

*\*Original Instrument\**

*In its entirety, duly rejected for due cause, without dishonor, backis due verification and validation, with signature and seal, of presenter's due: 1. Identification; 2. Authority; 3. Authorization; and, 4. Indorsement.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

*Received 12, 2017*  
*Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf*

*See also:*  
*Wat's ref #'s: 18, 43, 48, 49, 52, 53,*  
*54, 55, 56, 64, 65, and 66.*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
RKB #s: 19, 19, 42, 45, 50, 51, 52, 57 )  
Plaintiff, )  
*all restated and* )  
*Incorporated by reference as if set forth in full.* )

v. )

RANDALL KEITH BEANE, and )  
HEATHER ANN TUCCI-JARRAF, )  
Defendants. )

Nos.: 3:17-CR-82-TAV-CCS-1  
3:17-CR-82-TAV-CCS-2

FILED  
2017 DEC 13 P 3:11  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
DEPT. CLERK

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

This criminal matter is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (the "R&R") entered by United States Magistrate Judge C. Clifford Shirley, Jr., on November 16, 2017 [Doc. 62]. In the R&R, Magistrate Judge Shirley recommends that the Court deny the defendants' motion to dismiss the indictment [Doc. 43] and deny the defendants' many supplemental filings purporting to void the indictment and other parts of the record [Docs. 42, 45, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57]. On November 30, defendant Tucci-Jarraf filed a *pro se* document which the Court construes as raising objections to the R&R [Doc. 65]. Then, on December 1, defendant Tucci-Jarraf filed a "Declaration of Receipt, No Receipt, and Service," which the Court also construes as raising objections to the R&R [Doc. 66].<sup>1</sup> Defendant Beane has moved to join both of defendant Tucci-Jarraf's filings,

<sup>1</sup> In this filing, defendant Tucci-Jarraf asserts that she was served with a copy of the R&R on November 17, 2017 [Doc. 66 p. 1]. If so, then both documents were properly filed within the fourteen-day window for objections to the R&R. See Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b)(2). The Court assumes this to be the case for purpose of this opinion.

*Pg. 1 of 11*