	PRAECIPE FOR ORDER OF DISMISSAL OR FOR ORDER OF DECLATORY JUDGMENT	
TO: Alleged (CLERK), with reported address of [a	ddress];	
TO: Alleged (JUDGE), with reported address of [address];		
FROM: I AM, eternal essence, in body, also perceived as Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf, with ref. no.'s IAM-hatj-07301972 and DODD-IAM-hatj-07301972, all restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, without prejudice, nunc pro tunc, praeterea preterea, also perceived as alleged "Defendant" in the above referenced purported case;		
PRAECIPE: YOU WILL, DULY DECLARE AN prejudice, for the document purporting to be a control of the document purp	omplaint, with alleged case number e cause, as matter of law, fact and public policy, JECTION WITHOUT DISHONOR BY I AM, restated and incorporated by reference as if set uly given herein; Or, D ENTER ORDER OF DECLARATORY	
	due cause, as a matter of law, fact and public OF REJECTION WITHOUT DISHONOR BY I 1972, restated and incorporated by reference as	
I. The document, with alleged reference number complaint in this jurisdiction against the alleged def		
II. Article II, restated, for an alleged debt;		
III. Articles I-II, restated, from an alleged financial	instrument with reference number;	

IV. Articles I-III, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks the required lawful and due identification of the alleged Defendant to make a complaint against said alleged Defendant in this jurisdiction;
V. Articles I-IV, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks the required lawful and due identification of(alleged plaintiff's) identification to make a lawful complaint against the alleged Defendant in this jurisdiction;
VI. Articles I-V, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks the required lawful and due identification of(alleged plaintiff's) authority to make a lawful complaint against the alleged Defendant in this jurisdiction;
VII. Articles I-VI, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks the required lawful and due identification of(alleged plaintiff's) principle of law to make a lawful complaint against the alleged Defendant in this jurisdiction;
VIII. Articles I-VII, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks the required lawful and due indorsement of(alleged plaintiff) to make a lawful complaint against the alleged Defendant in this jurisdiction;
IX. Articles I-VIII, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks the required lawful and due knowing, willing and intentional written consent of the alleged Defendant to make a lawful complaint against the alleged Defendant in this jurisdiction;
X. Articles I-IX, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks a required lawful and due contract by and between the alleged Defendant to make a lawful complaint against the alleged Defendant in this jurisdiction;
XI. Articles I-X, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks the required lawful and due identification of the specific and particular principle of law that gives this jurisdiction lawful authority over the alleged Defendant, inclusive of the Value of the alleged Defendant;
XII. Articles I-XI, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks a required lawful and due knowing, willing and intentional written consent of the alleged Defendant to this Court to have authority and jurisdiction over said alleged Defendant, inclusive of the Value of the alleged Defendant;
XIII. Articles I-XII, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks a required lawful and due contract by and between the alleged Defendant and this Court, lawfully granting said Court authority and jurisdiction over said alleged Defendant;
XIV. Articles I-XIII, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks the required lawful and due identification of the specific and particular principle of law that lawfully requires the alleged Defendant to comply with this jurisdiction without said alleged Defendant's knowing, willing and intentional consent; XV. Articles I-XIV, restated, specifically Articles X and XII, I AM NOT, AND WILL NEVER, make

such due consent;

XVI. Articles I-XV, restated, specifically Articles IX and XI, I AM NOT, AND WILL NEVER, make such due contract;

XVII. Articles I-XVI, restated, for lawful and due cause, all ORDERS previously issued in the above captioned alleged case, have been lawfully and duly REJECTED WITHOUT DISHONOR, otherwise canceled, BY I AM, as a matter of law, fact, and public policy, without prejudice, nunc pro tunc, praeterea preterea;

NOW LAWFULLY AND DULY ORDERED BY I AM BY LAWFUL AND DUE PRAECIPE.

This PRAECIPE FOR ORDER OF DISMISSAL OR FOR ORDER OF DECLARATORY JUDGEMENT BY I AM, duly declared and noticed, is the only valid, lawful verification, certification, and projection of this particular inbodyment of I AM, eternal essence, in body, also perceived as Heather Ann Tucci-jarraf, source of the Value of I AM consciously, deposited, domicil, and operating therein, and any and all other representations, jurisdictions, records, et. al. that were claimed to have existed with affect and effect are null, void and duly canceled, for cause, by I AM, as a matter of Eternal, Universal and International Records, inclusive of No. 2013032035 and 2012127914, in perpetuity, Record No. 2000043135, all restated, without prejudice nunc pro tunc, praeterea preterea;

I AM, eternal essence, completely factualized in this particular inbodyment, also perceived as Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf, an original depository and deposit of I AM, source of the Value of I AM, without prejudice, nunc pro tunc, praeterea preterea: Due declaration and implementation of I AM, inclusive of this original depository and deposit of I AM, is not to be compelled to perform under any contract and agreement, inclusive of commercial agreement or bankruptcy, inclusive of any and all jurisdictions, and any and all unlawful claims to I AM and the Value of I AM, therefrom, therewith, thereof, and thereto, that I AM did not enter knowingly, voluntarily and intentionally; Furthermore, I AM does not and will not accept the liability or responsibility of the compelled benefit of any and all unrevealed contract and agreement, inclusive of any and all commercial agreement or bankruptcy; Nunc pro tunc, praeterea preterea; and,

I AM, eternal essence, completely factualized in body, duly makes, declares, issues, reconfirms, validates, verifies, and notices, with action of conscious duly executed wet-ink signature of I AM, this CONSCIOUS WILL AND WORD OF I AM, PRAECIPE FOR ORDER OF DISMISSAL OR FOR ORDER DECLARATORY JUDGEMENT BY I AM, nunc pro tunc, praeterea preterea, and that the specific conscious declarations made herein, are true, accurate, and complete, with full responsibility and liability of I AM, in body, for all I AM to rely upon as true, accurate, and complete. Furthermore, duly made and issued facsimiles and digital scans of this original PRAECIPE FOR ORDER OF DISMISSAL OR FOR ORDER OF DECLARATORY JUDGEMENT BY I AM is lawfully DECLARED to BE an original.

Without	prejudice	
	r -j	

I AM, eternal essence, in body, also perceived as Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf, Ref. No.'s: IAM-hatj-07301972, and DODD-IAM-hatj-07301972

contact information: mobile: +212(0)620072438 PXIII: heatheranntuccijarraf

	ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
ORI	DER DISMISSING CASE
alleged Defendant, in the above captioned dismiss the above captioned case with parabove captioned case should be dismissed. IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDER	Jarraf, in body, ref. no. IAM-hatj-07301972, perceived as ed case, and duly makes and notices PRAECIPE that this Court rejudice. This Court, being duly advised, now finds that the ed with prejudice. EED that the PRAECIPE FOR ODER OF DISMISSAL is duly oned case is hereby dismissed with prejudice.
Done in	,, thisday of, 2014.
	Signature of Judge
Received by:(alleged Plaintiff)	

	ORDER OF DECLARATORY JUDGMENT	
ORDER OF DECLARATORY JUDGMENT		
Comes now Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf, in body, ref. no. IAM-hatj-07301972, perceived as alleged Defendant, in the above captioned case, and duly makes and notices PRAECIPE that this Court make and enter ORDER OF DECLARTORY JUDGMENT in the above captioned case. This Court, being duly advised, now finds, for cause, as a matter of law, fact and public policy, said ORDER should be entered, specifically and particularly: I. The document, with alleged reference number [case number] purports to be a		
complaint in this jurisdiction against the alleged def II. Article II, restated, for an alleged debt;		
III. Articles I-II, restated, from an alleged financial	instrument with reference number;	
IV. Articles I-III, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks the required lawful and due identification of the alleged Defendant to make a complaint against said alleged Defendant in this jurisdiction;		
V. Articles I-IV, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks the required lawful and due identification of(alleged plaintiff's) identification to make a lawful complaint against the alleged Defendant in this jurisdiction;		
VI. Articles I-V, restated, the document purporting plaintiff), lacks the required lawful and duplaintiff's) authority to make a lawful comjurisdiction;	e identification of(alleged	
VII. Articles I-VI, restated, the document purporting plaintiff), lacks the required lawful and duplaintiff's) principle of law to make a lawf	e identification of(alleged	

jurisdiction;		
VIII. Articles I-VII, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks the required lawful and due indorsement of(alleged plaintiff) to make a lawful complaint against the alleged Defendant in this jurisdiction;		
IX. Articles I-VIII, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks the required lawful and due knowing, willing and intentional written consent of the alleged Defendant to make a lawful complaint against the alleged Defendant in this jurisdiction;		
X. Articles I-IX, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks a required lawful and due contract by and between the alleged Defendant to make a lawful complaint against the alleged Defendant in this jurisdiction;		
XI. Articles I-X, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks the required lawful and due identification of the specific and particular principle of law that gives this jurisdiction lawful authority over the alleged Defendant, inclusive of the Value of the alleged Defendant;		
XII. Articles I-XI, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks a required lawful and due knowing, willing and intentional written consent of the alleged Defendant to this Court to have authority and jurisdiction over said alleged Defendant, inclusive of the Value of the alleged Defendant;		
XIII. Articles I-XII, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks a required lawful and due contract by and between the alleged Defendant and this Court, lawfully granting said Court authority and jurisdiction over said alleged Defendant;		
XIV. Articles I-XIII, restated, the document purporting to be a complaint made by(alleged plaintiff), lacks the required lawful and due identification of the specific and particular principle of law that lawfully requires the alleged Defendant to comply with this jurisdiction without said alleged Defendant's knowing, willing and intentional consent;		
XV. Articles I-XIV, restated, specifically Articles X and XII, the alleged Defendant duly declared she does not, AND WILL NEVER, make such due consent;		
XVI. Articles I-XV, restated, specifically Articles IX and XI, the alleged Defendant duly declared she does not, AND WILL NEVER, make such due contract;		
XVII. Articles I-XVI, restated, for lawful and due cause, all ORDERS previously issued in the above captioned case, are lawfully and duly canceled, without prejudice, nunc pro tunc, praeterea preterea;		
IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that this ORDER OF DECLARATORY JUDGMENT is duly made and entered, for cause as stated herein, in the above captioned case.		
Done in,, thisday of, 2014.		
Signature of Judge		

	AGRDED ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE	
AGREED ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE		
Pursuant to the agreement of the Parties, it is HEREBY ORDERED that these proceedings are dismissed with prejudice and without any award of costs or fees to any party.		
SO ORDERED thisday of	, 2014.	
	Signature of Judge	
AGREED AND SUBMITTED ON THIS _	DAY OF, 2014:	
	(Signature of alleged Plaintiff)	
	(Signature of alleged Defendant)	