

1 Tamara M. Davis-Eternal Essence Embodied/I AM

2
3
4 Respondent for TAMARA M. DAVIS

5
6 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
7 **COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT**

8
9 CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL; ex rel)
10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

11 Plaintiff (s),)

12 vs.)

13 TAMARA M. DAVIS)

14 Alleged Defendant (s),)

15 _____)
16 Tamara M. Davis-Eternal Essence Embodied/I AM)

17 Respondent)
18
19

CASE NO. TR1312846

NOTICE OF MOTION AND
MOTION TO DISMISS NOTICE
TO APPEAR; MEMORANDUM
IN SUPPORT; AND
DECLARATION OF FACTS

Hearing date/time: 2:00PM

Court Rm: Three (3)

Hearing Commissioner: Michael P.
Eannarino

Date action filed: January 31, 2014

Trial date: March 5, 2014

20 **TO EACH PARTY AND TO COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR EACH PARTY:**

21
22 YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT on March 5, 2014 at 2:00 pm in Court Rm:
23 Three (3), Department of Traffic Violations of this Court, located at 825 5th Street, Eureka, Ca.
24 95501, respondent, Tamara M. Davis-Eternal Essence Embodied/I AM will appear specially and
25 move the court for an order dismissing the issuance of a notice to appear in this action on the
26 ground of lack of personal jurisdiction over defendant. The motion will be made on the basis that
27 there is no evidence of an accident or a damaged party, therefore, no Cause of Action does or can
28 exist to support the issuance of a notice to appear and there is no verified complaint.

1 The motion will be based upon this notice, the attached memorandum in support and
2 declaration of Tamara M. Davis-Eternal Essence Embodied/I AM-a living woman, the files and
3 records in this action and any further evidence and argument that the Court may receive at or
4 before the hearing.

5 Date: February 27, 2014

6 _____
7 Respondent, Tamara M. Davis-Eternal
8 Essence Embodied/I AM, All Rights
9 Reserved

10
11 **DECLARATION OF FACTS /PART 1 OF 2***

12
13 I, Tamara M. Davis-Eternal Essence Embodied/I AM – is a living and breathing woman
14 and soul does declare:

15
16 I am the respondent in this action. I have personal knowledge of each fact stated in this
17 declaration.

18
19 I do not submit to the court’s jurisdiction and provide this declaration as a special
20 appearance for the sole purpose of challenging this court’s jurisdiction over my person.

21
22 The following facts support this Respondent's motion to dismiss or to abate the above
23 captioned citation. The notice to appear was "Refused for Cause" by this Respondent for lack of
24 the following elements;

25 **Under the De Facto/Old Paradigm of Trusts Corpus and the Corpus**
26 **Corporatum of Statutes, Acts, and Codes:**

27 1. No Corpus Delecti;
28

1
2 2. No Mens Rea;

3
4 3. No Actus Rea;

5
6 4. The court lacks an original charging instrument based on proof of a bona fide claim.

7
8 5. The "Notice To Appear" lacks the fundamental elements of a criminal complaint and
9 fails to give lawful notice as to the plaintiff and the alleged charges.

10 6. California Veh. Code 40600 states: " (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a
11 peace officer who has successfully completed a course or courses of instruction ... in the
12 investigation of traffic accidents may prepare, in triplicate, on a form approved by the
13 Judicial Council, a written notice to appear when the peace officer has reasonable cause
14 to believe that any person involved in a traffic accident has violated a provision of this
15 code not declared to be a felony or a local ordinance and the violation was a factor in the
16 occurrence of the traffic accident."

17 7. There is no evidence of an accident, or a damaged party, therefore, no Cause of Action
18 does or can exist to support the issuance of a notice to appear.

19
20 8. California Veh. Code 40513 states: " ... (b)whenever the written notice to appear
21 has been prepared on a form approved by the Judicial Council, an exact and legible
22 duplicate copy of the notice when filed with the magistrate shall constitute a complaint to
23 which the defendant may enter a plea and, if the notice to appear is verified, upon which
24 a warrant may be issued. If the notice to appear is not verified, the defendant may, at the
25 time of arraignment, request that a verified complaint be filed..."

26
27 9. There is no evidence of a "verified" complaint, therefore, no Cause of Action does or
28 can exist to support the issuance of a notice to appear.

1 10. Uniform Commercial Code, Article 1-General Prov (2001), Part : Territorial
2 applicability and general rules: “(a) A party that with explicit reservation of rights
3 performs or promises performance or assents to performance in a manner demanded or
4 offered by the other party does not thereby prejudice the rights reserved. Such words as
5 "without prejudice," "under protest," or the like are sufficient....”

6 11. California Commercial Code, section 1101-1108 states: Sec., 1101: “This code may
7 be cited as the Uniform Commercial Code...sec., 1103: (a) This code shall be
8 applied to promote its underlying purposes and policies, which are: (1) to simplify,
9 clarify, and modernize the law governing commercial transactions; (2) to permit the
10 continued expansion of commercial practices through custom, usage, and agreement of
11 the parties; and (3) to make uniform the law among the various jurisdictions....”

12
13 12. Citation was signed under protest and duress without Prejudice UCC 1-308, and has
14 reserved all rights.

15
16 **Under the De Jure/New Paradigm/Common Law/Law of one structures inclusive of**
17 **Universal and International Law:**

18 13. The former, One Peoples Public Trust of 1776, (OPPT) performed paradigm
19 investigation and issued a report concluding that a debt slavery system had been
20 deliberately set up by the heads of the banks and corporate governments, in which this
21 system gradually entrapped almost every human being on earth for the duration of their
22 life. The report also concluded that the existing system could not be fixed and that a new
23 legal landscape had to be created to free the people of the earth from debt slavery.

24 14. Using the same legal process that the banks, corporations and corporate governments
25 have always used to ‘foreclose’ on unsuspecting individuals, the former OPPT lodged
26 various commercial filings during 2011, 2012 and 2013, using what is called the Uniform
27 Commercial Code (UCC). The banks and corporate government entities were given
28 plenty of time and opportunity to ‘rebut’ the filings, but to date no rebuttals have ever

1 been registered and so the UCC filings were accepted as Rulings and therefore, came into
2 existence as global law.

3
4 15. After the investigation was completed, the OPPT made two very important
5 conclusions that were set out in the original UCC filings: 1. that they (the banks and
6 corporate governments) had by deceptive acts and practices been stealing from the people
7 for hundreds of years, and; 2. Nothing stood between the Creator and each of the
8 Creator's creations, un rebutted.

9
10 16. Reference: TRUE BILL: WA DC UCC Doc# 2012114776 - Oct 24 2012, Bank
11 Charters Cancelled: "Declared and ordered irrevocably cancelled; any and all charters
12 for Bank of International Settlements (BIS) members thereto and thereof including all
13 beneficiaries, including all certain states of body owning, operating, aiding and abetting
14 private money systems, issuing, collection, legal enforcement systems, operating
15 SLAVERY SYSTEMS ...commandeering lawful value by unlawful representation..."

16
17 17. Refer: DECLARATION OF FACTS: UCC Doc # 2012127914 - Nov 28 2012,
18 Government Charters Cancelled: "...That any and all CHARTERS, inclusive of The
19 United States Federal Government, UNITED STATES, "STATE of ...", Inclusive of any
20 and all abbreviations, idem sonans, or other legal, financial or managerial forms, any and
21 all international equivalents, inclusive of any and all OFFICES, inclusive of any and all
22 OFFICERS, PUBLIC SERVANTS, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, TREATIES,
23 CONSTITUTIONS, MEMBERSHIP, ACTS, and any and all other contracts and
24 agreements made thereunder and thereby, are now, void/invalid, worthless, or otherwise
25 cancelled, un rebutted; ..."

26
27 18. January 15th, 2013, The official announcement of the Disclosure of Lawful Operating
28 systems 1111.: The One People's Public Trust of 1776; TO BE or NOT TO BE and TO
DO or NOT TO DO...by free will conscious choice...that IS the question and choice
consciously before you NOW, and IN plain english as legalese (CODE), is no longer
lawful and legal... In clarification: THE LAW OF ONE: Creator's value EMBODIED,

1 equally, with the same value regardless of how it is created or where, The One, duly
2 noticed and confirmed upon creation, re-noticed, reconfirmed and ratified upon each
3 creation thereafter. **COMMON LAW:** The Law of One, EMBODIED in the principles
4 and rules of action consciously creating the societal customs and recognized and enforced
5 by the judgments and decrees of the court. The Law of One (Creator, Absolute),
6 EMBODIED (BE'ing) in the principles and rules of action (DO'ing what one BE's)
7 creating (consciously) the societal customs and recognized and enforced by the
8 judgments (UCC 3-501 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTATION/3-
9 505 CERTIFICATE OF DISHONOR process) and decrees (DECLARATION OF
10 FACTS, COMMERCIAL BILLS, and TRUE BILLS of BE'ing) of the court (creator,
11 absolute/Self, co-creator).

12 19. The One People's Public Trust of 1776 filed all UCC documents in perpetuity
13 inclusive of Property(ies) (inclusive of all chattels) duly accepted for value as evidence of
14 debt; {identified May 4, 2000, 09:12:07am: file no. 2000043135: ALL debentures,
15 accounts, pledges, covenants, contracts, signatures, hypothecations or either
16 property(ies) (inclusive of all chattels) declared l 13 seized, NUNC PRO TUNC. ALL
17 claims registered, unregistered, legal, equitable, political, commercial, statutory,
18 administrative, ecclesiastical, personal, private, public, quasi-public, or any other form
19 of any other forum state are hereby and herewith DISCHARGED [UCC §3-601], NUNC
20 PRO TUNC FOR CAUSE [UCC 3-501] of bad faith absent proof exhibiting indorsement
21 by the Debtor stipulating specific plenary knowledge of consent to ALL material facts
22 related to claims. In particular issues governed by Admiralty/Maritime jurisprudence and
23 jurisdiction(s) causing penalty, fine, or forfeiture of any kind or nature claimed against
24 the Debtor. Declaration/notification of Uniformed Commercial Code (UCC) as 'Public
25 Law', UCC doc. # 2000043135 stands un rebutted with no objections or counterclaims }
26 assigned for full use, right, title, interest to the Public Trust Perpetuity, 1781, The United
27 States of America, constructed beginning 04 July 1776 as declared by The One People.

28 20. On February 31, 2014, Respondant gave purported commissioner, Michael P.
Eannarino a Declaration of Facts and an Affidavit regarding the subject-matter of the

1 foreclosure of the Government Corporations, Treaties, Trusts, Officers, Public Servants,
2 memberships, and acts at the hearing of a notice to appear.

3
4 21. HUMBOLDT COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT is a branch of THE JUDICIAL
5 COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA and THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA is a
6 subsidiary of STATE OF CALIFORNIA. The DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA
7 HIGHWAY PATROL is a department/division of the STATE OF CALIFORNIA. The
8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA is filed at the WASHINGTON DC RECORDER OF DEEDS,
9 by IDA WILLIAMS as a corporation under UCC #: 2013124058, dated November 1,
10 2013, listed as the Debtor. All departments, divisions, or subsidiaries, known or
11 unknown, of the STATE OF CALIFORNIA corporation are foreclosed upon, un rebutted.

12 22. To date, the purported commissioner, Michael P. Eannarino nor the purported
13 prosecutor has not rebutted in writing the Declaration of facts, the Affidavit, nor the
14 former OPPT UCC filings.

15
16 23. In Commercial Code/De Facto/old Paradigm 'systems' and 'structures', if a
17 Declaration and/or Affidavit is not rebutted, silence is consent.

18
19 24. The un rebutted Declaration and Affidavit stands as truth; in commerce, truth is
20 sovereign; un rebutted affidavit becomes a judgment in commerce and is law.

21
22 25. The Declaration and Affidavit is only a courtesy notice to the court; the court is not to
23 presume that the alleged defendant is under the jurisdiction to the foreclosed upon entity.

24
25 26. Under the De Jure/New Paradigm and Common Law/Law of One 'structures'
26 inclusive of all people Universally and Internationally, are The One People DO-ing what
27 they BE; a living, breathing, Law with full responsibility, accountability, liability,
28 transparency, and integrity.

1 27. Therefore, the alleged defendant has absolute STANDING, RIGHT OF
2 OPPORTUNITY, and AUTHORITY to issue a valid Declaration and Affidavit without a
3 notary.

4
5 28. In full transparency and with absolute and un rebutted authority and standing, the
6 alleged defendant gave, via registered mail, the public notice, freedom flyer, cover letter,
7 courtesy notice of contracting with terms and conditions set by the alleged defendant for
8 a lack of cause for action, declaration of facts, and declaration of I to alleged
9 Commissioner Michael P. Eannarino, alleged manager of traffic division, Meara Hattan,
10 alleged Governor Jerry Brown, alleged Attorney General Kamala D. Harris, alleged
11 District Attorney Paul Gallegos, and alleged Secretary of State, Debra Brown, on January
12 21, 2014, and on January 27, 2014 with no response from other than a letter from alleged
13 Attorney General Kamala D. Harris, stating that alleged defendant should hire an
14 attorney. On January 29, 2014 Public Notice was issued via Facebook:
15 <https://www.facebook.com/declarationofI/info> . Silence stands as a form of consent in the
16 De facto systems of control. To date, under the Common Law/Law of One/De Jure
17 structure, the alleged defendants documents stands as un rebutted.

18 29. For all of the foregoing facts, Respondent moves the court, in the absence of any
19 objection, to abate or dismiss this notice to appear.

20 30. *Annexes "A": Supportive evidence past of slavery systems and the closure of the
21 government corporations in Exhibit's "A" – "O"

22
23 **DECLARATION OF FACTS/PART 2 of 2 will be submitted again as "Exhibit J".*

24
25 Respectfully submitted, with reservation of all rights.

26
27 I declare under penalty of perjury under the Universal and International laws that the
28 foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

1 Date: February 27, 2014

2
3
4 _____
5 Respondent, Tamara M. Davis-Eternal
6 Essence Embodied/I am, All Rights
7 Reserved

8
9
10 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS THE NOTICE TO APPEAR**

11
12 **INTRODUCTION**

13
14 The forgotten legal maxim is that free people's have a right to travel on the roads which
15 are provided by their servants for that purpose, using ordinary transportation of the day.
16 Licensing cannot be required of free people, because taking on the restrictions of a license
17 requires the surrender of a right. In Regards to citizens/corporate fictions that are subordinate to
18 De facto systems, a driver's license can be required of people who use the highways for trade,
19 commerce, or hire; that is, if they earn their living on the road, and if they use extraordinary
20 machines on the roads. If a person not using the highways for profit, a person cannot be required
21 to have a driver's license. Eternal Essence Embodied/I AM is subordinate to De Jure systems,
22 under Common Law/Law of One of the One People 'BE-ing and Do-ing', and this system does
23 not require a license to drive, operate, travel or a license do business on highways for trade,
24 commerce, or hire.

25 "The streets of a city belong to the people of the state, and every citizen of the state has a right to
26 the use thereof,.... "The use of highways for purposes of travel and transportation is not a mere
27 privilege, but a common and fundamental right, of which the public and individuals cannot
28 rightfully be deprived ... [A]ll persons have an equal right to use them for purposes of travel by
proper means, and with due regard for the corresponding rights of others..."

1 Rumford v. City of Berkeley, supra, 31 Cal.3d 545, 549-550

2 City of Poway v. City of San Diego (1991) 229 Cal.App.3d 847, 280 Cal.Rptr. 368

3
4 A determination of what De Jure vs. De Facto is and why this distinction is important:

5 DE JURE: [Latin, In law.] Legitimate; lawful, as a Matter of Law. Having complied with all the
6 requirements imposed by law

7 DE FACTO: [Latin, not in law] *adv.* 1. in fact; in reality. *adj.* 2. actually existing, esp. without
8 lawful authority, illegitimate.

9
10 **PURPORTED and ALLEGED CAUSE FOR ACTION**

11 Alleged Defendant was forced to pull her car over by purported Peace/Policy Officer, R.
12 Harless I.D# 20366 because of one headlight on automobile no longer operating properly.

13
14 Once informed, Alleged Defendant thanked the purported Peace/Policy Officer for the
15 information and requested to vacate the premises respectfully. The purported Peace/Policy
16 Officer insisted on Alleged Defendant's license. The Alleged defendant informed the purported
17 Peace/Policy Officer that all corporations operating as the guise of governments were foreclosed
18 upon by the Former One People's Trust of 1776, through the Uniform Commercial Code,
19 through Universal Notice on December 25, 2012, and therefore the purported Peace/Policy
20 Officer had no standing authority to request a license.

21 The purported Peace/Policy Officer stated that if Alleged Defendant did not give the
22 license to him, that he would arrest Alleged Defendant. Alleged Defendant was forced give the
23 license to said Peace/Policy Officer for fear and threat of arrest.

24
25 The purported Peace/Policy Officer issued a contract, in the form of a "ticket" for a
26 invalid driver's license. Alleged Defendant in duress and protest stated to purported
27 Peace/Policy Officer that affiant did not wish to contract, does not consent to any terms,
28 whatsoever, and waived all benefits. The purported Peace/Policy Officer did not understand or if
understood, failed to accept Alleged Defendant's response and issued the contract by force.

1
2 Alleged Defendant signed the contract as Tamara Davis, without prejudice, with a
3 reservation of all Rights.

4 Alleged Defendant has no contract and therefore no breach of contract with purported
5 DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL and THE STATE OF
6 CALIFORNIA.

7
8 Alleged Defendant does not consent to contract and waives all benefits with
9 DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL and THE STATE OF
10 CALIFORNIA.

11 Alleged Defendant does not consent to contract and waives all benefits given by the
12 foreclosed upon DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL and STATE OF
13 CALIFORNIA.

14 Alleged Defendant is within LAWFUL AND LEGAL, STANDING, AUTHORITY, and
15 AUTHORIZATION, without prejudice, under Public Policy UCC 1-308, under Common
16 Law/Law of One to decline all offers to contract with foreclosed, unlawful and illegal,
17 corporations/corporation fictions acting as the guise of 'governments', 'justice systems', and
18 'legal enforcement systems'.

19
20 Alleged Defendant does not intend to cause damage to DEPARTMENT OF
21 CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL and THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

22 Alleged Defendant has not caused damage to DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA
23 HIGHWAY PATROL and THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

24 Alleged Defendant is recognized as the LAWFUL, LEGAL SOLE CUSTODIAN,
25 OPERATOR, AND TRUSTEE, thereto, thereof, and for all the results directly therefrom,
26 inclusive of any and all LAWFUL AND LEGAL, STANDING, AUTHORITY, VALUE,
27 RIGHTS, inclusive of the RIGHT OF OPPORTUNITY of STATE OF BODY.

1 **Subordinate to De Facto/Old Paradigm acts, codes, statutes, procedure and**
2 **protocols, rules and regulations of the corporate fictions part 1 of 2:**

3
4
5 **PLAINTIFF CANNOT STATE A CLAIM OF RELIEF BECAUSE HIS**
6 **INTERPRETATION OF THE ACT IS INVALID**

7
8 Plaintiff makes an incredible claim that the alleged defendant is obligated to issue forced
9 labor in the representational form of legal currency upon receiving a traffic citation of an invalid
10 driver's license. There is no statutory language whatsoever to support this frivolous claim.

11
12 California Veh. Code 40600 states: " (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a
13 peace officer who has successfully completed a course or courses of instruction ... in the
14 investigation of traffic accidents may prepare, in triplicate, on a form approved by the Judicial
15 Council, a written notice to appear when the peace officer has reasonable cause to believe that
16 any person involved in a traffic accident has violated a provision of this code not declared to be a
17 felony or a local ordinance and the violation was a factor in the occurrence of the traffic
18 accident."

19
20 There is no evidence of an accident, or a damaged party, therefore, no Cause of Action
21 does or can exist to support the issuance of a notice to appear.

22
23 California Veh. Code 40513 states: " ... (b) whenever the written notice to appear has
24 been prepared on a form approved by the Judicial Council, an exact and legible duplicate copy of
25 the notice when filed with the magistrate shall constitute a complaint to which the defendant may
26 enter a plea and, if the notice to appear is verified, upon which a warrant may be issued. If the
27 notice to appear is not verified, the defendant may, at the time of arraignment, request that a
28 verified complaint be filed..."

There is no evidence of a "verified" complaint, therefore, no Cause of Action does or can
exist to support the issuance of a notice to appear.

1
2 Uniform Commercial Code, Article 1-General Prov (2001), Part : Territorial
3 applicability and general rules: “(a) A party that with explicit reservation of rights performs or
4 promises performance or assents to performance in a manner demanded or offered by the other
5 party does not thereby prejudice the rights reserved. Such words as "without prejudice," "under
6 protest," or the like are sufficient....”

7
8 California Commercial Code, section 1101-1108 states: sec., 1101: “This code may be
9 cited as the Uniform Commercial Code...sec., 1103: (a) This code shall be applied to
10 promote its underlying purposes and policies, which are: (1) to simplify, clarify, and modernize
11 the law governing commercial transactions; (2) to permit the continued expansion of commercial
12 practices through custom, usage, and agreement of the parties; and (3) to make uniform the law
13 among the various jurisdictions....”

14 Citation was signed under protest and duress without Prejudice, (Public policy UCC 1-
15 308) and has reserved all rights. The alleged defendant not agreed to any unforeseen
16 ‘agreements’ or ‘presumptions’ as defined in the Uniform Commercial Code and codified in the
17 California Commercial Code.

18 CASE LAW

19 The term travel is a generic and broad term. “Incidental uses” means the use of the
20 highways as a means of personal gain. It is now, and has been known, that traveling is of two
21 basic categories: those who travel in a personal capacity for pleasure and those who travel the
22 public highways incident thereto for profit.

23 The first amendment right to travel and the fifth amendment under due process and equal
24 protection under the law are claimed by the alleged defendant, as freedom to travel throughout
25 the United States has long been recognized as a basic right under the United States Constitution.
26 No state may convert a secured liberty into a privilege and issue a license and a fee for it.

27 “All citizens must be free to travel throughout the United States uninhibited by statues, rules, or
28 regulations which unreasonably burden or restrict this movement. If a law has no other purpose

1 than to chill assertions of constitutional rights by penalizing those who choose to exercise them,
2 it is patently unconstitutional.”

3 “The equal protection clause prohibits apportionment of state services according to par tax
4 contributions of its citizens. Any classification which serves to penalize the exercise of the right
5 of interstate travel, unless shown to be necessary to promote a compelling government interest, is
6 unconstitutional.”

7 *Shapiro v. Thompson* 394 U.S. P 618

8 “No state may convert a secured liberty into a privilege”

9 *Murdock v. Pennsylvania*

10
11 “You can ignore the license and engage in the right with impunity.”

12 *Shuttlesworth v. City of Birmingham*

13
14 “The court is to protect against any encroachment of constitutionally secured liberties.”

15 *Boyd v. United States*

16
17 “An unconstitutional act is not law. It confers no rights, it imposes no duties, it affords no
18 protections, it creates no office, it is in legal contemplation as inoperative as though it had never
19 been passed.”

20 *Norton v. Shelby County*

21 The United States Supreme Court has held that "the right of locomotion, the right to move from
22 one place to another according to inclination, is an attribute of personal liberty."

23 *Williams v. Fears* 179 U.S. 270, 21 S. Ct.128;

24 *Papachristou v. Jacksonville*, 405 U.S. 156, 164, 92 S. Ct. 839;

25 *Kent v. Dulles*, 357 U.S. 116, 126, 78 S. Ct. 1113;

26 *Johnson v. City of Cincinnati* 310 F.3d484, 6th Cir. 2002

27 "... For while a Citizen has the Right to travel upon the public highways and to transport his
28 property thereon, that Right does not extend to the use of the highways, either in whole or in

1 part, as a place for private gain. For the latter purpose, no person has a vested right to use the
2 highways of the state, but is a privilege or a license which the legislature may grant or withhold
3 at its discretion."

4 *State v. Johnson* 75 Mont 240, 243 P. 1073;

5 *Cummins v. Jones* 79 Re 276, 155 P. 171;

6 *Packard v. Banton* 264 US 140, 44 S. Ct. 256;

7 *Hadfield v. Lundin* 98 Wash 657, 168 P. 516

8 "Heretofore the court has held, and we think correctly, that while a Citizen has the Right to travel
9 upon the public highways and to transport his property thereon, that Right does not extend to the
10 use of the highways, either in whole or in part, as a place of business for private gain."

11 *Willis v. Buck* 81 Mont 472, 263 P. 982;

12 *Barney v. Board of Railroad Comm.* 93 Mont 115, 17 P.2d 82

13
14 "The right of the citizen to travel upon the highway and to transport his property thereon, in the
15 ordinary course of life and business, differs radically and obviously from that of one who makes
16 the highway his place of business for private gain in the running of a stagecoach or omnibus."

17 *State v. City of Spokane* 109 Wash 360, 186

18 1. LICENSES (§ 5*)-CHAUFFEURS.

19 The occupation of a chauffeur is one calling for regulation and therefore permitting a regulatory
20 license tax.

21 [Ed. Note. -For other cases, see licenses, Cent. Dig §§4, 19; dec. Dig. § 5*]

22
23 2. STATUTES (§ 81*0) -SPECIAL LEGISLATION-CLASSIFICATION.

24 Dividing, as does St. 1913, p. 639, drivers of automobiles into two classes, one professional
25 chauffeurs, and requiring them to obtain a license, and pay an annual fee of \$2, the other
26 embracing all others, who are not required to secure a license or pay a license fee, is sound
27 classification and not arbitrary, so as to constitute special legislation.

28 *Ex parte Stork* (Cr. 1843) *Supreme Court of California*. Feb. 24, 1914, 139

Pac.Rptr. 684, 167 Cal. 294 (*Stork has never been overturned*)

1 In the Matter of Application of Stork, 167 Cal. 294, 295], upholding the validity of a statute
2 requiring chauffeurs to pay a license fee but exempting all other drivers from payment, states in
3 respect to the differences between the two classes of drivers (p. 296): ...

4 *Beamon v. Dept. of Motor Vehicles*, (1960), 180 Cal. App. 2d 200, 4 Cal. Rptr.
5 396.

6
7 "Complete freedom of the highways is so old and well established a blessing that we have
8 forgotten the days of the Robber Barons and toll roads, and yet, under an act like this, arbitrarily
9 administered, the highways may be completely monopolized, if, through lack of interest, the
10 people submit, then they may look to see the most sacred of their liberties taken from them one
11 by one, by more or less rapid encroachment."

12 *Robertson v. Department of Public Works* 180 Wash 133, 39 P.2d 596

13 RIGHTS

14 It is certain that the alleged defendant has a Right to travel upon the public highways by
15 automobile and the Citizen cannot be rightfully deprived of her Liberty. The courts have held
16 that a Citizen has the Right to travel upon the public highways, but that she does not have the
17 right to conduct business upon the highways.

18
19 "Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or
20 legislation which would abrogate them."

21 *Miranda v Arizona* 384 US 436, 86 S. Ct. 1602

22 "The claim and exercise of a constitutional Right cannot be converted into a crime."

23 *Miller v U.S.* 230 F. 486

24
25 "There can be no sanction or penalty imposed upon one because of this exercise of constitutional
26 Rights."

27 *Sherar v Cullen* 481 F. 946

1 Streets and highways are established and maintained for the purpose of travel and
2 transportation by the public. Such travel may be for business or pleasure. Alleged Defendant
3 does not use a motor vehicle to drive for commercial purposes; she only travels for pleasure, and
4 her right is ensured by the Constitution.

5 "To lay out roads or highways is exclusively within the power and control of the government.
6 To do so is one of its most important and onerous duties. Roads or highways, over which the
7 Government has supervision and which it is bound to provide, include not only the chief and
8 principal thoroughfares which traverse the country, but also those of less general use which are
9 lateral and serve to connect neighborhoods and individuals with the main arteries of trade and
10 travel. Whenever the necessities or the convenience of the public, which includes everybody,
11 requires a road, for the purposes of trade or travel, it is the duty of the Government to provide
12 one, ..."

13 Sherman v. Buick (1867) 32 Cal. 241, 252-253.

14
15 "... This section [2 of the Motor Vehicle Act] provides that: '... such self-propelling vehicles as
16 are used neither for the conveyance of persons for hire, pleasure, or business, nor for the
17 transportation of freight, are hereby exempted from the payment of the fees in this act
18 prescribed. The department shall furnish, free of charge, distinguishing plates for motor vehicles
19 thus exempt."

20 Marin Municipal Water Dist. v. Chenu (1922) 188 Cal. 734, 737.

21 and further ...

22 "Personal liberty -- consists of the power of locomotion, of changing situations, of removing
23 one's person to whatever place one's inclination may direct, without imprisonment or restraint
24 unless by due process of law."

25 Bovier's Law Dictionary, 1914 ed.,

26 Black's Law Dictionary, 5th ed.;

27 Blackstone's Commentary 134;

28 Hare, Constitution, Pg. 777

1 **SURRENDER OF RIGHTS**

2 The alleged defendant cannot be forced to give up his/her Rights in the name of
3 regulation.
4

5 "... the only limitations found restricting the right of the state to condition the use of the public
6 highways as a means of vehicular transportation for compensation are (1) that the state must not
7 exact of those it permits to use the highways for hauling for gain that they surrender any of their
8 inherent U.S. Constitutional Rights as a condition precedent to obtaining permission for such use
9 ..."

10 Riley v. Laeson, 142 So. 619;

11 Stephenson v. Binford, supra.

12 If one cannot be placed in a position of being forced to surrender Rights in order to
13 exercise a privilege, how much more must this maxim of law, then, apply when one is simply
14 exercising (putting into use) a Right?

15 Hoke vs. Henderson, 15 NC 15

16 and ...
17

18 "We find it intolerable that one Constitutional Right should have to be surrendered in order to
19 assert another."
20

21 Simons vs. United States, 390 US 389

22 Since the state requires that one give up Rights in order to exercise the privilege of
23 driving, the regulation cannot stand under the police power, due process, or regulation, but must
24 be exposed as a statute which is oppressive and one which has been misapplied to deprive the
25 alleged defendant of Rights guaranteed by the United States Constitution and California State
26 Constitution.
27
28

1
2 **THE WHOLE ACT RULE**

3
4 It does not matter the type of law; commercial, civil, criminal, common, or tort. All law is
5 somehow and somewhere dependent on other forms of law. The *whole act rule* is based in part
6 on this maxim of law;

7
8 **Optimus interpretandi modus est sic leges interpretare ut leges legibus accordant:**

9 **The best mode of interpreting laws is to make laws agree with laws.**

10
11
12 Constitution for the State of California, 1849:

13
14 Sec. 1.

15 “All men are by nature free and independent, and have certain unalienable rights, among which
16 are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty: acquiring, possessing and protecting
17 property: and pursuing and obtaining safety and happiness.”

18 The Legislature has NO AUTHORITY to compel the alleged defendant to register her
19 private property used for "household" purposes. "[T]he Legislature, either by amending (section
20 1382) or otherwise, may not nullify a constitutional provision."

21 Rost v. Municipal Court of Southern Judicial Dist., County of San Mateo (1960)
22 85 A.L.R.2d 974, 979 Headnote 5.

23
24 “A state cannot impose restrictions on the acceptance of a license that will deprive the licensee
25 of his constitutional rights”.

26 Ruckenbrod v. Mullins, 102 Utah 548, 133 P.2d. 325, 144 ALR 839

27
28 **LEGAL DEFINITIONS**

1 MOTOR VEHICLE: means every description of carriage or other contrivance propelled or
2 drawn by mechanical power and used for commercial purposes on the highways in the
3 transportation of passengers, passengers and property, or property or cargo;

4 DRIVER: One employed...
5 Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856

6
7 DRIVER: one employed in conducting a coach, carriage, wagon, or other vehicle..."
8 Bouvier's Law Dictionary, (1914)p. 940.

9 DRIVER: One employed...
10 Black's Law Dictionary, 4th Ed, 1951

11
12 CHAUFFEUR OR OPERATOR: A distinction is recognized between an operator and a
13 chauffeur under some licensing regulations, "chauffeur" referring to one who is paid for driving
14 an automobile.

15 Corpus Juris Secundum §151. 60 C.J.S. MOTOR VEHICLES §§ 150 - 151, p. 797

16
17 Alleged defendant does not operate a motor vehicle as a driver or chauffeur in accordance
18 with the legal and lawful terms above. Alleged defendant does not earn her living on highways,
19 or transferring goods and services. Alleged Defendant uses the roads for traveling and pleasure,
20 as is afforded to her by Constitutional rights.

21
22 **VALUABLE CONSIDERATION**

23 CONSIDERATION: n. 1) payment or money. 2) a vital element in the law of contracts,
24 consideration is a benefit which must be bargained for between the parties, and is the essential
25 reason for a party entering into a contract. Consideration must be of value (at least to the parties),
26 and is exchanged for the performance or promise of performance by the other party (such
27 performance itself is consideration). In a contract, one consideration (thing given) is exchanged
28 for another consideration."

1 "A valuable consideration is one that is either a benefit to the party promising, or some trouble or
2 prejudice to the party to whom the promise is made. Any damage or suspension or forbearance
3 of a right will be sufficient to sustain the promise." That is, a benefit or advantage accruing to the
4 party who makes the promise, or some inconvenience or injury sustained by the party to whom
5 the promise is made, is sufficient to support a contract.

6 *Homan v. Steele*, 26 N. W. 472, 474, 18 Neb. 652.

7 A "valuable consideration," as denned in the books, means money or any other thing that bears a
8 known value. As much may be inferred from the word "consideration" as from the word
9 "value."

10 *Jackson v. Alexander* (N. Y.) 3 Johns. 484, 489, 3 Am. Dec. 517.

11
12 A lawful contract has an (1) offer; (2) consideration; (3) acceptance by all parties for the
13 contract; and (4) signatures by all parties involved with the contract. Only the parties signing the
14 contract can participate in the discussion of the contract and full disclosure about the contract is
15 imperative. No third (3rd) parties are allowed to enter or invade.

16 The alleged Defendant verbally refused to contract with peace/policy officer, however
17 she was forced with threat of arrest and coerced to oblige to contract with said peace/policy
18 officer with no consideration and no compensation.

19
20 EMINENT DOMAIN: No private property shall be taken for public use without just
21 compensation having been first made.

22
23 EMINENT DOMAIN: Whenever an attempt is made to take private property for a use alleged to
24 be public, the question whether the contemplated use be really public shall be a judicial question,
25 and determined as such, without regard to any legislative assertion that the use is public. An
26 application for a driver license is a request for permission to provide a service [driving] for
27 someone else who has agreed to remunerate the driver in some fashion, whether that be for a
28 business outright or a business colorable. If a person drives for an individual, using his own
vehicle he is both the business operator and the driver. If the vehicle being driven belongs to

1 another person, then the driver is driving for some other business. As it pertains to the motor
2 vehicle code, a driver submits to legislative control because of the business venture related use of
3 the roads and highways.

4
5 The fifth amendment of the U. S. Constitution explicitly states all peoples are equally
6 protected and shall not be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor
7 shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.

8
9 Under equal opportunity of the law, the alleged defendant asserts her Rights of her
10 private property i.e. her “house-hold items” and/or “living body” as her “state of being” in her
11 “pursuit of happiness” to travel freely without her labor being extorted through the
12 representational form of legal tender by third party interveners. When alleged defendant was
13 forced to contract, she did so with no valuable consideration given by policy/peace officer. The
14 Legislature has NO AUTHORITY to compel alleged defendant to enter a contract with anyone,
15 inclusive of DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL and STATE OF
16 CALIFORNIA.

16 CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION OF 1879, Art. XII:

17 *Corporations*

18 “**Sec. 8.** The exercise of the right of eminent domain shall never be so abridged or construed as
19 to prevent the Legislature from taking the property and franchises of incorporated companies and
20 subjecting them to public use the same as the property of individuals, and the exercise of the
21 police power of the State shall never be so abridged or construed as to permit corporations to
22 conduct their business in such manner as to infringe the rights of individuals or the general well-
23 being of the State.”

24 “**Sec. 24.** The Legislature shall pass all laws necessary for the enforcement of the provisions of
25 this article.”

26
27 Under oath and bond of the Old Paradigm procedures and protocols of the De Facto
28 ‘systems’, it is the duty of the Commissioner to regulate fairly the acts and practices of those
peace/policy officers doing business for the STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and restrain those

1 corporations and their officers who encroach on the Constitutional rights of the people, such as
2 the already stated rights of said alleged defendant.

3
4 It is the forgoing quote that raises, the most litigious concern, the "*presumption*". This
5 presumption is the basis for the police to stop the unsuspecting motorist and issue a traffic
6 infraction and more significantly reproach the general traveling public in California. It is
7 important to recognize when there is discussion of private carriers we see the term 'vehicle',
8 when there is discussion of common carriers and contract carriers we see the phrase 'motor
9 vehicle'. This is congruent with the federal definitions of motor vehicles and the context of
10 common carriers.

11 California Commercial code,1206, states: Whenever this code creates a "presumption"
12 with respect to a fact, or provides that a fact is "presumed," the trier of fact must find the
13 existence of the fact unless and until evidence is introduced that supports a finding of its
14 nonexistence.

15 "The terms "Travel" and "traveler" are usually construed in their broad and general sense... so as
16 to include all those who rightfully use the highways viatically and who have occasion to pass
17 over them for the purpose of business, convenience, or pleasure."

18 25 AM. JUR 1st, Highways, Sec. 427

19
20 TRAVELER: One who passes from place to place, whether for pleasure, instruction, business, or
21 health."

22 Bouvier's Law Dicionary (1914) p. 3309.

23 TRAVEL: To journey or to pass through or over; as a county, district, road, etc. To go from one
24 place to another, whether on foot, on horseback, or in any conveyance as a train, an automobile,
25 carriage, ship, or aircraft; make a journey."

26 Century Dictionary, P. 2034
27
28

1 Clearly, the Peace/Policy Officer, R. Harless, DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA
2 HIGHWAY PATROL and STATE OF CALIFORNIA are operating under a presumption of the
3 California Commercial Code; the alleged defendant rejects that presumption and introduces to
4 the Trier in Fact new evidence/facts that the alleged defendant was indeed traveling, with her
5 private property, as is her Right by the Constitution of the United States and by the Constitution
6 of California to pursue happiness and liberty to freely travel wherever she sees fit and desires so
7 long as she does not intend to cause damage to party (s)/property, or causes damage to party
8 (s)/property, or engages the highways for trade, commerce, or hire.

9 In accordance with California Veh. Code 40600, there was no accident or injured party
10 (s)/property, therefore no ticket/contract should have been issued to alleged defendant.

11 In accordance with California Veh. Code 40513, there is no “verified complaint” based
12 on the lack of proof of intent to damage party (s)/property, based on the lack of proof of a
13 damage party (s)/property and the alleged defendant denies any contractual obligation between
14 parties, therefore no contract has been breached. Alleged defendant rejects the presumption that
15 the signed citation will compel her to contract with Peace/Policy Officer, R. Harless,
16 DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL and STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and
17 when she was forced and threatened to comply signing the ticket/contract, the alleged defendant
18 did so with her name ending her signature with ‘without prejudice’ (Public Policy: UCC 1-308),
19 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED, renouncing and abstaining all presumptions of California
20 Commercial Code.

21 **Subordinate to De Jure/New Paradigm/Common Law/ Law of One – The**
22 **current state of BE-ing and DO-ing, part 2 of 2:**

23
24 In gratitude and reverence of the UCC (Uniform Commercial Code) filings by the former
25 trustee’s of the former One People Public Trust of 1776 (referred to OPPT), the old paradigm
26 system doesn’t exist anymore, and we, as a One People, are moving into a New Paradigm of BE-
27 ing and DO-ing where the One People governthemselves under the transparent structure of
28 Common Law/Law of One. The One People no longer support the few at the top; we support
each other, equally. Being self-sustainable, healthy and equal protection for all; the sacred

1 understanding that one is part of the whole and the whole is part of the one. Harm to one is harm
2 to all.

3
4 After many years of investigation, the former OPPT trustees published a report, the
5 ‘Paradigm Report’. Their findings and research concluded that the corporations operating under
6 the guise of the people’s governments and financial systems were committing treason against the
7 people of this planet without the people’s knowing, willing, or intentional consent. With that
8 evidence, former OPPT trustees created legal documents that would use the UCC, itself, to
9 foreclose the entire slave system.

10 The former OPPT was created when the Trustees bonded themselves to – and as a result
11 resumed – the trust that was framed in the original US Constitution; the (original or first)
12 constitution that was abandoned when the United States government was incorporated. The
13 former OPPT then bonded every individual on the planet to this Trust as the Beneficiaries in
14 equity, known as “the One People, created by The Creator.” By doing so, the Trustees framed a
15 Trust that has a superior claim to any other – the Trust between the Creator and the “states of
16 being” of Earth. The “states of being” of Earth are the beneficiaries of the Creator and the
17 custodians of the Creator’s manifestations on Earth.

18 **Lawfully speaking, there can be no higher claim than that of the One People’s Public**
19 **Trust... except for one made by the Creator....”**

20
21 In 2012, the Trustees lodged a complex series of filings with the UCC on behalf of its
22 Beneficiary, the One People. Full details of the former OPPT’s filings with the UCC can be
23 found on their web site: <http://i-uv.com/>.

24 According to UCC rules, when facing a claim, an entity, in this case “the Debtor” is
25 given the right of rebuttal. If a rebuttal is not received within the required timeframe (72 hours) a
26 default action then applies, followed by termination of that entity; in this case, on the grounds
27 that it failed to rebut charges of treason by the One People.
28

1 UCC filing stands as law if it remains un-rebutted. The claims of slavery and treason are
2 true otherwise a rebuttal would have been received. The "Debtor" is therefore guilty of
3 treason..."

4 The UCC governs laws of global commerce and was officially recognized by the US, Inc.
5 in 1951. Our attorneys that represented the BAR, and with the help of certain elites, crafted a
6 code in which there are clear rules/policies and procedures in governing trade between all
7 corporations anywhere in the world. This system is the supreme system of tracking and
8 transferring to do business on a world platform.

9
10 The UCC is not harmful by itself, but like many other things in this world, it has been
11 used fraudulently as a tool to promote the slave system, and advance the agenda of the
12 commandeers.

13 All governments of the world are corporations and all corporations have only ONE
14 agenda: To achieve what-ever it takes to survive and control at any cost to humanity and the
15 environment and promote the highest profits by whatever legal/unlawful means necessary for the
16 shareholders.

17 Since when did a corporation get to usurp the Rights endowed by the creator of a living
18 soul? A quick parallel is liken to corporations such as WALMART or TARGET, these
19 corporations have no standing or authority to stop anyone that are not employees of their
20 company and give them a citation for not 'dressing' according to their codes or rules and
21 regulations. This would be considered absurd, invalid, and inane- and laughable at best. It is the
22 living souls who create and organize a corporation's structures and systems in how to operate
23 internally and do business externally; the living soul came first, and the formation of the
24 corporation came second. To state that a corporation has standing or authority over the living
25 soul would parallel with "putting the cart before the horse." A corporation cannot form itself, and
26 it did not come before man/woman.

1 In the Bible: Genesis, Chapter 1, verse 6-31 and Chapter 2 verse 18-23 states what God
2 created (i.e waters, plants, beasts, man, woman), and there is no reference to a corporation, a
3 limited liability company, nor limited partnerships.

4 In the Veda: the subject of an entire Rg Vedic hymn, which explains original creation as
5 the result of a primeval sacrifice -- not a true blood sacrifice, but a dismemberment and
6 distribution; not an actual creation of something out of nothing, but rather a rearrangement,
7 another instance of order out of chaos. The primeval Man is not *changed* into the various forms
8 of life; rather, he is those forms, always.

9
10 RG VEDA (10.90) : “The Man (Purusha) has a thousand heads, a thousand eyes, a thousand feet.
11 He pervades the earth everywhere and extends beyond for ten fingers' breadth. The Man himself
12 is all this, whatever has been and whatever is to be. He is the lord of immortality and also lord of
13 that which grows on food. Such is his greatness, and the Man is yet greater than this. All
14 creatures make up a quarter of him; three quarters are the immortal in heaven. With three
15 quarters the Man has risen above, and one quarter of him still remains here, whence he spread
16 out everywhere, pervading that which eats and that which does not eat. From him Virj was born,
17 and from Virj came the Man, who, having been born, ranged beyond the earth before and behind.
18 When the gods spread the sacrifice, using the Man as the offering, spring was the clarified butter,
19 summer the fuel, autumn the oblation. They anointed the Man, the sacrifice, born at the
20 beginning, upon the sacred grass. With him the gods, Sdhyas, and sages sacrificed. From that
21 sacrifice in which everything was offered, the clarified butter was obtained, and they made it into
22 those beasts who live in the air, in the forest, and in villages. From that sacrifice in which
23 everything was offered, the verses and the chants were born, the metres were born, and the
24 formulas were born. From it horses were born, and those other animals which have a double set
25 of incisors; cows were born from it, and goats and sheep were born from it.”

26 There is no writing of corporations came before man/woman in the Vedas...
27 man/woman, inclusive of the planet and its inhabitants.

28 In the Quran: The verse of creation tells us that the beginning of life was a single self
(soul), then its mate came out of it.

1 Surat Ar-Rūm: 30-21: "And of His signs is that He created for you from yourselves mates
2 that you may find tranquillity in them; and He placed between you affection and mercy.
3 Indeed in that are signs for a people who give thought"

4 24.45 . Allah has created every animal out of water . Of them (is a category which) walks upon
5 its belly, (another which) walks upon two legs, and (a third which) walks upon four . Allah
6 creates what He wills. Allah is Able to do everything (he wants)

7
8 Surat Al-'A`rāf 7:54: "Indeed, your Lord is Allah , who created the heavens and ... stars,
9 subjected by His command."

10 15.26: "We created the human being from stinking, smooth, (and wet) clay"

11
12 There is no writing of corporations came before man/woman in the Quran ...
13 man/woman, inclusive of the planet and its inhabitants.

14
15
16 In the Torah: "In the beginning G-d created the heavens and the earth"

17 Genesis 1:1

18 The Torah says: "I was the tool of G-d's artistry." An architect who builds a palace does not do
19 so on his own; he has scrolls and notebooks which he consults regarding how to place the rooms,
20 where to set the doors. So it was with G-d: He looked into the Torah and created the world.

21
22 Midrash Rabbah

23 There is no writing of corporations came before man/woman in the Torah/Genesis ...
24 man/woman, inclusive of the planet and its inhabitants.

25
26
27 In Buddhism: In the eyes of the Buddha, the world is nothing but Samsara -- the cycle of
28 repeated births and deaths. To Him, the beginning of the world and the end of the world is within

1 this Samsara. Since elements and energies are relative and inter-dependent, it is meaningless to
2 single out anything as the beginning. Whatever speculation we make regarding the origin of the
3 world, there is no absolute truth in our notion.

4
5 “Infinite is the sky, infinite is the number of beings,
6 Infinite are the worlds in the vast universe,
7 Infinite in wisdom the Buddha teaches these,
8 Infinite are the virtues of Him who teaches these.”

9 - (Sri Ramachandra)

10 There is no writing of corporations came before man/woman in Buddhism ...
11 man/woman, inclusive of the planet and its inhabitants.

12 It is clear and rebuttable that even in all major religions, corporations did not
13 come before man/woman; therefore, man/woman made corporations, and these corporations
14 were of the imagination of the creations of man/woman... not the imagination of creator itself.

15 LEGAL DEFINITIONS

16 CHATTEL: An article of personal property; any species of property not amounting to a freehold
17 or fee in land. People v. Holbrook, 13 Johns. (N. Y.) 94; Hornblower v Proud, 2 Barn. & Aid.
18 335; State v. Bartlett, 55 Me. 211; State v. Brown, 9 Baxt. (Teun.) 54, 40 Am. Rep. 81. The
19 name given to things which in law are deemed personal property. Chattels are divided into
20 chattels real and chattels personal; chattels real being interests in land which devolve after the
21 manner of personal estate, as leaseholds. As opposed to freeholds, they are regarded as personal
22 estate. But, as being interests in real estate, they are called “chattels real,” to distinguish them
23 from movables.

24 Black’s Law Dictionary

25
26 PERSONAL PROPERTY: The belongings of an individual, **excluding** any real estate property
27 or other buildings. Generally includes tangible and intangible assets of an individual.

28 Black’s Law Dictionary

1
2 Chattel slavery: Chattel slavery, also called traditional slavery, is so named because people are
3 treated as the personal property (chattel) of an owner and are bought and sold as if they were
4 commodities. It is the original form of slavery and the least prevalent form of slavery today.

5 http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chattel_Slavery

6
7 Under Exhibit "B": Executive Order No. 13037, March 3rd 1997, UCC Filing:
8 0000000181425776 states that the "...appropriate definition of capital for federal budgeting,
9 including: use of capital for the Federal Government itself or the economy at large; owner-ship
10 by the Federal Government or some other entity; defense and non-defense capital; physical
11 capital and intangible or human capital..." "...As in all real-men with hands and legs, and all
12 real land in the United States of America." UCC Financing statement states: Record owner: The
13 United States of America, U.S. Treasury, -DEPARTMENT- INTERNAL RE-VENUE
14 SERVICE (IRS).

15
16 These corporations claim, such as the STATE OF CALIFORNIA, under presumptions in
17 the "Code", that the alleged defendant has willingly given herself to the STATE/Corporation of
18 California to afford its 'protections' and so-call 'benefits'. However, the alleged defendant,
19 waives all presumptions, and benefits. The alleged defendant did not know that she was chattel,
20 and did not have the right to correct the presumption at age seven that she was not lost at the
21 "Holy See."

22
23 Yet, the organization of corporations guising as governments claims property (s),
24 inclusive of 'chattels', also known more specifically as 'the corporeal body' are false and
25 fraudulent; unbeknownst to innocence commoners, the corporations highjack their labor, liberty,
26 and freedom in the representational form of 'currency'.

27 What the trustees of the former OPPT have done is managed to see the system for what it
28 is and used the systems own legal remedies against itself.

1) They extensively researched the fraudulent systems used by the self-appointed commanders, then used their own legal system, the UCC (Uniform Commercial Code), to write documents that were legal, unrebutted, and lawful; the documents still stand as Law, unrebutted to this day.

2) They understood the significance of the spiritual aspect and wrote the documents from that higher perspective of *universal truth*. They called it "taking it back to prime (creator)" and freeing all entities from the "Theatrics of Duality" known as the "Great Experiment".

This new governance applies to every entity(s) existing in different or unknown dimensional/density realities within prime creator's universe/solar system. The end result was to foreclose all of the corrupt corporate governments, central world banks, and associated "big banks," and De Facto courts - which opened the door for us, The One People, to manifest the New Paradigm.

OPPT itself was officially dissolved on March 18, 2013 along with the UCC filing system itself. The work of the trustees themselves is complete. The documents that were filed, foreclosing on ALL corporations, are still on record. They are lawful and unrebutted to this very day. The UCC filings will for infinity (NUNC PRO TUNC, PRAETEREA PRETEREA: "then as now and hereafter") be in effect, but there are no longer a trust or trustees. The trust was only a tool and is no longer needed. The trustees are not, and never were interested in setting up a hierarchical control system.

Antithetical to the old system where intelligence and extreme prejudice ruled the world with codified procedures and protocols that allow very little transparency at best, and complete confusion at worst, the gift from the former OPPT trustees is not only of true absolute freedom, but is an extension of faith to humanity to BE and DO for the highest good of all within clear guidelines of Common Law in which to operate simply, consistently, and transparently.

CASE LAW ON JURISDICTION:

The UCC Filings by the former OPPT have corrected many presumptions, and has brought lawful remedy to the One People. One of the trickiest presumptions was one of

1 jurisdiction, and the jurisdiction under federal territory/corporate territory echoed by the Uniform
2 Commercial Code. Reflecting back on past United States case law and code, jurisdiction is
3 everything.

4
5 Uniform Commercial Code legal definitions:

6 JURISDICTION OF ORGANIZATION: with respect to a registered organization, means the
7 jurisdiction under whose law the organization is organized.

8 UCC art. 9, (50)

9 REGISTERED ORGANIZATION: means an organization organized solely under the law of a
10 single State or the United States and as to which the State or the United States must maintain a
11 public record showing the organization to have been organized.

12 UCC art. 9 (70)

13
14 If a court does not have jurisdiction, then a court cannot proceed any further, period- end
15 of story; everything stops right there. No agreements are heard from either side about the case,
16 nothing is submitted into evidence, and no judgments can be made when a court does not have
17 jurisdiction over the entities. The court cannot try a case in the event that an entity/organization
18 does fall under the presumed jurisdiction. Here are some court cases that emulate the former
19 UCC procedures and protocols.

20 "A judgment rendered by a court without personal jurisdiction over the defendant is void. It is a
21 nullity." [A judgment shown to be void for lack of personal service on the defendant is a nullity.]

22 Sramek v. Sramek, 17 Kan. App. 2d 573, 576-77, 840 P.2d 553 (1992), rev.
23 denied 252 Kan. 1093 (1993).

24
25 "A court cannot confer jurisdiction where none existed and cannot make a void proceeding valid.
26 It is clear and well established law that a void order can be challenged in any court"

27 OLD WAYNE MUT. L. ASSOC. v. McDONOUGH, 204 U. S. 8, 27 S. Ct. 236
28 (1907).

1 "Defense of lack of jurisdiction over the subject matter may be raised at any time, even on
2 appeal."

3 Hill Top Developers v. Holiday Pines Service Corp., 478 So. 2d. 368 (Fla 2nd
4 DCA 1985)

5
6 "Once challenged, jurisdiction cannot be assumed, it must be proved to exist."

7 Stuck v. Medical Examiners, 94 Ca 2d 751. 211 P2d 389.

8
9 "Court must prove on the record, all jurisdiction facts related to the jurisdiction asserted."

10 Latana v. Hopper, 102 F. 2d 188; Chicago v. New York, 37 F Supp. 150.

11
12 "A court has no jurisdiction to determine its own jurisdiction, for a basic issue in any case before
13 a tribunal is its power to act, and a court must have the authority to decide that question in the
14 first instance."

15 Rescue Army v. Municipal Court of Los Angeles, 171 P2d 8; 331 US 549, 91 L.
16 ed. 1666, 67 S.Ct. 1409.

17
18 "A universal principle as old as the law is that a proceedings of a court without jurisdiction are a
19 nullity and its judgment therein without effect either on person or property."

20 Norwood v. Renfield, 34 C 329; Ex parte Giambonini, 49 P. 732.

21
22 "Jurisdiction can be challenged at any time." and "Jurisdiction, once challenged, cannot be
23 assumed and must be decided."

24 Basso v. Utah Power & Light Co., 495 F 2d 906, 910.

25
26 "The law provides that once State and Federal Jurisdiction has been challenged, it must be
27 proven."

28 Main v. Thiboutot, 100 S. Ct. 2502 (1980).

1
2 "Jurisdiction is fundamental and a judgment rendered by a court that does not have jurisdiction to
3 hear is void ab initio." In regarding application of:

4 Wyatt, 300 P. 132; Re Cavitt, 118 P2d 846.

5
6 "Thus, where a judicial tribunal has no jurisdiction of the subject matter on which it assumes to
7 act, its proceedings are absolutely void in the fullest sense of the term."

8 Dillon v. Dillon, 187 P 27.

9
10 "The burden shifts to the court to prove jurisdiction."

11 Rosemond v. Lambert, 469 F2d 416.

12
13 "Where a court failed to observe safeguards, it amounts to denial of due process of law, court is
14 deprived of juris."

15 Merritt v. Hunter, C.A. Kansas 170 F2d 739.

16
17 "the fact that the petitioner was released on a promise to appear before a magistrate for an
18 arraignment, that fact is circumstance to be considered in determining whether in first instance
19 there was a probable cause for the arrest."

20 Monroe v. Papa, DC, Ill. 1963, 221 F Supp 685.

21
22 "A departure by a court from those recognized and established requirements of law, however
23 close apparent adherence to mere form in method of procedure, which has the effect of depriving
24 one of a constitutional right, is an excess of jurisdiction."

25 Wuest v. Wuest, 127 P2d 934, 937.

26
27 "There is no discretion to ignore that lack of jurisdiction."

28 Joyce v. US, 474 F2d 215.

1 It is clear that if a court lacks jurisdiction, then there is nothing to try, hear, or consider by
2 the court. Personal Jurisdiction must be established first, in order to proceed to the subject-matter
3 of the trial.

4
5 UCC defines:

6 PERSONAL JURISDICTION: Personal jurisdiction is the power of a court over the parties in
7 the case. Before a court can exercise power over a party, the constitution requires that the party
8 have certain minimum contacts with the forum in which the court sits.

9
10 “Personal jurisdiction is generally waiveable, so if a party appears in a court without objecting to
11 the court's lack of jurisdiction over it, that objection is forfeited.”

12 International Shoe v Washington, 326 US 310 (1945).

13 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a)(4)

14 http://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/personal_jurisdiction

15 “HOW TO PRESENT DEFENSES: Every defense to a claim for relief in any pleading must be
16 asserted in the responsive pleading if one is required. But a party may assert the following
17 defenses by motion:

18 (1) lack of subject-matter jurisdiction;

19 (2) lack of personal jurisdiction;

20 (3) improper venue;

21 (4) insufficient process;

22 (5) insufficient service of process;

23 (6) failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted; and...”

24
25
26
27
28 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1).

http://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/personal_jurisdiction

1
2
3 Not only does the court lack personal jurisdiction over Eternal Essence Embodied/I AM
4 supported by the former UCC filings of the former OPPT, but according to Federal Rule of Civil
5 Procedure it also lacks subject-matter jurisdiction over the nature of the case, as the purported
6 peace/policy officer had no right to issue the ticket based on a invalid license, as one cannot
7 convert a Right into a privilege and no accident had occurred. Since no third party was damaged,
8 according to the California MVC, no ticket should be issued. Since a ticket was issued by
9 purported peace/policy officer/STATE OF CALIFORNIA to the alleged defendant, extortion was
10 exercised by the corporate courts and by the purported peace/policy officer.

11 EXTORTION: The obtaining of property from another induced by wrongful use of actual or
12 threatened force, violence, or fear, or under color of official right.

13 Alleged defendant was told that she would be placed under arrest if she did not sign the
14 ticket; even under the “color of the law” a de facto state, this ticket is no claim within the
15 parameters of its own code. How many tickets a day are issued a day throughout California on
16 unknowing victims that are not involved with accidents? This is extortion practice is common
17 place, but it does not mean that it is lawful, legal, or representative of any “just” system. It would
18 parallel with the ethics of the mafia, defined below as such:

19 MAFIA: is a blanket term used to describe a type of organized crime syndicate that primarily
20 practices protection racketeering — the use of violent intimidation to manipulate local economic
21 activity, especially illicit trade; secondary activities may be practiced such as drug-trafficking,
22 Loan sharking and fraud. Being bonded together by a code of honour, in particular the code of
23 silence (or omertà in southern Italy), safeguards the Mafia from outside intrusion and law
24 enforcement action.

25
26 **RESULTS OF THE FORMER OPPT FILINGS:**

- 27
28
1. All corporations are foreclosed and their assets re-claimed
 2. The wealth of our planet is reconciled, repurposed, and returned to the One People

- 1 3. All fraudulent debts/loans 'owed' to fraudulent corporations is erased
- 2 4. "The system" is terminated, that includes purported courts, governments, alphabet agencies
- 3 (CIA, IRS, FBI, FDA, EPA, etc...), utilities, organized corporate churches (Vatican), military
- 4 industrial complex, police agencies, educational centers/schools, and banks (and yes, that
- 5 includes the big banks of them all-International Monetary Fund and Bank of International
- 6 settlements)
- 7 5. The public record clearly states it
- 8 6. The UCC filing stands as international law, unrebutted.
- 9 7. By the system's own terms/policy and procedures, it no longer exists
- 10 8. We, the One People, to include our "partners in contrast", are freed of the slavery system

11 "The resolution of duality leads to the synthesis and synthesis is the One Life void of
12 fragmentation"

13 *Shine forth, The soul's magical destiny*

14
15 It is done - ALL IS DONE - the great experiment of duality and the game of divide and
16 conquer is over. Wrapped in a message of love, compassion, and unity are the beacons of light
17 that guides us home to our new way of BE-ing and DO-ing, lawfully.

18 **DEBT SLAVERY FILINGS**

- 19
20 1. Executive Order 13037 dated 04 Mar 1997 defined humans as capital. See:
21 <http://americankabuki.blogspot.com.au/2013/03/us-citizens-defined-as-property-of.htm>
- 22 2. UCC Doc # 0000000181425776 filed 12 Aug 2011 evidences sale of US citizens in
23 transaction between *The Federal Reserve System* and *The United States Department of the*
24 *Treasury 1789* for \$14.3 trillion. [http://americankabuki.blogspot.com.au/2013/03/us-citizens-](http://americankabuki.blogspot.com.au/2013/03/us-citizens-defined-as-property-of.htm)
25 [defined-as-property-of.htm](http://americankabuki.blogspot.com.au/2013/03/us-citizens-defined-as-property-of.htm)
- 26
27 3. UCC Doc #2001059388 evidences the template the Federal Reserve Bank of New York uses
28 to secure the collateral in major banks around the world... including chattel paper, goods and the
unborn young of animals. <http://www.mediafire.com/view/?3yh79cijnzcwzu0s>

1
2 4. Countries and 'Governments' Are Just Corporations, on the USA Stock Exchange and Dun &
3 Bradstreet: <http://www.sec.gov/edgar/searchedgar/companysearch.html>.

4
5 5. The berth/birth Certificate/warehouse receipt of the baby, TAMARA M. DAVIS with
6 incorporated number of 7097-041071, chattel papers: <https://www.fidelity.com/?bar=p>

7
8 **OPPT FILINGS***

9 May 5th 2000, Declaration/notification of Uniformed Commercial Code (UCC) as 'Public
10 Law', UCC doc. # 2000043135

11 May 17th, 2011, Perpetuity Filing, UCC doc. # 2011055259; Property(ies) (inclusive of
12 all chattels) duly accepted for value as evidence of debt;

13 May 17th, 2011, Perpetuity Filing, UCC doc # 2011055260; Intellectual Property secured
14 May 7, 2011, file no. Doc #2011051841, evidence of debt assigned for full use, right, title,
15 interest to the Public Trust Perpetuity, 1781

16 December 20, 2011, Assignment of full rights and title, UCC doc# 2011125781

17 May 4th, 2012, Gift Received, UCC doc# 2012049126; The One People's Public Trust of
18 1776, a public trust, serving it's beneficiaries/"People", inclusive of all transmitting utilities, and
19 ALL other property (ties) (inclusive of chattels, and goods)

20 May 4th, 2012, Indefeasible title and owner of record, UCC doc# 2012-125-1787-8;

21 July 25th, 2012, Returned title and possession of value/free embodiments of Eternal
22 Essence/ I AM I, UCC doc# 2012079290

23 July 25th, 2012, Ownership of Treasury and security, UCC doc#, 2012079322

24 August 15th, 2012, Order of Finding, doc# 2012086794

25 August 15th, 2012, Order of Termination, Cancellation, and Equity Call; UCC Doc #
26 2012086802

27 September 4th, 2012, Returning title and possession of Land, Sky, and Water, etc., to
28 Eternal Essence and Free Gaia, UCC doc# 2012094309

October 24th, 2012, Foreclosure, Commercial Bill; UCC doc# 2012114586; Debtor,
BANK FOR INTERNATIONAL SETTLEMENTS

1 October 24th, 2012, Foreclosure, True Bill; UCC doc# 2012114776
2 November 28, 2012, Universal International Law Ordinance/Declaration of Facts, UCC
3 doc# 2012127810
4 November 28th, 2012, International Law Ordinance, UCC doc# 2012127854
5 November 28th, 2012, International Law Ordinance, UCC doc# 2012127907
6 November 28, 2012, Cancellation of Government Charters, UCC doc# 2012127914;
7 December 10th, 2012, NOTICE OF AFFIDAVIT OF FULL PERFORMANCE,
8 DECLARATION OF CERTIFICATE OF SATISFACTION, and DECLARATION AND
9 ORDER; CERTIFICATE OF SATISFACTION OF ALL UNIVERSAL CONTRACTS, UCC
10 doc# 2012132883
11 December 25th, 2012, Universal Notice of OPPT'S Completion of Purpose/OFFICIAL
12 ANNOUNCEMENT, The One People's Public Trust of 1776
13 January 15th, 2013, OFFICAL ANNOUNCEMENT THE DISCLOSURE OF LAWFUL
14 OPERATING SYSTEM 1111.1
15 March 18th, 2013, NOTICE OF DECLARATION OF ABSOLUTE TRUTH, DULY
16 VERIFIED AUDIT AND RECONCILIATION, and DECLARATION OF ORDER, UCC doc#
17 2013032035
18 March 18th, 2013, Law Ordinance, UCC Doc# 2013032026
19 March 24, 2013, Notice to all Embodiments of Eternal Essence in Eternal Essence's
20 Universe, I and the UV Exchange
21 March 30-31st, 2013, Gift received by Creator:

22 **Orders to Cease and Desist:**

23 Attention is drawn to DECLARATION AND ORDER: UCC Doc # 2012096074, Sept.
24 09 2012, duly reconfirmed and ratified by COMMERCIAL BILL UCC Doc. No. 2012114586
25 and TRUE BILL UCC Doc. No.2012 114776 which states:

26 Volunteers within the military ... "to arrest and take into custody any and all certain states of
27 body, their agents, officers, and other actors, regardless of domicil by choice, owning, operating,
28 aiding and abetting private money systems, issuing, collection, legal enforcement systems,
operating SLAVERY SYSTEMS against the several states citizens, ...", and "Repossess all

1 private money systems, tracking, transferring, issuing, collection, legal enforcement systems
2 operating SLAVERY SYSTEMS...”

3 “...all beings of the creator shall forthwith assist all Public Servants identified herein, to
4 implement, protect, preserve and complete this ORDER by all means of the creator and created
5 as stated herein, by, with, and under your full personal liability...”

6 **Most filings are in the alleged defendant’s affidavit. For all filings see here:*
7 <https://portal3.recordfusion.com/countyweb/login.do?countynome=WashingtonDC>

8 **DECLARATION OF I AM**

9 The Declaration of I AM signals the termination of past contracts and debts and is under
10 the jurisdiction of Common Law/Law of One structure, and Eternal Essence Embodied/I AM
11 includes privacy, imagination, and value (of one’s self and/or labor) of the one people BE-ing
12 and DO-ing as a living Law founded on pure love, pure energy and limitlessness. It gives the
13 standing and authority to Eternal Essence Embodied/I AM and the Right of opportunity in the
14 current state of BE-ing.

15 I AM, Eternal Essence is completely factualized in body and also perceived as:

16 I AM is all that is, the conscious of I AM

17 I AM includes spiritual perception

18 I AM includes quantum perception

19 Pure love is the frequency of I AM

20 Pure energy is the original currency of I AM

21 I AM the value of I AM

22 Perception is Privacy within I AM

23 Imagination is design by I AM

24 The will and the word is creation of I AM

25 I AM is the event of I AM

26 I AM is the co-ordinated co-operation of I AM

27 I AM accept I AM

28 I AM embrace I AM

I AM with full responsibility of I AM

1 ...1. ALL ALLUSIONS AND ILLUSIONS, inclusive of debt and fear, BY THE
2 INBODYMENTS OF I AM, ARE TERMINATED BY I AM;

3 2. ALL LIMITS, inclusive of all contracts, borders, banking, financial systems, universal
4 value systems, hierarchies, regimes, trusts, corporations, and any any other representations and
5 limits ON THE INBODYMENTS OF I AM, ARE TERMINATED BY I AM;

6 3. I AM CONSCIOUS IS COMPLETELY FACTUALIZED AND OPERATING
7 WITHIN ALL INBODYMENTS OF I AM; and,

8 4. ALL INBODYMENTS OF I AM BE AND DO I AM WITHIN PRIVACY OF
9 PERCEPTION BY FREE WILL CHOICE;

10 C) ALL INBODYMENTS BE I AM; and,

11 D) NOW moment I AM DO I AM;

12 This is the current 'state' of now- I AM is Lawfully BE-ing and DO-ing, limitlessly and
13 restriction less. In essence, all data in the information age, is revealed in absolute transparency
14 and compassion to lay the groundwork for a new way of BE-ing in society to form.

15
16 "Everything that has been bound, is unbound" Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf

17
18 **THE BANKRUPTCY OF THE UNITED STATES**

19
20 Speaker-Rep. James Traficant, Jr. (Ohio) addressing the House:

21 "Mr. Speaker, we are here now in chapter 11.. Members of Congress are official trustees
22 presiding over the greatest reorganization of any Bankrupt entity in world history, the U.S.
23 Government. We are setting forth hopefully, a blueprint for our future. There are some who say
24 it is a coroner's report that will lead to our demise.

25 It is an established fact that the United States Federal Government has been dissolved by the
26 Emergency Banking Act, March 9, 1933, 48 Stat. 1, Public Law 89-719; declared by President
27 Roosevelt, being bankrupt and insolvent. H.J.R. 192, 73rd Congress m session June 5, 1933 -
28 Joint Resolution To Suspend The Gold Standard and Abrogate The Gold Clause dissolved the
Sovereign Authority of the United States and the official capacities of all United States

1 Governmental Offices, Officers, and Departments and is further evidence that the United States
2 Federal Government exists today in name only.

3 The receivers of the United States Bankruptcy are the International Bankers, via the United
4 Nations, the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund. All United States Offices,
5 Officials, and Departments are now operating within a de facto status in name only under
6 Emergency War Powers. With the Constitutional Republican form of Government now
7 dissolved, the receivers of the Bankruptcy have adopted a new form of government for the
8 United States. This new form of government is known as a Democracy, being an established
9 Socialist/Communist order under a new governor for America. This act was instituted and
10 established by transferring and/or placing the Office of the Secretary of Treasury to that of the
11 Governor of the International Monetary Fund. Public Law 94-564, page 8, Section H.R. 13955
12 reads in part: "The U.S. Secretary of Treasury receives no compensation for representing the
13 United States?"....."

14 "... Inflation is an invisible form of taxation that irresponsible governments inflict on their
15 citizens. The Federal Reserve Bank who controls the supply and movement of FRNs has
16 everybody fooled. They have access to an unlimited supply of FRNs, paying only for the printing
17 costs of what they need. FRNs are nothing more than promissory notes for U.S. Treasury
18 securities (T-Bills) - a promise to pay the debt to the Federal Reserve Bank..."

19 "...There is a fundamental difference between "paying" and "discharging" a debt. To pay a debt,
20 you must pay with value or substance (i.e. gold, silver, barter or a commodity). With FRNs, you
21 can only discharge a debt. You cannot pay a debt with a debt currency system. You cannot
22 service a debt with a currency that has no backing in value or substance. No contract in Common
23 law is valid unless it involves an exchange of "good & valuable consideration." Unpayable debt
24 transfers power and control to the sovereign power structure that has no interest in money, law,
25 equity or justice because they have so much wealth already.

26 Their lust is for power and control. Since the inception of central banking, they have controlled
27 the fates of nations.

28 The Federal Reserve System is based on the Canon law and the principles of sovereignty
protected in the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. In fact, the international bankers used a
"Canon Law Trust" as their model, adding stock and naming it a "Joint Stock Trust." The U.S.
Congress had passed a law making it illegal for any legal "person" to duplicate a "Joint Stock

1 Trust" in 1873. The Federal Reserve Act was legislated post-facto (to 1870), although post-facto
2 laws are strictly forbidden by the Constitution. [1:9:3]

3 The Federal Reserve System is a sovereign power structure separate and distinct from the federal
4 United States government. The Federal Reserve is a maritime lender, and/or maritime insurance
5 underwriter to the federal United States operating exclusively under Admiralty/Maritime law.
6 The lender or underwriter bears the risks, and the Maritime law compelling specific performance
7 in paying the interest, or premiums are the same.

8 Assets of the debtor can also be hypothecated (to pledge something as a security without taking
9 possession of it.) as security by the lender or underwriter. The Federal Reserve Act stipulated
10 that the interest on the debt was to be paid in gold. There was no stipulation in the Federal
11 Reserve Act for ever paying the principle....”

12 “...“Hypothecated" all property within the federal United States to the Board of Governors of
13 the Federal Reserve, -in which the Trustees (stockholders) held legal title. The U.S. citizen
14 (tenant, franchisee) was registered as a "beneficiary" of the trust via his/her birth certificate. In
15 1933, the federal United States hypothecated all of the present and future properties, assets and
16 labor of their "subjects," the 14th Amendment U.S. citizen, to the Federal Reserve System.

17 In return, the Federal Reserve System agreed to extend the federal United States corporation all
18 the credit "money substitute" it needed. Like any other debtor, the federal United States
19 government had to assign collateral and security to their creditors as a condition of the loan.
20 Since the federal United States didn't have any assets, they assigned the private property of their
21 "economic slaves", the U.S. citizens as collateral against the unpayable federal debt. They also
22 pledged the unincorporated federal territories, national parks forests, birth certificates, and
23 nonprofit organizations, as collateral against the federal debt. All has already been transferred as
24 payment to the international bankers.

25 Unwittingly, America has returned to its pre-American Revolution, feudal roots whereby all land
26 is held by a sovereign and the common people had no rights to hold allodial title to property.
27 Once again, We the People are the tenants and sharecroppers renting our own property from a
28 Sovereign in the guise of the Federal Reserve Bank. We the people have exchanged one master
for another.

1 This has been going on for over eighty years without the "informed knowledge" of the American
2 people, without a voice protesting loud enough. Now it's easy to grasp why America is
3 fundamentally bankrupt....”

4 “... America has become completely bankrupt in world leadership, financial credit and its
5 reputation for courage, vision and human rights. This is an undeclared economic war,
6 bankruptcy, and economic slavery of the most corrupt order! Wake up America! Take back your
7 Country." <http://www.afn.org/~govern/bankruptcy.html>

8 *United States Congressional Record, March 17, 1993 Vol. 33, page H-1303.*

9 CONCLUSION

10 In light of the new evidence, the respondent motions to the court for dismissal with
11 prejudice for failure to state a cause of action for which relief may be granted under the
12 jurisdiction of Common Law and alleged defendant would like her costs and fees for having to
13 defend this frivolous case.

14 It might be considered unconventional at best to mix ‘jurisdictional parameters’ with
15 ‘codified parameters’ in this memorandum, as this ‘mixing’ is considered not standard in the
16 practice of Law for the plaintiff and defendant alike; it might perceived that the connotation of
17 blending, incorporating, and fusing these subjects are not to the advantage of the alleged
18 defendant and it makes everything more confusing. Then the question must be asked: If citizens
19 really had freedom, why is that ‘freedom’ hidden in ‘codes’? The mixing of subjects reveal the
20 codes for what they are (with OR without prejudice... -not equal opportunity in the eyes of the
21 law-), and to reveal the lack of equal opportunity, reveals the lack of rights and a purposeful
22 duplication of a nation by those authors, or by those who ‘know’ the authors in “the know”.

23 The fact that the peace/policy officers cannot even follow their codes, rules and
24 regulations is indicative to the fraud that has been perpetrated on the One People, this revealing
25 extortion within the old paradigm only supports why the former OPPT filed UCC documents to
26 free everyone from these codified slavery systems.

27 The former OPPT filings are brought forth, because of the lack of equal opportunity in
28 the law for ALL. In fact, the Law had been usurped, by “legalese”, which is De Facto- only a

1 color of the legitimate law- not actual 'Law'. This revelation of truth regarding the conundrum of
2 our codified systems only highlights and supports why the filings of the former OPPT were
3 executed in the first place. What has been bound in fraudulent and deceptive practices and acts
4 by our representatives now is unbound in a measurability that is easy to access and understand
5 for the One People.

6 If we are to have a justice "system", then it is clear to all that we should have a system
7 whereby measurability is recognized by ALL- One People –Universally.

8
9 The One People have a right to the use of the common highways, outside of the old
10 paradigm/codes. The full weight of liability falls on the policeman, who has actually engages and
11 detains the One People without cause of action, as there is no corporate veil to protect them
12 anymore. Those individuals are personally responsible if they continue to extort value of the One
13 People. If the police are going to enforce the law, they should know the law; the affect for and
14 the effect of said law. Consider this memorandum a courtesy directive to the systems that operate
15 under foreclosed upon corporations, thou shall not extort one's labor in the name of a fraudulent
16 procedures and protocols given by foreclosed upon corporations. This alleged court, the alleged
17 prosecutor, the alleged policeman are hereby notified.

18 In reflection of the great words of *Christopher Gustavus Tiedeman*:

19 "All personal property is the product of some man's labor, and whether the owner has acquired it
20 by his own labor, by inheritance or by exchange, his interest is a vested right of the most
21 unlimited character. He does not hold it by any favor of the state, and in consequence of his
22 possession of it he has assumed no peculiar obligation to the state. He has the right, therefore, to
23 acquire it in any manner that he pleases, provided in so doing he does not interfere with or
24 threaten the rights of others."*

25 *Footnote: The term "personal property" must be observed and used in this connection in the
26 sense of chattels personal, including movable property of all kinds.

27
28 Respectfully submitted with reservation of all rights,

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the Universal and International laws that the
2 foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

3
4 Date: February 27, 2014

5
6
7 _____
8 Respondent, Tamara M. Davis-Eternal
9 Essence Embodied/I AM-All Rights
10 Reserved

11 Annex "A"
12 Exhibits "A" – "O"

13 Exhibit "A"

14 Government Corporation filings

15
16 Exhibit "B"

17 Executive Order No. 13037, UCC Filings, that humans are capitol

18
19 Exhibit "C"

20 Westpac Banking Corporation owning chattel papers

21
22 Exhibit "D"

23 Powerprofiles of government corporations of Dun and Brad street

24
25 Exhibit "E"

26 STATE OF CALIFORNIA UCC filing

27
28 Exhibit "F"

California Commercial Code

1 Exhibit "G"
2 Birth Certificate, directions on how to find the bank notes associated with the birth certificate's
3 number, and the legal definition of a certificate/warehouse receipt
4
5 Exhibit "H"
6 The former One People's public trust Uniform Commercial Code Filings
7
8 Exhibit "I"
9 The notice of I and the Universal Value Exchange
10
11 Exhibit "J"
12 First Declaration of Facts by registered mail
13
14 Exhibit "K"
15 First Declaration of I by registered mail
16
17 Exhibit "L"
18 Affidavit of Truth
19
20 Exhibit "M"
21 Declaration of Facts part 2 of 1
22
23 Exhibit "N"
24 Declaration of I AM, Declaration of Receipt of I AM, Declaration of Original Depository and
25 Deposit of I AM, Declaration of Conversion by I AM
26
27 Exhibit "O"
28 Receipts of registered mail to purported agents