

The Trayvon Martin/George Zimmerman Case

A reflection of the state of being that resides within and without our Kangaroo Courts formally known to the people as the 'justice system'

Part I

Truth is the New Black

- Why Ignorance is NOT Bliss in the Land of Legalese; Our fraudulent contractual history -

Part II

A Reconciliation of Conscientiousness

- The One People; a New Paradigm -

Tiny Ending

Moments before
I hit the ground
I remember that
Life
Is not so
Hard.....

Katrina Phillips, poet

-The Truth shall set you Free-

It is with deep respect and reverence for Absolute Truth that I write this article regarding the court room tragedy of the Trayvon Martin/George Zimmerman Case. I invite you all to put your hand over your heart and listen to the pulse of life that keeps you alive; this is your inner guidance speaking to you, and telling you what information resonates and what does not resonate from within. This is our true authenticity meter or bullshit meter as some like to call it. It will not lie; it only tells the truth.

I have notice that when topics like this one of the Trayvon Martian Case come up around the proverbial dinner table that emotions can become inflamed and arguments happen which could potentially create huge chasms between friends and family – essentially – people walk away feeling more divided than united. I am not here to argue with anyone, this piece clearly reflects my opinion and undisputable facts. In the event that I may come across as what may be misconstrued as insulting, I would rather have you assume that maybe my writing style does not bare the mark of elegance such as great story-weavers as Maya Angelou or Harper Lee. So I preface this piece with most sincere apologies if you feel that my wording has the tendency to feel as though you have been hit with a sledge hammer wrapped in a velvet cloth, as my intention is only to tell the truth, and nothing but the truth to unite us within absolute truth.

Preface

I have written this essay within the scope of the Martin/Zimmerman court case –only- . Part I: This piece covers the case, the time-line of our **contractual proven history** that fraudulently bonded us, unknowingly, to corporate governments/justice courts and their fraudulent ‘Heads of State’. Part II: concerns a new governance, a new freedom, within the scope of the Martin/Zimmerman case; please refer to the **zip drive to access more information regarding particular controversies that pertain to your circumstances**... i.e mortgages/student loans/credit card ‘loans’: all loans issued by institutions are fraudulent, parking or moving violation tickets: all tickets issued by the city are fraudulent, IRS: all income tax is fraudulent, etc....so on and so on.... If you cannot retrieve the zip drive information, per your request, I will resend it to you. ALL questions can be answered in all the information I have sent. If you care about your freedom and your children’s freedom, then read on, if slavery of you and your children do not bother you, stop reading here.

Truth is the New Black

Why Ignorance is NOT Bliss in the Land of Legalese; Our fraudulent contractual history, Part I

What is freedom to you? Is it defined by our constitution? Is it defined by our Bill of Rights? Is it defined by our borders? Is it defined by wealth? Is it defined by what you wear or what you drive? Is freedom defined by mobility or traveling? Is it defined by youth? Is it defined by the monetary system? Is it

defined by our military? Is it defined by our courts? Is it defined by our politicians? Is it defined by our president? Is it defined by your career? Is it defined by the taxing/fee municipality systems? Is it defined by our Police? Is it defined by education and knowledge? Is it defined by legislation? Is it defined by Statutes, Codes, and Acts? Is it defined by our laws?

What does freedom mean to you, and do you think you are living freedom to its fullest extent? Are you limitless? Can you even imagine what limitless freedom feels or looks like in your life?

"The cure for boredom is curiosity. There is no cure for curiosity." Dorothy Parker

People are doing one of two things in your life-

- 1) They are either supporting you
- 2) Or they are tearing you down

Yes- it really is that simple. I am grateful for the experience I had while working in bank trade and finance and being the stubborn (*my dad's definition*) /determined (*my definition*) person that I am ☺, I learned the hard way. This industry taught me a great lesson, to not suffer fools gladly.... And it's not that I don't anymore, it's that I just can't - there is no more space I can provide in my state of being to waste your time or my time.

Authenticity within relationships is the key element that gives ones relationships meaning; I trust you that I can express to you my truth, my observations, my love, and my life- and I trust you TO BE who you are. I trust that you know that I love you, that I respect you, and that I support you. Embrace your true power - you are all family on earth - you are all family in light, and love, through Prime Creator and **you are perfect**. It is my honor and integrity that compels me to bring this information forward to my brothers and sisters of the Universe and with honor and integrity, I trust, it is received.

"The world is a dangerous place to live; not because of the people who are evil, but because of the people who don't do anything about it." Albert Einstein

I am sure you all are familiar with the Zimmerman/Martin case. In Florida, during the month of February 2012, a teenage boy of 17 years of age (Martin), was pursued by a 28 year old man (Zimmerman) with a gun, in a neighborhood that Zimmerman felt Martin didn't belong even though Martin was in fact staying there at his father's fiancée's home in the gated community. After an altercation between Martin and Zimmerman, Martin armed with the deadly weapon known as skittles, the candy, was shot at point blank range by Zimmerman, and upon arrival of medics, pronounced dead.

As you already know, Zimmerman was found not guilty... but why??..... Zimmerman's attorney's said it was self-defense, however what the jurors are saying the real culprit is the alleged Stand Your Ground 'Law'.....

What 'law' is this that would allow a teenage boy to be actively pursued in his neighborhood by a man who is armed with a deadly weapon with no legal authority, to be shot and left for dead not but 30 steps from home?

Let's see what Wikipedia has to say about this:

"A **stand-your-ground law** is a type of self-defense law that gives individuals [the right to use reasonable force](#) to defend themselves without any requirement to evade or retreat from a dangerous situation. It is law in certain jurisdictions within the United States. [The basis may lie in either statutory law and or common law precedents](#). One key distinction is whether the concept only applies to defending a home or vehicle, or whether it applies to all lawfully occupied locations. Under these legal concepts, a person is justified in using deadly force in certain situations and the "stand your ground" law would be a defense or immunity to criminal charges and civil suit....

Stand your ground" governs U.S. federal case law in which right of self-defense is asserted against a charge of criminal homicide. The Supreme Court of the United States ruled in *Beard v. U.S.* (158 U.S. 550 (1895)) that a man who was "on his premises" when he came under attack and "...did not provoke the assault, and had at the time reasonable grounds to believe, and in good faith believed, that the deceased intended to take his life, or do him great bodily harm...was not obliged to retreat, nor to consider whether he could safely retreat, but was entitled to stand his ground...."

"... In a July 16, 2013 speech in the wake of the jury verdict [acquitting George Zimmerman of charges](#) stemming from the shooting death of [Trayvon Martin](#), Attorney General [Eric Holder](#) criticized stand-your-ground laws, saying they "senselessly expand the concept of self-defense and sow dangerous conflict in our neighborhoods."^[44] The relevance of the stand-your-ground provision of the self-defense law to the Zimmerman case has been questioned, however, because Zimmerman claimed he was restrained at the time of the shooting and had no option to retreat.^[45] While George Zimmerman and his defense team did not use the "Stand your ground" defense during their trial and instead opted to use "Self Defense" as their official defense,^[46] [Circuit Judge Debra Nelson's instructions to the jury](#) included the statement that he had **no duty to retreat** as per Florida's stand-your-ground law.^[47]"

What does the Florida Statutes say about Stand your ground 'Law'?

"The 2012 Florida Statutes

Title XLVI
CRIMES

Chapter 776
JUSTIFIABLE USE OF FORCE

[View Entire Chapter](#)

776.013 Home protection; use of deadly force; presumption of fear of death or great bodily harm. —

(1) A person is presumed to have held a reasonable fear of imminent peril of death or great bodily harm to himself or herself or another when using defensive force that is intended or likely to cause death or great bodily harm to another if:

(a) The person against whom the defensive force was used was in the process of unlawfully and forcefully entering, or had unlawfully and forcibly entered, a dwelling, residence, or occupied vehicle, or if that person had removed or was attempting to remove another against that person's will from the dwelling, residence, or occupied vehicle; and

(b) The person who uses defensive force knew or had reason to believe that an unlawful and forcible entry or unlawful and forcible act was occurring or had occurred..."

....(3) [A person who is not engaged in an unlawful activity and who is attacked in any other place where he or she has a right to be has no duty to retreat and has the right to stand his or her ground and meet force with force, including deadly force if he or she reasonably believes it is necessary to do so to prevent death or great bodily harm to himself or herself or another or to prevent the commission of a forcible felony.](#)

(4) A person who unlawfully and by force enters or attempts to enter a person's dwelling, residence, or occupied vehicle is presumed to be doing so with the intent to commit an unlawful act involving force or violence...." http://www.leg.state.fl.us/statutes/index.cfm?App_mode=Display_Statute&Search_String&URL=0700-0799/0776/Sections/0776.013.html

Here is the interview one juror regarding the guilt that she felt by following the letter of the 'law'.

Her words from an ABC interview:

"The only minority on the all-female jury that voted to acquit George Zimmerman said today that Zimmerman "got away with murder" for killing Trayvon Martin and feels she owes an apology Martin's parents.

You can't put the man in jail even though in our hearts we felt he was guilty," said the woman who was identified only as Juror B29 during the trial. "But we had to grab our hearts and put it aside and look at the evidence."

She said the jury was following Florida law and the evidence, she said, did not prove murder..."

Interview link: <http://abcnews.go.com/US/george-zimmerman-juror-murder/story?id=19770659>

What is the evidence? None other than photos to prove it; Zimmerman had a broken nose, cuts on his face, and cuts to the back of his head. (*Zimmerman's defense use the 'sidewalk' as Martin's deadly weapon*)

These photos/evidence are interesting in light of the fact that when Zimmerman was picked up 35 minutes after he shot Martin... there was NO POLICE SURVEILLANCE VIDEO's or PHOTO'S that shows Zimmerman was attacked by Martin. There was no blood running from his nose, no cuts on his face and no cuts on the back of his head.

Here is the ABC link: <http://abcnews.go.com/WN/trayvon-martin-case-exclusive-surveillance-video-george-zimmerman/story?id=16022897#.T3RKxexSSfN>

"...In the video an officer is seen pausing to look at the back of Zimmerman's head, but no abrasions or blood can be seen in the video and he (Zimmerman) did not check into the emergency room following the police questioning..."

In much more revealing information as come out regarding jury tampering:

"New Accusations Against Zimmerman Jurors As Sheriffs Office Admits It Allowed Unsupervised Access..."

"...In a statement by the Sheriff's office, this was elaborated upon:

'Jurors watched television and movies, exercised at the hotel fitness center, and spent weekends being visited by family and friends.'

Hold on a second. The Sheriff's office did *not* take them away from their families, they had access to them over the weekend! However, they were carefully monitored to prevent jury tampering at least, right? To verify this statement, Dr. Mark Bear contacted them, telling us:

'Just verified with Heather Smith, from the Seminole County Sheriff's Office at 407-474-6259. She states, "Generally speaking, jurors serving on the Zimmerman trial were afforded two hours of visiting privileges with family or friends each weekend." I asked what she meant by generally speaking," and she states, "there were more opportunities afforded jurors but not all took advantage." '

So, these visits were unsupervised. WFTV has dug into these visits, and what they found calls into question the verdict. As WFTV's legal analyst, Bill Sheaffer, points out:

'It only takes two seconds for an inappropriate comment to be made to a juror by a family member inadvertently or otherwise to possibly affect the verdict, how they look at the case.'

And, it turns out, there is evidence to find that jury tampering did happen, as Juror B37 discussed in her aborted book deal:

'The potential book was always intended to be a respectful observation of the trial from my and my husband's perspectives...

Her husband holding a perspective strong enough to write a book on the subject, given unsupervised access during the trial to his wife on the jury. Juror B37 has also admitted that the decision was reached with information not presented at the trial itself.' <http://www.addictinginfo.org/2013/07/20/new-accusations-against-zimmerman-jurors-as-sheriffs-office-admits-it-allowed-unsupervised-access/>

It turns out, that Juror B37's husband is an Attorney; So, she and her attorney husband were going to be writing a book from 'their perspective' with information that was NOT presented at the trial so that we, the public, can help them profit off of the decision that THEY MADE to find Zimmerman innocent; Interesting... does it not seem a bit strange that her husband, who was not a juror, who did not listen to the arguments of the prosecuting or defending attorney's, and who was not there when all evidence was put forth to the court, is helping his wife make decisions based on circumstantial evidence OUTSIDE of the court?

WHY??

Why does her husband have any say in this matter? Why was her husband talking with her about this case? What is their motive? What do they have to gain, or what have they gained by acquitting Zimmerman? Should we take a look at their financial accounts, on-shore and off-shore? Where are they working now? Who are they working for? What contractual deals are they closing now, and if that is happening, then who (what corporations) is funding these contractual deals? Has there been any transfer of currency to them, their family, their kids, their friends??

I am not saying there were any back door deals made, but what I am saying is this amount of information raises enough questions that it behooves us to dig deeper to SEE if any back door deals were, indeed, made. It seemed like her husband was really involved in HER decision, then next question would be, how does that BENEFIT THEM?

What are the characteristics of the Zimmerman's, who is he and his wife, how do they conduct themselves when in court?

"George Zimmerman's Wife Arrested: Shellie Zimmerman Charged With Perjury"

"ORLANDO, Fla. — The wife of Trayvon Martin's shooter was charged with perjury Tuesday, accused of lying when she told a judge that the couple had limited funds during a hearing that resulted in her husband being released on \$150,000 bond.

Shellie Zimmerman, 25, was released on \$1,000 bond on the third-degree felony that is punishable by up to five years in prison and a \$5,000 fine...." http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/06/12/shellie-zimmerman-arrested-charged-perjury_n_1591156.html

"...Shellie was charged with perjury for claiming under oath that they were broke — it turned out her husband's legal defense fund had collected more than \$100,000 via a PayPal account — and is facing fines and prison time..." <http://nation.time.com/2013/02/26/trayvon-martin-one-year-later-where-we-are-now/slide/shellie-zimmerman/>

"...Shellie Zimmerman and her husband were caught on a police surveillance tape speaking to each other in code (i.e.- they referred to paypal as "peter pan") regarding how much money they had and where to stash it so that they would not have to put up the bail money."

Shellie, his wife, has been charged with lying to the court and has been currently found guilty.

Would you like to review the whole-time line of the events, follow this link: Trayvon Martin Shooting Facts: <http://www.cnn.com/2013/06/05/us/trayvon-martin-shooting-fast-facts>

I am sure all of you have your own opinions regarding the Zimmerman trial, however, upon reviewing the above data provided above - Do you feel as though absolute truth as been afford to the parents of the boy who was murdered? Did the jurors receive absolute data in the courtroom? Do you think the parents of the damaged/dead boy received remedy or recourse? If you could imagine that you were the one of the parents that suffered this tragedy, do you think justice was served?

Remember, people are doing one of two things in this world, supporting you or not supporting you

Do you feel supported by justice in our court system?

Trayvon Martin did not die in vain. Fortuitously, this case has been watched by the world. This case has shown the world the corruption and the fraud that has been perpetuated in our court system since Common Law has been usurped by treasonous Acts and Legislation in our alleged and purported, and currently foreclosed upon governments.

When there is no lawful recourse or remedy available to the people, all jurisdictional justice systems operating continentally from within, render themselves as invalid, illegitimate, fraudulent, criminal, and unlawful.

-I stand on the shoulders of Giants-

Thank you to all that have come before me and gifted the human race with your research, I humbly bow with gratitude, honor and love.

Had Zimmerman been tried under Common Law, Martin's Family could have seen justice, remedy, and recourse.

What is Common Law, you ask?

Common Law:

1. the system of law originating in England, as distinct from the civil or Roman law and the Canon or Ecclesiastical law.
2. the unwritten law, especially of England, based on custom or court decision, as distinct from statute law.
3. the law administered through the system of courts established for the purpose, as distinct from equity or admiralty.

Pure common law:

arising from the traditional and inherent authority of courts to define the law, even in the absence of an underlying statute. Examples include most pre-20th Century criminal law and procedural law, plus most modern contract law and the law of torts.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Common_law

It is important to understand that common law is the older and more traditional source of law, and legislative power is simply a layer applied **on top** of the older common law foundation.

Three (3) basic rules to follow, not in a particular order and common for everyone to understand and "stand under":

- 1) Do not breach your contracts.
- 2) Do no harm to others or their property (s)
- 3) Have no intent (whether through a 3rd party or not) to do harm to a person or their property (s).

So what is the problem? Why don't we still have Common Law in our courts? It seems simple enough, easier to comprehend! Hmmmm... We would need to take a look at the past to determine where we are legal/lawfully in the present.

We must first determine what is De Jure vs. De Facto and **why** this distinction is important.

De Jure: [Latin, In law.] Legitimate; lawful, as a **Matter of Law.** Having complied with all the requirements imposed by law

De facto: [Latin, not in law] *adv.* 1. in fact; in reality. *adj.* 2. actually existing, esp. **without lawful authority, illegitimate.**

To be even more clear:

To live in a De Jure state means that you are under the jurisdiction of a legitimate law

To live in a De Facto state means that you are under the jurisdiction of the “color of the law”- which means it looks like the law- but it is NOT the law, it is legalese; it is NOT legitimate law and has no lawful authority.

We had been currently living in a De Facto UNITED STATES, INC, which means this, we are not living under the true laws; we have been living under legislation (which is illegitimate force). Legislation was CREATED by attorneys and their principals to collect fees, to manipulate the commerce market, and to manipulate the courts, to manipulate the money markets, and to manipulate and manage the ‘people’, known to the banks as: “the product/human-capital/creditor/debtor” (human beings).

Not all attorneys and judges have horns coming out of their heads, or pitched fork tails... there are some out there that are using their ‘powers for good’ and working within the system to make changes; I honor and support those that continue to serve equality, justice, and truth.

However, looking at the over-all picture when looking at attorneys, judges and court officers, you have to ask yourself this question: why is it that attorneys, and judges all speak a different language called legalese when THAT IS NOT NECESSARY since we the commoners speak common English and THEY speak common English as well?

We all speak English, and understand common words by Webster dictionary, and in the event that it IS necessary why aren’t we, the commoners, taught how to speak the preferred and used legalese/law language in court?

The courts and their officers (*attorney’s are officers of the court*) use dictionaries such as Bouvier’s Law dictionary or Black’s Law dictionary as their understanding of what certain words mean that very much differ from our common Webster’s dictionary.

Let me give you some examples:

Example number 1:

Quick Video: Black’s Law Dictionary defining person, artificial person, and natural person:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vD8ISilfgW4>

Example number 2:

MONSTER: physiology, **persons**. An animal which has a conformation contrary to the order of nature. Dunglison’s Human Physiol. vol. 2, p. 422 *Bouvier Law dictionary*

Human Being: is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, **Monster: is defined as “a human being by birth**, but in some part resembling a lower animal.” *Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389*

Monster: is defined as “a **person** so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” *Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879-880*

Human Being: is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461,

Unregenerate: means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute." *Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901*

Humanitarianism: is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid." *Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657*

Humanitarian: is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity;...." *Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928,*

Humanism: is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God." *Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism*

Person: "In law, man and person are not exactly-synonymous terms." *Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856, 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.*

Person: "It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person." *1 Bl. Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.*

Person: In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labor organizations, partnerships, associations, **corporations**, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers. *Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition The Scope and delineation of term is necessary for determining to whom Fourteenth Amendment of Constitution affords protection since this Amendment expressly applies to "person".*

Private Person: "An individual who is not the incumbent of an office." *Black's Law Dictionary (4th ed. 1957), p.1359.*

Aliens: Aliens are "**persons**" within meaning of Fourteenth Amendment . . . *Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition*

Citizenship: implies incorporation (corporate citizen). Incorporation into what? To the United States, which is defined as a Federal Corporation in 28 U.S.C. 3002 (15).)

"A person may be a citizen for commercial purposes and not political purposes." *7 Md. 209.* [This makes merchandise of us]

Citizen: "A native or naturalized member of a state or nation who owes allegiance to its government and is entitled to its protection. A civilian..." *Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1992, page 248.*

Slave: A person who is wholly subject to the will of another; one who has no freedom of action, but whose person and services are wholly under the control of another. One who is under the power of a master, and who belongs to him; so that the master may sell and dispose of his person, of his industry, and of his labor, without his being able to do anything, have anything, or acquire anything, but what must belong to his master. (Black's Law Dictionary - 6th Edition)

Corporation: A corporation is a "person" within meaning of Fourteenth Amendment . . ."
Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition

Government: Derived from the Latin verb, Guverno, Guvernare, meaning "to control" and the Latin noun, mens or mentis, meaning "mind" – translation: "to control the mind"

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject spiritually and a relationship with Source (or God, whatever you are comfortable calling Prime Creator)

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' And also define you as the "slave/citizen" since you have been at birth incorporated through your birth certificate into a corporation fiction under 'mind control'.

Take a close look at your driver's license, credit cards, birth certificate, social security card, etc., they are in all capital letters and you are assigned a filing number, just like when one incorporates a company. The legal jurisdiction can legally use you/persons for commercial purposes such as the buying and selling of your slave papers (bonds), called treasury notes/treasure bills.

You "the person" have been owned by trusts, through contracts, treaties written by purported governments and purported churches to manage the people, to manage the people's labor, and to manage their energy within a spider-web trap of a system called legalese language in courts through the tools known to us as 'fiat money' that is meant to enslave you and force you to comply their will and bidding.

Prove it, you say!!!! And I have already taken the challenge on, as I have proven it to myself... and this is what I have found, however, if you are a truth-seeker such as I am, you will prove it to yourself, **which I encourage.**

What is an "Officer of the Court"... here is a detail you do NOT want to miss:

Officer of the court: n. any person who has an obligation to promote justice and effective operation of the judicial system, including judges, the attorneys who appear in court, bailiffs, clerks, and other personnel. As officers of the court lawyers have an absolute ethical duty to tell judges the truth, including avoiding dishonesty or evasion about reasons the attorney or his/her client is not appearing, the location of documents and other matters related to conduct of the courts. <http://legal-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/officer+of+the+court>

What is "effective operation" of the judicial system? Whose operation is it? And could the word 'operation' have more than one meaning to the public side and another to the private side?

There are two sides to this society we live in – one is public and one is private- look it up.

What about "promoting justice"? Are the officers only advertising/marketing this to the public? If it were really about justice- and not advertising- then don't you think you would have witnessed in the last five years more than ONE – if not many banksters being charged and tried for stealing property (the corporal

body, the labor, and the material property), committing fraud within the banking system, manipulation of ALL money markets and commodities (bonds, fiat tender, gold, silver, and oil, gas, precious gems, etc), manipulation of all stock markets, manipulation of statutes and codes, money laundering, usury, aiding and abetting the enemy, treason, legal human trafficking, and war crimes against humanity, to name a few....

Only one bankster that I know of was finally convicted by the SEC, and has been brought to trial for criminal charges.

Last August 2013, all bankers are immune to prosecution for the crimes they committed to humanity and do not have to be tried in a court of law... sorry, I mean, a court of 'legalese'.

Just who do the courts work for; for the people..... or the banks?



Please ask any attorney how they can represent you when they **first** represent the court? Isn't that considered a conflict of interest?... in other words.... How can an attorney represent you and the court and **the same time**... where does the obligation/loyalty lay? With a one-time client or with the relationships that attorney builds with the judges aka: commissioners, administrators, etc....

Imagine a scenario: If you were to have a political conflict regarding any case being seen in court and the media, and as a result of the conflict and political pressure, the judge puts 'political pressure' on the attorney (this may come in many creative forms). Now- the attorney is career driven and has a family to feed... from the attorney's perspective, whose relationship is more valuable?

Is it with the client or judge?

The Attorney has one job to do, and that is not to argue justice, it is to assign the property rights from one entity to another based on written Statutes, Codes, and Acts, but that will come out later in this article.

So, what is a Law, verses an Act, Statute, or Code?

Law: derived from *legis* in Latin, refers to a set of rules issued in a continental jurisdiction. The word *law* refers to any rule that if broken subjects a party to criminal punishment or civil liability. A law does not necessarily appear in a written form. There are also oral laws.

Act: where it also refers to a set of rules, originally means a *legislative act*, issued in an Anglo-American jurisdiction.

Statute: "Legislative rule of society given the force of law by the consent of the governed"

Code: is defined as "a compilation of laws". Therefore, every code is a statute. Beyond that, it may include more than one law, so that it covers a whole branch of the law. For instance, there are Penal Codes (or Criminal Codes), Civil Codes, Commercial Codes etc. But there is no "Code on illegal invasion of lands" or "Code on dissolution of marriage", because that would be too limited an area for being a code."

Another Definition of Law:

1. A recognized causal link or principle whose violation must or should result in a penalty as failure, injury, loss, or pain.

2. The binding rules of conduct meant to enforce justice and prescribe duty or obligation, and derived largely from custom or formal enactment by a ruler or legislature. These laws carry with them the power and authority of the enactor, and associated penalties for failure or refusal to obey. Law derives its legitimacy ultimately from universally accepted principles such as the essential justness of the rules, or the sovereign power of a parliament to enact them.

Legalese: The specialized vocabulary of the legal profession, especially when considered to be complex or abstruse.
<http://www.thefreedictionary.com/legalese>

What does that have to do with Trayvon Martin? Everything and more because Laws and Legalese affect and have been affecting every single person and their families since the formation of cultural societies. In the beginning of those early societies, Oral Laws were passed down from generation to generation, and were considered the 'living law'. These laws were easy to understand and simple for everyone so there was no confusion on how to conduct one's self within those jurisdictional parameters.

An example; Murder is forbidden, as it would produce an unstable society, and it usurps free-will and our UNalienable rights given to us by our creator. Within cultural parameters, most everyone of a sound mind agrees that by not allowing murder within their society is a rule that benefits all and establishes equality.

Unalienable: the natural rights of life and liberty *Bouviers Law Dictionary 1856 Edition*

Unalienable: incapable of being alienated, that is, sold and transferred. *Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, page 1523* <http://www.unalienable.com/unalien.htm>

Inalienable: adjective incapable of being conveyed, incapable of being sold, incapable of being transferred, nontransferable, not able to be conveyed, quod abalienari non potest, secured by law, unable to be bought, unable to be disposed of, unforfeitable, untouchable <http://legal-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/Inalienable+rights>

That which is inalienable cannot be bought, sold, or transferred from one individual to another. The personal rights to life and liberty guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States are inalienable. Similarly, various types of property are inalienable, such as rivers, streams, and highways. <http://legal-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/Inalienable+rights>

For those that need a little help with the difference between Unalienable and Inalienable, please read this article below

Why do we use the term unalienable instead of inalienable? Inalienable rights are subject to changes in the law such as when property rights are given a back seat to emerging environmental law or free speech rights give way to political correctness. Whereas under the original doctrine of unalienable rights, these rights cannot be abridged.

Webster's 1828 dictionary defines unalienable as "not alienable; that cannot be alienated; that may not be transferred; as in unalienable rights" and inalienable as "cannot be legally or justly alienated or transferred to another." The Declaration of Independence reads:

"That all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights..."

This means that human beings are imbued with **unalienable rights which cannot be altered by law** whereas *inalienable rights*

are subject to remaking or revocation in accordance with man-made law. Inalienable rights are subject to changes in the law such as when property rights are given a back seat to emerging environmental law or free speech rights give way to political correctness. In these situations no violation has occurred by way of the application of inalienable rights - a mere change in the law changes the nature of the right. Whereas under the original doctrine of unalienable rights the right to the use and enjoyment of private property cannot be abridged (other than under the doctrine of "nuisance" including pollution of the public water or air or property of another). The policies behind Sustainable Development work to obliterate the recognition of unalienable rights. For instance, Article 29 subsection 3 of the United Nations Declaration of Human Rights applies the "inalienable rights" concept of human rights:

"Rights and freedoms may in no case be exercised contrary to purposes and principles of the United Nations."

Many call for a "Civil Society" which argues for a statutory framework that does not give recognition of the imbued nature of unalienable rights.

Modern dictionaries blur the difference, as does modern intellectual thought. The modern definition of unalienable is the same as the historical definition of inalienable. The contemporary blurring of the meaning of unalienable and inalienable is evidence of the process of dictionary evolution that Orwell forecasted in "1984."

http://www.freedomadvocates.org/articles/legitimate_government/understanding_unalienable_rights_20090805368/

There is no greater agony than bearing an untold story inside you, Maya Angelou

Being sovereign means you have supreme power or authority and you are of flesh and blood, not a corporate fiction, so... are you, sovereign?

In order to see how exactly our unalienable rights were usurped and hijacked by Legislation let us first take a look at history and understand HOW we got here:

A compressed historic time-line of:

Popes/Kings/Agents/Corporations/Charters/Treaties/Acts/Contracts/legalese/law in a slammed nutshell version of the truth history of our governments [aka "the money and paper trail created by the master manipulators who like to monkey around with our lives and the law"]

What we call England was more or less free until **1066 – we all know him as William, the Conqueror**. He commandeered England and its occupants by might. *(in aiding compressed information, I have chosen to start the history lesson here- there is much, much more to know before this time-line ;-)*

- 1) **1213**. The Temple Church at the City of London has been a Knight Templar secret society. It was built and established by the same Temple Knights who were given their Rule and Order by the Roman Pope. It's very important to know *how* the British Royal Crown was placed into the hands of the Knights Templars, and how the Crown Templars became the fiscal and military agents for the Pope of the Roman Church.
- 2) **May 15, 1213**. Concession Of England To The Pope. A charter was sworn in fealty by England's King John to Pope Innocent and the Roman Church. It was witnessed before the Crown

Templars, as King John stated upon sealing the same- *"I myself bearing witness in the house of the Knights Templars."*

"We wish it to be known to all of you, through this our charter, furnished with our seal ... not induced by force or compelled by fear, but of our own good and spontaneous will and by the common counsel of our barons, **do offer and freely concede** to God and His holy apostles Peter and Paul and to our mother the holy Roman church, and to our lord pope Innocent and to his Catholic successors, the whole kingdom of England and the whole kingdom Ireland, with all their rights and appurtenances ... we perform and swear **fealty** for them to him our aforesaid lord pope Innocent, and his catholic successors and the Roman church ... **binding our successors and our heirs by our wife forever**, in similar manner to perform fealty and show homage to him who shall be chief pontiff at that time, and to the Roman church without **demur**. As a sign ... we will and establish perpetual obligation and concession ... from the proper and especial revenues of our aforesaid kingdoms ... the Roman church shall receive yearly a thousand marks sterling ... saving to us and to our heirs our rights, liberties and regalia; all of which things, as they have been described above, we wish to have perpetually valid and firm; and we bind ourselves and our successors not to act counter to them. And if we or any one of our successors shall presume to attempt this, whoever he be, unless being duly warned he come to his kingdom, and this senses, **be shall lose his right to the kingdom, and this charter of our obligation and concession shall always remain firm.**"

One should not only emphasize the payments due the Pope and the Roman Church but also the stipulations of loss in the event of the Charter being breached.

- 3) **June 15, 1215.** Magna Carta signed. Magna Carta was the first document forced onto a King of England by a group of his subjects, the feudal barons, in an attempt to limit his powers by law and protect their privileges.

King John broke the terms of the Pope's charter by signing the Magna Carta. The penalty for breaking the 1213 agreement was the loss of the Crown (right to the kingdom) to the Pope and his Roman Church.

- 4) **August 24, 1215.** Pope Innocent III annulled the Magna Carta and lawfully took the Crown from the royal monarchs of England by an act of declaration. One later in the year, he placed an Interdict (prohibition) on the entire British empire. From that time until today, the English monarchy and the entire British Crown belonged to the Pope.

Fealty: n. [L. fidelis.] Fidelity to a lord; faithful adherence of a tenant or vassal to the superior of whom he holds his lands; loyalty... *Webster's 1828 Dictionary*

Fee: n. [In English, is loan.] Primarily, a loan of land, an estate in trust, granted by a prince or lord, to be held by the grantee on condition of personal service, or other condition; and if the grantee or tenant failed to perform the conditions, the land reverted to the lord or donor, called the landlord, or lend-lord, the lord of the loan. A fee then is any land or tenement held of a superior on certain conditions. It is synonymous with fief and feud. In the United States, an estate in fee or fee simple is what is called in English law an allodial estate, an estate held by a person in his own right, and descendible to the heirs in general. *Webster's 1828 Dictionary*

Feud: n. [L. fides; Eng. loan.] A fief; a fee; a right to lands or hereditaments (hereditaments: Anything that can be passed by an individual to heirs) held in trust, or on the terms of performing certain conditions; the right which a vassal or tenant has to the lands or other immovable thing of his lord, to use the same and take the profits thereof hereditarily, rendering to his superior such duties and services as belong to military tenure, &c., the property of the soil always remaining in the lord or superior. *Webster's 1828 Dictionary*

Demur: To deny the legal sufficiency of an adversary's claim, without admitting or denying the truth of the underlying facts, usually on a technical legal basis rather than the merits of the claim; <http://law.yourdictionary.com/demur>

King John declared, by swearing to the 1213 Charter in fealty, that the British-English Crown and its possessions at that time, including all future possessions, estates, trusts, charters, letters patent, and land, were forever bound to the Pope and the Roman Church, the landlord. Some five hundred years later, the New England Colonies in America became a part of the Crown as a possession and trust named the "United States."

CHARTER: 1. An instrument that establishes a body politic or other organization. For example, Charter of the United Nations.

2. A grant made by the sovereign, either to the whole people or to a portion of them, securing to them the enjoyment of certain rights.

3. An instrument by which a municipality is incorporated, specifying its organizational structure and its highest laws. It is a written document making the persons residing within a fixed boundary, along with their successors, a corporation and body politic for and within that boundary, and prescribing the powers, privileges, and duties of the corporation. Also termed municipal charter. A municipal charter is superior to all ordinances enacted by that municipality, though inferior in rank to all State laws of every kind.

4. A governmental act that creates a business or defines a corporate franchise. The document evidencing this act is also called a charter.

5. A document incorporating an institution and specifying its rights. For example, Articles of Incorporation

6. A governing document granting authority or recognition from a parent organization to a subordinate or constituent organization, such as a local affiliate or chapter, organized under the first organization's authority or the instrument granting such authority or recognition..." <http://definitions.uslegal.com/c/charter/>

The charters under which most of the colonies in America were settled, were given by the king of England, and incorporated certain persons, with powers to hold the lands granted, to establish a government, and make laws for their own regulation. These were called charter-governments.

King John had broken the agreement terms of his fealty with Rome and the Pope by agreeing to the Magna Carta; The Pope and his Roman Church control the Crown Temple because his Knights established it under his Orders. He who controls the gold controls the world. *hint: 'you' are the "gold"*

- 5) **1302.** Papal Bull: **Unam Sanctam** by Pope Boniface VIII was the first Express Trust claiming control over the whole planet and effectively "King of the world". This 1st Crown is represented by the 1st cestui Que Vie Trust created when a child is born, depriving them of all their beneficial entitlements and rights on the land at birth.
- 6) **1481.** Papal Bull: **Aeterni Regis** meaning "Eternal Crown" by Sixtus IV being only the 2nd of three papal bulls as deeds of testamentary trusts. This Papal Bull created what is known as the "Crown of Aragon", later known as the Crown of Spain, being the highest sovereign and highest steward of all Roman Slaves subject to the rule of the Roman Pontiff. This 2nd Crown is represented by the 2nd cestui Que Vie Trust created when a child is born being the sale of the birth certificate as a Bond to the private central bank of the nation, depriving them of ownership of their flesh and condemning them to perpetual servitude as a Roman person, or slave.
- 7) **1537.** Papal Bull: **Ecclesiastical See** by Paul III also meant to open the Council of Trent being the third and final testamentary deed and will of a testamentary trust, being the trust set up for the claiming of all "lost souls", lost to the See.

The Venetians assisted in the creation of the 1st cestui Que Vie Act of 1540 to use this papal bull as the basis of Ecclesiastical authority of Henry VIII. This Crown was secretly granted to England in the collection and "reaping" of lost souls.

The Crown was lost in 1815 due to the deliberate bankruptcy of England and granted to the Temple Bar, which became known as the Crown Bar, or simply the Crown.

The Bar Associations have been responsible ever since in administering the "reaping" of the souls of the lost and damned, including the registration and collection of Baptismal certificates representing the souls collected by the Vatican and stored in its vaults.

This 3rd Crown is represented by the 3rd Cestui Que Vie Trust created when a child is baptized being the grant of the Baptismal certificate by the parents to the church or Registrar being the gift of title of the soul. Thus, without legal title over one's own soul, a man or woman may be "legally" denied right to stand as a person, but may be treated as a creature and thing without legally possessing a soul. Hence, why the Bar Association is able to legally enforce Maritime law against men and women- because they can be treated as dead things, (lost at sea/sea) cargo that does not possess a soul.

- 8) **1540.** Cestui Que Vie Act. A Cestui Que Vie Trust, also known later as a "Fide Commissary Trust" and later again as a "Foreign Situs trust" and also known as a form of "Secret Trust" is a fictional concept being a Temporary Testamentary Trust, first created during the reign of Henry VIII of England
- 9) **1666.** Cestui Que Vie Act. updated version by Charles II wherein an Estate may be effected for the Benefit of one or more Persons presumed lost or abandoned at "sea" and therefore assumed/presumed "dead" after seven (7) years. Additional presumptions by which such a Trust may be formed were added in later statutes to include bankrupts, minors, incompetents, mortgages and private companies.

".....[I] Cestui que vie remaining beyond Sea for Seven Years together and no Proof of their Lives, Judge in Action to direct a Verdict as though Cestui que vie were dead. If such person or persons for whose life or lives such Estates have been or shall be granted as aforesaid shall remain beyond the Seas or elsewhere absent themselves in this Realme by the space of seven yeares together and noe sufficient and evident prooffe be made of the lives of such person or persons respectively in any Action commenced for recovery of such Tenements by the Lessors or Reversioners in every such case the person or persons upon whose life or lives such Estate depended shall be accounted as naturally dead, And in every Action brought for the recovery of the said Tenements by the Lessors or Reversioners their Heires or Assignes, the Judges before whom such Action shall be brought shall direct the Jury to give their Verdict as if the person soe remaining beyond the Seas or otherwise absenting himselfe were dead....." CHAPTER 11 18 and 19 Cha 2.
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Cha2/18-19/11>

"...The Trust Corpus created by a Cestui Que (Vie) is also known as the Estate from two Latin words e+statuo literally meaning "by virtue of decree, statute or judgment". However, as the Estate is held in a Temporary not permanent Trust, the (Corporate) Person as Beneficiary is entitled only to equitable title and the use of the Property, rather than legal title and therefore ownership of the Property. Only the Corporation, also known as Body Corporate, Estate and Trust Corpus of a Cestui Que (Vie) Trust possesses valid legal personality.

The Property of any Estate created through a Temporary (Testamentary) Trust may be regarded as under "Cestui Que Use" by the Corporate Person, even if another name or description is used to define the type of trust or use. Therefore "Cestui Que Use is not a Person but a Right and therefore a form of "property"..."

If a subject/person under the crown were considered lost at "sea"; they are seen as legally dead, and are considered the property of the crown (i.e. the Pope/Vatican through Papal Bulls), then that cargo can be claimed and warehoused in the name of the "Holy See", which are only jurisdictional rules that trumps a

person from within that jurisdiction, claims that person as their cargo, and the person can be fined/jailed/imprisoned.

I can imagine that would be enough of a push to up-root, get the hell out of dodge, and cheeze-it to a location far, far away from the reaches of tyranny; welcome to North America.

10) **July 4, 1776.** The Declaration of Independence was signed

11) **September 17, 1787.** The Constitution for the united states of America was signed.

The Constitution is ONLY contract (read it for yourself), clues given “the people” and “the citizens” are two different parties in the constitution, and those that are the citizens are NOT a party to the contract- which means you, the citizen/slave, can claim no constitutional rights:

<http://creoharmony.blogspot.com/p/great-american-adventure-by-judge-dale.html#uds-search-results>

Debts were owed by US citizens to the Crown Temple and their banks as of 1883 “All debts contracted and engagements entered into, before the adoption of this Constitution, shall be as valid against the United States under this Constitution....” *Article VI of the US Constitution*

Meaning there was money borrowed by the King to get to North America, now that debt has to be paid via the constitutional contract. However you say the constitution was written for us, the people..... or was it?

“....Documented proof that the Constitution was not for us can be found at: *Padelford, Fay & Co. v. The Mayor and Aldermen of the City of Savannah*, [14 Georgia 438, 520]. This was a Court case wherein the Plaintiffs sued the City of Savannah, for violating what they believed were their constitutionally protected rights! The decision of the Judge says it all: “But indeed, no private person has a right to complain, by suit in Court, on the ground of a breach of the Constitution, the Constitution, it is true, is a compact but he [the private person] is not a party to it!”

The United States Constitution was converted into a (Trust) and the legal definition of a Trust is: “A legal obligation with respect to property given by one person (donor), to another (trustee), to the advantage of a beneficiary (Americans).” The property in this Trust includes all land, your personal possessions that you believe you own and your physical body. The donor of the Trust is the King of England and the Holy Roman Church. The Trustee’s are all federal and state public officials, which means that they truly are Agents of a foreign power; the King and the Vatican.

The reason the Constitution was converted into a Trust is because, as a non-trust business plan; The Constitution completely bound the hands of our government officials! By their converting it into a Trust, our public officials; were then free to make any changes they desired to this government, without their constituents knowledge! The rules of a Trust are secret and no trustee can be compelled to divulge those rules, and the rules can be changed by the trustees without notice to the beneficiary!

The one pitfall confronting them and their plan was the fact that by converting the Constitution into a Trust, our public officials had to legally assign a beneficiary; and the beneficiary chosen could not offend or be in contrast to the numerous International Treaties that were in force. Our public officials wanted to stay in control of the Trust as the trustees; however a trustee cannot also be a beneficiary! So even though the Constitution was never designed or written for the Sovereign American people; they unknowingly became the beneficiary of this secret Trust and hence, the creation of the “propaganda” regarding our Constitutional Rights!....”

I would like to pause for a moment to understand the definition of Trust Law-

“Trust Law: In common law legal systems, a **trust** is a relationship whereby property (real or personal, tangible or intangible) is held by one party for the benefit of another. A trust conventionally arises when property is transferred

by one party to be held by another party for the benefit of a third party, although it is also possible for a legal owner to create a trust of property without transferring it to anyone else, simply by declaring that the property will henceforth be held for the benefit of the beneficiary. A trust is created by a settlor, who transfers some or all of his property to a trustee, who holds that trust property (also called the principal or corpus) for the benefit of the beneficiaries.

Purposes Common purposes for trusts include:

Privacy. Trusts may be created purely for privacy. The terms of a will are public and the terms of a trust are not. In some families, this alone makes the use of trusts ideal....” http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trust_law

“...Private Trusts: An express trust is created when the settlor expresses an intention either orally or in writing to establish the trust and complies with the required formalities. An express trust is what people usually mean when they refer to a trust.

Every private trust consists of four distinct elements: an intention of the settlor to create the trust, a res or subject matter, a trustee, and a beneficiary. Unless these elements are present, a court cannot enforce an arrangement as a trust.

Intention The settlor must intend to impose enforceable duties on a trustee to deal with the property for the benefit of another. Intent can be demonstrated by words, conduct, or both...” “...The settlor must intend to create a present trust. Demonstrating an intent to create a trust in the future is legally ineffective. When a settlor does not immediately designate the beneficiary, the trustee, or the trust property, a trust is not created until the designations are made.

Res or Subject Matter: An essential element of every trust is the trust property or res. Property must exist and be definite or definitely ascertainable at the time the trust is created and throughout its existence. Although stocks, bonds, and deeds are the most common types of trust property, any property interest that can be freely transferred by the settlor can be held in trust, including Patents, copyrights, and Trademarks....

Trustee: Any person who has the legal capacity to take, hold, and administer property for her own use can take, hold, and administer property in trust. Nonresidents of the state in which the trust is to be administered can be trustees. State law determines whether an alien can act as a trustee.

A corporation can act as a trustee. For example, a trust company is a bank that has been named by a settlor to act as trustee in managing a trust. A partnership can serve as a trustee if state law permits....

The United States, a state, or a Municipal Corporation can take and hold property as trustee...

The failure of a settlor to name a trustee does not void a trust. The court appoints a trustee to administer the trust and orders the person having legal title to the property to convey it to the appointed trustee...

Beneficiary: Every private trust must have a designated beneficiary or one so described that his identity can be learned when the trust is created or within the time limit of the Rule against Perpetuities, which is usually measured by the life of a person alive or conceived at the time the trust is created plus 21 years....”

A person or corporation legally capable of taking and holding legal title to property can be a **beneficiary** of a trust. Partnerships and unincorporated associations can also be beneficiaries. Unless restricted by law, Aliens can also be beneficiaries...” <http://legal-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/trust>

The book “*The Creature from Jekyll Island*” that helped me understand the world that we live in -it is a long read- but I strongly advise the book if you want to understand the reality of world reflecting the true LEGAL FIAT MATRIX that has been created by the elites. It is the paper/money/policy trail and he leaves a plethora of crumbs for even the most dense of minds to see the agenda despite the educational

brainwashing that has occurred like an epidemic disease in our society that eats at the roots of our foundation in unalienable rights endowed by us to our creator.

".... It is true that the Constitution was a contract between representatives of the colonies that created the federal union, and it is true that it is binding only on the states and the federal union itself. But it is incorrect to say that it does not apply to any living man or woman or to imply that we should have no interest in it today.

First, contracts commonly are binding upon heirs and descendants.Likewise, federal and state officials are obligated to uphold the terms of the contract they inherited, which is why that obligation is formalized when they take their oath of office.

Second, even though average citizens are not parties to the agreement and are not directly involved with its provisions; nevertheless, we are profoundly affected by it and have every reason to insist that our representatives keep their oaths because, without that, our personal liberties are doomed. When we ask them to uphold the Constitution that is merely another way of asking them to protect our lives, liberty, and property against predators, including those within government. *Analysis @ 2010 October 21 by G. Edward Griffin, write of creature from jekyll Island*

As much as I love and honor Mr. Edward Griffin's research, I tend to disagree with *some* of his statements above regarding our representatives having to uphold an oath to the Constitution....if you are not a party to the contract- **YOU HAVE NO RIGHTS OR CLAIMS TO THAT CONTRACT, PERIOD AND END OF DISCUSSION**, as this is evident in contract law.

All you have is the 'promise' of someone else to uphold a contract means a very slippery slope for all of us, the citizens/slaves! You are being managed, like farm animals, or farm 'monsters', that have been turned into legal fictions which provides a platform for the elites to harvest the labor of the citizens/slaves.

When you connect the dots of our Forefathers actions from this constitutional contract to the next set of contracts, you begin to realize you are being "managed" by the 3rd party agents or inserters.

- 12) **July 16, 1782.** the Contract Between the King, the Pope, and the Thirteen United States of North America, signed at Versailles, Article I states, "It is agreed and certified that the sums advanced by His Majesty to the Congress of the United States under the title of a loan, in the years 1778, 1779, 1780, 1781, and the present 1782, amount to the sum of eighteen million of livres, money of France, according to the following twenty-one receipts of the above-mentioned underwritten Minister of Congress, given in virtue of his full powers, to wit ... "
- 13) **September 3, 1783.** To have this "Declaration" recognized by international treaty law, and in order to establish the new legal Crown entity of the incorporated United States, Middle Templar King George III agreed to the Treaty of Paris, "between the Crown of Great Britain and the said United States".
- 14) **1815.** Bankruptcy of the Crown and Bank of England by the Rothschilds, for the 1st time, the Cestui Que Vie Trusts of the United Kingdom became assets placed in private banks effectively becoming "private trusts" or "Fide Commissary Trusts" administered by commissioners (guardians). From 1835 and the Wills Act, these private trusts have been also considered "Secret Trusts" whose existence does not need to be divulged.

15) November 22, 1822. The secret Treaty of Verona. An ancient conflict is between the rule of the few and the rule of the many.

“ARTICLE 1. The high contracting powers being convinced that the system of representative government is equally as incompatible with the monarchical principles as the maxim of the sovereignty of the people with the high divine right, engage mutually in the most solemn manner, to use all their efforts to put an end to the system of representative governments, in whatever country it may exist in Europe, and to prevent its being introduced in those countries where it is not yet known...”

The link provide will give a detail version of the [American Diplomatic Code, 1778 - 1884, vol. 2 ; Elliott, p. 179.] CONGRESSIONAL RECORD – SENATE, 64th CONGRESS, 1st SESSION, VOLUME 53, PART 7, Page 6781, 25 April 1916.

“...This evidence of the conflict between the rule of the few verses popular government should be emphasized on the minds of the people of the United States, that the conflict now waging throughout the world may be more clearly understood, for after all said the great pending war springs from the weakness and frailty of government by the few, where human error is far more probable than the error of the many where aggressive war is only permitted upon the authorizing vote of those whose lives are jeopardized in the trenches of modern war...”

http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/secret_treaty_of_verona.htm

16) March 27, 1861. The Southern states walked out of Congress. In order to understand executive orders and what they mean to the citizens/slaves, first we must understand what the history is to then understand how it affects our lives.

“...the quorum to conduct business under the Constitution was lost. The only votes that Congress could lawfully take, under Parliamentary Law, were those to set the time to reconvene, take a vote to get a quorum, and vote to adjourn and set a date, time, and place to reconvene at a later time, but instead, Congress abandoned the House and Senate without setting a date to reconvene. Under the parliamentary law of Congress, when this happened, Congress became sine die (pronounced see-na dee-a; literally "without day") and thus when Congress adjourned sine die, it ceased to exist as a lawful deliberative body, and the only lawful, constitutional power that could declare war was no longer lawful, or in session.

The Southern states, by virtue of their secession from the Union, also ceased to exist sine die, and some state legislatures in the Northern bloc also adjourned sine die, and thus, all the states which were parties to creating the Constitution ceased to exist. President Lincoln executed the first executive order written by any President on April 15, 1861, [Executive Order 1](#), and the nation has been ruled by the President under executive order ever since. When Congress eventually did reconvene, it was reconvened under the military authority of the Commander-in-Chief and not by Rules of Order for Parliamentary bodies or by Constitutional Law; placing the American people under martial rule ever since that national emergency declared by President Lincoln. The Constitution for the United States of America temporarily ceased to be the law of the land, and the President, Congress, and the Courts unlawfully presumed that they were free to remake the nation in their own image, whereas, lawfully, no constitutional provisions were in place which afforded power to any of the actions which were taken which presumed to place the nation under the new form of control.

President Lincoln knew that he had no authority to issue any executive order, and thus he commissioned [General Orders No. 100](#) (April 24, 1863) as a special field code to govern his actions under martial law and which justified the seizure of power, which extended the laws of the District of Columbia, and which fictionally implemented the provisions of Article I, Section 8, Clauses 17-18 of the Constitution beyond the boundaries of Washington, D.C. and into the several states. General Orders No. 100, also called the Lieber Instructions and the Lieber Code, extended The Laws of War and International Law onto American soil, and the United States government became the presumed conqueror of the people and the land.

Martial rule was kept secret and has never ended, the nation has been ruled under Military Law by the Commander of Chief of that military; the President, under his assumed executive powers and according to his executive orders. Constitutional law under the original Constitution is enforced only as a matter of keeping the public peace under the

provisions of General Orders No. 100 under martial rule. Under Martial Law, title is a mere fiction, since all property belongs to the military except for that property which the Commander-in-Chief may, in his benevolence, exempt from taxation and seizure and upon which he allows the enemy to reside.

President Lincoln was assassinated before he could complete plans for reestablishing constitutional government in the Southern States and end the martial rule by executive order, and the 14th Article in Amendment to the Constitution created a new citizenship status for the new expanded jurisdiction. New laws for the District of Columbia were established and passed by Congress in 1871, supplanting those established Feb. 27, 1801 and May 3, 1802....”

- 17) **February 21, 1871.** Congress Passes an Act to Provide a Government for the District of Columbia, also known as the Act of 1871 which established a corporation government called THE UNITED STATES, Inc, all in CAPS to indicate a corporation.

The District of Columbia was re-incorporated in 1872, and all states in the Union were reformed as Franchisees of the Federal Corporation so that a new Union of the United States could be created. The key to when the states became Federal Franchisees is related to the date when such states enacted the Field Code in law. The Field Code was a codification of the common law that was adopted first by New York and then by California in 1872, and shortly afterwards the Lieber Code was used to bring the United States into the 1874 Brussels Conference and into the Hague Conventions of 1899 and 1907.

It is suspected by some between 1871 and 1933 constitution wording was changed from ‘for’ to ‘of’ to represent the corporation’s constitution - in example:

The Constitution for the united states of America vs. THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

~When our president swears his oath to office, it is only an oath that upholds the constitution for the corporation whose shareholders are European elite, not our country and its people~

- 18) **August 21, 1878.** According to the American BAR association /“The ABA” was founded on in Saratoga Springs, New York, by 100 lawyers from 21 states.” (BAR Acronyms see link here) <http://acronyms.thefreedictionary.com/British+Accreditation+Regency>

Bar Attorneys have been attorning ever since they were founded at the Temple Church, by acknowledging that the Crown and **he who holds the Crown is the new lord of the land.**

Attorning: Acknowledging a new lord, or transferring homage and fealty to the purchaser of an estate.

Attorning: [present participle of *at-torn* (Verb)]1. Formally make or acknowledge a transfer of something 2. Transfer (something) to someone else.

Attorney at Law: an officer of the court authorized to appear before it as a representative of a party to a legal controversy. <http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/attorney-at-law>

- 19) **1890.** Sherman Silver Purchase Act perhaps along with the protectionist McKinley Tariff [Tariff Act of 1890]of that year, has been partially blamed for the panic of 1893. Passed in response to a large overproduction of silver by western mines, the Sherman Act required the U.S. Treasury to purchase silver using notes backed by either silver or gold. The decline of the gold reserves

stored in the U.S. Treasury fell to a dangerously low level, forcing President Cleveland to borrow \$65 million in gold from Wall-Street banker JP Morgan to support the gold standard.

Keep in mind, had it not been for the bankers causing crashes in the market, most of these acts would not have passed as there would be no reason for the acts to be implemented –The banksters needed the public’s support- So the banksters along with brilliant heads of State created a ‘problem’, to get the public to ‘react’ to the purported unforeseen issue (financial or security measures), the public would look to their government/banksters/heads of state to come up with a ‘solution’ for which the government already has a proposed plan to “help the public with better security/financial manners”.

DUDE- Check out the Patriot Act and when it was written – well before 9/11- and in the report it stated that in order for the public to embrace and request the act, the public would need to experience another WW2 scenario, such as an invasion of our homeland like when the Japanese bombed Pearl Harbor, under that type of invasion the public goes running to the government begging for the solutions, even if that means giving away our freedoms.

Problem, reaction, solution- all fed to us under the guise of protecting us from tyranny of others.

20) **1907.** Bankers' Panic or Knickerbocker Crisis was a financial crisis that occurred in the United States when the New York Stock Exchange fell almost fifty (50%) from its peak the previous year.

21) **December 23, 1913.** President Woodrow Wilson signed the Federal Reserve Act which also included Federal Trade Commission Act; the Clayton Antitrust Act; the Federal Farm Loan Act and the Federal Income Tax. Federal Reserve Act "Hypothecated" all property within the federal United States to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve - in which the Trustees (stockholders) held legal title. The U.S. citizen (tenant, franchisee) was registered as a "beneficiary" of the trust via his/her birth certificate.

“...The Federal Reserve Act ushered in a new privately owned European corporation named: **The Federal Reserve System**, which eventually removed the control of American coin and currency from the Congress using large personal bribes to members of Congress and placed the minting of currency into the hands of a group of Bankers based in Israel. The FED subsequently controlled America’s GNP; inflation; interest and currency and introduced their own “Script Money” called: **Federal Reserve Notes**. The Federal Reserve Notes were as worthless as scrap paper and is defined under the Uniform Commercial Code as: **“Negotiable Debt Instruments.”** *THE GREAT AMERICAN ADVENTURE*

22) **1917.** Trading with the Enemy Act (Public Law 65-91, 65th Congress, Session I, Chapters 105, 106, October 6, 1917) was passed and which defined, regulated and punished trading with enemies, who were then required by that act to be licensed by the government to do business.

23) **1920.** The Independent Treasury Act. **It suspended the De Jure** [meaning **"by right of Lawful establishment"**] Treasury Department of the United States government.

24) 1921- Federal Sheppard-Towner Maternity Act Maternity Act (3) was passed creating birth **"registration"** or what we now know as the **"birth certificate."** It was known as the

"Maternity Act" and was sold to the American people as a law that would reduce maternal and infant mortality, protect the health of mothers and infants, and for other purposes. One of those other purposes provided for the establishment of a federal bureau designed to cooperate with state agencies in the overseeing of its operations and expenditures. This can now be seen as the first attempt of **"government by appointment,"** or cooperation of state governments to aid the federal government in usurping the legislative process of the several states as exists today through the federal grant in aid to the states programs.

Prior to 1921 the records of births and names of children were entered into family bibles, as were the records of marriages and deaths. These records were readily accepted by both the family and the law as **"official"** records. Since 1921 the American people have been registering the births and names of their children with the government of the state in which they are born, even though there is no federal law requiring it. The state claims an interest in every child within its jurisdiction, telling the parents that registering their child's birth through the birth certificate serves as proof that he/she was born within territories of the United States, thereby making him/her a United States citizen/slave.

A certificate is a "paper establishing an ownership claim." - Barron's Dictionary of Banking Terms. Registration of births began in 1915, by the Bureau of Census, with all states adopting the practice by 1933.

Birth and marriage certificates are a form of securities called "warehouse receipts." The items included on a warehouse receipt, as described at §7-202 of the Uniform Commercial Code, the law which governs commercial paper and transactions, which parallel a birth or marriage certificate are:

- the location of the warehouse where the goods are stored...(residence)
- the date of issue of the receipt....("Date issued")
- the consecutive number of the receipt...(found on back or front of the certificate, usually in red numbers)
- a description of the goods or of the packages containing them...(name, sex, date of birth, etc.)
- the signature of the warehouseman, which may be made by his authorized agent...(municipal clerk or state registrar's signature)

Birth/marriage certificates now appear to at least qualify as "warehouse receipts" under the Uniform Commercial Code. Black's Law Dictionary, 7th ed. defines:

Warehouse receipt: "...A warehouse receipt, which is considered a document of title, may be a negotiable instrument and is often used for financing with inventory as security."

Since the U.S. went bankrupt in 1933, all new money has to be borrowed into existence. All states started issuing serial-numbered, certificated "warehouse receipts" for births and marriages in order to pledge us as collateral against those loans and municipal bonds taken out with the Federal Reserve's banks. The "Full faith and Credit" of the American people is said to be that which back the nation's debt. That simply means the American people's ability to labor and pay back that debt. In order to catalog its laborers, the government needed an efficient, methodical system of tracking its property to that end. Humans today are looked upon merely as resources - "human resources/capital," that is.

Today the federal government **"mandates, orders and compels"** the states to enforce federal jurisdiction upon it's citizens/subjects. It is believed that the federal government draws its de facto jurisdiction for these actions from the **"Doctrine of Parens Patriae."** Parens Patriae means

literally, "**parent of the country.**" It refers traditionally to the role of STATE as sovereign and guardian of persons under legal disability. Parens Patriae originates from the English common law where the King had a royal prerogative to act as guardian to persons with legal disabilities such as infants. (*The Maternity Act was eventually repealed, but parts of it have been found in other legislative acts.*)

How do they justify a baby being cargo? Okay, this is a great demonstration of the human ego justifying their agendas through paralleling organic nature and fraudulent laws/legalese-

When a mother is pregnant the baby is living in water/sea/holy-see, when the mother delivers her baby, she brings her monster/cargo to port/hospital, which is received by a manifest/certificate. The manifest is only a document of the cargo contents i.e. the baby. The manifest is your birth certificate, registered as a ward of the state as a corporate fiction (s) and rights given to that baby are inalienable rights; rights that are afforded to the citizen/slave by the state.

Berth: 1. Sufficient space for a ship to maneuver; sea room: kept a clear berth of the reefs. 2. A space for a ship to dock or anchor: a steamship moored to its berth at the pier.
3. a. Employment on a ship: sought an officer's berth in the merchant marine. b. A job: a comfortable berth as head of the department.
4. a. A built-in bed or bunk, as on a ship or a train. b. A place to sleep or stay; accommodations: found a berth in a nearby hotel.
5. A space where a vehicle can be parked, as for loading. v.

berthed, berth-ing, berths: 1. To bring (a ship) to a berth.
2. To provide with a berth, To come to a berth; dock. *Pretty slick, eh?*

25) **1933.** The United States went into Chapter 11 restructuring, i.e. bankruptcy.

The United States Federal Government has been dissolved by the Emergency Banking Act, March 9, 1933, Public Law 89-719; declared by President Roosevelt, being bankrupt and insolvent. Chapter 11 is a form of bankruptcy designed for corporations, not governments. FDR's new corporation titled: The United States, Inc. was a private corporation belonging to the European Royal and Elite shareholders.

H.J.R. 192, 73rd Congress m session June 5, 1933 - Joint Resolution to suspend The Gold Standard and Abrogate The Gold Clause and dissolved the Sovereign Authority of the United States and the official capacities of all United States Governmental Offices, Officers, and Departments. The federal United States hypothecated all of the present and future properties, assets and labor of their "subjects," of the 14th Amendment U.S. citizens/slaves, to the Federal Reserve System.

"....All **Sovereign American Citizens** residing within the Republic of States suddenly and falsely were **expatriated** from their Sovereign American status without their knowledge or consent and their labor, souls, children, property, sweat equity and credit became the financial collateral for the public debt, which had then been converted into a **Public Trust**, which had been scripted after the **ancient Roman Trusts**.

"**Script**" money or [**negotiable debt instruments**] was issued by a **private corporation**, which is owned by a group of Sabbatean European Jewish Bankers and which is known to everybody as: "**The Federal Reserve System.**" These promissory notes were called **Federal Reserve Notes** and our future treatment by the U.S. Government was to be redefined under **USC Title 50, 'The Trading with the Enemy Act'** in which American citizens are defined as, "**an enemy of their government**" and this is the reason why Lincoln's Declaration of War is renewed yearly by Congress and the

President! In the same year President Roosevelt closed **THE VIRGINIA COLONY CORPORATION** and opened a new Government Corporation called: **THE UNITED STATES, INC....**" *GREAT AMERICAN ADVENTURE By Judge Dale*

"...Would it interest you to know that the FCC, CIA, FBI, IMF, NSA, IRS, OPM, ATF, DEA, NASA, INTERPOL, HS [Homeland Security], (SEC) and all the other members of the alphabet gang are all privately owned corporations and none of them are or ever has been an agency of: "United States, Inc." The United States government always held some stock in these corporations but never outright owned or controlled any of them? Their employees receive their paychecks from OPM, which belongs to the IMF, which is the property of the United Nations, which belongs to Israel and the Royal and Elite Class of Europe! All of the alphabet gangs are now the registered property of the: "United Nations." Is it no wonder.....that out of 267 Nations on the Earth only 71 are members of the United Nations?..." *GREAT AMERICAN ADVENTURE By Judge Dale*

- 26) **March 9, 1933** - The National Banking System Act (Public Law 73-1, 73rd Congress, Session I, Chapter 1)
- 27) **March 6, 1933** - Executive Proclamation 2038 and Executive Orders 6073, 6102, 6111 and 6260 prove that in 1933, the United States Government formed under the executive privilege of the original martial rule went **bankrupt**, and a new state of national emergency was declared under which United States citizens were named as the enemy to the government and the banking system as per the provisions of the Trading with the Enemy Act.
- 28) **1934** - Federal Rules of Civil Procedures Act. Congress passed an Act merging equity and law abolishing common law

Additional notes: Since March 9, 1933, the United States has been in a state of declared national emergency. In fact, there are now in effect four presidentially proclaimed states of national emergency: In addition to the national emergency declared by President Roosevelt in 1933, there are also the national emergency proclaimed by President Truman on December 16, 1950, during the Korean conflict, and the states of national emergency declared by President Nixon on March 23, 1970, and August 15, 1971.

These proclamations give force to 470 provisions of Federal law **[hundreds more since 1973, particularly in the Clinton administration since Jan 21, 1993]**. These hundreds of statutes delegate to the President extraordinary powers, ordinarily exercised by the Congress, which affect the lives of American citizens in a host of all-encompassing manners. This vast range of powers confer enough authority to rule the country without reference to normal Constitutional processes.

Under the powers delegated by these statutes, the President may: seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law; seize and control all transportation and communication; regulate the operation of private enterprise; restrict travel; and, in a plethora of particular ways, control the lives of all American citizens.

"The real truth of the matter is, as you and I know, that a financial element in the large centers has owned the government of the U.S. since the days of Andrew Jackson." -- *Franklin D. Roosevelt in a letter written Nov. 21, 1933 to Colonel E. Mandell House*

- 29) **1935** - American Law Institute. Conference entered into agreement with American Law Institute for cooperative drafting of acts in area of common interest.
- 30) **1936** - 53 uniform acts. After revisions, withdrawals and acts declared obsolete, 53 uniform acts remained as recommended for approval.

31) **April 25, 1938. The Supreme Court overturned the standing precedents of the prior 150 years concerning "COMMON LAW" in the federal government.**

"THERE IS NO FEDERAL COMMON LAW, AND CONGRESS HAS NO POWER TO DECLARE SUBSTANTIVE RULES OF COMMON LAW applicable IN A STATE, *WHETHER* they be LOCAL or GENERAL in their nature, be they COMMERCIAL LAW or a part of LAW OF TORTS." (See: *ERIE RAILROAD CO. vs. THOMPSON*, 304 U.S. 64, 82 L. Ed. 1188)

"...The Common Law is the fountain source of Substantive and Remedial Rights, if not our very Liberties. The members and associates of the Bar thereafter formed committees, granted themselves special privileges, immunities and franchises, and held meetings concerning the Judicial procedures, and further, to amend laws "to conform to a trend of judicial decisions or to accomplish similar objectives", including mixing and jumbling the jurisdictions of Law and Equity together, which is known today as "One Form of Action." [See: *Constitution and By Laws*, Article 3, Section 3.3(c), 1990-91 Reference Book, see also *Colorado Methods of Practice*, West Publishing, Vol. 4, pages 2-3, Authors Comments.]..."

It was right after that case that the American Law Institute and the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws listed right in the front of the Uniform Commercial Code began creating the Uniform Commercial Code that is our cornerstone of legalese today.

32) **1951** - On May 18, during a joint meeting with the American Law Institute in Washington, D.C., the UCC was approved. Later that year the ABA formally approved the code as well.

One of the Uniform Laws drafted by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws and the American Law Institute governing commercial transactions (including sales and leasing of goods, transfer of funds, commercial paper, bank deposits and collections, letters of credit, bulk transfers, warehouse receipts, bills of lading, investment securities, and secured transactions), The Uniform Commercial Code (UCC), has been adopted in whole or substantially by all states. *Blacks Law, 6th Ed. pg. 1531*

All court decisions are based on commercial law or business law and has criminal penalties associated with it. Rather than openly calling this new law Admiralty/Maritime Jurisdiction, it is called Statutory Jurisdiction.

"....Given these circumstances, it was absolutely necessary for them to involve the Judges in their criminal conduct. Foreclosure Judges receive 10% of the original Promissory Note, after they authorize the Bank to steal and sell your assets in FORECLOSURE..... *GREAT AMERICAN ADVENTURE By Judge Dale*

CORPORATIONS: As I mentioned earlier, a corporation is a fictional character or entity in law, created by the government, which makes that fictional character or entity the intellectual. You are property of the government but you are never told that!

Corporations can own any number of other corporations but can never own a flesh and blood human being! All laws created under this parent corporation will essentially become corporate laws and regulations to govern the parent corporation and all subordinate or sub-corporations owned by the parent. These corporate laws and regulations are called statutes and their affect and control over human beings is deceptively obtained by consent through civil contracts.

These civil contracts were secured by and through several federal and state voluntary registration programs designed to convert and enslave flesh and blood American citizens of the Republic into corporate property.

Legally, these civil contracts lacked “mutuality,” meaning that all registrants must understand the true nature and intent of the contract [and] subsequently must knowingly accept or consent to the terms of those contracts. The government’s subversive tactics perverts “mutuality” and lawfully eliminates any and all contractual relationships, as historically established by the ‘International Law of Contracts’ a/k/a Uniform Commercial Code.’ *GREAT AMERICAN ADVENTURE* By Judge Dale

March 1942 – An article in “TIME” magazine chronicles the **Federal Council of Churches** [which later becomes the National Council of Churches, a part of the World Council of Churches] lending its weight to efforts to establish a global authority. A meeting of the top officials of the council comes out in favor of: 1) a world government of delegated powers; 2) strong immediate limitations on national sovereignty; 3) international control of all armies and navies. Representatives (375 of them) of 30-some denominations assert that “a new order of economic life is both imminent and imperative” – a new order that is sure to come either “through voluntary cooperation within the framework of democracy or through explosive revolution.”

June 28, 1945 – U.S. President Harry Truman endorses world government in a speech: **“It will be just as easy for nations to get along in a republic of the world as it is for us to get along in a republic of the United States.”**

- 33) **October 24, 1945** – **The United Nations Charter becomes effective**. Also on October 24, Senator Glen Taylor (D-Idaho) introduces Senate Resolution 183, calling upon the U.S. Senate to go on record as favoring creation of a world republic, including an international police force.

Feb. 7, 1950 – International financier and CFR member James Warburg tells a Senate Foreign Relations Subcommittee: **“We shall have world government whether or not you like it - by conquest or consent.”**

- 34) **Feb. 9, 1950** – The Senate Foreign Relations Subcommittee introduces Senate Concurrent Resolution #66 which begins: **“Whereas, in order to achieve universal peace and justice, the present Charter of the United Nations should be changed to provide a true world government constitution.”**
- 35) **1952** – The World Association of Parliamentarians for World Government draws up a map designed to illustrate how foreign troops would occupy and police the six regions into which the United States and Canada will be divided as part of their world-government plan.

Okay, this is herculean amount of information to digest AND BREATHE. Propaganda tells us what we want to hear, but the paper/treaty/contract and money/currency trail will tell another tale, one of a brilliant deception and treason against humanity. Trayvon Martin’s family is one of many, and I mean *thousands* who have been taken advantage by the purported justice system because of the ignorance of the citizen/slaves.

“...Bar Associations are awarded their franchises by the Four Inns of Court at Temple Bar. These are the Inner Temple, Middle Temple, Lincolns Inn and Greys Inn and they are nothing less than elite secret societies without charters or statutes. They are the law unto themselves. The Inner Temple controls the legal system franchise for Canada and Britain while the Middle Temple does the same for the United States. Queen Elizabeth II is a member of both Temples. At least five signatories to the American Declaration of Independence were Temple Bar Attorneys who had pledged allegiance to the Crown!

Another Middle Temple operative during the formation of the USA was **Alexander Hamilton (yes the same person who signed the Constitution)** who structured the American Banking system to fulfil the Crown Temples agenda for total control of the United States. So in truth, a State wherever it is on this planet, is a legal entity of the Temple Crown, or a Crown Colony.

A man named Michael Edwards wrote: "Americans were fooled into believing that the legal Crown Colonies comprising New England, were independent nation states, but they never were, nor are they today. They were, and still are, Colonies of the Crown Temple, through letters patent and charters, who have no legal authority to be independent from the rule and order of the Crown Temple. A legal state is a Crown Temple Colony". "Neither the

American people nor the monarch of Britain own America. The Crown Temple owns America through the deception of those who have sworn their allegiance by oath to the Middle Temple Bar. The Crown Bankers and their Middle Templar Attorneys rule America through unlawful contracts, unlawful Taxes, and contract documents of false equity through debt deceit, all strictly enforced by their completely unlawful, but 'legal', orders, rules and codes of the Crown Temple Courts, or the so called, 'Judiciary', in America.

This is because the Crown Temple holds the land titles and estate deeds to all of North America". Seven Middle Inn Templars who had pledged an oath of allegiance to the Crown Temple (including Alexander Hamilton) were among the members of the Constitutional Convention who signed the completed 'American Constitution'. How symbolic it is that copies of the American Constitution and the Declaration of Independence hang on the wall of the Middle Temple in London. It's not that surprising when you consider that this Temple controlled both sides in these shenanigans.

So while the Middle Bar Templars were orchestrating the illusion of freedom from the perceived rule by King George III, the King too, was a sworn member of the same Temple.

Michael Edwards continues: "1776 is the year that will truly live in infamy for all Americans. It is the year that the Crown Colonies became legal Crown States. The Declaration of Independence was a legal, not lawful, document. It was signed on both sides by representatives of the Crown Temple. Legally, it announced the status quo of the Crown Colonies to that of the new legal name called 'States' as directly possessed estates of the Crown. "The American people were hoodwinked into thinking that they were declaring lawful independence from the Crown. The proof that the colonies are still a Crown possession is the use of the word 'State' to signify a 'legal estate of possession'. Had this been a document "of and by the people", then both the Declaration of Independence and the US Constitution would have been written using the word 'States'. By the use of 'State', the significance of government of estate possessions was legally established. All of the North American States are Crown Templar possessions through their legal document, signed by their representation of both parties to the contract, known as the Constitution of the United States of America..." *A Practical guide to free energy devices, Patrick J. Kelly*

Officer of the court: any person who has an obligation to promote justice and effective operation of the judicial system, including judges, the attorneys who appear in court, bailiffs, clerks, and other personnel.

Administrative-law judge: an official of a federal or state agency who hears, weighs, and decides on evidence in administrative proceedings, and makes recommendations for any necessary legal action.

We now have more clarity on our history, and a better understanding of where that smug look comes from when you speak to attorneys or administrators (known to us as 'Judges') about the people's Constitutional rights- they know you only have the 'rights' the state has given to you because you are nothing more than a slave, a ward of the state, and your ignorance of legalese and law is their fraudulent albatross they toss around your neck in the hopes that you take that unnecessary burden on, pay up and kowtow or drown in the waters of incarceration.

Yes, the courts system is nothing more than recording keeping of assets for the use of a tracking and transfer system from one corporate fiction to another corporate fiction. Upon digging deeper – the words bank and bench appears to be the same thing.

Bank: A bench or seat; the bench or tribunal occupied by the judges; the seat of judgment; a court. The full bench, or full court; the assembly of all the judges of a court A "sitting in bank" is a meeting of all the judges of a court usually for the purpose of hearing arguments on demurrers, points reserved, motions for new trial, etc., as distinguished from the sitting of a single judge at the assises or at nisi prius and from trials at bar. But, in this sense, banc is the more usual form of the word. 2. An institution, of great value in the commercial world, empowered to receive deposits of money, to make loans, and to issue its promissory notes, (designed to circulate as money, and commonly called "bank-notes" or "bank-bills,") or to perform any one or more of these functions. *Black's Law Dictionary 2nd Ed* <http://thelawdictionary.org/bank/>

Bank: 1) an officially chartered institution empowered to receive deposits, make loans, and provide checking and savings account services, all at a profit.... 2) a group of judges sitting together as an appeals court, referred to as "in bank" or "en banc." <http://dictionary.law.com/Default.aspx?selected=32>

Bench: Latin Bancus, used for tribunal. In England there are two courts to which this word is applied. Bancus Regius, King's Bench Bancus Communis, Common Bench or Pleas. The jus banci, says Spelman, properly belongs to the king's judges, who administer justice in the last resort. *Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856 Edition*

Bench: 1) general term for all judges, as in "the bench," or for the particular judge or panel of judges, as in an order coming from the "bench." 2) the large, usually long and wide desk raised above the level of the rest of the courtroom, at which the judge or panel of judges sit. <http://dictionary.law.com/Default.aspx?selected=48>

"...It's a VIOLATION of the 11th Amendment for a FOREIGN CITIZEN to INVOKE the JUDICIAL POWER of the State. Article XI. The Judicial power of the United States shall not be construed to extend to any suit in law or equity, commenced or prosecuted against one of the United States by Citizens of another State, or by Citizens or Subjects of any Foreign State. US citizens (FEDERAL CITIZENS) are FOREIGN to the several States and SUBJECTS of the FEDERAL UNITED STATES/STATE of NEW COLUMBIA/DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. Attorneys are considered FOREIGN AGENTS under the FOREIGN AGENTS REGISTRATION ACT (FARA) and are SUBJECTS of the BAR ASSOCIATION. Government Is Foreclosed from Parity with Real People...." – *Supreme Court of the United States 1795, Trinsey v Pagliaro, D.C.Pa. 1964*

"In politics, nothing happens by accident. If it happens at all, you can bet it was planned that way."

Franklin D. Roosevelt

TITLES OF NOBILITY or THE ORIGINAL 13TH AMENDMENT

As any Constitutional scholar (or anyone remotely familiar with American History) can readily tell you, the thirteenth amendment to the United States Constitution is an important one. As most students learned at some point in school but quickly forgot, the thirteenth amendment that is so well known today was ratified by congress in 1865, and effectively abolished slavery in the United States.

However, most people do not realize that the well-known thirteenth amendment - which ended slavery in America - was **not the first "Thirteenth" Amendment proposed.**

The original United States Constitution with the original 13th Amendment reads as follows:

If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or retain any title of nobility or honor, or shall, without the consent of congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office, or emolument of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince, or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either of them.

This also means any Title of Esquire like Administrators and Attorneys

Esquire (abbreviated Esq.)[1] is a term of West European origin. In the United Kingdom, it is a title of respect previously accorded to men of higher social rank,[2] but which has since come to be used as a general courtesy title for any man in a formal context, usually appended to the name as in "John Smith, Esq.", with no precise significance.[3][4] Esquire is cognate with the word squire, which originally meant an apprentice or assistant to a knight. The title "Esquire" has been used continuously since it was created in the late 14th century and many uses continue uninterrupted today. For example, in the Most Venerable Order of the Hospital of Saint John of Jerusalem, "Esquire" is the most junior title. In the United States, the suffix Esq. designates individuals licensed to practice law, and may now be used by both men and women.

As there was no penalty attached to accepting, claiming, receiving or retaining a title of nobility or honor or emoluments in the Constitution as originally ratified. The Thirteenth Amendment was proposed in December of 1809 to institute penalty for accepting or using a "Title of Nobility or Honour" to set oneself apart from, or superior to, or possessing of any special privileges or immunities not available to any other citizen of the United States. It also instituted the same penalty for accepting and retaining any present, pension, office, or emolument of any kind whatever, from any Emperor, King, Prince, or foreign Power. An emolument is payment in any form for services rendered or to be rendered, or as understood today, a graft or a bribe.

Proof by Certification by State Archives of N.H. that in 1812, at least 6 states had ratified the ANTI-LAWYER Amendment. Basically preventing anyone who had a BAR membership, accepted a title of Nobility from foreign King, Prince, Power from even being a US Citizen, much less holding any OFFICE. This has been hidden since President Lincoln erroneously named the ANTI-Slavery amendment the "13th", when actually that one from the Civil War was the 14th ratified amendment.

There are over 60 million Statutes, Codes, and Acts written by Esquires in political offices to guide commerce (and that includes 'you -the person/corporate fiction' as the 'human capital') and its profits into the hands of the parasitical pirates of the elite.

Thankfully, with the invention of the internet, people are getting wise to the game and the truth is coming out everywhere. Just recently, New Hampshire Bill Introduced to Re-Instate ORIGINAL 13th Amendment. <http://www.gencourt.state.nh.us/legislation/2013/HB0638.html>

There are three (3) forms of court:

1) Commercial/Contract (UCC)

Uniform Commercial Code: A general and inclusive group of laws adopted, at least partially, by all the states to further uniformity and fair dealing in business and commercial transactions.

2) Admiralty/Maritime

Admiralty: Admiralty law (also referred to as **maritime law**) is a distinct body of law which governs maritime questions and offenses. It is a body of both domestic law governing maritime activities, and private international law governing the relationships between private entities which operate vessels on the oceans. It deals with matters including marine commerce, marine navigation, marine salvaging, shipping, sailors, and the transportation of passengers and goods by sea. Admiralty law also covers many commercial activities, although land based or occurring wholly on land, that are maritime in character.

Admiralty law is distinguished from the Law of the Sea, which is a body of public international law dealing with navigational rights, mineral rights, jurisdiction over coastal waters and international law governing relationships between nations.

Although each legal jurisdiction usually has its own enacted legislation governing maritime matters, admiralty law is characterized by a significant amount of international law developed in recent decades, including numerous multilateral treaties.

Maritime law Also called "admiralty law" or "the law of admiralty," the laws and regulations, including international agreements and treaties, which exclusively govern activities at sea or in any navigable waters. In the United States federal courts have jurisdiction over maritime law.

3) Talmudic

Talmud: [ˈtælmʊd] *n* *Judaism*

1. (Non-Christian Religions / Judaism) the primary source of Jewish religious law, consisting of the Mishnah and the Gemara

2. (Non-Christian Religions / Judaism) either of two recensions of this compilation, the Palestinian Talmud of about 375 AD, or the longer and more important Babylonian Talmud of about 500 A.D

Although I am NOT legal consultant/attorney and this is NOT legal advice:

I say unto you - my brothers and sisters of the universe - from my heart to your heart - Do not go to court, unless you have a mind that is as shrewd as a microscopic pin-point-tip of a steel blade dipped in the most obscure and pestilent of poison, otherwise the court's minions will entrap your property. You, the village idiot/goyyim/slave, will pay... one way or another by their promotional/marketable blade named 'justice'.

****Interesting information all should know about the Talmud that some Israelis reverie –Remember, these are “God’s chosen people”**

Did you know....

“...The Hebrew form of the name Jesus--Yeshu--was interpreted as an acronym for the curse, 'may his name and memory be wiped out,' which is used as an extreme form of abuse. In fact, anti-zionist Orthodox Jews (such as Neturey Qarta) sometimes refer to Herzl as 'Herzl Jesus' and I have found in religious zionist writings expressions such as "Nasser Jesus" and more recently 'Arafat Jesus.' --Dr. Israel Shahak, *Jewish History, Jewish Religion*, pp. 97- 98, 118....”

Yebamoth 98a. All gentile children are animals.

Abodah Zarah 22a-22b . Gentiles prefer sex with cows.

Abodah Zarah 36b. Gentile girls are in a state of *niddah* (filth) from birth.

Menahoth 43b-44a. A Jewish man is obligated to say the following prayer every day: Thank you God for not making me a gentile, a woman or a slave.

To the Mishnah the rabbis later added the Gemara (rabbinical commentaries). Together these comprise the Talmud. There are two versions, the Jerusalem Talmud and the **Babylonian Talmud**.

The Babylonian Talmud is regarded as the authoritative version: "The authority of the Babylonian Talmud is also greater than that of the Jerusalem Talmud. In cases of doubt the former is decisive." (R.C. Musaph-Andriess, *From Torah to Kabbalah: A Basic Introduction to the Writings of Judaism*, p. 40).

This study is based on the Jewish-authorized Babylonian Talmud. We have published herein the authenticated sayings of the Jewish Talmud...."

Those Rabbi who teach the Babylonian Talmud, teach their future generations the following:

Erubin 21b. Whosoever disobeys the rabbis deserves death and will be punished by being boiled in hot excrement in hell.

Sanhedrin 58b. **If a heathen (gentile) hits a Jew, the gentile must be killed.**

Sanhedrin 57a . When a Jew murders a gentile ("Cuthean"), there will be no death penalty. What a Jew steals from a gentile he may keep.

Baba Kamma 37b. The **gentiles are outside the protection of the law and God has "exposed their money to Israel."**

Baba Mezia 59b. A rabbi debates God and defeats Him. God admits the rabbi won the debate.

The Talmud (Babylonian edition) records other sins of 'Jesus the Nazarene:

1) He and his disciples practiced sorcery and black magic, led Jews astray into idolatry, and were sponsored by foreign, gentile powers for the purpose of subverting Jewish worship (Sanhedrin 43a).

2) He was sexually immoral, worshipped statues of stone (a brick is mentioned), was cut off from the Jewish people for his wickedness, and refused to repent (Sanhedrin 107b; Sotah 47a).

Talmud Attacks Christians and Christian Books

Rosh Hashanah 17a. Christians (*minnim*) and others who reject the Talmud will go to hell and be punished there for all generations.

Sanhedrin 90a. Those who read the New Testament ("uncanonical books") will have no portion in the world to come.

Shabbath 116a. Jews must destroy the books of the Christians, i.e. the New Testament.

Sick and Insane Teachings of the Talmud

Yebamoth 63a. States that Adam had sexual intercourse with all the animals in the Garden of Eden.

Yebamoth 63a. Declares that agriculture is the lowest of occupations.

Sanhedrin 55b. A Jew may marry a three year old girl (specifically, three years "and a day" old).

Sanhedrin 54b. **A Jew may have sex with a child as long as the child is less than nine years old.**

Kethuboth 11b. **"When a grown-up man has intercourse with a little girl, it is nothing."**

Yebamoth 59b. A woman who had intercourse with a beast is eligible to marry a Jewish priest. A woman who has sex with a demon is also eligible to marry a Jewish priest.

Talmudic Doctrine: Non-Jews are not Human

The Talmud specifically defines all who are not Jews as non-human animals, and specifically dehumanizes Gentiles as not being descendants of Adam. Here are some of the Talmud passages which relate to this topic.

Kerithoth 6b: Uses of Oil of Anointing. "Our Rabbis have taught: He who pours the oil of anointing over cattle or vessels is not guilty; if over gentiles (goyim) or the dead, he is not guilty. The law relating to cattle and vessels is right, for it is written: "Upon the flesh of man (Adam), shall it not be poured (Exodus 30:32)); and cattle and vessels are not man (Adam).

U.S. Government Lays Groundwork for Talmudic Courts

"Our" government under Presidents Reagan, Bush and Clinton, has provided, under the euphemism of education (for example, House Joint Resolution 173 and Public Law 102-14), a groundwork for the establishment of Talmudic "courts of justice" to be administered by disciples of Shneur Zalman's Chabad successor, Rabbi Menachem Mendel Schneerson.

Maimonides ruled that it is a Jewish court -- or a court appointed by Jewish authority --that enforces obedience and passes judgment on Gentiles, as well as promulgating *legislation by court order* for that purpose. Maimonides further decreed that any non-Jewish nation "not subject to our jurisdiction" (*tahaht yadeinu*) will be the target of Jewish holy war. (Cf. Hilkhot Melakhim 8:9-10; 10:11. Also cf. Gerald J. Blidstein, "Holy War in Maimonidean Law," in *Perspectives on Maimonides* [Oxford, England: Oxford Univ. Press, 1991].

These courts are to be convened allegedly under the "Noahide Laws" (proscriptions against idolatry supposedly based on the covenant with Noah). The U.S. presidents and Congress urged the adoption of the "Noahide" Laws as interpreted by Chabad-Lubavitch Grand Rabbi Schneerson.

Prof. Easterly of the Southern University Law Center, a Jewish legal expert, has compared this Public law 102-14 to the "first rays of dawn" which "evidence the rising of a still unseen sun."

The *Jewish Encyclopedia* envisages a Noahide regime as a possible world order immediately proceeding the universal reign of the Talmud.

It has to be understood that we are not dealing with the Noah of the Bible when the religion of Judaism refers to "Noahide law," but the Noahide law as understood and interpreted by the absolute system of falsification that constitutes the Talmud.

Under the Talmud's counterfeit Noahide Laws, the worship of Jesus is forbidden under penalty of death, since such worship of Christ is condemned by Judaism as idolatry. Meanwhile various forms of incest are permitted under the Talmudic understanding of the Noahide code. (*Enziklopediya Talmudit*, note 1, pp. 351-352).

Furthermore, all non-Jews would have the legal status of *ger toshav* ("resident alien," cf. Alan Unterman, *Dictionary of Jewish Lore and Legend* [London: Thames and Hudson, 1991], p. 148), even in their own land; as for example in occupied Palestine where newly arrived Khazars from Russia have an automatic right to housing and citizenship, while two million Palestinian refugees who either fled or were expelled by the Israelis, are forbidden the right of return.

Resident alien status has been clearly delineated in scholarly articles in leading Jewish publications. For example, Hebrew University Professor Mordechai Nisan, basing his exposition on Maimonides, stated that a non-Jew permitted to reside in a land ruled by Jewish law "must accept paying a tax and suffering the humiliation of servitude."

If Gentiles refuse to live a life of inferiority, then this signals their rebellion and the unavoidable necessity of Jewish warfare against their very presence. [Cf. Mordechai Nisan, *Kivunim* (official publication of the World Zionist Organization), August, 1984, pp. 151-156].

At a symposium ("Is Autonomy for Resident Aliens Feasible?") organized by Israeli Minister of Education Shulamit Aloni, the Israeli Chief Rabbi Shlomo Goren repeated the Talmudic teaching on resident aliens: that Judaism forbids "granting any national rights" to them. He ruled that such "Autonomy is tantamount to a denial of the Jewish religion." (Nadav Shraggai, *Ha'aretz*, Oct. 14, 1992).

American taxpayers' subsidy of the so-called "U.S. Holocaust Museum" in Washington, D.C., is yet another indicator of the gradual establishment of a Jewish state religion in the U.S. This "Holocaust museum" excludes any reference to holocausts perpetrated by Jewish Communists against Christians in Russia and Eastern Europe, from 1917 onward.

The focus of the museum is almost entirely on Jewish suffering. Holocausts perpetrated by Israelis against Arabs in Lebanon and Palestine since 1948 are nowhere to be found in the exhibits of the U.S. "Holocaust Museum," which functions more like a synagogue than a repository of objective historical information.

It is through the rapid emergence of this ostensibly secular but all-pervasive "Holocaustianity" -- whereby the religion of Judaism is gaining enormous power and influence as mankind's supreme ethos and the creed of God's Holy People.

Jewish Law Requires Christians be Executed

Israeli "Torah scholars" have ruled that:

"The Torah maintains that the righteous of all nations have a place in the World to Come. But not all religious Gentiles earn eternal life by virtue of observing their religion...And while the Christians do generally accept the Hebrew Bible as truly from God, many of them (those who accept the so-called divinity of Jesus) are idolaters according to the Torah, punishable by death, and certainly will not enjoy the World to Come."

--Israeli Mechon-Mamre website, June 26, 2000; 12 Hayyim Vital St., Jerusalem, Occupied Palestine. ("Mechon Mamre is a small group of Torah scholars in Israel...").

[Note: we have printed and preserved in our files a hard copy of this statement from the Israeli "Mechon-Mamre Torah Scholars," as it appeared on their website at <http://www.mechon-mamre.org/jewfaq/gentiles.htm> on June 26, 2000, in the event that denials are later issued and the statement itself suppressed]. *The Truth About the Talmud*, Michael A. Hoffman. <http://www.revisionisthistory.org/talmudtruth.html>

¡ATENCIÓN HUMANS!

There are two (2) forms of slavery-

- 1) **Roman slavery:** where the slaves KNOW they are slaves (they have different rights afforded to them outside of their masters recognized by the government and the government's standards), but the slave masters must provide housing, food, and medical care, and the slaves after several years to servitude, can set themselves free under Roman Law. Under oath, a slave can become a member of Roman society, but only under Oath... another form a slavery....

-And, by far, the most insidious, pernicious type of slavery-

- 2) **Babylonian Slavery:** where you THINK you are free but you are not. You pay, by way of labor, in creativity, in currency (legal tender) to your representatives/nation/government. You THINK you own land and your property is to be passed to your children, but it is the states property (you are the title holder of a property,

not the owner)... you pay for it, you PAY FOR EVERYTHING that defines YOUR PRISON OF LEGALESE FRAMEWORK... and you own nothing, your children own nothing, and you are *persona non grata*, (Latin, plural: *personae non gratae*), literally meaning "an unwelcome person".

As the Babylonian Talmud states:
You are nothing more than an animal....

Yebamoth 98a. All gentile children are animals.

Is it any wonder that Woody Allen at age 56 had relations with his adopted daughter (by way of Mia Farrow), Soon Yi who was 19?

Is it any wonder that Edward Albee wrote the Theatrical piece that I witnessed on Broadway:
The goat or who is Sylvia?

"...The tale of a married, middle-aged architect, Martin, his wife Stevie, and their son Billy, whose lives crumble when he falls in love with a goat, the play focuses on the limits of an ostensibly liberal society. Through showing this family in crisis, Albee challenges audience members to question their own morality in the face of other social taboos including infidelity, pedophilia, incest and, of course, bestiality...."

Shiksa- Hebrew term Shekets, meaning abomination, impure, and object of loathing.....it is what women are called who are not of jewish heritage

I have called 'lovingly' referred to as a "Shiska", by my jewish friends in the past... my question to them would be THEN AND NOW: Why they would want to taint their pure CHOSEN soul with impure, abomination, and loathing by socializing with me, a goyim, an animal?

OR ARE WE THE JOKE OF THE YOKE?

- Yoke**
- a. To harness a draft animal to.
 - b. To harness (a draft animal) to a vehicle or an implement.
 - c. To join securely as if with a yoke; bind: partners who were yoked together for life.
 - d. To force into heavy labor, bondage, or subjugation.

Any of various emblems of subjugation, such as a structure made of two upright spears with a third laid across them, under which conquered enemies of ancient Rome were forced to march in subjection; The condition of being subjugated by or as if by a conqueror; subjugation or bondage. <http://www.thefreedictionary.com/yoke>

If you CAN NOT stay out of court, or if you feel it is your duty to represent the truth- Please review Frank O'Collins and his work: <http://www.ucadia.com/>

(start from the beginning) <http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=90342&cmd=tc>

<http://one-heaven.org/prayers/auth/95.html>

http://one-evil.org/content/prophecy_kew.html

This should help you in your education of legal/law history

If you find you must go to court:

"Then ask the Administrator-law-judge these questions: (do not answer to your fictional name in all caps on your "berth" certificate in court)- that will land you in their jurisdiction- instead:

Tell the judge, I'm here for this matter as a friend of the court. There's been a mistake. (You sent a letter for a legal fiction to my house.) And I trust you will settle the account here honorably. If no one rebuts it, it stands on the records as fact. Then say, there appears to be no controversy concerning me, it appears by business here is finished.

If the judge asks, then why are you here?

You say, I'm a human that goes by name (Your ALL CAPS name that appears on your birth certificate) the state has certified is me.

In most States here in the US, the tickets have a box where it says whether the photo ID matched you and they check it yes.

So, if the judge says, The ticket says you match the picture on the driver's license.

Then you say the name on the ticket isn't me and I have never been notified I've committed any wrong doing.

Then if he says, So you gave the state your wrong name?

Then you say, No the state invented that name and assigned it to me and insists on using it even though I signed my real name at the bottom of the license, without prejudice. So it's the fault of the state.

And if he says, Well, I believe you committed the offense.

You say the court cannot leave the bench and assume the role of an attorney and it's up to the court to prove I did, not me.

Then you say Well I have never been notified I did anything wrong by an police officer who witnessed the alleged event and without that, any court proceedings are void and illegal and subject to legal remedy.

Then when they get the deer in the head lights look on their face, you repeat, Well there appears to be no controversy concerning me. I trust you will settle the account here honorably. It appears my business is finished here. And walk out."

Here is another way (there are so many creative ways to handle these procedures - google it -): Once asked your name... tell them: "I am here for this matter as a friend of the court, and I am in no way this corporate fiction, the state has made a mistake in registering my corporate name as so; let the record show that I am human, made of flesh and blood, and do possess a soul by prime creator, who I am and who is me.

Ask them first if they argue this point? If they try to argue, ask them to prove that you are not prime creator... they can't argue that... as they HAVE NO PAPER WORK TO PROVE IT (hint: ask for the

wet-ink signature of prime creator's contractual agreement that represents them as the agent to prime creator which gives them the authority over you?

WAIT FOR IT.....

Then asked

- 1) Who are YOU?
- 2) What form of court is this; is this court an UCC corporate court or are you common law court?
- 3) Is this De jure or De Facto court?
- 4) What Law do you operate under?
- 5) Does your definition of law also mean statutes? (read the definition of statutes to define the difference to them if need be, then ask the question again)
- 6) Where is your oath, and bond? (Respectfully request to see it)
- 7) Where is the accommodation agreement between prime creator and you, to "manage" me with prime creator consent and my consent, and may I see that wet-ink signature of prime creator?
- 8) Who is the actual damaged contractual party, will they please step forward?
- 9) May I see the wet-ink signature that I consent to the purported judge's/administrator's authority through an accommodation agreement?

Hint: **Accommodation** is a legal obligation entered into as a gratuitous favor without consideration, such as a signature guaranteeing payment of a debt..."

Consideration is the concept of legal value in connection with contracts. It is anything of value promised to another when making a contract. *i.e Swapping value for value*

- 10) Where is your WET INK SIGNATURE on the agreement that states you must exercise have authority over me?

In complete transparency, tell them who you 'BE', and what Law you operate under – give the UCC copy of the docs to the administrator or clerk of the court- and request a record of testimony, again.

Now ask, again:

- 1) Who are you? And isn't this court only a corporation? And if so, why do you think you get to insert your authority over me... isn't that like the corporation Target coming into my house, telling me what to wear under their corporate policies and procedures WHEN I DO NOT WORK FOR THEM???

SHUP UP and DO NOT ARGUE... LET THEM ANSWER, if they deflect – DO NOT ARGUE -, ask again, if they deflect, ASK AGAIN... and remind them of their oath and bond to uphold the constitution.....

If they try to change the form of court (from UCC to Admiralty/maritime to Talmud) by way of "recess", object and tell them that you DO NOT consent to changing the courts form and that you waive all benefits, then they must keep that form of court, or the administrator/judge can have their status **revoked** by a higher court.... Keep the form of court in

UCC/commercial contracts, if it changes and you let it, they can put you in prison for contempt of court, which means under Admiralty/maritime law you are cargo that can be housed... **beware of the tricks.**

If they force you to sign anything, sign it first “Without Prejudice UCC 1-308” this means you are not agreeing to a legal agreement and its conditions that you are not aware.

“I reserve my right not to be compelled to perform under any contract, commercial agreement or bankruptcy that I did not enter knowingly, voluntarily, and intentionally. And furthermore, I do not and will not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed contract or commercial agreement or bankruptcy.”

If you are ever asked by a judge, “Do you understand?”- **say, -NO- I DO NOT.** If you answer yes, then what that legally means is to ‘Stand-Under’ the “law” or ‘orders’ of the court. If you stand under, then you accept jurisdiction and you are liable for that order given to you by an administrator/judge.

Ask questions- and stop “worker bee” programming, use your brain and think

In 1993, Speaker-rep from Ohio, James Traficant, Jr. addresses the house on matters of the US bankruptcy and the slavery system on Congressional Record

“The Bankruptcy of The United States
United States Congressional Record, March 17, 1993 Vol. 33, page H-1303

Speaker-Rep. James Traficant, Jr. (Ohio) addressing the House:

"Mr. Speaker, we are here now in chapter 11.. Members of Congress are official trustees presiding over the greatest reorganization of any Bankrupt entity in world history, the U.S. Government. We are setting forth hopefully, a blueprint for our future. There are some who say it is a coroner's report that will lead to our demise.

It is an established fact that the United States Federal Government has been dissolved by the Emergency Banking Act, March 9, 1933, 48 Stat. 1, Public Law 89-719; declared by President Roosevelt, being bankrupt and insolvent. H.J.R. 192, 73rd Congress m session June 5, 1933 - Joint Resolution To Suspend The Gold Standard and Abrogate The Gold Clause dissolved the Sovereign Authority of the United States and the official capacities of all United States Governmental Offices, Officers, and Departments and is further evidence that the United States Federal Government exists today in name only.

The receivers of the United States Bankruptcy are the International Bankers, via the United Nations, the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund. All United States Offices, Officials, and Departments are now operating within a de facto status in name only under Emergency War Powers. With the Constitutional Republican form of Government now dissolved, the receivers of the Bankruptcy have adopted a new form of government for the United States. This new form of government is known as a Democracy, being an established Socialist/Communist order under a new governor for America. This act was instituted and established by transferring and/or placing the Office of the Secretary of Treasury to that of the Governor of the International Monetary Fund. Public Law 94-564, page 8, Section H.R. 13955 reads in part: "The U.S. Secretary of Treasury receives no compensation for representing the United States?"

Gold and silver were such a powerful money during the founding of the United States of America, that the founding fathers declared that only gold or silver coins can be "money" in America. Since gold and silver coinage were heavy and inconvenient for a lot of transactions, they were stored in banks and a claim check was issued as a money substitute. People traded their coupons as money, or "currency." Currency is not money, but a money substitute. Redeemable currency must promise to pay a dollar equivalent in gold or silver money. Federal Reserve Notes (FRNs) make no such promises, and are not "money." A Federal Reserve Note is a debt obligation of the federal United States government, not "money." The federal United States government and the U.S. Congress were not and have never been authorized by the Constitution for the United States of America to issue currency of any kind, but only lawful money, -gold and silver coin.

It is essential that we comprehend the distinction between real money and paper money substitute. One cannot get rich by accumulating money substitutes, one can only get deeper into debt. We the People no longer have any "money." Most Americans have not been paid any "money" for a very long time, perhaps not in their entire life. Now do you comprehend why you feel broke? Now, do you understand why you are "bankrupt," along with the rest of the country?

Federal Reserve Notes (FRNs) are unsigned checks written on a closed account. FRNs are an inflatable paper system designed to create debt through inflation (devaluation of currency). Whenever there is an increase of the supply of a money substitute in the economy without a corresponding increase in the gold and silver backing, inflation occurs.

Inflation is an invisible form of taxation that irresponsible governments inflict on their citizens. The Federal Reserve Bank who controls the supply and movement of FRNs has everybody fooled. They have access to an unlimited supply of FRNs, paying only for the printing costs of what they need. FRNs are nothing more than promissory notes for U.S. Treasury securities (T-Bills) - a promise to pay the debt to the Federal Reserve Bank.

There is a fundamental difference between "paying" and "discharging" a debt. To pay a debt, you must pay with value or substance (i.e. gold, silver, barter or a commodity). With FRNs, you can only discharge a debt. You cannot pay a debt with a debt currency system. You cannot service a debt with a currency that has no backing in value or substance. No contract in Common law is valid unless it involves an exchange of "good & valuable consideration." Unpayable debt transfers power and control to the sovereign power structure that has no interest in money, law, equity or justice because they have so much wealth already.

Their lust is for power and control. Since the inception of central banking, they have controlled the fates of nations.

The Federal Reserve System is based on the Canon law and the principles of sovereignty protected in the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. In fact, the international bankers used a "Canon Law Trust" as their model, adding stock and naming it a "Joint Stock Trust." The U.S. Congress had passed a law making it illegal for any legal "person" to duplicate a "Joint Stock Trust" in 1873. The Federal Reserve Act was legislated post-facto (to 1870), although post-facto laws are strictly forbidden by the Constitution. [1:9:3]

The Federal Reserve System is a sovereign power structure separate and distinct from the federal United States government. The Federal Reserve is a maritime lender, and/or maritime insurance underwriter to the federal United States operating exclusively under Admiralty/Maritime law. The lender or underwriter bears the risks, and the Maritime law compelling specific performance in paying the interest, or premiums are the same.

Assets of the debtor can also be hypothecated (to pledge something as a security without taking possession of it.) as security by the lender or underwriter. The Federal Reserve Act stipulated that the interest on the debt was to be paid in gold. There was no stipulation in the Federal Reserve Act for ever paying the principle.

Prior to 1913, most Americans owned clear, allodial title to property, free and clear of any liens or mortgages until the Federal Reserve Act (1913)

"Hypothecated" all property within the federal United States to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve, -in which the Trustees (stockholders) held legal title. The U.S. citizen (tenant, franchisee) was registered as a "beneficiary" of the trust via his/her birth certificate. In 1933, the federal United States hypothecated all of the present and future properties, assets and labor of their "subjects," the 14th Amendment U.S. citizen, to the Federal Reserve System.

In return, the Federal Reserve System agreed to extend the federal United States corporation all the credit "money substitute" it needed. Like any other debtor, the federal United States government had to assign collateral and security to their creditors as a condition of the loan. Since the federal United States didn't have any assets, they assigned the private property of their "economic slaves", the U.S. citizens as collateral against the unpayable federal debt. They also pledged the unincorporated federal territories, national parks forests, birth certificates, and nonprofit organizations, as collateral against the federal debt. All has already been transferred as payment to the international bankers.

Unwittingly, America has returned to its pre-American Revolution, feudal roots whereby all land is held by a sovereign and the common people had no rights to hold allodial title to property. Once again, We the People are the tenants and sharecroppers renting our own property from a Sovereign in the guise of the Federal Reserve Bank. We the people have exchanged one master for another.

This has been going on for over eighty years without the "informed knowledge" of the American people, without a voice protesting loud enough. Now it's easy to grasp why America is fundamentally bankrupt.

Why don't more people own their properties outright?

Why are 90% of Americans mortgaged to the hilt and have little or no assets after all debts and liabilities have been paid? Why does it feel like you are working harder and harder and getting less and less?

We are reaping what has been sown, and the results of our harvest is a painful bankruptcy, and a foreclosure on American property, precious liberties, and a way of life. Few of our elected representatives in Washington, D.C. have dared to tell the truth. The federal United States is bankrupt. Our children will inherit this unpayable debt, and the tyranny to enforce paying it.

America has become completely bankrupt in world leadership, financial credit and its reputation for courage, vision and human rights. This is an undeclared economic war, bankruptcy, and economic slavery of the most corrupt order! Wake up America! Take back your Country." *United States Congressional Record, March 17, 1993 Vol. 33, page H-1303.* <http://www.afn.org/~govern/bankruptcy.html>

- I seemed to have missed this speech in my history classes.....

Thus we find ourselves in our current situation, wondering just how did we let this happen?

We cannot solve our problems with the same thinking we used when we created them. Albert Einstein

Latin Maxim of Law- He who would be deceived let him be deceived

This is one of many Roman Cult Maxims of Law which means that if you are ignorant enough to not know who you are {a flesh and blood spirit filled being - NOT a corporation}, then you deserve to be tricked {by your/every government which is ruled by the Vatican}.

I know, you still question my facts on the subject matter that the Vatican owns you, your children, and your land, and corporations in the US, Inc and abroad.... Fair enough, I accept the challenge... Let's just see what the Pope recently came out with in his Apostolic letter and begin to understand exactly how this will affect you.

The Most Holy Francis issued an Apostolic Letter on July 11 and **effective September 1, 2013** that effectively stripped away the immunity of all judges, attorneys, government officials and all entities established under the Roman Curia {All corporations are established under the Roman Curia}. All of these "persons" {corporate fictions, yes, that be your strawman accounts (your name in all caps/your corporate fiction) as well as house hold names such as WALMART, and CHASE BANK} can now be held accountable for war crimes, crimes against humanity, {Divine Spirit is humanity} for the unlawful restrictions of the liberties of the divine spirit incarnate; for failure to settle the accounts; for continued prosecution of claims already settled...

APOSTOLIC LETTER
ISSUED *MOTU PROPRIO*

OF THE SUPREME PONTIFF
FRANCIS

FOR THE PREVENTION AND COUNTERING OF MONEY LAUNDERING,
THE FINANCING OF TERRORISM
AND THE PROLIFERATION OF WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION

The promotion of integral human development at the material and moral level requires a profound reflection on the vocation of the economic and financial sectors, as well as on how they correspond with its ultimate aim of achieving the common good.

For this reason in conformity with its nature and mission the Holy See is participating in the efforts of the international community that aim to protect and promote the integrity, permanence and transparency of the economic and financial sectors and to prevent and to counter illegal activities.

Pursuant to the steps already taken by my Predecessor Benedict XVI in this area with the *Motu Proprio* of 30 December 2010 for preventing and countering illegal activities in the area of monetary and financial dealings, I wish to renew the Holy See's commitment to adopting the principles and juridical instruments developed by the international community, bringing further into line with them institutional structures for the prevention and countering of money laundering, the financing of terrorism and the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction. With this Apostolic Letter in the form of a *Motu Proprio* I adopt the following measures:

Article 1

The dicasteries of the Roman Curia and other institutes and entities dependent on the Holy See, as well as non-profit organizations that enjoy juridical personality in canon law and are based in Vatican City State, are bound to observe the laws of Vatican City State with regard to:

- a) measures for the prevention and countering of money laundering and the financing of terrorism;
- b) measures against those who threaten international peace and security;
- c) prudential supervision of entities habitually engaged in a professional financial activity.

Article 2

The Financial Information Authority exercises the prudential role of the supervision and regulation of entities that are professionally engaged in a financial activity.

Article 3

The competent judicial bodies of Vatican City State exercise jurisdiction in the above-mentioned issues also with regard to the dicasteries and other entities and institutions dependent on the Holy See, as well as to those non-profit organizations which have juridical personality in canon law and are based in Vatican City State.

Article 4

The Financial Security Committee is established for the purpose of coordinating the competent authorities of the Holy See and of Vatican City State for the prevention and countering of money laundering, the financing of terrorism and the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction. It is disciplined by the Statutes appended to this Apostolic Letter.

I establish that this Apostolic Letter in the form of a *Motu Proprio* be published in *L'Osservatore Romano*.

I dispose that everything which has been established have full and permanent value as from 10 August 2013, abrogating in addition any incompatible measures.

Given in Rome at the Apostolic Palace on 8 August of the year 2013, the first of the Pontificate

FRANCISCUS PP.

http://www.vatican.va/holy_father/francesco/motu_proprio/documents/papa-francesco-motu-proprio_20130808_prevenzione-contrasto_en.html

We finally have a Pope that is nice to his slaves....

You may be thinking at this point, what the hell does this have to do with Trayvon Martin?

Trayvon Martin happens to represent ALL OF US.

There is NO JUSTICE in the US, Inc. or other country (s)/corporation (s), Inc. not when a corporate entity such as the Vatican purportedly and fraudulently claims to own us and the land that we are stewards ofThey do not care about you, they only care about what you can do, be, give, and create **for them**....they need us to support them, get it?

.....So, WHY THE HELL ARE WE SUPPORTING OUR OWN SLAVERY?

Did you know:

- Britain is owned by the Vatican. (Treaty of 1213)
- The Pope can abolish any law in the United States (Elements of Ecclesiastical Law Vol. 1, 53-54)
- The Pope claims to own the entire planet through the laws of conquest and discovery. (Papal Bulls of 1495 & 1493)
- The Pope has ordered the genocide and enslavement of millions of people.(Papal Bulls of 1455 & 1493)
- The Pope's laws are obligatory on everyone. (Bened. XIV., De Syn. Dioec, lib, ix, c. vii, n. 4. Prati, 1844 Syllabus Prop 28, 29, 44)

“Okay, okay..... “, you say ,”Whatever!!- This information you are giving me still would not apply to ME because it is too old! “

Fine, fair enough, I accept the challenge.... Please meet:

Archbishop named Deric R. McCloud, the **OWNER** of the "Government Of The United States" – a religious non-for-profit corporation-

“Who could be dumb enough to think (or even mistakenly write) that the "Government of the United States" was owned by an archbishop?

Apparently, Dunn & Bradstreet was dumb enough.

This "Government" and all its various "branches" are being reported by D&B to be individual, private companies...."

"....If so, the true nature of the "Government of the United States" might not be that of a "republic" or even a "democracy," but rather a combination of governmental and corporate interests ("private companies") that's usually described as "fascism".

If so, we no longer have "government of the People, by the People and for the People" but instead have "government of the people, by the Congress, and for the Corporations."

<http://thefreeenterpriser.blogspot.com/2010/08/owner-of-government-of-united-states-is.html>

-Chew on that for a moment-

“A truth’s initial commotion is directly proportional to how deeply the lie was believed. It wasn’t the world being round that agitated people, but that the world wasn’t flat. When a well-packaged web of lies has been sold gradually to the masses over generations, the truth will seem utterly preposterous and its speaker a raving lunatic.” -Dresdin James

Just a quick review- We, citizens/slaves, are subjects/suckers to the Federal Government, Inc./corporation, which is owned by the Monarchy-Royalty/ Crown-‘Talmudic Banksters’ which is owned by the holding company/head of state/supreme corporation/supreme trusts known as the Vatican, by way of deceptive and unlawful practices demonstrated as decree’s of actions represented in the form and orders of Charters, Treaties, and Adhesion Contracts, through the Legalese of Corporate Statues, Codes, and Acts. Our purported country is in-truth a religious non-for-profit corporation owned by an Archbishop of the Vatican who takes orders by the Vatican i.e. the Pope and his council that is a sovereign city-state unto itself and is only answerable to itself and accountable to no outside legal/lawful entity.

The Vatican in cooperation with Crown-‘Talmudic Banksters’ sends out edicts, decrees, and letters that become the new legislation, and affects every part of the government which trickles down to us the citizens/slaves. Papal Bulls, by way of the laws of conquest and discovery, and our registered birth certificates on a Roman Registry that is an American bank note/Treasury-note/Treasure-bill in the form of

fancy painted slave papers, supports the legislation passed down to us and down to our children's children by paying taxes, fee's, licenses, and whatever else the elite can extort, etc-....

The fraudulently self-appointed elite/ Crown-'Talmudic Banksters'/governments/Vatican, believe in their mentally-ill/warped state of mind, that they are the purported authority/owner on this earth/our-bodies/our-spirit and therefore we are viewed as farm-animal/human-capital that needs to be "manage". If we do not comply, then we are forced by policy/police officers, or by esquire's/attorney's/sworn officers of the court/corporation and/or by Administrators/Judges/sworn officers of the court/corporation who rules from a "Bank", I mean "Bench" by way of fear and intimidation to uninformed/ignorant citizens/slaves that gives purported and alleged consent by admitting jurisdiction through answering yes to a corporate fiction which is all in CAPS/title/last name/first name, and then paying with legal currency by way of a fine/fee and/or incarceration ... all in the name of harvesting/stealing the citizen/slaves creative energy and labor through tools of representation such as currency/money/precious gems and metals that only serve the racketeering-shareholders/organized-criminals/secret-societies of the few.

Sounds like a pyramid scheme to me... what about you?

"The weak are meat, and the strong do eat." Cloud Atlas, Film

There is a clever trick to all of this: Canon Law states that once a decree/notice is presented to the public, the public or a person has 72 hours to rebut the filing. If there is no rebuttal, then the decree stands as law. That is why the Pope says he owns us, the planet, and our spirits, because he claimed it, and no one from the public rebutted the statement in 72 hours, so now it stands as the purported law.....

So- even though most citizen/slaves had no idea that these claims were made on their behalf (remember, most people could not even read back then),and did NOT have the opportunity to rebutt the Papal Bulls within 72 hours, the Vatican still thinks that they own us, anyway?

"Something is rotten in the state of Denmark", pardon, I mean, Rome, US, England, etc...

Racketeering is the act of operating an illegal business or **scheme in order to make a profit, perpetrated by a structured group**. Racketeering is closely associated with organized crime, since both are conducted by groups. Racketeering encompasses many criminal acts. It includes theft and fraud against businesses or individuals. Racketeering also takes place among legitimate businesses or labor unions, where it is sometimes referred to as white-collar crime. **Examples include extortion and money laundering**. The criminal organizations who engage in racketeering often have legitimate businesses, for instance licensed gaming establishments or a labor-based business such as garbage collection, in order to provide cover for their rackets. Racketeering is often aided by the bribing, blackmailing, or extortion of public officials or civil servants. Legitimate business owners can be similarly manipulated in order to help criminal groups and their racketeering practices to appear lawful.

Racketeering can happen in any organization, even churches. The Vatican, as an example, may have legitimate businesses but are deceptively legally trading citizen/slave papers everyday to make a profit.

In my five years of bank trade and finance, I assisted private buyers and private sellers to help them with the transfer of Treasury Notes and Treasury Bills from one person to another. At the time I had a very different understanding of what T-notes/T-bills represented, what I DID NOT understand is that T-

notes/T-bills are our slave papers, and they have monetary value and are traded on the public stock market every day.

-Welcome to legal cannibalism-

STEP BY STEP DIRECTIONS FOR SEEING WHO OWNS YOU AND YOUR CHILDREN and HOW YOU (yes, you the slave can also purchase your own slave papers) CAN BUY AND SELL NOTES THAT REPRESENT INCORPORATION (your corporate fiction) OF PHYSICAL BODY ASSETS KNOW AS 'CHATTAL' THROUGH FIDELITY INSURANCE

IF YOU ARE SO INCLINED TO MAKE A PURCHASE OF YOUR SLAVE PAPERS OR OF OTHER DUMB FARM ANIMALS, PLEASE CONTACT FIDELITY INSURANCE

<https://www.fidelity.com/?bar=p>

Would you like mint tea, espresso, or scotch with your morning purchase of a **"pound of flesh"**?

This link highlights a particular individual. However, you can put your own birth certificate numbers in and find out how you and your family and friends are being collateralized, privately bought and sold, as well as traded publically on the Stock Exchange. <http://realityinsight.weebly.com/1/post/2013/04/birth-certificates-and-bank-notes.html>

I have even crossed referenced this with my Social Security numbers- they are the same T-notes/T-bills that showed up when I inserted my birth certificate number.

Did you ever wonder why there is such an anti-abortion push in the Vatican? That newborn baby has monetary value to them. The reaping of labor, creativity, and energy begins when new life is brought into this world; they don't care about the child's welfare, or the mother/father. They only care about how that child and their children's children will serve them. [This is legal human trafficking not to be confused with unlawful human trafficking]

We have been stuck in a revenue racketeering scheme over many several centuries. It is time to stop and reflect why we, the slaves, are supporting this parasitical paradigm.

Until the one people unite, under the respect for diversity, the respect for truth, the respect for transparency, the respect for integrity, the respect of accountability and respect for themselves – legislation/propaganda will always continue to do what it has done, divide and conquer the one people.

If we take a careful review of the Zimmerman/Martin case and our true history, we can shed light on a very confusing and troublesome verdict-

- 1) We know understand, the Stand your Ground Law is only a Statute, not a law, as there are no laws under federal jurisdiction since 1938
- 2) We know that the Statute states that even if someone is attacked that they have the right to defend themselves and in essence "stand their ground"
- 3) Nowhere in the Statute does it say that one can pursue a person, attack them, and kill them as this is clearly NOT self-defense
- 4) Self-defense is when you are the person being attacked and standing your ground is about defending your life and your property
- 5) Trayvon Martin was a 17 year old boy, who walked home from the store with a purchase of skittles and ice tea
- 6) George Zimmerman spotted the boy and call the police
- 7) Zimmerman was told by the police to stand down when he requested the permission to pursue the boy
- 8) Zimmerman rejected the police's order stand down and pursued the boy anyway on foot
- 9) An altercation happened
- 10) Zimmerman shot and killed the boy, Martin
- 11) Trayvon Martin was unarmed and on his way home to his father's fiancée's house
- 12) Police surveillance videos showed that 35 minutes after the murder, Zimmerman had no broken nose and no cuts to his head when brought down to the station for questioning
- 13) Zimmerman's wife lied and was charged with perjury, and recently has been found guilty
- 14) Circuit purported 'Judge' (Administrator) Debra Nelson's instructed the jurors to follow the letter of the 'law' and she included the statement that Zimmerman had no duty to retreat as per Florida's stand-your-ground purported 'law'
- 15) The jurors were ignorant to the statute and followed the instructions of the administrator to find Zimmerman not guilty by the letter of the so-called "law"/ Statute
- 16) One juror gave an interview on how she felt guilty by finding Zimmerman innocent, but in her defense to the public she stated that the 'law' and the evidence did not support the prosecution charge of murder
- 17) Juror tampering is highly speculative, and by the admission of the Sheriff's department. The jurors were allowed unsupervised visits by their family and friends, which could influence the over-all verdict

What does the *author* of the Statute say?

"..."Stand Your Ground" Authors Say Law Doesn't Apply To Trayvon Martin Shooter

Two lawmakers who crafted the Stand Your Ground Law say the measure doesn't apply to the shooter of Trayvon Martin, a Miami teen shot by a neighborhood watch volunteer, and he should face charges.

"He has no protection under my law," said Former Sen. Durell Peaden, one of the law's authors, The Miami Herald reported. "They got the goods on him. They need to prosecute whoever shot the kid."

Peaden said that when George Zimmerman told dispatch that he was following Martin he lost his defense under the law." <http://www.change.org/petitions/prosecute-the-killer-of-our-son-17-year-old-trayvon-martin>

Interesting- the author of the Statute clearly states that by Zimmerman's own admission, he lost his defense, and yet the jury thought they were following the letter of the purported 'law'... as Wikipedia stated "...^[46] Circuit Judge Debra Nelson's instructions to the jury included the statement that he had no duty to retreat as per Florida's stand-your-ground law.^[47]"

The ignorance of the jurors, allowed the administrator-law-judge, Debra Nelson {who is at best, inept at her job or at worst, a manipulator of the court/corporation}, to dictate to them what her interpretation of the Statue is and for them to follow it like good" sheeple".

This court case sets a dangerous precedent that anyone in the state of Flori-DUH can actively pursue another person, kill them, and then claim self-defense.

A murderer is allowed to commit murder again under the protection of what people think the Statute says, all because of the jurors lacked education to know the difference between what is written vs. what is rumored....

Brought to you by Kangaroo Courts, Inc., -where the Maxim Motto is:-

He who would be deceived let him be deceived

Do you still think the old adage that "Ignorance is bliss", is indeed "blissful"?

For those that want just one more shred of evidence, I then must insist that you read this great article written by a Scientist who have PROVEN that all corporations lead back to the few, the elite, masters of manipulation:

"Revealed – the capitalist network that runs the world

AS PROTESTS against financial power sweep the world this week, science may have confirmed the protesters' worst fears. An analysis of the relationships between 43,000 transnational corporations has identified a relatively small group of companies, mainly banks, with disproportionate power over the global economy..."

"...Reality is so complex, we must move away from dogma, whether it's conspiracy theories or free-market," says James Glattfelder. "Our analysis is reality-based...."

“The Zurich team can. From [Orbis 2007](#), a database listing 37 million companies and investors worldwide, they pulled out all 43,060 TNCs and the share ownerships linking them. Then they constructed a model of which companies controlled others through shareholding networks, coupled with each company's operating revenues, to map the structure of economic power.

The work, to be published in *PLoS One*, revealed a core of 1318 companies with interlocking ownerships (see image). Each of the 1318 had ties to two or more other companies, and on average they were connected to 20. What's more, although they represented 20 per cent of global operating revenues, the 1318 appeared to collectively own through their shares the majority of the world's large blue chip and manufacturing firms - the "real" economy - representing a further 60 per cent of global revenues.

When the team further untangled the web of ownership, it found much of it tracked back to a "super-entity" of 147 even more tightly knit companies - all of their ownership was held by other members of the super-entity - that controlled 40 per cent of the total wealth in the network. "In effect, less than 1 per cent of the companies were able to control 40 per cent of the entire network," says Glattfelder. Most were financial institutions. The top 20 included Barclays Bank, JPMorgan Chase & Co, and The Goldman Sachs Group.”

“This is the first time a ranking of economic actors by global control is presented. Notice that many actors belong to the financial sector... and many of the names are well-known global players....

This means that they do not carry out their business in isolation but, on the contrary, **they are tied together in an extremely entangled web of control.**

This finding is extremely important since **there was no prior economic theory or empirical evidence regarding whether and how top players are connected.**

Our results show that, globally, **top holders are at least in the position to exert considerable control**, either formally (e.g., voting in shareholder and board meetings) or via informal negotiations.

It should be duly noted that **governments and natural persons are only featured further down in the list.**
<http://www.newscientist.com/article/mg21228354.500-revealed--the-capitalist-network-that-runs-the-world.html#UJETDT8uH8k>

The game is - divide and conquer – Do you still want to play? Or do you want to play another game?

“Truth is Singular, its versions are mistruths” *Cloud Atlas*, Film

As I reflect back on this paradigm, most of us were living in a vacuum (half-truths) and knowledge was only available to those that were born of certain status, power and wealth. I know that we were all born with unalienable rights endowed to us by our creator, **prime creator who is us, and we are it – one and the same -** . If we are prime creator incarnate, then who has the right to insert their so-called authority over

prime creator/us? So why is it we live in a very obvious hierarchy and continue to pay our energy, labor, and creativity into a slavery system meant to support the few?

When I observe nature, it thrives and exists on credit, supported by the prime creator, should we not be reflecting the same creative objective in our society? Meaning, when we are born, we should have “credit” in our accounts available to us, not debt, as that would reflect why prime creator gave us these bodies... I mean, I don’t see where I have prime creator to “pay” for this body to use it on earth... do you?

Do not our actions/inactions define who we are to each other, and the ‘value’ thereof?

What else is there besides each other/besides our relationships?

Trayvon Martin is one example of most injustices that happen every day in a court room... and when booty or loot is the dangling carrot to administer purported/promoted justice written by the corporations/attorney’s over the citizens/slaves, then true and absolute justice as we know it, will never be served; the administrator’s loyalty is to the hand that feeds them and not to those they administer.

Just recently in the news:

Disgraced south texas judge sentenced to prison

A former judge who turned his South Texas courtroom into a money-making operation was sentenced Wednesday to six years in prison followed by three years of supervised release. U.S. District Judge Andrew Hanen sentenced former state district Judge Abel Limas, 59, on one count of racketeering in Brownsville, on the border with Mexico.

In a tearful statement Limas made to the court before he was sentenced, he said that he willingly had done everything the government asked of him because as a former police officer, lawyer and judge, he knew the "writing was on the wall."

"I believe, judge, that I righted this wrong," Limas said, while apologizing for the damage he had done to the justice system. "It wasn't a mistake. I knew what I was engaging in." U.S. District Judge Andrew Hanen's sentence exceeded the 4 years requested by prosecutors.

Limas drew the FBI's attention in late 2007 as he neared the end of his second term in office. Investigators intercepted some 40,000 phone calls and collected surveillance photos documenting how Limas had converted his courtroom into a criminal enterprise, collecting bribes and kickbacks totaling \$257,000.

Limas pleaded guilty in 2011 and became the government's star witness in four related trials that shook Cameron County's justice system. He could have faced up to 20 years in prison but received credit for cooperation.

Former Cameron County District Attorney Yolanda De Leon, who was prosecuted in Limas' court by her successor in the DA's office, made an emotional statement before Limas' sentence was handed down. The charges against De Leon were later dropped. "Every single judge that sits in the state court now is suspect," De Leon said. "That is the legacy he has left."

After graduating high school, then college, where he majored in criminal justice, Limas followed his father — who became a top detective in his 40-year career — into the police force. Then he took his father's advice and aimed for something higher. "Since I was a kid I wanted to be a judge," Limas testified at one trial. He went to law school in Houston, returned to Brownsville and began practicing criminal defense.

In 2000, he ran for and won the election for the 404th District Court. He served two terms before losing in the 2008 Democratic primary. By then the married father of four was in trouble. He was hundreds of thousands of dollars in debt. He gambled — he estimated 30 trips to Las Vegas — he had kids in college and his \$8,000-per-month judge's salary wasn't covering it. He had let it be known among his attorney friends that he needed money.

Racketeering is a charge typically associated with organized crime. But in Limas' case, prosecutors said his courtroom was the criminal enterprise where he generated cash.

Limas took kickbacks from friends, accepting thousands of dollars for favorable rulings. In one case, he accepted \$5,000 in cash handed to him in a McDonald's bag by then Cameron County District Attorney Armando Villalobos, just to keep his mouth shut...."

"...Limas talked to the FBI more than three-dozen times and became federal prosecutors' star witness at all four trials that followed. He helped take down the sitting district attorney and a former state lawmaker, and cast doubt on a large chunk of the Cameron County bar. Even beyond the dozen people charged in the investigation, Limas implicated many more attorneys in his testimony for practices that were at a minimum unethical.... " "...Limas, who relinquished his law license..." http://www.cbsnews.com/8301-201_162-57599598/disgraced-south-texas-judge-sentenced-to-prison/

Former judge gets 28 years for scheme to unjustly jail youth

(CNN) -- A former Pennsylvania juvenile judge was sentenced to 28 years in prison Thursday after being convicted for a scheme to make millions off unjustly incarcerating young people, court officials said.

Former Luzerne County Judge Mark Ciavarella was also ordered by a federal judge in Pennsylvania to pay about \$1 million in restitution. The sentence was four times the 87 months sentence that Ciavarella and federal prosecutors had agreed to when he pleaded guilty to charges in 2009. But that plea deal was thrown out by a federal judge and the case went to trial.

Ciavarella was found guilty in February of 12 of 39 racketeering and fraud charges for accepting millions of dollars in bribes from friends who owned detention centers to which he sent juveniles. The case made national headlines when Ciavarella was confronted by a distraught mother outside a courtroom after his conviction.

Sandy Fonzo's 17-year-old son, Edward Kenzakowski, spent six months in a detention center after Ciavarella sentenced him for possession of drug paraphernalia. According to Fonzo, her son, who had no prior record, was never able to recover and eventually took his own life. "He (Ciavarella) killed his spirit," Fonzo said at the time, "He crushed him, and he didn't help him." Fonzo said her son was full of resentment and pent-up anger after being sent to the detention center. "He was just never the same," Fonzo said.

She said in February she came to the courthouse believing Ciavarella would be taken straight to jail. But when she found out he was going home and would not be sentenced until later, she was shocked and angered, and began shouting at Ciavarella.

Fonzo's confrontation was captured by television cameras. "Do you remember me?" Fonzo screamed lunging toward Ciavarella, "Do you remember my son?" she screamed again. "He's gone," she cried, "He shot himself in the heart, you scumbag!" <http://www.cnn.com/2011/CRIME/08/12/pennsylvania.judge.sentenced/index.html>

These judges are people, they put their pants on one-leg-at-a-time... they are like you and me... no different, no better, no more special us. It is time for us to hold up the mirror, reflect, and ask questions.

“First they ignore you, then they laugh at you, then they fight you, then you win.”

Mahatma Gandhi

-When we embrace, love, learn, and forgive the truth of our past, then begins the healing for our future and the embracing of true expression freedom and prosperous abundance-

-DO NO HARM-

Harm to One is Harm to All

A Reconciliation of Conscientiousness

-A new Governance is here-

The One People, a New Paradigm Part II

In gratitude and reverence of the UCC (Uniform Commercial Code) filings by the former trustee's of the former One People Public Trust of 1776, the old paradigm system doesn't exist anymore, and we are moving into a New Paradigm of BE-ing and DO-ing where we govern ourselves. This is a transition time for us all and it is a part of the organic shift that the Mayan's calendar predicted; that pictorial image represents our society going from pyramid/tetrahedron relationships to sphere/spherical relationships. We no longer support the few at the top; we support each other, equally. It is self-sustainable and healthy; with the sacred understanding that one is part of the whole and the whole is part of the one.

- the **rev**olution will not be televised -

The "Powers that Were" do KNOW and UNDERSTAND what has happened, but are powerless to do anything about it, and are still pretending that nothing has changed. We cannot look to our Masters to say: “yep, we had ya fooled, (insert ‘chortle... snort’ here) but since you figured it out, you have our blessing to fly away little bird and be free!” Nope, that hasn’t happened and that ain’t happening... we need to WAKE UP and gently, peacefully, STAND together as ONE and WALK AWAY from these insane usurpers of justice known as the 3rd party agents/inserters/organized-criminals/corporations.

Eventually most peoples of the earth will know that a new governance of truth, accountability, transparency, liability, responsibility is here, and that it has been in full affect by way of public notice on December 25, 2012 (Merry Christmas!). The new governance is based on a foundation in of equitable law and social conduct that is very clear to all and even those with the simplest of minds:

- Common Law
- The original Constitution of 1776 (this does represent all of us, not just the 3rd party agents)
- The Law of One

After many years of investigation, the former OPPT trustees published a report, the "Paradigm Report" (see attached to email). Their findings and research concluded that the corporations operating under the guise of the people's governments and financial systems were committing treason against the people of this planet without the people's knowing, willing, or intentional consent. With that evidence, former OPPT trustees created legal documents that would use the UCC, itself, to foreclose the entire slave system.

“...The (former) OPPT was created when the Trustees bonded themselves to – and as a result resumed – the trust that was framed in the original US Constitution; the (organic) constitution that was abandoned when the United States government was incorporated. The (former) OPPT then bonded every individual on the planet to this Trust as the Beneficiaries in equity, known as “the One People, created by The Creator.” By doing so, the Trustees framed a Trust that has a superior claim to any other – the Trust between the Creator and the “states of being” of Earth. The “states of being” of Earth are the beneficiaries of the Creator as the custodians of the Creator’s manifestations on Earth. **Lawfully speaking, there can be no higher claim than that of the One People’s Public Trust... except for one made by the Creator....”**

In 2012, the Trustees lodged a complex series of filings with the UCC on behalf of its Beneficiary, the One People. Full details of the (former) OPPT’s filings with the UCC can be found on their web site: <http://i-uv.com/>.

Be warned: it is very heavy legal reading and designed for the purposes of legal noticing and disclosure, not for communicating (former) OPPT’s actions or their implications to the general public. UCC filings are public records, and follow standard administrative processes. According to UCC rules, when facing a claim, an entity, in this case “the Debtor” is given the right of rebuttal. **If a rebuttal is not received within the required timeframe (72 hours) a default action then applies, followed by termination of that entity; in this case, on the grounds that it failed to rebut charges of treason by “the One People.**

The important thing to understand here is that a **UCC filing stands as law if it remains un-rebutted**. In this case, the (former) OPPT Trustees ensured they created a legal situation in which the individuals and entities that form “the Debtor,” mainly the corporate banks and corporate governments, had no ability to rebut. How could they? The claims of slavery are true. Of course, no rebuttal was received. The “Debtor” is therefore guilty of treason...” <http://understandingtheoppt.info/whatisoppt.shtml>

The UCC governs the laws of global commerce as stated already in the historic time-line given above and is officially recognized by the US, Inc. in 1951. Our attorneys that represented the BAR, and with the help of certain elites, crafted a code in which there are clear rules/policies and procedures in governing trade between all corporations anywhere in the world. This system is the supreme system of tracking and transfer to do business on a world platform.

The UCC is not harmful by itself, but like many other things in this world, **it has been used fraudulently as a tool to promote the slave system, and advance the agenda of the Commandeers.**



All governments of the world are corporations and all corporations have only ONE agenda:

To achieve what-ever it takes to survive at any cost to humanity and the environment and promote the highest profits by whatever legal/unlawful means necessary for the shareholders. YOU were NOT a shareholder of the US, Inc., you were only an employee- with **inalienable** (not **unalienable** granted by prime) rights only afforded to you by that corporation



The entire commercial system pivots around the axis of UCC law, as it is the “Bible” of commerce. The details of UCC law is not taught in most schools (Cornell University Law

School, <http://www.law.cornell.edu/ucc>), but remains exclusively within the domain of corporations/ivy league schools and their operatives, who must train their legal-department employees in UCC law in order to function properly– thus keeping the knowledge of this important mechanism mostly “in house.” Therefore it is not surprising that very few people understand how UCC works, and fewer understand how it has been used fraudulently to keep the people of the world enslaved.

“I will not be subjected to criminal abuse.” *Cloud Atlas*, Film

The Trustees, led by Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf, Hollis Randall Hillner, and Caleb Skinner, have performed colossal work on behalf of the betterment of humanity, they created a platform to guide in a "**New Paradigm**" for planet Earth.

What the trustees of the former OPPT have done is unique, exquisite, and seamless, dare I say . They managed to see the system for what it is and used the systems own legal remedies against itself.

- 1) They extensively researched the fraudulent systems used by the self-appointed commandeerer's, then used their **own legal system, the UCC** (Uniform Commercial Code), to write documents that were legal, un rebutted, and lawful; the documents still stand as Law, un rebutted to this day.
- 2) They understood the significance of the spiritual aspect and wrote the documents from that higher perspective of *universal truth*. They called it "taking it back to prime (creator)" and freeing all entities from the “Theatrics of Duality” known as the “Great Experiment”.

Not to get too esoteric, but the word ‘entities’ is used in the capacity of either

Taking form in a corporal body or Taking form without a corporal body.

This new governance applies to every entity(s) existing in different or unknown dimensional/density realities within prime creator's universe/solar system.

The end result was to foreclose all of the corrupt corporate governments, central world banks, and associated "big" banks," and fraudulent courts -**IN ALL REALMS OF OUR SOLAR EXSISTANCE**- which opened the door for us, The One People, to manifest the New Paradigm.

OPPT itself was officially dissolved on March 18, 2013 along with the UCC filing system itself. The work of the trustees themselves is complete. The documents that were filed, foreclosing on ALL corporations, are still on record. They are lawful and unrebutted to this very day. The UCC filings will for infinity (NUNC PRO TUNC, PRAETEREA PRETEREA latin for “then as now and hereafter”) be in effect, but there is no longer a trust or trustees.

By Heather’s own admission, the trust was only a tool and is no longer needed. The trustees are not, and never were interested in setting up a hierarchical control system.

Our gift is not only of true absolute freedom, but is an extension of faith to humanity to BE and DO for the highest good of all within clear guidelines of Common Law in which to operate.

We are BE-ing entrusted, with full faith, love and respect to create our own dream of a life together where 3rd party agents/inserters/corporations/ “the po-po” no longer exist!

Listed below are the results of the former OPPT filings:

- **All corporations are foreclosed and their assets re-claimed**
- **The wealth of our planet is reconciled, repurposed, and returned to the One People**
- **All fraudulent debts/loans ‘owed’ to fraudulent corporations is erased**
- **“The system” is terminated, that includes purported courts, governments, alphabet agencies (CIA, IRS, FBI, FDA, EPA, etc...), utilities, organized corporate churches (Vatican), military industrial complex, police agencies, educational centers/schools, and banks (and yes, that includes the big daddy banks of them all-International Monetary Fund and Bank of International settlements)**
- **The public record clearly states it**
- **The UCC filing stands as international law, unrebutted.**
- **By the system’s own terms/policy and procedures, it no longer exists**
- **We, the One People, to include our “partners of the dark”, are freed of the slavery system**

“You must be the change you wish to see in the world.”

Mahatma Gandhi

The tools that the former OPPT provided - the Uniform Commercial Code documents, Courtesy Notices, CVACS, I-UV Exchange are tools for all of our use, please see link: <http://i-uv.com/oppt-absolute/oppt-tools/> . The tools that the trustees left us will be useful for a while, but soon, we won't even need them, as we will know how to be with each other because it lives inside of us, and we couldn't think of commandeering our sister's and our brother's free-will, as that would reflect the limitations and paranoia that live inside us.

“Everything that has been bound, is unbound” Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf

We are living in a Lawful Land of accountability, transparency, liability, and responsibility, act accordingly and you will find harmony and support in your relationships with mother earth/father sky, and their inhabitants.

**-The dreams of domination by the few are no longer....
Limitlessly and tirelessly, we are the weavers of the new dreams for all-**

As we continue to learn about each other - the One People -, we continue to learn about our desired way of being and living in communities together. We continue to educate and spread the word to Earth humans and off-world entities, no-matter the density or dimensions; and to begin to use our freewill in whatever way we choose to help create the New Paradigm that our souls desire to manifest.

Are you making choices that based in separation (divide and conquer)? Or are you making choices based on the premise that we all are one?

I first heard these questions from Heather, the former trustee of the former OPPT, and I realized the significant healing power in those two questions, which makes me think about my desired outcome before I open my mouth with an irresponsible retort to my fellow humans *-I am currently still working on that aspect of me ;-).*

What are my words and actions creating in this moment with this person, and is it the outcome my soul desires and does it promote oneness?

-All had been reconciled-

We will not all agree on specific needs because we are different, but we do agree on respect, love, compassion, forgiveness, accountability, responsibility, liability, and transparency- that framework supports life's diversity – if we choose to work together respectfully, we all will achieve our inner-most desires.

You do not need to look outside of yourself, look within first and use your tools of resonance, then listen to other's needs that match your own, and create the blissful exciting picture from there... create -baby- create (BE and DO) and release all attachment for the highest good of all.

Some of you will be shocked to see how the universe will reflect and match you energetic vibrations; this is the way the universal laws work. This is one of several universal 'zoning laws' are supreme laws to earth's 'zoning laws'. In example, compare Newtonian physics to Quantum physics; they are paradoxical/ self-contradictory but are completely vibrationally uniform within the rules of universe/solar system- or they couldn't co-exist (duh)! The Universe will match vibration patterns- that's what it does, that's what it is doing! -Matching vibrational patterns and frequencies-

In truth, whether you are conscience of it or not, you have been in the past and are currently in the present creating magnetic coded frequencies within our DNA biotechnology that guides your manifestation of the future into your dimensional and/or density reality presently.

What DO you manifest?

This article has been an effort to help you understand more about the empowerment tools you have available to you. This not intended to be a complete course of the subject-matter, but more of a synopsis for YOU TO INVESTIGATE. If you want to own in your life- then learn it, understand it, know it, and by-god, LIVE IT.

<http://i-uv.com/>

Read sister-blogs or listen to blog-talk-radio below:

<http://briankellysblog.blogspot.com/>

<http://removingtheshackles.net/>

<http://americankabuki.blogspot.com/>

<http://kauilapele.wordpress.com/>

<http://i-uv.com/media/radio-shows/the-one-people-radio-show/>

<http://www.blogtalkradio.com/thecollectiveimagination>

When you become convinced of the value of the filings and deeply embrace the value of your eternal essence, voice your heart to others and release the new paradigm that lives within us all.

It is us who will change the world for the better. World governments have already proven that they will not.

A person who never made a mistake, never tried anything new.

Albert Einstein

-We are the ones we have been waiting for-

the one people

The New Paradigm concepts

- Complete reverence for all forms of life within and without all densities and dimensions of our solar system which includes the health of mother earth and the health of our father sky
- Complete transparency in business
- People living together with love, kindness, caring, compassion, understanding, and respect for each other's differences.
- Peacefully, people solving problems through cooperation
- Healing the water and the land of the planet
- Healing of mother earth's innocents – animals, insects, reptiles, and avian and aquatic species, etc...
- Militaries and their manufacturing of armaments will not exist, once we understand our abundance, sharing will become natural, as there is nothing to fight over
- Wars do not exist
- If the one people choose to have organizations serve the one people to help the engagement of business transactions then the tracking and transferring of goods and services will be done in full transparency (no secrets)
- People understand that we live in a universe that is prosperous and abundant, not one of scarcity
- True rehabilitation and healing for those members of society that require guidance and wisdom from compassionate wisdom teachers to function within the Common Law framework of the One People
- Free-energy technology is readily available to all for the benefit of all
- No toxic run-off of transportation
- Money or currency will eventually become unnecessary
- Power, greed, and competition are no longer a part of our societal structure or lifestyle
- No patents and a release of all data and all technology for the betterment of the one people's lives
- A truthful and transparent education of the real history of this planet
- The education of our biotechnology (purported junk DNA)

- Organic foods will again become available to all
- No pharmaceuticals, that has a no toxic run off to the body or the environment
- Our inner spiritual compass, our blueprint, our source guide is recognized, honored, and loved
- Social actions are executed for the benefit of all which affects all –no child, no adult, no life left behind

-Metamorphosis-

A human consciousness of **evolution**, the **love revolution**

An obvious parallel of our rebirthing process is the **consuming** caterpillar that evolves into butterfly.

Do you like consuming? Great!!! No, really, I mean it- no judgement- **gorge yourself and consume....** feel full yet?.... Let a day pass, feel full again, and again..... good, abundance feels good, doesn't it??

Now what?

Frankly, there are those that fear this new paradigm, as **though they are being forced to comply** with a new system that they did not agree to- I mean no disrespect when I say this, but it is humorous to witness this fear (*I went through it myself, I must admit*). The old paradigm tricked us, lied to us, and extorted us. The new paradigm is in full transparency and support... yes, the unknown can be scary, but “people please.....” use your tools of resonance and yer logic!

To force anyone into accepting this governance would mean that the new paradigm is NO DIFFERENT or NO IMPROVEMENT from the last old paradigm. To force anyone to do anything against their free-will would go against the very grain of the One People's ideology.

You do have a choice. No one is forcing you to be a part of the One People. If you choose to stay in the current slavery system, then great for you! You are supported in your decision by all, as it does not resonate with the one people to tell anyone how to BE or DO; and I know the ‘powers that were’ need your support, without it, they couldn't exist in the current hierarchal system, so do it, support them!

No pressure

-just BE and DO with what resonates internally and you are trusted by the ALL to find your way there-

Some of you are going to be mad, angry, and will want to express that through violent actions to our purported suppressors/oppressors, and I, as well, went through this phase... All I can say is, if you continue the same patterning/programming of violence, you are supporting the warring structure of the old paradigm and you will only feed that energy cycle. You have to understand, these dark entities that have helped us mature into beautiful butterflies, and they have done so by striking the stark contrast of the dark. They are our brothers and sisters. Yes, we have a plethora of unaccountable damages to the human race, but the lesson in and of its self, IS the award for the damages- so let's grow up, put down our score cards, and learn to get along.

Still pissed?

There is an interesting twist to this sordid ‘tale’ in US, Inc. history that the ‘powers that were’ did not anticipate.....

The elite never expected that ‘their own’ would checkmate..... their own.

Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf, was an attorney/esquire and carried a BAR card (she no longer carries it); she worked in banking and trade finance and was hired by the insiders of the system to investigate the fraud and clean up the system. After several years of investigation, (even using her own house/asset as an example to demonstrate fraud within the court/banking/corporations) Heather, with the help of bank/attorney insiders, decided rather than save a fraudulent system that was intentionally broken at its core, it would be in the highest good for all to tell the absolute truth in the celebration of a new found absolute freedom for all.

-All who has ears, hear it, all who has eyes, read it, and all who has mouths, speak it-

All who has hearts, know it

- You are Limitless -

One of ‘their attorney’s’ used a mighty pen as her executioner sword to severed the head from the beast of deception and duplicity. The Theatrics of Duality has finally come to an end....

- It is done - ALL IS DONE - the great experiment of duality is over -

-Applause, Applause, Brava, Brava!-

I thank those -our partners of the dark- that have taught us ‘contrast’; Our partners of contrast who have had a tough role to play, their appearance may be one of evil,... but on a deeper level, a much more spiritual level, they are our brothers and sisters, who have played the brilliant role as the commandeers, now, they can finally put their characters to rest.

I thank those - our partners of the light - Heather, Caleb, and Hollis, although at the helm of the ship, did not take this course of action alone, they had many nameless insiders to help them from within, they also had the help of outsiders, such as Lisa Harrison, Bob Wright, Brian Kelley, Chris Hales, ‘D’, Obi Wan

Kabuki, Terri Davis, and numerous, countless others –so many others- and I do not know their names, but I know that without them and their herculean actions, this opportunity to create a new life together, might have been tabled momentary, and that, in my humble opinion, is too, too late....

December 25, 2012, it has been a little over nine months since that the first public notice went viral. I went from excitement, to morning sickness, to dread, to blissfulness, to anxiety, eating a lot, to eating nothing, to throwing up, and - finally - to accepting what is - we are birthing a new paradigm –The One People- as Dave Chappelle would say, “It’s a celebration, bitches!” (*Cuban cigar, anyone?*)

So- one more ‘Heatherism’ And my diatribe will finally come to a close end

*“The resolution of Duality leads to the synthesis and synthesis is the One Life void of fragmentation”
Shine forth, The soul’s magical destiny*

My heart has most significantly shifted and continues its transform on a moment to moment basis in this remembrance

This remembrance was given to Heather, and she gracefully bequeathed us all with this little gem.

“In our galaxy, a long, long, time ago, prime creator of light was sitting in its own reflection of other light entities discussing new possibilities of experience. They ALL wanted to experience duality/contrast, but no one wanted to be the ‘bad guy’ and play the part of darkness. Finally, one entity said to the others, “I will do it, I will anchor in the darkness to assist in this experiment” The others paused momentarily... “I will do this, but only on one condition..... that when the experiment is over, you must promise to tell me you love me, for I will not remember how to come back home without your guidance of unconditional love.” And thus – they all agreed without hesitation - and the greatest play ever acted was set into motion, and has been fully, dully executed, and now come to a close.”

“Love is a measurable frequency” Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf



Within all of us, lies the beacon of light that guides us home

I can only imagine the emotions of those around North America regarding the verdict on the Zimmerman case; many of vengeance, revenge, hatred, angry, disappointment, sadness, despair, confusion, depression,....and the list goes on. I too must admit, that upon hearing the verdict, it was a tough piece of data to swallow. Simultaneously, I also saw the opportunity it provided to all of us. Trayvon Martin’s legacy will be of one that reminds all of us of just how broken and rotten our system is in the courts/corporations and it is time for us to do something about it, peacefully and cooperatively with each other.

I don't look at Zimmerman and think he is an evil man; I see a person who has a serious emotional disconnect to life. A human being would have to have a really big hole/void in their heart to take the life of another.

Being sentenced to death is not a deterrent, as this is proved to us over and over again every time murder is committed. The prison/rehabilitation systems have failed us, as being "locked up" is not a preventive to stop people from committing crimes.

If we choose to look deeper within, we might be able to come to terms with why this type of behavior continues to happen in our society without. It all comes down to love, truth, education, healing, and vibration... and within our purported prison/rehabilitation systems, love, truth, education, healing, are not available to those that are locked away and need it the most.

I do not pretend to have all the answers regarding the Zimmerman case or other cases for that matter. I learn and grow every day, as we all do, and I believe if we manifest wise healthy proactive minds to come together to start the ground work for think tanks regarding these types of issues; we would accomplish quickly and effectively healing for the victims, their families and the healing of the assaulters and their families.

We have for far too long just handed the responsibility over to someone else to "take care of it" and we wonder why our issues in society are not being taken care of...

This is a systemic problem. These degenerates, these derelicts of society are our problem –these people are our people- and until we address it as such, we will continue the same patterning/programming... and cycle of violence will continue to rear its ugly head.

Recourse and remedy are available, it is all within our control and it is how we choose to execute it. We must, as a healthy society:

1. Take responsibility for our own problems
2. Come together as a community to support healthy decisions –like TALKING to each other about solutions, not revenge
3. Voice our ideas together, respectfully and with love
4. Take action, and watch the results closely... if something is not working, throw it out, and introduce a new idea.

What practical applications can be used in cases like these? I will give one very unrefined and raw example:

The Common Law court system under the Constitution would look something like this: A trial would be put together. It would consist of three or five 'Judges'. As a community, we all would pick the Judges, who qualifications would be as follows:

1. Successfully executed unifying events for their community (they helped create free energy for their community, as an example)
2. Respects the community and its people above currency/money (it is the character of the person that means more to them than the hoarding of gold)

3. They would have high ethical and socially moral standards (Do under others as you would have done to you)
4. They would have much experience and wisdom in their years of being on this planet (some of our elders or some old souls that live in younger bodies, wisdom of another will resonate from within)
5. Their wisdom is reflected in their actions, they would hold absolute truth as their guidepost (The truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help me God)

This is the way some courts are run ... by posting up community members that all of us trust, to start the dissecting of the problem truthfully with the intent to restore of the community's wholeness.

Here are two examples of communities coming together to take responsibility for crime:

Crimes Against Humanity: Arrest Warrants Issued for Pope Benedict XVI, Queen Elizabeth, and Stephen Harper
<http://www.collective-evolution.com/2013/04/06/crimes-against-humanity-arrest-warrants-issued-for-pope-benedict-xvi-queen-elizabeth-and-stephen-harper/>

Bush, Blair found guilty of war crimes in Malaysia tribunal/ Judgment of the Court
http://exopolitics.blogs.com/breaking_news/2011/11/bush-blair-found-guilty-of-war-crimes-in-malaysia-tribunal-judgment-of-the-court-pdf.html

No attorneys are needed, as everyone can represent themselves, because the LAW is easy to understand and follow. Before all the legal mumbo-jumbo manipulation, law was passed down orally, from human to human. It was living law, so that everyone could function properly/prosperously within each other's parameters.

If a guilty verdict is given in the case of murder, one suggested way of handling the "sentencing" would be to have that guilty person pay for damages to that family who has suffered the loss... over a course of many years. Monetary value (or other types of these tools of representation) is given to the assaulted family and although it cannot replace the life lost, what it does support is the healing-time the assaulted family needs to come to terms with their loss, as they would not be required to work.

It would also serve as penance to the murder, as his labor and creativity will go to the benefit of the family whose life he has taken.

The guilty subject would be moved to a secluded place in NATURE, (not a prison compound) under the supervision of professionals who would give him his daily chores of taking care of himself and make him take care of animals and the agriculture. Personal and group therapy is given to him. He could have visits from his family and friends. Family therapy is offered as well.

Over a long period of consistent love and guidance- emotional changes of that prisoner should occur internally and externally. These can take many different creative forms, but the root that must take hold is truth, love, ethics, responsibility, and compassion. A person that is willing to humbly ask forgiveness and with raw emotional honesty to the family he damage, a person who is willing to change their patterns/programming so that they conduct themselves in a healthy way to their counterparts in society, a person who is willing to come back to their community to ask the community for forgiveness and to be re-admitted, could very well be a healed person.

And that has value to everyone. Results based on those changes of characteristics, would determine whether the prisoner is suitable for release.

I encourage you to use your imagination... it will be people like you who are not afraid to stand, speak, and change the way we all deal with such brutalities that curse our communities time-cycles,

What do our current rehabilitation/prison systems look like now and why wouldn't we just keep sending the degenerates there?

Rehabilitation: the restoration of someone to a useful place in society

When someone in our family, parents, siblings, or children, creates an action that is not truthful, lacks integrity, or is violent, in some cases, once the behavior is addressed and dealt with in a healthy way, we don't continue to hate them every day for what they have done; the love we have for them bridges past the disappointing momentary rift created.

This is, usually, most clear between parents and their children, both sides of the relationship create experiences (either, negative/unhealthy or positive /healthy vibrations) and they do/will conflict with each other. However, it is the love, the respect, and the patience by way of compassion that will create new patterns to open up new ways of communicating and therefore, new ways of BE-ing healthy in our communal relationships outside our families.

Our US prison wards, by way of their structure, procedures, protocols, and INTENT are flagrant contrasts to the real meaning rehabilitation. There is no rehabilitation, in fact, these prisoners are usually worse off when they come out and continue the cycle of violence, thief, rape, and murder. Why is that?

Let's have a microscopic look at our prison systems,

- 1) Most prison systems are privately owned
- 2) Some prison systems are privately/publicly owned
- 3) If prisons are both private/publicly owned, then the corporation that owns the prison receives the profits from the prisoners labor (i.e. the making of license plates for the DMV corporation, etc) and if also publicly owned, the tax payers pay for the costs to run the prison but receive no profits from the prisoners labor
- 4) Since there were no laws since 1933, *prior to the OPPT UCC filings*, all persons have unknowingly consented to going to prison by way of answering to their corporate fiction which gave the courts/corporations de facto jurisdiction over the person/the ward of the state
- 5) In most public schools, tests are given to 3rd graders, how the child responds to those answers will determine how many more prison cells the state will build
- 6) Depending on the code violation, once charged, the person must buy a bond, or sit in prison until the court date
- 7) Once the bond is bought by the person who has consented to be in prison, it is open to the market for wealthy private or corporate bulk bond buyers to review as to which bonds have more value. I.e. - Those that committed murder will have more value monetary attached to their bonds, than say those bonds that represent a third code violation such as drunk and disorderly.

- 8) An interest rate will apply to each bond, and upon maturity of the bond, the bond buyer will redeem the bonds to receive due profits.
- 9) The prison system insures the buyer's investment in bonds /persons are kept under lock and key, until the prisoner is release by the prison system itself...
- 10) The prison creates environments after the prisoners 'release' into society to ensure they continue to work in select few minimum paying jobs, with little to no other possibilities to rise above in the work force or society (*it is my speculation, that there is more bond flipping after release from prison, but I have no seen the evidence*)
- 11) Most prisoners are broken and have been institutionalized, or they continue the same patterning/cycling of behavior that lands them back into prison

Bonds are traded on their heads, and these bonds have more value than say our birth certificates that produced bonds, because these prison bonds have prison wards who make sure by physical enforcement that the prisoners labor will produce product and profit for the private owners/private shareholders. We, the former citizens/slaves were bonded by only our birth certificate and are less controllable and had more room to navigate than say a prisoner in a 3 by 5 cell.

Now, looking at it from a private wealthy bulk buyer of bonds point of view, which bonds have more value? The bonds that will ensure productivity by force of a prison guard? Or the bonds that are attached to a former citizen/slave that decides to drop out of the corporate work/slave force and not pay taxes.... If I were ruthless, I KNOW which bonds I would pick. I would then take my money and invest as a shareholder in those private prisons and in their companies that profit from prison work! (the revolving investment door) This is a drunkenly profitable win-win-win for all those that are in the "know"... and a reflection of their bank accounts would tell the same story.

Prison compounds/labor camps are very, very lucrative business for the coward, the shrewd, and the base.

"Reuters – Goldman Invests in NYC Jail Program –peHUB

Goldman Sachs will invest nearly \$10 million in a new York City jails program, using an innovative financial instrument in which private investments fund public social services, Mayor Michael Bloomberg said on Thursday. Goldman will create one of the nation's first "social service bonds" to help fund a new York City program that aims to lower the 50% recidivism rate among youthful offenders jailed at the Rikers Island correctional facility.

(Reuters) – Goldman Sachs will invest nearly \$10 million in a new York City jails program, using an innovative financial instrument in which private investments fund public social services, Mayor Michael Bloomberg said on Thursday.

Goldman will create one of the nation's first "social service bonds" to help fund a new York City program that aims to lower the 50 percent recidivism rate among youthful offenders jailed at the Rikers Island correctional facility.

Unlike similar proposals being developed elsewhere, most of Goldman's 'Rikers bond' will be guaranteed by Bloomberg Philanthropies, the mayor's philanthropic group, which will back \$7.2 million of the \$9.6 million investment the bank plans. Bloomberg called juvenile offender recidivism in new York City an "entrenched" problem. "Helping young people who land in jail stay out of trouble when they return home is one of the most difficult and important challenges we face," he said in a statement. The four-year program, in which private non-profit groups will provide education and intensive training and counseling to at-risk incarcerated youths, must reduce the recidivism rate by at least 10 percent for Goldman to recoup the investment.

If the recidivism rate drops further, Goldman could profit up to \$2.1 million beyond its original investment, according to Samantha Levine, a spokeswoman for the city. If the program fails to reduce recidivism by 10 percent, Goldman could lose \$2.4 million.

Social impact bonds partner local governments with non-profits and private investors in deals that require a government to pay out only if a social services group can meet a specified performance goal.

It is unclear how popular philanthropic bonds will be, given that profits depend on societal improvements. But the notion of social impact bonds is politically attractive: cash-strapped municipalities risk little on innovative social programs and investors benefit from being seen as socially conscientious.

Goldman Chairman Lloyd Blankfein said his firm hopes to drive more private investors toward similar public-private philanthropic partnerships."

"we believe this investment paves the way for a new type of instrument that enables the public sector to leverage upfront funding from the private sector," Blankfein said in a statement issued on Thursday.

The notion of social impact bonds was first tested two years ago in Peterborough, England. In 2010, the British government agreed to pay 5 million pounds, about \$8 million, to a non-profit organization to reduce the recidivism rate at Peterborough's city prison.

Earlier this week, Massachusetts awarded contracts to two non-profit groups to create programs to address homelessness and juvenile crime." <http://bailbonds.cc/?p=23738>

This carefully crafted article is what we call 'Public Relations'; how to notify and sell the public on private investors profiting off of the prison industry and their victims.

America's Prison Industry #1 in World, #2 in U.S. Business!

"A total of 18 private firms are involved in the running of local jails, private prisons and immigration detention centers. It is estimated that firms such as Goldman Sachs and Merrill Lynch write between \$2-3 billion in prison constructions bonds every year. This has led some commentators to suggest that the United States is effectively creating a prison-industrial complex in much the same way as the military-industrial complex operates.

With the economic restructuring of America, politicians found it necessary to address domestic anxieties, Parenti suggests and this "required scapegoats, a role usually filled by new immigrants, the poor and people of color". The cost of building jails has averaged \$7 billion per year for the last decade and the annual bill for incarcerating prisoners is up to \$35 billion annually. The prison industry employs more than 523,000 people, making it the country's biggest employer after General Motors. Some 5% of the population growth in rural areas between 1980 and 1990 was as a result of prisoners being moved into new rural jails

Consider the growth of the Corrections Corporation of America, the industry leader whose stock price has climbed from \$8 a share in 1992 to about \$30 today and whose revenue rose by 81 per cent in 1995 alone. Investors in Wackenhut Corrections Corp. have enjoyed an average return of 18 per cent during the past five years and the company is rated by Forbes as one of the top 200 small businesses in the country. At Esmor, another big private prison contractor, revenues have soared from \$4.6 million in 1990 to more than \$25 million in 1995.

In addition to the companies that directly manage America's prisons, many other firms are getting a piece of the private prison action. American Express has invested millions of dollars in private prison construction in Oklahoma and General Electric has helped finance construction in Tennessee. Goldman Sachs & Co., Merrill Lynch, Smith Barney, among other Wall Street firms, have made huge sums by underwriting prison construction with the sale of tax exempt bonds, this now a thriving \$2.3 billion industry."

"Last AST year a videotape of beatings at a private correctional facility in Texas provoked a great deal of controversy. The tape showed correctional officers at the Brazoria County Detention Center kicking inmates who were lying on the floor, shooting inmates with a stun gun, and ordering a police dog to attack them. The inmates had been convicted of crimes in Missouri, but were occupying rented cells in rural Texas. One of the correctional officers in the video had previously lost his job at a Texas state prison and served time on federal charges for beating an inmate. The Brazoria County videotape received nationwide publicity and prompted Missouri to cancel its contract with Capital Correctional Resources, the private company operating the facility. But the beatings were unusual only because they were captured on tape. Incidents far more violent and surreal have become almost commonplace in the private prisons of Texas."

"Who Profits?"

Prior to the 1970s, private corporations were prohibited from using prison labor as a result of the chain gang and convict leasing scandals. But in 1979, Congress began a process of deregulation to restore private sector involvement in prison industries to its former status, provided certain conditions of the labor market were met.

(PDF Document)

<https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles/workampr.pdf>

Over the last 30 years, at least 37 states have enacted laws permitting the use of convict labor by private enterprise, with an average pay of \$0.93 to \$4.73 per day.

<http://www.prisonpolicy.org/prisonindex/prisonlabor.html>

(PDF Document)

<http://www.tombender.org/columns/PRISON%20BAIT.pdf>

Federal prisoners receive more generous wages that range from \$0.23 to \$1.25 per hour, and are employed by Unicor, a wholly owned government corporation established by Congress in 1934.

<http://www.urbanhabitat.org/node/857>

<http://www.unicor.gov>

Its principal customer is the Department of Defense, from which Unicor derives approximately 53 percent of its sales.

http://www.bop.gov/inmate_programs/unicor.jsp

Some 21,836 inmates work in Unicor programs.

(PDF Document)

http://www.unicor.gov/information/publications/pdfs/corporate/CATMC1101_C.pdf

Subsequently, the nation's prison industry – prison labor programs producing goods or services sold to other government agencies or to the private sector — now employs more people than any Fortune 500 company (besides General Motors), and generates about \$2.4 billion in revenue annually.

<http://www.alternet.org/wiretap/41481>

http://www.dlc.org/ndol_ci.cfm?kaid=108&subid=900003&contentid=255055

Noah Zatz of UCLA law school estimates that:

<http://ssrn.com/abstract=1075842>

"Well over 600,000, and probably close to a million, inmates are working full-time in jails and prisons throughout the United States. Perhaps some of them built your desk chair: office furniture, especially in state universities and the federal government, is a major prison labor product. Inmates also take hotel reservations at corporate call centers, make body armor for the U.S. military, and manufacture prison chic fashion accessories, in addition to the iconic task of stamping license plates."

Some of the largest and most powerful corporations have a stake in the expansion of the prison labor market, including but not limited to IBM, Boeing, Motorola, Microsoft, AT&T, Wireless, Texas Instrument, Dell, Compaq, Honeywell, Hewlett-Packard, Nortel, Lucent Technologies, 3Com, Intel, Northern Telecom, TWA, Nordstrom's, Revlon, Macy's, Pierre Cardin, Target Stores, and many more. Between 1980 and 1994 alone, profits went up from \$392 million to \$1.31 billion.

<http://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=8289>

Since the prison labor force has likely grown since then, it is safe to assume that the profits accrued from the use of prison labor have reached even higher levels.

In an article for Mother Jones, Caroline Winter details a number of mega-corporations that have profited off of inmates:

<http://motherjones.com/politics/2008/07/what-do-prisoners-make-victorias-secret>

"In the 1990s, subcontractor Third Generation hired 35 female South Carolina inmates to sew lingerie and leisure wear for Victoria's Secret and JCPenney. In 1997, a California prison put two men in solitary for telling journalists they were ordered to replace 'Made in Honduras' labels on garments with 'Made in the USA.'"

According to Winter, the defense industry is a large part of the equation as well:

"Unicor, says that in addition to soldiers' uniforms, bedding, shoes, helmets, and flak vests, inmates have 'produced missile cables (including those used on the Patriot missiles during the Gulf War)' and 'wiring harnesses for jets and tanks.' In 1997, according to Prison Legal News, Boeing subcontractor MicroJet had prisoners cutting airplane components, paying \$7 an hour for work that paid union wages of \$30 on the outside."

Oil companies have been known to exploit prison labor as well. Following the explosion of the Deepwater Horizon rig that killed 11 workers and irreparably damaged the Gulf of Mexico for generations to come, BP elected to hire Louisiana prison inmates to clean up its mess.

<http://www.thenation.com/article/37828/bp-hires-prison-labor-clean-spill-while-coastal-residents-struggle>

Louisiana has the highest incarceration rate of any state in the nation, 70 percent of which are African-American men. Coastal residents desperate for work, whose livelihoods had been destroyed by BP's negligence, were outraged at BP's use of free prison labor.

In the Nation article that exposed BP's hiring of inmates, Abe Louise Young details how BP tried to cover up its use of prisoners by changing the inmates' clothing to give the illusion of civilian workers. But nine out of 10 residents of Grand Isle, Louisiana are white, while the cleanup workers were almost exclusively black, so BP's ruse fooled very few people.

Private companies have long understood that prison labor can be as profitable as sweatshop workers in third-world countries with the added benefit of staying closer to home. Take Escod Industries, which in the 1990s abandoned plans to open operations in Mexico and instead moved to South Carolina, because the wages of American prisoners undercut those of de-unionized Mexican sweatshop workers.

http://www.blythe.org/nytransfer-subs/99lab/Prison_Labor:_A_Facelift_for_Slavery

The move was fueled by the state, which gave a \$250,000 "equipment subsidy" to Escod along with industrial space at below-market rent. Other examples include Ohio's Honda supplier, which pays its prison workers \$2 an hour for the same work for which the UAW has fought for decades to be paid \$20 to \$30 an hour; Konica, which has hired prisoners to repair its copiers for less than 50 cents an hour; and Oregon, where private companies can "lease" prisoners at a bargain price of \$3 a day. *By Samuel Adams*

http://prospect.org/cs/articles?article=captive_labor <http://www.dotandcalm.com/calm-archive/index/t-4473.html>

“Wells Fargo’s prison cash cow

The bailed-out bank has used its taxpayer money to invest in private prisons

Wells Fargo is one of the top five largest banks in America, a fact that on its own is damning enough, basic human decency not exactly being conducive to success in the financial industry. Despite, or rather because of, its role as one of the leading sub-prime mortgage lenders prior to the 2008 crash in the housing market, the bank was handed \$37 billion from the U.S. government, a transfer of wealth from the foreclosed upon have-nots to the haves doing the foreclosing – people like chairman and CEO John Stumpf, whose compensation actually rose after his company’s de facto bankruptcy to a cool \$18 million last year.

<http://www.seiu.org/a/profilewells.php>

As Wells Fargo has grown over the years, using its bailout funds to gobble up rival Wachovia and expand to the East Coast, so has the U.S. prison population. By 2008, one in 100 American adults were either in jail or in prison – and one in nine black men between the ages of 20 and 34, many simply for non-violent offenses, justice not so much blind as bigoted.

<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/story/2008/02/28/ST2008022803016.html>

Overall, more than 2.3 million people are currently behind bars, up 50 percent in the last 15 years, the land of the free now accounting for a full quarter of the world’s prisoners.

These developments are not unrelated. A driving force behind the push for ever-tougher sentences is the for-profit prison industry, in which Wells Fargo is a major investor. Flush with billions in bailout money and an economic system designed to siphon wealth from the working class to the idle rich, Wells Fargo has been busy expanding its stake in the GEO Group, the second largest private jailer in America.

<http://charliedavis.blogspot.com/2011/09/end-of-loser-liberalism-and-myth-of.html>

At the end of 2011, Wells Fargo was the company’s second-largest investor, holding 4.3 million shares valued at more than \$72 million.

<http://finance.yahoo.com/q/mh?s=GEO+Major+Holders>

By March 2012, its stake had grown to more than 4.4 million shares worth \$86.7 million.

<http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/923796/000119312512129863/d284491ddef14a.htm>

Unfortunately, it’s a safe investment. While a 50 percent growth in the number of human beings our society cages in rape factories may sound impressive – or perhaps the word is “revolting” – a study released last year by the Justice Policy Institute found that the private prison industry grew by more than 350 percent over the last decade and a half.

By Charles Davis http://www.justdetention.org/en/learn_the_basics.aspx

<http://www.justicepolicy.org/research/2614>”

“How lawmakers and lobbyists keep a lock on the private prison business

Early in August, the Associated Press reported that America’s three largest private prison companies, the Corrections Corporation of America (CCA), GEO Group, Inc and Management and Training Corp spent in the region of \$45m over the past 10 years in lobbying state and federal governments. During the same period, these companies saw their profits soar as they scored more government contracts.

<http://www.usatoday.com/news/nation/story/2012-08-02/immigration-prison/56689394/1>

<http://www.thecca360.com>

During the same period, various pieces of legislation got passed ensuring that immigrant detention, in particular, would remain a lucrative growth market. The companies get defensive, however, if anyone attempts to draw a connection between their lobbying efforts and their booming businesses. But whatever the purpose of the lobbying,

the very fact that these companies, which perform a public service using taxpayer funds, are first and foremost profit-making entities highlights the flawed incentivisation of the private prison model and its growing presence in the American criminal justice system.

I'll get to the lobbying in a moment, but first let's have a look at that flawed incentive. Thanks to mandatory sentencing laws and the "war on drugs", the prison population has exploded over the past 30 years – to the point where it has become an untenable burden on state budgets. As a result, many state lawmakers have begun to look at ways to reduce their prison populations. This is good for society, as needlessly locking people up for excessive periods for nonviolent crimes has proven to be counter-productive and cost-prohibitive – not to mention inhumane.

This is terrible news for the private prison business, however, as they are reliant on state and federal governments to provide them with their customer base: that is, bodies to fill their cells.

The CCA highlighted its concerns over this potential downturn in demand for services in its Annual Report to shareholders in 2010:

<http://ir.correctionscorp.com/phoenix.zhtml?c=117983&p=irol-reportsannual>

"The demand for our facilities and services could be adversely affected by the relaxation of enforcement efforts, leniency in conviction or parole standards and sentencing practices or through the decriminalization of certain activities that are currently proscribed by our criminal laws. For instance, any changes with respect to drugs and controlled substances or illegal immigration could affect the number of persons arrested, convicted, and sentenced thereby potentially reducing demand for correctional facilities to house them."

As it turns out, the CCA is doing just fine. Its revenue in 2010 was a record \$1.67bn, an increase of \$46m from 2009. Half of that revenue came from contracts with states, and 43% of it came from federal contracts with the US Marshals, the Bureau of Prisons and ICE. Since 9/11, the number of immigrants held in detention has grown exponentially, and the number held in private prisons (not just the CCA's facilities) has increased during that period by 206%, according to a report by the Sentencing Project.

(PDF Document)

http://sentencingproject.org/doc/publications/inc_Dollars_and_Detainees.pdf

The companies maintain that their lobbying efforts have nothing to do with this expansion and insist that it is their policy to "expressly prohibit their lobbyists from working to pass or oppose immigration legislation", such as the Arizona immigration bill SB1070, which provides for the mandatory detention of immigrants who cannot produce papers on request. In an email to me, the CCA's spokesperson, Steve Owen, stated his company's position as follows:

"Allow me to strongly reiterate that it is CCA's longstanding corporate policy not to take positions on any legislation at any level of government involving detainment, crime or sentencing policies."

Since it is not to influence custodial policy, where are the private prison firms spending those millions of lobbying dollars? On a state level, it's difficult to ascertain exactly where the funds are directed, as each state has different disclosure requirements for lobbyists. But a report compiled by the Justice Policy Institute issued in 2011 and using data from the National Institute on Money in State Politics found that between 2003 and 2010, the CCA contributed a total of \$1,552,350 to state election campaigns, with its efforts concentrated in California, Florida and Georgia. (Of these contributions, approximately half was to candidates, more than a third was to party committees and around one tenth was spent on ballot measures.) <http://www.justicepolicy.org/research/2614>

Contracts with the state of California account for 13% of the CCA's total revenue, and Georgia is home to the CCA-run Stewart Detention Center, the largest immigrant detention center in the country.

At a federal level, it is much easier to find out exactly where lobbying efforts have been directed. For instance, on the federal government's official lobbying disclosure website, it is possible to do a search to see exactly how much each private prison company has spent in any given year on lobbying efforts, and to find the specific issues they lobbied on. So, in this CCA lobbying report from 2008, it clearly states in box 16 that the "specific lobbying issues" were the "HR1889 (Private Prison Information Act); all provisions and HR1890 (Public Safety Act); all provisions."

<http://soprweb.senate.gov/index.cfm?event=>

The purpose of the latter bill, HR1890, was to "ensure that the incarceration of inmates is not provided by private contractors or vendors and that persons charged with or convicted of an offense against the US shall be housed in facilities managed and maintained by federal, state or local governments".

<http://www.govtrack.us/congress/bills/110/hr1890>

The bill died in committee. So the CCA lobbied and came out on the winning side against a bill that would have ended privatization of prison services. Whether that fits with the CCA's stated policy of not taking positions on "any legislation at any level of government involving detainment" may be moot, for I'm sure its shareholders would feel that those were lobbying dollars well spent.

The private prison companies insist that their lobbying and campaign donations are above board and legally compliant. They are corporations in the business of making profit, and so you cannot really blame them for doing whatever it takes to achieve that end.

It is their political enablers, the lawmakers who accept millions of dollars from these corporations, who ought to be doing some serious soul-searching. Of all the public services to be outsourced, incarceration, where the state deprives a person of their liberty and assumes responsibility for his or her mental and physical well-being, is not one to be auctioned for campaign contributions. By Sadhbh Walshe

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/commentisfree/2012/sep/27/lawmakers-lobbyists-keep-lock-private-prison-business>

Do you think for one moment they care if this prisoner, this “menace to society” rehabilitates into a healthy human being?

Yes, THEY CARE, they care very much that the prisoner DOES NOT rehabilitate – they are consciously creating an environment that is only conducive to induce more criminal behavior... so that the profits from their labor keep rolling in, as cheap as possible... all folded into a neat little package where the tax payer carries the burden of the prisoners living expenses.

Now, let's rethink that “3 strike's rule and you're out” again, shall we? I have read in newspapers of many former citizens/slaves committing a 3rd offense (stealing bread because they or their family hasn't eaten), and going to prison for over 10 years or their lifetime!

Is that equitable punishment??

Do you think it is a miscalculation, that over 80 percent of our prisoners are black?

Do you think it is a miscalculation, that most persons who go to prison are poor and uneducated?

Do you think it is a miscalculation, that those that have gone to prison most always return?

So –in essence- the former citizens/slaves pay for taxes to support the prisoner’s lifestyles/labor and support the profits of the wealthy private corporations that represent a few. Now, if the former citizens/slaves were caught breaking a legal Act, Code, or Statue, then our policy officers (paid by our tax dollars), will arrest us and charge us (remember, there are over 60 million codes and statutes written). Upon being charged, the citizen/slave unknowingly consents to jurisdiction within the court/corporation process (that our tax dollars pay for), depending on the violation, we may be forced to pay for a bond (with our own legal tender) or sit in prison. Once convicted, either a fine or imprisonment will occur. If imprisoned, we are then expected to do as told until parole, and for doing what we are told, we get to make somewhere in the neighborhood of 40 cents a hour.

This isn’t rehabilitation; this is a labor camp, and we, the former citizens/slaves are paving/paying the way for our own demise.... You get it, don’t you? You were bonded (bondage) when you were born through your birth certificate paying taxes into a system that entraps you, (unknowingly consent) in the hopes you are the next potential prisoner (which you pay for, this time around), whose hours of labor can be stolen, monitored, and enforced by mental and physical abuse upon conviction and incarceration.

Sweet deal for the private side, huh?

Most of us agree if we really look at all sides of the Zimmerman/Martin case that, George Zimmerman is guilty of murder, as Zimmerman, an adult, was the only one with a gun who actively pursued a unarmed boy who was only 30 steps from his property.

Do you think Zimmerman would get the kind of help that he needs if sent to one of these labor camps? Let’s call it what it is- our prison is NOT rehabilitation, they are labor camps, meant to continue the cycle of punishment, degradation, violence, and profiteering for the few. This is Big, Big Business we are talking...and business of predators who are salivating at the chance to lock you up.

The only way, we are going to stop this insane violence in our society is to take the responsibility back to the people. What we vibrate is only a mere reflection of what lives outside of us now.

I am not saying that when one person commits murder, we are all murderers... what I am saying is that we do nothing to take the necessary, truthful, and healing steps to correct unhealthy and destructive behaviors that exist in our society.

It is pretty simple in some ways, if you are taking someone else’s life, then you have a real lack of value of your own life. I say this in the most loving way- they are the ones that need our love the most... and as we heal them, we heal ourselves... and all comes into connected conscience of wholeness, harmony, and support.

There are great examples of rehabilitation centers. And I will use Norway as an example where they take their violent convicted criminals to an island- out of cities and countries. On the island there are houses where the prisoners live, without bars, and are free to come and go as the please as long as they stick with the rules of the island. There are no prison guards walking around with guns (although the guns are available to them if need be). The prisoners are tasked with taking care of the animals of the farm, taking care of the foliage, veggies, fruit trees, and each other. Once it is determined that rehabilitation did take effect, the prisoner, under supervision, is allowed back into society with all experiences available to them as any other member of society. From what I have read and understand, no prisoner from that island has

ever returned as a prisoner, if they do, it is their own personal calling to help the other criminals rehabilitate.

Yearly, Norway's homicide rate is 0.69 percent per 100,000 people, versus the US's homicide rate which is 4.7 percent per 100,000 people.

Those persons that commit these atrocities are emotionally-ill and desperate. Do you think that by sending them labor camps to be taken advantage of by racketeering profiteers, they will come back into society balanced?

Norway's policy is to have the prisoners take care of the innocents (animals), and to re-establish a connection of love, respect, and compassion. Once the bond of love is there, an emotional imprint is made, and the course, of that prisoner's integrity takes a very different turn, and very attractive turn that supports societal structure.

-One cannot be compassionate if one does not know what compassion is-

Does our corporate structure reflect compassion and love? - *I'm not sayin, I'm just sayin.....*

I could postulate that some would view me as too sympathetic to murderers like George Zimmerman and they are fully within their opinions to think so...

My rebuttal? I would only hold up a mirror, and ask two simple questions

- 1) What education and research supports their opinions?
- 2) Do the results match their opinions?

If the results from a corporate system fail us, then why do we continue to repeat the same cycles of failure, time and time again?

Insanity: doing the same thing over and over again and expecting different results. Albert Einstein

I had coffee table conversations with others regarding Zimmerman since the verdict and some very interesting items have been brought into focus for us:

- 1) George Zimmerman has been pulled over twice for speeding, he was ticketed on one, and let go on another
- 2) Zimmerman's wife, Shellie had supposedly told him that she was leaving their marriage the day before he murdered Martin
- 3) Zimmerman's wife has filed for a divorce "... Shellie Zimmerman told ABC News last week her husband was "selfish" and has been making "reckless decisions ... In my opinion, he feels more invincible" since his acquittal..."
- 4) Zimmerman allegedly punched his wife's father in the face and broke her iPad
- 5) Shellie Zimmerman's father and Zimmerman "put hands on each other" but there were no injuries, a team of forensics are restoring the iPad to see the data
- 6) Shellie Zimmerman called 911 and told them that George Zimmerman had his hand on a gun and she thought he was capable of anything (*turns out, there was no gun found and no charges are being pressed*)

- 7) George Zimmerman has been involved with a domestic case at least once before. In 2005, Zimmerman's former fiancée filed for a restraining order against Zimmerman, alleging domestic violence.
- 8) Zimmerman is asking the tax payers of the State of Florida to pay \$200K-\$300K for his legal bills.
- 9) Zimmerman's defense fund has raised \$314,099.17 (*what corporations gave?*)
- 10) An obtained a copy of George Zimmerman's application to join the Seminole County Sheriff's Office Citizens Law Enforcement Academy states that: Zimmerman wrote that his "father is a retired Magistrate Judge for the supreme court (sic) of Virginia."
 "...Kristi Wright with the Department of Legislative and Public Relations wrote us this email in response: "Robert J. Zimmerman served as a full-time magistrate from 2000-2006. Please be advised that in Virginia magistrates are judicial officers, but they are not considered "judges" and do not possess trial jurisdiction..."
<http://www.abccactionnews.com/dpp/news/crime/zimmerman-dad-worked-as-magistrate#ixzz2eXTt361A>
- 11) George Zimmerman, Son of a Retired Judge, Has 3 Closed Arrests
 "...According to a records search on George, he was previously arrested for domestic violence, resisting an officer without violence and most shockingly, resisting an officer *with* violence — a felony charge that surely could have landed him in prison..."
- 12) Martin family attorney Natalie Jackson raised serious questions about the role of George Zimmerman's father, Robert Zimmerman.
 "...Jackson noted that Robert Zimmerman was a retired magistrate judge who "issued warrants" and knows "what probable cause needs to be" to justify an arrest. His presence at the questioning, according to Jackson, was unusual and potentially inappropriate because "we don't know what coaching went on..."
<http://thinkprogress.org/justice/2012/04/03/457593/trayvonmartin-family-attorney-questions-robert-zimmerman/>

There are many comments I could make but more importantly, I just have one question for you, the reader:

If Trayvon Martin's Dad was a retired magistrate of the court, do you think George would have walked away a free man or do you think George would be doing time?

"It's good to be the King"

History of the World Part I, film Mel Brooks, writer- producer

KNOWLEDGE *is* POWER

Some of you will be fearful, but that is only "False Evidence Appearing Real"... don't fall for the hyperbole around "fear porn"; it is only there to confuse you and control you; the 'powers that were' are counting on you to pay fear energy into material and matter that serves them *only*.


Yes, some of you will be angry and rightfully so! I was really angry and hurt, as well; however, that is a cycle of destruction that we as humans have looped on FOR MILLENNIUMS (hint:

bankers that fund ALL WARS are counting on you to be angry, and they want you to war/fight/hate/divide-don't do it, as it is a trap). Be truthful to yourself about your feelings, see the opportunity, and let go of the anger, and fear... find the love that is just right below the surface, as that is who you really are.....

One must self- audit truthfully, process, let go, **forgive**, and fall back in love with this beautiful life you have been so blessed with AND THINK AND ACT DIFFERENTLY....

You have an opportunity in front of you.... and all the answers lie inside your heart, your joy, your bliss- create from that space, and stay locked onto the excitement of that picture and you will pull in the answers/direction you need, as your best friend is Prime Creator – waiting to joyfully, blissfully match you and take you to the next destination. Pay energy into your joy, your love, your bliss, your happiness, your peace, and your heart's limitless desires and creativity –PAY ENERGY INTO YOU-

What you do choose?



— John Lame Deer, *Lame Deer, Seeker of Visions*

“Our lives are not our own. From womb to tomb, we are bound by others, past and present, and by each crime and every kindness, we birth our future” *Cloud Atlas*, film

Who are you? What do you stand for? Where do you come from? How do you love? Why do you love? How do you BE and DO with yourself and others? Do you act with responsibility? Do you act with transparency? Do you act with compassion and love? Do you act in full liability and accountability? What are your gifts? Why are you here? When do you express your passion? What is your life's purpose?

All answers lie within your heart, and all you need to do is to cultivate the nurturing, be honest, and know this is your purpose, and you, as prime creator, are supported in full faith and trust (*why else would you be 'given' this cool body?*). Mother earth, our sacred feminine, and Father Sky, our sacred masculine, are holding the safety net- ...so jump.... And ride the wave of absolute freedom and creativity, as there are others are waiting to be inspired by you.

I love you, I love you, and I love you –the more I BE, DO, and SPEAK all in the name of love and truth, the more I expand and you expand with me... you are precious, you are valuable, you all are eternal essence embodied absent limits.

It is with deep respect that I humbly thank you for your time you gave to read this essay, your sister in love, truth, transparency, harmony, integrity, responsibility, liability, accountably, and compassion, Tamara Marie from the family of the Davis tribe of Eternal Essence Embodied known as a fractal of the whole - **Prime Creator/ I AM I -.**

-a special thanks to Hurricane kitty, Katrina Renee Phillips - the love of my life- her love/editing knows no bounds of support-



- SHANTI, SHANTI, SHANTI -

MAY PEACE FOR THE ONE PEOPLE REIGN, WITHIN AND WITHOUT

- NUNC PRO TUNC, PRAETEREA PRETEREA -

