any misstatement as far as you 4 understand, Mr. Lloyd? 5 MR. LLOYD: No, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: All right. Now, she has requested that I 7 appoint you as standby or elbow counsel to assist her in this 8 matter in that capacity. Before I get to the qualifications on 9 that, would you be willing to be so appointed? 10 MR. LLOYD: Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: You understand the limited nature of that 12 appointment? 13 MR. LLOYD: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: That you are only to assist her, but you 14 15 are always to assist her with regard to making a record, helping 16 her with filing, being a liaison on her behalf to the Court, but 17 you do not direct the case. It's her case. 18 Do you understand that? 19 MR. LLOYD: I understand that, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: All right. You can provide her copies of 21 and advice on the rules and procedure, but it's still her 2.2 decision on how to try her case. 23 Do you understand that? 24 MR. LLOYD: Yes, Your Honor. 25 So, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, before court this UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

morning, did you --

2.2

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, might I be heard?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. DAVIDSON: Just briefly. The United States, it's not typically our role to object to standby counsel or counsel, but the rules provide that the defendant must be indigent to have appointed counsel.

THE COURT: I was just about to go over the --

MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, Your Honor. And I just wanted to point out several inadequacies with her interview. She says that she's fully supported by her husband, but she refuses to give any information regarding her husband.

And the defendant was arrested at the Trump Hotel, and we proffer that she was paying for that hotel, and it was \$700 a night. If you also look at her travel, it looks that her travel, she has substantial means. And we would oppose her being treated as indigent simply because she chooses not to work.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, may I respond to that?

THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Lloyd, at every step from here on, you're not appointed yet. We're talking about you being appointed. But I hope you will advise her at least of her rights against self-incrimination that I've tried to remind her.

I'm very willing to discuss the matters that we normally discuss in every case, because those shouldn't be detrimental or the least bit incriminating. But I never know what somebody is going to say, and it could be detrimental to them, so...

MR. LLOYD: Well, Your Honor, let me -- if I might, I was present this morning for the defendant's interview --

THE COURT: Right.

2.2

MR. LLOYD: -- that generated the recommendation offered to the Court this morning by pretrial services. With respect to the means of Ms. Tucci-Jarraf's husband, obviously, in the marshal's lockup, Mr. Jarraf was not there. I believe that he would be happy to talk with the pretrial services and probation officers about that.

I am informed that -- that the defendant did spend the night with two other people at the president's hotel inside the District of Columbia, and that it was for significantly less than \$700, split three ways.

And apart from that, I would like to make it plain on the record that the defendant is advised by me, as standby counsel, that in responding to the Court's inquiries, she needs to make certain that she does not provide a response under oath that would place her at risk for prosecution of any crime against the United States or any of its states.

I have found Ms. Tucci-Jarraf to be very capable of understanding my advice and statements. I hope she continues to UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

be.

2.2

THE COURT: All right. I think she does too. I'm just trying to always at every turn remind people, because sometimes they just take off and start talking and eventually say something that's very detrimental to them.

MR. LLOYD: And I thank the Court for that, because I've had what feels like more than my share of very chatty defendants.

THE COURT: Yes, yes.

MR. LLOYD: Usually sitting in the backs of police cruisers.

THE COURT: Well, and invariably, they think that that is assisting them, and I can appreciate probably why, but because she's law trained, she would understand that none of that would really be beneficial to her in front of me, you know, in this particular case, because my decisions are very limited in these -- in these confines, if you will, to what I'm asking her. So I'm not trying her case. I'm not going to be trying her case, and I'm just trying to get through the basics on this.

So, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, prior to the hearing in this this morning, I believe you met with the probation officers and provided information, and then signed a financial affidavit.

Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, that is correct. I met with Ms. Smith.

THE COURT: Pardon?

2.2

THE DEFENDANT: I did meet with Ms. Smith and signed a financial affidavit.

THE COURT: All right. And is the information you provided on there true and correct?

THE DEFENDANT: The only thing that seems to be -- as far as I said that -- where it says, "she shared her husband supports her financially," I said that he actually works and that money all goes to supporting our four children, and that I didn't know what his finances were because he had just received a new job with a promotion recently, so I wasn't sure what the amount was, and that they would have to speak to him when he showed up here in court. Obviously, there hasn't been a moment for them to do that yet, but that he would willingly share that information.

As far as what I live off of, it is stated correctly in here with the bank accounts at 48, and as Mr. Lloyd had spoken about earlier regarding the room, it was accurate what he had stated. There was three people sharing the costs, and the room was well under the 700 -- it was 359 on a -- from the Internet. So it was actually cheaper for us to stay there.

So I'm not sure where the State is getting the information on that. Then, again, I was picked up. So I don't know what the bill actually was at that point, the service and fees, taxes.

THE COURT: So -- okay. So, generally, here's the questions I usually ask people. So all the money you have in all the bank accounts, security account, any kind of account of any nature is \$48? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Other than the treasury direct deposit account, yes. THE COURT: Other than what? THE DEFENDANT: An account that I don't have access to, which is what I believe that they're alleging a conspiracy against. THE COURT: Okay. And as far as employment for you, it says you last worked May 2016 from home, is that correct, as a consultant, lawyer consultant? I actually was traveling around the world doing a job, but that was to foreclose on a whole bunch of different international

THE DEFENDANT: Actually, from -- until May 19th, 2016, I actually was traveling around the world doing a job, but that was to foreclose on a whole bunch of different international corporations. That job was done as of May 16th or May 19th.

And I came back here to start a consulting business. It was just starting and getting up off the ground when I was in DC.

So I hadn't received anything other than the \$700, which I utilized for costs to stay in DC.

THE COURT: All right. So you've only earned \$700 from that consulting business.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right.

1 THE DEFENDANT: And the amount 48, I don't know if 2 that's the current amount right now. It could be a little less. 3 THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm sorry. THE DEFENDANT: That was the -- the 48-dollar account 4 5 and then a credit card, which has been since frozen, so those 6 were my only two means. 7 THE COURT: And do I understand that you don't own any 8 real property? 9 THE DEFENDANT: I have no real property, no. 10 THE COURT: And if you were to work -- if I were to 11 release you and you were to work, do you have any idea what your income would be? 12 13 THE DEFENDANT: You know, probably around the same amount, 700 -- say 700 a month, and that would be very 14 15 liberal --16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 THE DEFENDANT: -- at this point. Because of this case 18 and everything else, I don't know if I would be able to get 19 anything except for remedial, such as waitressing or anything 20 else at this point --21 THE COURT: All right. 2.2 THE DEFENDANT: -- due to this pending charge. 23 THE COURT: All right. And your husband is Youssef 24 Jarraf? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 And he works at Logan International? 2 THE DEFENDANT: He would have to speak directly because 3 I'm not sure of all the facts, but he works --4 THE COURT: You don't know where your husband works? 5 Well, the new company that he started THE DEFENDANT: 6 for --7 THE COURT: I didn't ask that. I just asked where. 8 THE DEFENDANT: It's -- the Sky company is in 9 Massachusetts -- Boston, Massachusetts, but they do all the 10 servicing for -- as I understand it, they do all the servicing 11 for the airplanes at Logan International Airport, which is where he's located. 12 13 So he works at Logan International. THE COURT: 14 THE DEFENDANT: But not for Logan International. 15 for another company. 16 THE COURT: I didn't ask that. I just asked where. 17 And just in rough numbers, what kind of income Okay. 18 does he have per year? 19 THE DEFENDANT: May I inquire as to that? THE COURT: You don't know? 20 21 THE DEFENDANT: Like I said, he got a raise. I don't 2.2 know what --23 THE COURT: Before he got the raise. THE DEFENDANT: I believe it was a minimum wage 24 25 starting and then there was a promotion or some amount higher UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 that he's getting now. 2 THE COURT: All right. THE DEFENDANT: But he would be able to confirm 3 directly with Mr. Miller and Ms. Smith. 4 I assume that it's under \$30,000 a year? 5 THE COURT: 6 THE DEFENDANT: I would say that's a safe assumption. 7 THE COURT: Okay. And just for the sake of clarity, is Mr. Jarraf in the courtroom? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: He is. 10 THE COURT: Is that accurate that you make less than 11 \$30,000 a year? 12 MR. JARRAF: Yes. 13 THE COURT: He said yes. Returning to you, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, does that income 14 15 also go to help support your four minor children? 16 THE DEFENDANT: As far as I know, all that income goes 17 to supporting the four minor children. I haven't seen any of 18 that income. 19 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to find 20 that she qualifies at this point to have appointed standby 21 counsel. 2.2 I'm appointing you, Mr. Lloyd, to serve as elbow or 23 standby counsel pursuant to the Criminal Justice Act, 18 U.S. 24 Code Section 3006A. It is my hope that you will aid and, to 25 some extent, relieve me of having to explain and enforce all the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

basic rules of courtroom protocol, procedure, and decorum. You should help Ms. Tucci-Jarraf with regard to procedural and evidentiary obstacles that she might find in completing a task, like we mentioned earlier, introducing evidence or objecting to testimony. And also you can provide her technical assistance in the presentation of her defense and preserving the record for appeal.

Do you understand the role?

MR. LLOYD: Yes, Your Honor. Could I ask the Court's indulgence with respect to appointing me as of this past

Thursday when I first appeared in this case?

THE COURT: I take that is for voucher purposes?

MR. LLOYD: Yes, Your Honor.

2.2

THE COURT: If you'll submit it as a nunc pro tunc, the Court will be inclined to do so. I want to think about that for a minute. But it's not anything we need to take up at this moment. So that will come later. Okay?

MR. LLOYD: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So at this point,

Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, you represent yourself. Mr. Lloyd will

continue to aid you on a limited basis as your elbow or standby

counsel.

All right. I understand we're here for a detention hearing. And what's the government's position and why?

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, we believe that

Ms. Tucci-Jarraf is a risk of flight, and we ask that she be detained.

2.2

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, we're going to have a detention hearing. In federal court, some people refer to it as a bail hearing or bond hearing, different terms. It's probably more technically correct to call it a detention hearing, but we operate under the rules of the Bail Reform Act in the federal statutes.

Are you familiar with the Bail Reform Act?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: No, I'm not.

THE COURT: All right. It will -- looks like Mr. Lloyd has his handy-dandy Federal Rules there, and if you'll turn to 18 U.S. Code, I think it's Section 3142. And you won't have time to get a complete primer on the rules, but, generally, I will be looking at the factors in Subsection G in making my decision on release or detention. So you can at least have that handy to go by.

All right. Any opening statements or do you just want to put on your first witness?

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, I just plan on proffering and arguing basically the pretrial services report.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, if you -- and I know that you have thoroughly reviewed this, but we argue this based on the fact of her extensive travel in the past few years. You UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

notice that she's been in Morocco, Italy, Spain, England, Switzerland, China, and she currently resides in Massachusetts.

2.2

She has zero ties to the Eastern District of Tennessee, no ties at all. She doesn't have employment. And we believe that she has no reason to come back and submit to this court. She has at length talked about how this court has no jurisdiction over her, and I do not believe that she will submit to any orders of this court.

And based on the fact that she does not believe that this court has any personal jurisdiction over herself, we can't count on her to comply with any court orders by this court. And once she is released, I don't know how we can have any assurance that she will ever be back in the Eastern District of Tennessee.

So based on all this, Your Honor, we believe that the defendant is just too much of a risk of flight and should be detained.

I know that -- that the -- also the fact that I don't believe that she will comply with the laws. Now, we're not moving for that basis, but the defendant is on the Internet at length. She has quite -- she puts out YouTube videos. She espouses the fact that everyone is entitled to a special account, which is in the Federal Reserves, and they should all go out there and access it by using the routing number. And so she's a proponent of commit -- asking other people to violate the law. And we're very troubled of that also. We do not

1 believe that she will comply with the laws of the United States 2 if she is released. 3 However, at this point, we are most concerned that she will not comply with any bond requirements or any orders of this 4 5 court. And so we ask that she not be released. 6 THE COURT: All right. Anything else? 7 MS. DAVIDSON: No, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, do you wish 9 to put on any evidence? 10 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Yeah. Thank you, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Uh-huh. MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Well, first off, I'd like to state 12 13 across the board that U.S.A. does not carry her burden. THE COURT: Say what? 14 15 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: That the U.S. attorney did not carry 16 her burden to prove that I would be a flight risk. All of my recent movements have been to the U.S., not from. Also to --17 18 I've also made arrangements to live in housing here in your 19 judicial district --20 THE COURT: Oh, okay. 21 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: -- for the duration of this case. 2.2 It's already prepaid by colleagues of mine that I worked with 23 throughout the United States, as well as internationally. 24 case is a matter of extreme public interest, so it behooves me 25 to actually move forward with this case to its final UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

disposition, whatever that may be.

2.2

Also I'm willing to comply with all the bond requirements if any are set. I have a passport, which I'm more than willing to surrender. My travel, extensive travel over the years, I do acknowledge that I have had extensive travel, but it was for my work. I had over 250 corporations that I had to foreclose on throughout the world. And that -- once that work was done, which was January of 2016, I made arrangements for my family and myself to move back here so we could start doing the actual announcements, so all of my ties are actually with the United States.

I have no residencies or ties in any other countries. Like I said, even the work that I did in those years was specifically due to making foreclosures on registrations and giving notices to them directly.

THE COURT: Have you traveled since May of 2016?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: No. Just to Washington state where

my --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: -- father is, but that's it.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: So, again, I have residency, which I

gave --

THE COURT: Where would you -- where would you be residing in this district?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Mr. Lloyd has that address. 1 2 THE COURT: Where would that be, Mr. Lloyd? 3 MR. LLOYD: If Your Honor please, it's in Oak Ridge, 4 and I'm searching for the specific address. 5 One of the witnesses here for this defendant is the 6 person who offered that residence for rent via BnB and could 7 inform the court about that arrangement. That's fine. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I have a number of witnesses that 10 have traveled from different areas of the United States to be 11 here, and more than likely a number of witnesses for myself will be here during the entire proceedings through the disposition of 12 13 If the Court would like to hear from the property this case. manager of where I will be staying and have already prepaid 14 15 for --16 THE COURT: Sure. You can call any witness you'd like. 17 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. 18 THE COURT: This is your case now. You're in charge. 19 You have to remain seated yourself, but they can come on up. 20 MR. LLOYD: I was about to ask Your Honor whether you 21 wanted the defendant to be at the podium. 2.2 If she can just move the mic closer THE COURT: Yeah. 23 so I can be sure we get everything down that she says. 24 Ma'am, if you'll come up here. This is where the 25 witnesses sit.

1 So if you'll be sure to speak into the microphone so 2 the witness can hear you. 3 If you'll raise your right hand, ma'am. THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Do you solemnly swear or affirm 4 5 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, 6 so help you God? If so, please say, "I do." 7 THE WITNESS: I do. 8 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. You may be seated. 9 THE COURT: Be sure to ask her her name and how to 10 spell it. 11 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. 12 THE COURT: You can have a seat, yes. 13 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Your Honor, I'm not able to see her. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to come up to the 15 podium? 16 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: If I might. 17 THE COURT: All right. If the marshals have no 18 objection, we'll have her come up to the microphone, and they'll 19 be right behind you. Thank you. 20 No. You can stay --21 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. 2.2 THE COURT: -- seated. She goes up there, not you. 23 Just pull that microphone down to you and speak right into it. 24 Okay. Thank you, ma'am. 25

Marie Wasilik - Direct Examination

2

MARIE WASILIK,

was called as a witness and, after having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

2.2

3

4

DIRECT EXAMINATION

6 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:

Q Good morning. Could you please state your name and spell your last name for the record?

A My name IS Marie Suzanne Wasilik. And my last name is W-a-s-i-l-i-k.

- Q And at this hearing, we're discussing about a possible residence for me to stay in this judicial district. Could you please state the address of that particular residence?
- 14 A It's 105 Orchard Lane, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
 - Q Okay. And is it correct that you are in agreement to rent that property to me --
- 17 A Yes, yes.
 - Q -- for the duration of this case?
- 19 A Uh-huh.
- Q Okay. And I believe it's -- some of it has been paid already. Is that correct?
 - A Correct.
- 23 Now much has been paid already?
- 24 A \$824.
- Q And that was paid by one of my colleagues. Is that UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

- 42 Marie Wasilik - Direct Examination 1 correct? 2 (Moving head up and down.) Α 3 Q Which colleague was that? 4 That was Bill Ferguson. Α 5 Q And he's in the --6 THE COURT: How do you spell that? 7 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: If I might, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. 8 9 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: It's -- his full name is William 10 T. --11 THE COURT: Well, all I need is what she testified to, 12 which is Bill somebody. 13 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. Ferguson. F -- excuse me. S-o-n is the ending of Ferguson. 14 15 THE COURT: F-e-r-g-u-s-o-n? 16 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Yes, sir. 17 THE WITNESS: F-e-r-g-u-s-o-n. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: 20 And approximately how many minutes is that residence 21 from this courthouse? 2.2 I'm about 30 minutes away. I don't know how many miles 23 that is.
 - - Okay. So about 30-minute drive to this courthouse?
 - Α Yeah.

24

25

Marie Wasilik - Direct Examination

- Q Okay. And as far as the remainder of the payment, there's a schedule -- a payment schedule that's been arranged --
 - A Right.

2.2

- Q -- correct?
- A Right. I -- I've been paid that 824 up front, and then there's pending payments as the time goes on.
- MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Right. Okay. All right. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And what are those? What are those payments? What does 824 get you?

THE WITNESS: That's for the beginning of a three-month stay. Bill Ferguson has paid up till the 27th of November.

THE COURT: Okay. And then after that, what's the payment schedule, how much a month?

THE WITNESS: Well, it seems like I've got -- it ends at the 27th, but I -- and the whole payment was something like a thousand, \$1400, but I've only got 825 of it. So as the time goes on, I get, you know, maybe October, I get -- I'll get another 300 and something. I haven't studied the details.

BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:

Q I believe -- is it -- you sent over a payment schedule that another payment was due in September, towards the end of September and another one towards the end of October? Does that sound correct?

A I think so.

Marie Wasilik - Direct Examination

- Q And the total is about \$1500 with the -- with the service fee --
 - A Right.
- Q -- for the Airbnb website --
- A Right.

1

2

3

4

5

- 6 Q -- that you market on?
- 7 A Right.
- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 THE COURT: And how much is it a month?
- 10 THE WITNESS: 1400 divided by three.
- 11 THE COURT: So about 466.66?
- 12 THE WITNESS: It's quite cheaper than -- it's around
- 13 \$15 a day.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. That's fair enough. Okay.
- 15 BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:
- 16 Q And is it a separate residence?
- 17 A It's a little mother-in-law apartment within my house.
- 18 It's got a kitchen, a bathroom, a bedroom with a trundle bed,
- 19 and a little living room.
- 20 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. Thank you. I have no further
- 21 questions. Thank you.
- 22 THE COURT: Thank you very much.
- 23 Anything from you, Ms. Davidson?
- MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: All right.
 - UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Marie Wasilik - Cross-Examination

CROSS-EXAMINATION

\sim	RY	MS.	DAVIDSON:	•
_		1.10		۰

1

3

4

5

6

7

- Q Ms. Tucci-Jarraf keeps talking about William Ferguson being one of her colleagues. Colleagues in what?
 - A I don't know.
- Q And what is your relationship with Mr. Bill Ferguson?
- A He's a friend of mine.
- 8 Q And do you have any prior relationship with
- 9 Ms. Tucci-Jarraf?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Do you also live at this residence?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q And so tell me exactly how this whole transaction occurred. Did Mr. Ferguson find out you had a room for rent?
- 15 A Right. He researched the Airbnb and found -- and was
 16 looking for a place to house Heather for three months.
- 17 Q And so you have no relationship with the defendant?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q So you would be simply a landlord?
- 20 A Correct. Yeah.
- 21 Q What does Mr. Ferguson do?
- A I think he's an IT guy, and he's getting his PhD in some kind of rocket space science.
- Q Do you have a job?
- 25 A No. I'm a retired mail carrier.

	Matte Wastilk Redifect Examination			
1	MS. DAVIDSON: May I have a minute, Your Honor?			
2	THE COURT: You may.			
3	MS. DAVIDSON: That's all I have. Thank you.			
4	THE COURT: All right. Anything further,			
5	Ms. Tucci-Jarraf?			
6	MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Just, Your Honor, that Mr. Lloyd has			
7	pulled up the actual receipt for the payment as well as the			
8	payment schedule from an actual Airbnb website that most people			
9	who rent their properties on			
10	THE COURT: Okay.			
11	MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: so that we do have an actual			
12	receipt			
13	THE COURT: All right.			
14	MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: that can be made available to			
15	THE COURT: I don't think anybody questions that she's			
16	been paid 824.			
17	MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. Just a matter to clean the			
18	record, I have one question for her.			
19	THE COURT: Sure. Sure. Absolutely.			
20	REDIRECT EXAMINATION			
21	BY MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:			
22	Q Just to clean up the record, you mentioned that			
23	Mr. Ferguson was getting his degree for rocket scientist. Is			
24	that perhaps what his daughter is getting who works for NASA?			
25	A $$ I was just reading his profile on the Airbnb, so I			
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			

1 maybe I was confused. 2 Okay. All right. Just any other -- was there any 3 other contact by any of my other colleagues to be able to check out the room or rent the room? It was just William Ferguson 4 5 that you spoke to? 6 Α Yeah. 7 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. Thank you. No further 8 questions. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 10 Anything else, Ms. Davidson? 11 MS. DAVIDSON: No, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wasilik. 13 can --14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: -- have a seat back in the audience. Thank 16 you, ma'am. 17 THE WITNESS: I got to pay my parking meter. 18 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. 19 THE COURT: All right. Do you have any other 20 witnesses? 21 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: If I might have just a second, Your 2.2 Honor. 23 THE COURT: Sure. Absolutely. 24 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: The only other thing that I would 25 like to ask the Court for permission is for my husband to hand UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Marie Wasilik - Redirect Examination

1 me my passport so that I can at least present that to the Court 2 showing that it's here so that if there is a recommendation for 3 surrendering it, to be able to have personal recognizance or to go by the probation's report, the Court knows that it's here. 4 5 THE COURT: I have no problem with that. But I just 6 ask him to hand it to the marshal. 7 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: And let the marshal hand it to Mr. Lloyd. 9 Mr. Lloyd can present it to the Court. 10 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. So Mr. Lloyd has the 11 passport. 12 Is that the only passport you have? THE COURT: 13 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: It is the only passport that I have. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: And I am willing to surrender that 16 for the duration of this case until disposition is made. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I also would like to confirm to the 19 Court that I would be staying -- if the Court agrees to this, 20 that I would be staying at the residence that has been offered 21 by witness testimony for the duration of this case until 2.2 disposition is made. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Part of the question, of course, the 24 Court would have is, who's going pay for the rest of the three 25 months, and who's going to pay after that? UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: As far as the rest of three months or if this case goes longer and we have to extend the stay, it will be myself. I plan on doing any kind of work that I'm able to once I get out. I have a -- I do have a lot of contacts, and most of my work is -- can be done at home, producing documents, et cetera, document data processing, as well as any of my former colleagues.

2.2

And when the State had asked about colleagues, these are people I've worked with in media. They're people I've worked with in -- on different industries such as medical, et cetera that have -- are in support of all this information coming out, and that I stay here for the duration of this case so that that information can come out, because it is --

THE COURT: Would it require you to travel outside of this area?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: No. No. I can stay here. I do not need to travel except for to visit with my attorney or to come to court.

THE COURT: All right. There's a gentleman in the back that raised his hand when I asked who was going to pay for all this.

And what's your name, sir?

MR. FERGUSON: William Ferguson.

THE COURT: Well, your name has been taken wouldn't say in vain, but it's been uttered here. Are you willing to

1 continue to pay the rent on Ms. Wasilik's room for 2 Ms. Tucci-Jarraf for the at least foreseeable future for, say, 3 the next six months? 4 MR. FERGUSON: Yes, sir. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MR. FERGUSON: I'm not a rocket scientist. 7 THE COURT: I'm not either. All right. Any other --8 if you'll hand that up, Mr. Lloyd. 9 MR. LLOYD: May I approach? 10 THE COURT: You may. 11 MR. LLOYD: For the record, I have shown the passport 12 to counsel for the government. 13 All right. If you'll just hand that to THE COURT: I don't really need to see it. They're the ones 14 probation. 15 that would secure it and maintain it. 16 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Any other witnesses that you would 18 want to call? 19 At this moment, Your Honor, I do not MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: 20 have any further witnesses nor evidence to present to this Court 21 in regards to the detention hearing matter. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Any further argument, Ms. Davidson? 23 MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, Your Honor. Just regard to the 24 residence. Your Honor, this is a -- all the defendant has 25 offered is that she has a resident available to her in Oak Ridge UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

prepaid by someone else. There's still no evidence that she would actually go there. She has that ability if she chooses to, but her family, her husband, her four kids all reside in a different district.

She still, with the exception of showing that she has an apartment available for her use if she chooses to use so, has not shown any ties to this district. And so we -- and we continue to point out that she does not believe that this Court has any jurisdiction over her.

Thank you, Your Honor.

2.2

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, do you have argument to make on behalf of yourself?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Yes, Your Honor. All that the government has offered assumes that I will not honor my obligations to this -- to bail or to Court or to the honor of the letter of the law that we are addressing in these matters. I have dedicated my life for 17 years to law, and I understand when there -- regardless of whether there's a disagreement or a different position as far as what law is applicable, I have always, always gone in to reconcile those matters in a very peaceful way and always honoring my obligations.

I have sworn to this Court under oath that I am going to be making these court appearances. You have already on the record that I did have a criminal matter that I had to attend to in Washington state, which I didn't have any failure to appears.

I've never failed to appear.

2.2

I have been a member of the bar system. I've been a member of the courts, an officer of the courts at one time. And I understand the implications as well as the importance of meeting these obligations.

So I have my word and my honor, and if the Court requires any further assurances that I will be making these court dates, other than just my word and my performance history, then I'm willing to hear those and then make comment on that as well. I've already surrendered my passport, so I'm not able to travel, and I don't have a license, so I'm not able to drive anywhere. I'm going to be living at that address until this Court -- again, this Court has resolved and disposed of this matter.

THE COURT: All right. So if I were to release you, you would need to sign the three-page order that we have that releases you on conditions and sets forth the conditions. Of course, we would provide you a copy of that, so you would know precisely what all the conditions are.

Are you agreeable to signing such a document?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I am agreeable to signing such a document.

THE COURT: It also provides that -- where you sign it and before you sign it that you promise to obey all the conditions of release and to appear as directed and to surrender UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 for service of any sentence that might be imposed. 2 Do you so agree? 3 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I do. 4 THE COURT: All right. Now, in this particular case, 5 the assistant United States attorney has indicated that you 6 don't have any prior residence here, and that all of your 7 contacts appear to be elsewhere. Where does your husband live? 8 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: My husband lives at the Lynn 9 address. 10 THE COURT: Lynn, Massachusetts. 11 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Lynn, Massachusetts, yes. 12 THE COURT: And he --MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: But he flies here for -- when we 13 14 can, if there's the money to afford him to fly, he will be here 15 at these hearings to support me. 16 THE COURT: And your four children, where do they live? 17 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: They live at the same address or 18 have lived at the same address, but due to this court case and since I'm -- was the primary caregiver, my sister is stepping in 19 20 to help with the children to be able to get them to school and 21 everything else, because Youssef works. So they will be staying 2.2 with her until this case is disposed of. THE COURT: Well, you said they lived at the same 23 24 address. They live in Lynn, Massachusetts? 25 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: They have lived in Lynn UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Massachusetts, I said, for the same amount of time I have. But just recently we've had to have them go to be with my sister and my father, so that they can do schooling, and Youssef is able to work to be able to support them, since I'm the primary caregiver for the children, to make sure that they get to school and take care -- because we have four minor children, as I told Ms. Smith.

THE COURT: Right. Where do they live?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: They right now are in transition over to -- they're in Washington state, have been for the last three weeks doing a vacation, but due to this case --

THE COURT: Where do they live?

2.2

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: In Gig Harbor, Washington.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you own any residence there?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: No. They are staying with my sister, and my father is close by to assist her.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Davidson also raised the specter of no employment, but you've indicated to me that you intend to try to secure some form of employment acknowledging that you'll have to stay in this area, even if you get employed.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Yes. The -- I had started a startup just prior to being picked up in DC. And that allows me -- that startup allows me to work from home and to do data processing or document creation, so I'm able to work from -- from the residency that Ms. Wasilik gave you.

THE COURT: So the tougher issue is that Ms. Davidson says that she doesn't -- you wouldn't believe that I have any authority over you, so you wouldn't submit to the orders of this Court because you don't believe I have any jurisdiction. So that if you don't believe I have any jurisdiction, then you do what you want, because I have no say-so.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: May I respond?

THE COURT: Please.

2.2

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: As I had said before, when there is a matter of jurisdiction or a matter where we don't agree on what law is applicable, I still have a long performance history of 17 years -- obviously, when we do the jurisdiction issue, there will be the matter of the fact that the United States, which is a federal corporation, was foreclosed, but yet I still travel with a passport, because that's what's required by customs agents, et cetera. I have followed all of the laws and regulations until such time as there is a disposition in that matter.

And, again, I have requested the hearing so we could do a disposition on -- or excuse me, a decision, determination on jurisdiction. If I just believe there's no jurisdiction, I would have just taken off without any regard, but that's not the case. I have highest regard for law, and this is a matter of just a conflict of law that we need to determine in a very peaceful and in a procedural way, which is what we're doing.

1 THE COURT: Right. And I'm going to give you a full 2 hearing on that issue on a separate day and time. But if I 3 release you, I need to know that until I make a ruling on that 4 issue, that you understand that your release is conditional 5 based on my order. 6 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: That I absolutely understand. 7 THE COURT: You have to understand that you have to submit to this release order. 8 9 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I -- I'm aware of that, I understand 10 that, and I agree to that until we are able to determine that. 11 THE COURT: And you have to understand that if you don't, then I will issue a warrant for your arrest and have you 12 13 brought in here and will go over the violation, which would probably result in you being locked up. 14 15 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I'm very aware of that. And after 16 the 30-day tour that the U.S. Marshals just gave me, I'm not looking to repeat that at all. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. If you abide by the rules and follow 19 my conditions, you won't have a single problem with that. 20 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I do accept your -- your rules at 21 this time to go -- to go forward with the determination. 2.2 then, I still will accept the determination as you make it on 23 that day. 24 THE COURT: All right. So I think what I'm hearing, 25 Ms. Davidson, is she at least accepts my authority for purposes

of her release, although she still retains and reserves the right to argue about the Court's overall jurisdiction, either over her or over the case or both. Is that a fair statement, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf?

2.2

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: That is a true and accurate and complete statement. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let me take just a couple minutes. I want to talk to probation about some specifics, and then I will come right back and give you my decision.

If Ms. Tucci-Jarraf needs to use the facilities or needs a break or anything like that, you can do that, otherwise, I don't anticipate my recess to be very long, so everybody can stay seated. If anybody else needs to go outside, do it now, because I'll be resuming probably in about the next five to seven minutes.

Okay. All right. Court stands in recess at this time.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. This honorable court stands in recess.

THE COURT: When we come back, I'm going to go over scheduling, so be ready for that irrespective. Okay?

(Recess from 12:01 p.m. to 12:09 p.m.)

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. This Court is again in session with the Honorable C. Clifford Shirley, Jr., United States Magistrate Judge, presiding.

Please come to order and be seated.

2.2

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, I have decided that I believe you would be a candidate for release, provided you agree to all these conditions and that you agree to sign the same.

Okay. So I want to go over real quickly with you just so you understand them.

You're not to violate federal, state, or local law while you're out on release. Whatever you do, you're not to break the law in any way, shape, form, or fashion, any law.

Do you understand that?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I do.

THE COURT: Do you agree to that?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I do.

THE COURT: Okay. Can you pull that down for her, Mr. Lloyd, just so she doesn't have to be so uncomfortable trying to speak into it?

Yes, ma'am?

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, just to be clear,

Ms. Tucci-Jarraf is not a licensed attorney and should not be

practicing law except with regard to herself. And if the United

States learns of any practice of law, we will bring it to the

Court's attention.

THE COURT: All right. Practicing law without a license is a violation of the law, and so you're not to do that.

1 Mr. Lloyd? 2 MR. LLOYD: I just couldn't resist the urge to respond, but I would like to. 3 4 MS. DAVIDSON: You're not the attorney. 5 MR. LLOYD: Every unauthorized practice of law is a 6 crime. 7 THE COURT: Whatever. We'll --8 MR. LLOYD: I hear the bell, Your Honor, and I start to 9 run out. 10 THE COURT: I understand. And just stay calm and carry 11 on. 12 Bottom line is, you're not to break the law. Okay. 13 there's a dispute over whether what you're doing violates the law, I may be the arbiter of that. I would stay away from that, 14 15 because you don't know what I would decide. 16 If I decide it is a violation of the law, then you get 17 locked up. If it's not a violation of the law, you stay out. 18 That's a pretty bad risk to run. So just stay away from anything that might be close. 19 20 Okay. You're to be living at the address you told me 21 and nowhere else. And if you were to change that address or 2.2 that phone, which you're not going to be allowed to do, unless 23 you get permission in advance. 24 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. 25 THE COURT: Will you have -- is there a land line there UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 at that residence? Do we know? 2 Ms. Wasilik, is there a land line phone? 3 MS. WASILIK: Yes, sir. There's a land line. I can't 4 hear on the cell phone. 5 THE COURT: That's okay. What is the phone number 6 there? 7 MS. WASILIK: (865) 482-2998. 8 THE COURT: All right. And does it have any extra 9 features on that phone, like Call Waiting, any of that? 10 MS. WASILIK: It's got Call Waiting. No. I don't 11 know. Yeah. It's got Caller ID. And I'm not sure if it's got Call Waiting. 12 13 THE COURT: All right. The reason I ask, one of the 14 conditions is going to be the electronic monitoring for 15 Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, and that requires a land line without any of 16 those features. Now, you can have one put in in the residence, 17 part of the residence that you're in. 18 MS. WASILIK: Uh-huh. 19 THE COURT: Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, where she's going to be 20 in, like the mother-in-law's quarters. 21 MS. WASILIK: Right. 2.2 If she can get a separate phone line put in THE COURT: 23 there, that's fine. 24 MS. WASILIK: Okay. 25 THE COURT: If not, we're going to have to use yours. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 MS. WASILIK: Okay. 2 THE COURT: And that will just mean that the phone 3 company will have to take those features off it. 4 MS. WASILIK: I see. 5 THE COURT: So that could be an inconvenience for you. 6 So, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, it may require you to get a 7 separate phone line. 8 Do you understand that? 9 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I do. 10 THE COURT: The electronic monitoring works off a land 11 line. 12 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: May I --13 MS. WASILIK: Can you have Call Waiting -- I mean, 14 Caller ID? 15 THE COURT: Yep. I think -- what do you -- is Caller 16 ID okay? 17 PROBATION OFFICER: It just needs to be a basic phone 18 line with no features. 19 THE COURT: Yeah. That's what I've understood. It has 20 to be a clean line. 21 MS. WASILIK: Okay. MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: May I just confer with Ms. Wasilik 2.2 23 to make sure it's okay to put an extra phone line in at my cost? 24 THE COURT: Would it be okay if she put another phone 25 line in at her cost? UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 With Airbnb, of course, most everybody MS. WASILIK: 2 uses their cell phone. If there's a place to put one. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. So that will be something 4 you'll want to do. Okay? 5 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. 6 THE COURT: Do you agree to that? 7 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I do. 8 THE COURT: Okay. You're also to obviously report to 9 court as required. I'm going to give you a motion day for 10 hearing your motions, and then we'll have a trial day for you to 11 also appear for your trial, if it gets that far. Okay? 12 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. 13 THE COURT: All right. You're also to be supervised by 14 a probation officer. I'm not sure who it will be, but it 15 doesn't matter. That person will contact you from time to time 16 and ask you to do certain things. It's incumbent upon you to do 17 them, whether it's appear at probation here or if it's to meet 18 them at your residence or anything, you must do whatever they 19 say. It's just like me telling you to do it. Okay? 20 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I'm familiar with that. 21 THE COURT: All right. If they -- they're under 2.2 instructions that if you don't, or if you're not there, they're 23 to pick up the phone and call me, and usually I just issue a warrant for your arrest. Okay? 24 25 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Got it.

THE COURT: Good.

2.2

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you.

THE COURT: You're to continue or actively seek employment. So I would like you to work. Be careful what you're working at. I wouldn't work at anything that might be the least bit questionable to the U.S. Attorney's Office as far as employment. And -- but I just think working would be a good thing for you.

And I tell everybody that will listen, that almost everybody that I have out on release that works never violates.

And almost everybody I let out that doesn't work violates.

So you're to surrender your passport. You've already done that. The other part of that coin is, you're not to obtain a new passport. Your travel is currently restricted to the Eastern District of Tennessee. Okay. So Mr. Lloyd can advise you of the parameters. It's basically East Tennessee. But if you needed to go somewhere, if you had a family emergency or something like that, the bottom line is, you have to seek permission before you go.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: And just to be clear --

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: -- as far as seeking permission --

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: -- do I contact the probation officer?

1 THE COURT: Yes. You will have a specific probation 2 supervising officer. You contact them. 3 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okav. 4 THE COURT: Generally, we grant permission without a 5 problem, provided we know a few things, where you're going, when 6 you're coming back, who you're going to be with, and why you're 7 going. 8 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. 9 THE COURT: Okay. If you don't get permission --10 here's the problem, if you don't get permission, then you get 11 arrested when you get there or you get arrested as soon as you 12 get back. So just get permission. Okay? It sounds like a bit 13 of a nuisance, but it's vital. 14 Do you understand that? 15 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I do. 16 THE COURT: Also with you being on electronic 17 monitoring, it will be incumbent, because the second you left, 18 it would look like you were a fleeing felon, okay, or something 19 like that. Do you know what I'm talking about? 20 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Yeah, I believe that was one of --21 THE COURT: So I don't want you to do that. Don't get 2.2 anybody upset. 23 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. 24 THE COURT: You're to avoid any contact with anybody 25 who might be a witness or a victim in this case or your

1 codefendant, Randall Beane. You're not to have any contact with 2 any of those people. So any of the people out at the facility, 3 wherever that was, I can't remember, they -- anybody that might be a witness or a victim or your codefendant. 4 5 Okay? Do you understand that? 6 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I do. 7 THE COURT: All right. You look like you're bothered. 8 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Well, no. I'm just -- I have a 9 question --10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: -- if I might. For preparation for 12 the case, because a lot of the testimony has to be gone over and 13 prepared for the case, how am I to do that if I can't have 14 contact with Randall Beane or his attorney? 15 THE COURT: Well, you can have contact with his 16 attorney. MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: And if he's pro se, then what do I 17 18 do? 19 THE COURT: Then we'll have to take that up. But at 20 this point, he's represented by an attorney. 21 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Right. It was just my understanding 2.2

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Right. It was just my understanding he has a hearing today to determine whether to fire that attorney and proceed pro se, so that I wanted to clear that with the Court before we go out of this courtroom and then I have to make a motion.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

23

24

25

1 THE COURT: When you go out of this courtroom, he 2 currently has an attorney, and he may still have an attorney 3 come tomorrow. 4 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. 5 THE COURT: Or he may not. But I have to deal with 6 what's happening right now at this moment. And right now at 7 this moment, you can't talk to him. 8 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Fair enough. I understand that. 9 THE COURT: If you both end up pro se, then you can 10 file a motion for contact and we'll have to take that up. 11 It would be probably -- Mr. Lloyd could help you, would probably 12 be a motion to modify conditions. Okay? 13 So you're not to have a firearm or any destructive 14 device or other weapon. Okay? That has nothing to do with your 15 rights to have a gun. It has to do everything with a probation 16 officer walks in where you're living, there's not to be a gun in there. Okay? 17 18 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I understand. 19 THE COURT: So you're not to excessively use alcohol 20 and you're not to unlawfully possess any narcotic drug or 21 controlled substance. You're not to have any controlled 2.2 substance unless you have a prescription from a licensed doctor. 23 Do you understand that? 24 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I do. 25 So I'm only going to -- I'm not going to UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

put you on home incarceration or home detention at this point.

I'm just going to give you a curfew, eight o'clock at night till eight in the morning, and then put you on electronic monitoring.

Okay. If you have problems doing that, I may have to up the detention. But for now, you can get permission to go where you need to go, and just be home by eight o'clock at night, and not leave before eight in the morning. Okay?

Is that -- if that works a hardship with you, you'll let Mr. Lloyd know, and he'll tell you how to contact us about changing that. Okay?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay.

2.2

THE COURT: But given your lifestyle and everything at this point, that sounds like it's pretty fair. Okay?

You're to submit to location monitoring. They'll set up the phone line with you. You're to report as soon as possible to your pretrial -- or probation officer if you were to be stopped by any law enforcement officer for any reason. Okay. So if anybody stops you, questions you, anything, you need to contact your probation officer first. Okay?

On the back page where you're going to sign, it provides that if you violate any of these conditions, what I've told you before, I will issue a warrant for your arrest, and potentially revoke your release.

It also advises you that if you were to commit another federal felony while you are out on release, it could be up to UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

an additional ten years in prison.

2.2

It also provides that if you were to obstruct a criminal investigation or if you were to retaliate or attempt to retaliate, tamper with or attempt to tamper with, intimidate or attempt to intimidate any witness, any victim, or any informant, that's another ten years in prison. Which is why I standardly, you know, put in there stay away from your codefendants, because you don't want to take a chance that somebody alleges, "Oh, she was trying to tamper with me," or "She was trying to intimidate me," or something like that. You don't -- that wouldn't work to your benefit.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I understand.

THE COURT: I don't have to decide who's telling the truth if you just stay away from them. But, invariably, people get together and somebody claims something, and the other person says no. With ten years of prison on the line, you'll have to make the decision about the risk in that.

So you understand all those?

 $\label{eq:MS.TUCCI-JARRAF: I'm aware and I understand it all.} \\$ Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. It says here, where you're going to sign, you acknowledge that you are the defendant in this case and you are aware of the conditions of release.

Is that correct?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: That's correct.

1 THE COURT: You will sign where it says, "I promise to 2 obey all conditions of release to appear as directed and 3 surrender to serve any sentence imposed." Is that correct? 4 5 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: That is correct. THE COURT: And, finally, it says, "I am aware of the 6 7 penalties and sanctions set forth above." Is that correct? 8 9 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: That is accurate and correct. 10 THE COURT: All right. If you'll hand that up to 11 Ms. Davidson first for review, and then over to Ms. Tucci-Jarraf 12 We'll have some -- a little more of the hearing to 13 conclude. And, Mr. Sanchez, where will she be released from? 14 15 U.S. MARSHAL: She will be released from Maloneyville, 16 Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. While you're looking at that --18 because you have been incarcerated, we need to have you 19 processed out of that facility, and I suspect you may have some 20 personal effects there as well. Most do. 21 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I was on a 30-day tour. 2.2 THE COURT: Anyway, bottom line is, they are going to 23 take you back to -- when he said Maloneyville, that's the 24 location of the facility, and then once they process you out, 25 give you clothes, you'll be able to be released from there.

1 So whoever is going to pick her up, we can give you 2 directions to Maloneyville. It's out far east and north 3 Knoxville, out by Three Ridges -- next door to Three Ridges Golf Course, out there, and she won't be released anytime soon 4 5 unfortunately. They don't -- and by that, I mean, like within 6 the next 30 minutes to an hour. They have to take some time to 7 do that. And we're sort of at their mercy as to when they get 8 around to that. But it will be today. And they will release 9 you today. 10 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: May I just make one request of this 11 Court? 12 THE COURT: Sure. 13 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: If they could write "forthwith" just 14 I know that, as you said, we're at the mercy of at the top. 15 them, but if you could put "forthwith" at the top of the release 16 order. 17 THE COURT: That order doesn't go to them. That order 18 is for you. They will be orally instructed to process you out. 19 Mr. Sanchez, if you will ask them to process her forthwith. 20 21 U.S. MARSHAL: Yes, Your Honor. 2.2 That the Court asked for that. Okay? THE COURT: 23 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. 24 THE COURT: That's the best I can do. 25 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you, Your Honor.

2 Mr. Lloyd? 3 MR. LLOYD: Your Honor, may I address a housekeeping issue? 4 5 THE COURT: Well, it depends. How housekeeping is it? 6 MR. LLOYD: Very much so, I believe. I'm sure the 7 defendant moves that the parties be allowed to retain the 8 pretrial services report given to the parties earlier during 9 this hearing or just before it. 10 THE COURT: What about that, Probation? Mr. Miller, start with you. 11 12 PROBATION OFFICER: That would be your decision, Your 13 Honor, since the pretrial report is property of the Court. THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to keep yours, 14 15 Ms. Davidson? 16 MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. That will be fine, Mr. Lloyd. 18 MR. LLOYD: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. 20 THE COURT: The pretrial services report for your 21 edification, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, is the Court's. So it's not for 2.2 public dissemination. It's a privilege sometimes that I give 23 lawyers the right to retain it. But I don't expect it to ever 24 be publicized. It's my report that probation prepares for me. 25 I share with the lawyers so we all are operating off the same UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1

THE COURT:

Uh-huh.

song sheet, so to speak, and that everybody has the same information and that everybody can have their due process. Okay?

2.2

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$ TUCCI-JARRAF: I'll accept that and honor that and won't publish it.

THE COURT: All right. So you've signed your name and you've added all this stuff again. "With full responsibility and liability," what does that -- how is that different than just signing your name? What does that change?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Just an express -- that's the signature I use so that if there's anything -- the without prejudice, because there's three there. With responsibility, liability, and without prejudice, meaning if there's something that's not in that contract that is applicable, but hasn't been told to me, so a disclosure of material fact, then it's -- then it can't prejudice me. However, everything that you've stated in there, I'm fully responsible and liable for agreeing to those terms.

THE COURT: Well, I don't really know what that means. But I can't assure you that any of that will have any legal significance just because you stuck it in there.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: It's okay.

THE COURT: Okay. I just wanted you to know that.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I accept that. It's your position.

THE COURT: All right. I will, though, approve with my

1 signature only your release. 2 Now, let's try to go quickly, because it's late. have a full afternoon docket. 3 4 Mr. Lloyd, when you were ostensibly representing her or 5 possibly representing her, you filed a number of motions. 6 first was a motion for leave to have a court reporter and a 7 videographer. 8 We have provided a court reporter here today. 9 Videographer would be denied. As I mentioned to the crowd 10 earlier, no video allowed in the courtroom. 11 Do you have anything further on that motion? 12 MR. LLOYD: No, Your Honor. 13 Second motion was a motion to correct the THE COURT: 14 minutes. You said that at this hearing the defendant stated 15 hers was a special appearance and that she was presenting as 16 self. 17 I have no idea what that means. That's legalese or 18 mumbo jumbo that means nothing. She either was here as Heather 19 Ann Tucci-Jarraf or she's not. 20 What's that mean? 21 MR. LLOYD: Your Honor, the defendant has adopted the 2.2 motions I necessarily filed --23 THE COURT: Yes. 24 MR. LLOYD: -- because I alone had access --25 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LLOYD: -- Sunday to the Court's filing system. It will, with the Court's leave, allow the defendant to speak to that.

THE COURT: All right. What's wrong with the minutes

as they -- as they appear? The minutes say, "The parties appeared for initial appearance and arraignment."

Do you agree with that?

2.2

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: It was -- as far as -- no, I do not. The actual record when he put in the minutes of the record, he stated I -- that it was noted exactly as I had said, that I had said that I was there by special appearance.

THE COURT: You're not here by special appearance.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: No. But at that time he said that it was being noted, and those are the exact words, so --

THE COURT: Well, he may have noted it, but --

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: -- it's just a correction to the minutes.

THE COURT: Well, the minutes aren't a transcript. It says that you were present for an initial appearance and arraignment. Did you do an initial appearance and arraignment on that date?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: No. I did an initial appearance. However, we reserved -- we made a reserve -- reservation to enter a plea of guilty or not guilty after the jurisdiction matter had been resolved.

1 THE COURT: So I saw that you put that in there, and I 2 checked with every person that was present, and they all said that you entered a plea of not guilty and reserved the right to 3 contest jurisdiction. 4 5 MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, Your Honor. That is exactly what 6 happened. I was here and --7 THE COURT: That's what the judge said, that's what the law clerk said, that's what the --8 9 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: If that is the case, I'm going to go 10 by the audio record on that, because I'd been up for 48 hours 11 and transferred from Georgia to here and then put into a 12 courtroom. So --13 THE COURT: All right. So for --MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: -- it is quite possible. 14 15 THE COURT: -- for purposes of clarification, which 16 plea do you enter, guilty or not guilty? 17 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Not guilty. 18 THE COURT: All right. So we'll make sure your plea is 19 noted at least as of today. 20 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. 21 THE COURT: It says you do not want appointed counsel 2.2 at that time and wish to represent yourself. 23 Is that true? 24 We covered that today already. 25 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Well, yeah. I think we've covered UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

that today.

2.2

THE COURT: Okay. Let's see. Your other complaint was you reserved whether to accept appointed counsel. That's -- that's what you said at that hearing. Right?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: That was at that time, yes.

THE COURT: All right. We resolved the guilty plea issue. Okay. Thank you.

So with regard to that motion, I'll basically deny it, based on what we've covered here today. The minutes speak for themselves.

The next is the motion for a continuance and extension of deadlines, Ms. Jarraf -- Ms. Tucci-Jarraf. I apologize. In this case, it says that you first appeared here on August 24th and trial is set in just over 30 days, October the 3rd.

Obviously, that's too quick for a trial, and you have every right to ask for a continuance. This motion does that.

This motion also asks to extend the pretrial deadlines. For example, how much time you have to do a motion and -- because actually the motion deadline has technically run, but we're going to give you some more time, don't worry, and to prepare adequately for trial. Is that correct?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Yes. Does that also include the discovery, because --

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. So for discovery and all UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 that, yes, I need some more time, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Correct. All right. So let's talk about 3 scheduling. Now, with regard to discovery, when can you get that over to Ms. Jarraf -- Tucci-Jarraf? 4 5 MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, seven days from this 6 hearing. 7 THE COURT: All right. And why don't we do this, why don't you be the liaison, Mr. Lloyd, so it's easy, so that 8 9 there's no contact between Ms. Davidson and Ms. Tucci-Jarraf. 10 She'll get the discovery to you, you get it promptly to 11 Ms. Tucci-Jarraf. Okay? 12 MR. LLOYD: I will do, Your Honor. 13 Thank you. Let's look at a new THE COURT: Okay. 14 trial date. What are we looking at, Rachel? 15 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: How far out did you want to go? 16 THE COURT: What are you thinking, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf? 17 How much time do you think you're going to need to get ready? 18 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: If I might just look at a calendar 19 real quick. 20 THE COURT: Sure. 21 Okay. Your Honor, with that -- to MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: 2.2 give us enough time to try this matter properly and reasonably, 23 I would ask that the Court look for dates the 4th of December or 24 after. 25 All right. Any objection to that time THE COURT: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

period?

2.2

MS. DAVIDSON: Not to that. Your Honor, my fall calendar is very full. I have a trial starting on November 28th that is expected to go. That should go about four days.

I think the earliest date that would fit in my calendar would be December 12th or in the month of January.

THE COURT: All right. Let's see if we got something the week of December 11th.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: The 12th does appear to be open.

THE COURT: December 12th is available. Is that okay?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: December 12th, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. December 12th is the trial date.

The only problem that presents is if the motion on jurisdiction is a motion to dismiss the indictment for lack of jurisdiction, we're going to need basically 90 days -- up to 90 days from the hearing on that. And the reason is that this Court can't rule definitively on that issue.

In other words, I issue a ruling, but if you don't like it or if the government doesn't like it, both of you get a chance to object to the district judge, who will ultimately try the case.

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, I would suggest a January
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

date, just because of this Court's calendar -- I mean, she's already notified us that she plans on filing a dispositive motion, and it would be better for the United States' calendar for it to be a January date.

THE COURT: Well, my point is, I don't want to give you too short a time to file that motion.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Right, right.

THE COURT: So if I give you enough time and then we set the hearing, then you'll need that additional time for me to rule.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay.

2.2

THE COURT: Then if you like my ruling, they probably won't, and if they like my ruling, you probably won't. So invariably, when I rule on a dispositive motion, somebody objects to it. Doesn't matter what it is. Because somebody doesn't like the fact they lost. And then the district judge will ultimately rule on that.

So I'm thinking, let's do it this way. How much time do you think it will take you to get that motion filed? Can you get it filed in the -- go ahead.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I would state that it would take -- well, I wanted to be able to see what the prosecutor has -- she said seven days from today she would be able to get that to me.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I would like to -- I can start

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 preparing the motion, obviously, this week. So I would say at least two weeks to be able to file that motion over to -- with 2 3 the Court and to get it over to -- because I still have to 4 accommodate, get to my accommodations. 5 THE COURT: How about if I give you the usual three 6 weeks and give you till -- a little bit longer --7 September 29th? 8 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. 9 THE COURT: That will give you all of September. 10 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: All right. 11 THE COURT: And then responses will be due by October 12 the 13th. And then I'm looking for a hearing -- motion hearing 13 date, let's see, October 18th or 19th. 14 Ms. Davidson, how are you on those two dates? 15 MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, the 18th is better for me. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Morning or afternoon? 17 MS. DAVIDSON: We should probably start this in the 18 morning. 19 THE COURT: All right. October 18th at 9:30. 20 Is that good for you, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf? 21 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Yes, sir. 2.2 THE COURT: Okay. And then so we need to set a trial 23 date sometime around January the 18th. So it won't be that much 24 longer. 25 What do we have in that time frame, Ms. Stone? UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 Probably January 22nd, 3rd, or 4th -- 24th, somewhere 2 that week? 3 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Uh-huh. Looks like January 23rd or 30th. 4 5 THE COURT: January 23rd good for you, Ms. Davidson? 6 MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: All right. January 23rd, 2018, 8 Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, is going to be your trial date. 9 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. 10 That will give us plenty of time to rule, THE COURT: 11 give you time or the government time to object, give the 12 district judge time to rule, and still give you time to prepare 13 for trial if it's going. Okay? 14 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Is there a specific time that that's 15 set for, or is that later that we set the --16 THE COURT: The motion hearing will be set at 9:30. 17 The trial will start at nine o'clock. 18 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Nine o'clock. Thank you. 19 THE COURT: And there will probably be a pretrial conference before then. 20 21 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Your Honor --2.2 THE COURT: Yes. 23 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: -- you said it's nine o'clock start 24 date on January 23rd. And I'm sure that I can probably get you 25 to modify any conditions, because it takes a half hour to get UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 here, and if I can't leave till eight in the morning. 2 THE COURT: Oh, sure. To come here, you can do that. 3 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. 4 THE COURT: But if you leave at eight, you can easily 5 get here by nine. 6 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I don't know. I'll figure it out. 7 But I'll let you know. 8 THE COURT: I mean, that's an hour. Doesn't take an 9 hour to get here from Oak Ridge. But if you need to leave 10 little early that day, that's fine. 11 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. 12 THE COURT: We'll certainly -- I'll modify that from 13 the bench right now. You can leave that day at 7:30. 14 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay. Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Okay. We're not trying to be difficult. 16 We're actually trying to be accommodating and at the same time 17 just have parameters, so I'm not -- I just know Judge Varlan 18 starts at nine, I'm pretty sure. 19 So -- all right. We need a plea cutoff deadline. 20 How about sometime December 20th, 21st, something like 21 that? 2.2 MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, Your Honor. I would typically ask 23 for 30 days, and that is good with the United States. 24 THE COURT: All right. Well, the 23rd would be on a 25 Saturday, so I'm going to give her till December 21st. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

What that means is you have till December 21st to accept any kind of plea that the government might offer you.

After that, we're going on to trial. Okay? That's not to say you have to or that you ought to. I'm just saying that's the deadline, so...

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I understand.

2.2

THE COURT: What else? Anything else?

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Did you set a pretrial conference?

I'm sorry, because I missed that part.

THE COURT: I'm not setting one at this time. After the motion hearings, there probably will be a pretrial conference. My guess is the district judge may want to do that. I'm thinking Judge Reeves did her own on the last pro se defendant case. Judge Varlan may. That's the only reason I'm not setting it. He may want me to do it. If he does, I will do it.

But, ordinarily, the lawyers know what we talk about at a pretrial conference. And usually we don't even have one. We just put down the standard order. And Mr. Lloyd can show you one of those.

But a lot of times when there's somebody representing themselves, we want to be sure everybody is on the same page, so that you're not thinking you're going to do X and it turns out you can't do X, you're going to do Y. So it helps to say, you know, here's what the rules are and here's how you do it. And UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

make sure anything you want to do, you run by the judge and find out if that's going to fly or not and just -- just little things.

Like in this Court, for example, when you approach the jury and you're talking, I have a little piece of white carpet down there. Can't cross over the white carpet. Okay? So that's the end of how close you can get. So just those little details. How to use the equipment, things like that. How to pick a jury, those kind of things.

Okay. So those are the kind of things that we generally cover with somebody pro se like yourself, who wouldn't know that -- ordinarily how we operate here in this Court.

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you.

2.2

THE COURT: But I'm not going to set it at this time.

Or do you think I need to? Yeah. If we're going to set one,

we'll set it at the motion hearing. Between now and then, I'll

ask Judge Varlan probably what he prefers to do. Okay?

All right. Anything else we need to schedule that you can think of?

Anything else, Rachel, that we need to schedule for the order?

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I don't think so.

THE COURT: Ms. Davidson, anything else you want to schedule?

MS. DAVIDSON: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 THE COURT: Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, anything you want to 2 schedule? 3 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Nothing that I can think of at this 4 time, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Okay. So make sure you write down for sure 6 that your motion hearing is October 18th at 9:30. That's the 7 next time I will see you, and when you're required by your 8 release order to be here. All right? 9 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: I understand. 10 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Any reciprocal discovery? 11 that matter? 12 THE COURT: Do what? 13 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Sorry. Reciprocal discovery, if 14 that matters. 15 THE COURT: Yeah. That's the same as the motion 16 hearing at this point. 17 Reciprocal discovery, if you can explain that to her, 18 Mr. Lloyd. 19 The government is going to give you their discovery in 20 seven days. There's a provision in the rules that talks about 21 the defendant's discovery to the government, and it's called 2.2 reciprocal discovery. And so your deadline won't be till the 23 motion hearing, October the 18th. And you can read the rule 24 yourself and see what it obligates you to provide, if anything. 25 And Mr. Lloyd can advise you as to where that rule is

1 as well. Okay? 2 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. So when you file your motion for 4 jurisdiction, I would anticipate that at least part of your 5 argument will involve the voluminous UCC filings that have been 6 made both on your behalf and your codefendant's behalf. You do 7 not need to refile them. We have both sets. We don't need a third set or a fourth set. You may reference them by any way 8 9 you want to, but, please, don't file that volume again. 10 Now, the only problem with that is, I actually struck 11 the filing before, didn't I? Okay. We actually let the 12 documents in. Just you and Mr. Beane both had somebody file 13 something on your behalf alleging they were noting your 14 appearance. Nobody can do that. People just can't appear for 15 other people. That's just not allowed. So it's probably well 16 intended, but it's just not legal. 17 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: So just to be clear --18 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 19 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: -- the record still has the --20 THE COURT: All the UCC filings, yes. 21 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: -- the actual filings in my case. 2.2 Okay. 23 THE COURT: And your trust documents and all such

Thank you.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MS. TUCCI-JARRAF:

24

25

things.

1	THE COURT: And you can feel free to reference them in
2	your motion.
3	MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay.
4	THE COURT: And any brief that goes with your motion.
5	MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Okay.
6	THE COURT: Okay?
7	MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you.
8	THE COURT: But you don't want to I'm just giving
9	you permission to not file the whole package again.
10	MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Understood. Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Anything else, Ms. Davidson?
12	MS. DAVIDSON: No, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Anything else, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf?
14	MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: No, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Lloyd?
16	MR. LLOYD: Your Honor, will the Court direct the clerk
17	show me on the digital docket as standby or elbow counsel so
18	that I will continue to receive copies of what's filed?
19	THE COURT: I would assume that's done as a matter of
20	course.
21	Is that correct, Ms. Stone?
22	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I believe so, but I'll make
23	sure.
24	THE COURT: We'll make sure it's done.
25	MR. LLOYD: Thank you.
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 THE COURT: We wouldn't you want to miss anything. 2 MR. LLOYD: Wouldn't want to miss anything. 3 THE COURT: All right. There being nothing further, 4 let me commend the crowd out there, by the way. I told 5 everybody you'd be fine, and you have been. Thank you very much. 6 7 And, Ms. Tucci-Jarraf, as soon as they can get you released from the facility, they will. Somebody will need to be 8 9 out there to pick you up, but I assume that somebody's already 10 made arrangements for that. 11 Good luck. 12 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. 13 THE COURT: And I shall see you on October 18th, and 14 we'll read your filings between now and then. All right. 15 MS. TUCCI-JARRAF: Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Stand in recess until 2:30? 16 17 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes. THE COURT: 2:30. 18 19 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. This honorable court stands in recess. 20 21 (Proceedings adjourned at 12:50 p.m.) 2.2 23 24 25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2 STATE OF TENNESSEE

COUNTY OF KNOX

I, Rebekah M. Lockwood, RPR, CRR, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings; and that the foregoing pages constitute a true and complete computer-aided transcription of my original stenographic notes to the best of my knowledge, skill, and ability.

I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand at Knoxville, Knox County, Tennessee this 5th day of September, 2017.

REBEKAH M. LOCKWOOD, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter United States District Court Eastern District of Tennessee